

A DEVOPS REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE FOR MULTI-CLOUD IOT APPLICATIONS DEPLOYMENT

Georges Bou Ghantous

Doctor of Philosophy (C02029)

Software Engineering

University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

School of Computer Science

Certificate of Authorship

I, Georges Bou Ghantous, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Software Engineering in the Faculty of Engineering and IT, School of Computer Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 22/04/2020

Acknowledgments

I have been privileged to have Dr Asif Gill and Dr Farookh Hussain as my supervisors. I want to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr Asif Gill for providing me with an opportunity to work with him on this research project. His highly valuable support, coaching, encouragement, quick feedback, and guidance helped me to finish this research project. Dr Asif Gill was always there to provide support in meetings, phone conferences, and emails. His valuable understanding in the field of agile and software engineering as an academic, researcher, and practitioner provided a wealth of knowledge that I used in this research project.

This study would not have been possible without the support of my family. I am very grateful to my parents, Salim Bou Ghantous and Laure Mikhail, for their precious love, blessings, support, encouragement, sacrifice, and care since my childhood. I am thankful for the support of my siblings. Their genuine care gave me confidence and peace of mind during my busy life. I am blessed to be a member of such a loving, caring, and supportive family.

I want to express my sincere thanks to all of my colleagues and friends for their valuable feedback and support—especially my dearest friends, Charbel Lahoud and John Mikhael (late).

I also wish to thank the Australian Government for its support and HECS-HELP for providing the funding for my research project for the length of my PhD study period.

I am thankful to all of the reviewers for valuable feedback and comments.

Thank you all.

Research Contributions and Publications

During this PhD research project, I collaborated with my supervisor and other colleagues. I published the components of this research work (DRA) in several rigorously reviewed international conference papers and scientific journals. The papers' publications were an opportunity to present my work for review before including it in this thesis. Appendix F presents a list of the publications that have been included in this thesis.

Contents

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	III
RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS AND PUBLICATIONS	IV
CONTENTS	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
LIST OF EQUATIONS	XIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XIV
GLOSSARY	XV
ABSTRACT.....	XVI
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. RESEARCH BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK	2
1.1.1. SOFTWARE ENGINEERING	2
1.1.2. AGILE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT	3
1.1.3. DEVOPS	4
1.1.4. CLOUD COMPUTING	5
1.1.5. MULTI-CLOUD	6
1.1.6. INTERNET OF THINGS	7
1.1.7. CONVERGENCE OF RESEARCH IDEAS	9
1.2. RESEARCH PROBLEM	10
1.3. RESEARCH QUESTION	11
1.4. RESEARCH AIM	12
1.5. RESEARCH SCOPE.....	13
1.6. CONTRIBUTIONS.....	14
1.7. APPLICATION AND USERS	16
1.8. RESEARCH STRATEGY	18
1.9. THESIS ORGANISATION	19
1.10. SUMMARY	20
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	21
2.1. SLR SCOPE	21
2.2. SLR FILTRATION PROCESS.....	22
2.2.1. STAGE 1: INCLUSION–EXCLUSION.....	22
2.2.2. STAGE 2: DATA SOURCE AND RESEARCH STRATEGY	23
2.2.3. STAGE 3: STUDY SELECTION PROCESS AND INCLUSION DECISION.....	24
2.2.4. STAGE 4: FINAL SELECTION PROCESS AND QUALITY ASSESSMENT	26
2.2.5. STAGE 5: DATA EXTRACTION AND DATA SYNTHESIS	27
2.3. SLR DATA REVIEW AND ANALYSIS	28
2.3.1. DEVOPS AND MULTI-CLOUD	28
2.3.2. DEVOPS AND IOT.....	29

2.3.3. IoT SENSORS AND IoT APPLICATIONS	30
2.3.4. IoT MONITORING AND IoT SECURITY	31
2.3.5. CLOUD COMPUTING AND IoT	31
2.3.6. MULTI-CLOUD, DEVOPS AND IoT	33
2.3.7. DATA ANALYSIS SUMMARY	34
2.4. SLR RESULTS	35
2.4.1. WHAT IS KNOWN ABOUT DEVOPS?	35
2.4.2. BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF DEVOPS ADOPTION FOR CLOUD IoT APPS	42
2.4.3. RESEARCH GAP	45
2.5. SUMMARY	47
CHAPTER 3: DESIGN SCIENCE RESEARCH METHOD	48
3.1. RESEARCH DESIGN	48
3.2. DSR: METHODOLOGY	50
3.2.1. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	52
3.2.2. ANALYSIS	53
3.2.3. DESIGN	54
3.2.4. DEVELOPMENT	55
3.2.5. EVALUATION	55
3.2.5.1. CASE STUDIES DESIGN	56
3.2.5.2. SURVEY DESIGN	58
3.2.5.2.1. SURVEY QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION	59
3.2.5.2.2. SURVEY QUALITATIVE EVALUATION	59
3.2.5.2.3. SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENT	60
3.2.6. OUTPUT	60
3.3. RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS	61
3.3.1. RESOURCES	61
3.3.2. DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	62
3.3.3. EXPERTS AND INDUSTRY FEEDBACK	62
3.3.4. RESEARCH ETHICS	63
3.4. SUMMARY	63
CHAPTER 4: DEVOPS REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE FRAMEWORK	64
4.1. DRA OVERVIEW	64
4.2. DRA FRAMEWORK CHARACTERISTICS	66
4.2.1. ABSTRACTION	67
4.2.2. HUMAN FACTOR	68
4.2.3. INFRASTRUCTURE	70
4.2.4. PROCESS	71
4.2.5. TOOLS	73
4.2.6. PRODUCT	74
4.2.7. BUSINESS VALUE	75
4.2.8. RULES	75
4.2.9. LEGAL	76
4.3. DRA ARCHITECTURE DESIGN	77
4.3.1. DRA CONTEXTUAL MODEL	77
4.3.2. DRA CONCEPTUAL MODEL	78
4.3.3. DRA LOGICAL MODEL	79

4.3.4. DRA PHYSICAL MODEL.....	81
4.3.5. DRA OPERATIONAL MODEL.....	82
4.4. DRA FRAMEWORK COMPOSITION	83
4.4.1. RESOURCES.....	83
4.4.1.1. ARCHITECTURE DESIGN	83
4.4.1.2. SOFTWARE	83
4.4.1.3. HARDWARE.....	83
4.4.2. CONFIGURATION	84
4.4.2.1. PIPELINE.....	84
4.4.2.2. IoT APPLICATION.....	89
4.4.2.3. IoT NETWORK	93
4.4.3. OUTPUT.....	95
4.4.3.1. DRA MODEL	95
4.4.3.2. DRAv1.0 INSTANCE (SINGLE CLOUD)	95
4.4.3.3. DRAv2.0 INSTANCE (MULTI-CLOUD).....	97
4.5. DRA FRAMEWORK IMPLEMENTATION	99
4.5.1. DRA INSTANTIATION PROCESS.....	99
4.5.2. DRA EVALUATION PROCESS	104
4.6. SUMMARY	105
CHAPTER 5: DRA FRAMEWORK EMPIRICAL EVALUATION.....	106
5.1. FRAMEWORK EVALUATION OVERVIEW.....	106
5.2. DRA INSTANTIATION.....	107
5.3. INDUSTRY CASE STUDY	110
5.3.1. CASE STUDY PLAN.....	111
5.3.2. PREPARATION FOR DATA COLLECTION	111
5.3.3. COLLECTING DATA	112
5.3.3.1. DRA ARCHITECTURE.....	112
5.3.3.2. DRA OPERATIONAL MODEL PIPELINE	112
5.3.3.3. SOFTWARE COMPONENT	113
5.3.3.4. HARDWARE COMPONENT	113
5.3.4. DATA ANALYSIS	113
5.3.5. REPORTING	114
5.4. RESEARCH CASE STUDY	116
5.4.1. CASE STUDY DESIGN	116
5.4.2. PREPARATION FOR DATA COLLECTION	117
5.4.3. COLLECTING DATA	118
5.4.3.1. DRA ARCHITECTURE.....	118
5.4.3.2. DRA OPERATIONAL MODEL PIPELINE	119
5.4.3.3. SOFTWARE COMPONENT	119
5.4.3.4. HARDWARE COMPONENT	119
5.4.4. DATA ANALYSIS	120
5.4.5. REPORTING	122
5.5. TEACHING CASE STUDY SURVEY	124
5.5.1. SEP CASE STUDY (DRAv1.0)	124
5.5.1.1. CASE STUDY INTRODUCTION	124
5.5.1.2. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	125

5.5.2. INP CASE STUDY (DRAv2.0).....	129
5.5.2.1. CASE STUDY INTRODUCTION	129
5.5.2.2. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	130
5.5.3. DRAv1.0 v. DRAv2.0	135
5.6. INDUSTRY FIELD SURVEY	137
5.6.1. SURVEY DATA COLLECTION	140
5.6.2. SURVEY DATA ANALYSIS	143
5.6.2.1. SURVEY QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION.....	143
5.6.2.1.1. <i>INDIVIDUAL DRA MODELS EVALUATION</i>	143
5.6.2.1.2 <i>COMBINED DRA MODELS EVALUATION</i>	153
5.6.2.2. SURVEY QUALITATIVE EVALUATION	155
5.6.2.2.1 <i>DRA USEFULNESS FEEDBACK AND RATING</i>	155
5.6.2.2.2 <i>DRA OVERALL FEEDBACK AND RATING</i>	160
5.7. EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OVERALL ANALYSIS	163
5.7.1. QUANTITATIVE INDICATOR MATRIX	163
5.7.2. QUALITATIVE EVALUATOR MATRIX	165
5.8. FUTURE RESEARCH	168
5.9. SUMMARY	172
CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY	173
6.1. RESEARCH JOURNEY AND OUTPUT.....	173
6.1.1. RESEARCH JOURNEY	173
6.1.2. RESEARCH OUTPUT.....	175
6.2. KEY CONTRIBUTIONS AND PUBLICATIONS	180
6.3. RESEARCH LIMITATIONS	181
6.4. SUMMARY	182
CONCLUSION	185
DECLARATIONS	186
BIBLIOGRAPHY	187
APPENDICES.....	202
APPENDIX A: ETHICAL APPROVAL.....	202
APPENDIX B: CONSENT FORM.....	204
APPENDIX C: SURVEY INVITATION LETTER	207
APPENDIX D: ONLINE INDUSTRY SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE	208
APPENDIX E: EMPIRICAL STUDY DATA.....	214
APPENDIX F: RESEARCH PAPERS	215
APPENDIX G: CASE STUDY TEMPLATE	216

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Ideas Convergence.....	10
Table 1.2: RQ Sub-Division	12
Table 1.3: Project Scope	14
Table 2.1: Inclusion–Exclusion Benchmark	22
Table 2.2: Search Categories	23
Table 2.3: Stage 3—Filtration Process	24
Table 2.4: Stage 3—Filtration Process Results.....	24
Table 2.5: Stage 4—Quality Criteria	26
Table 2.6: SLR Selection Process Results	26
Table 2.7: Stage 5—Selected Studies	27
Table 2.8: SLR Analysis Summary	34
Table 2.9: DevOps Concepts	36
Table 2.10: DevOps Practices.....	37
Table 2.11: DevOps Practices Categories.....	38
Table 2.12: DevOps Tools Categories	39
Table 2.13: Source Control Management	39
Table 2.14: Continuous Integration	40
Table 2.15: Continuous Deployment	40
Table 2.16: IaaS/PaaS	40
Table 2.17: Monitoring	41
Table 2.18: Database Management.....	41
Table 2.19: Logging/Security	41
Table 2.20: Build	41
Table 2.21: Testing	42
Table 2.22: Communication and Collaboration.....	42
Table 2.23: Benefits of DevOps Adoption	43
Table 2.24: Challenges of DevOps Adoption.....	44
Table 2.25: Research Gaps	45
Table 3.1: DSR Steps.....	49
Table 3.2: Case Study Evaluation Criteria.....	57
Table 3.3: Survey Ratings.....	58
Table 3.4: Survey Evaluation Criteria	60
Table 3.5: Resources.....	61
Table 4.1: Human Factor Entities	69
Table 4.2: Infrastructure Services	71
Table 4.3: Process Types	72
Table 4.4: Product Entities.....	74
Table 4.5: Logical Model Features	80

Table 4.6: DRAv1.0 and DRAv2.0 Toolsets	85
Table 4.7: DRA Setup and Configuration Template	88
Table 4.8: CD Platforms Parameters	89
Table 4.9: DRA Repository (dev-repo)	91
Table 4.10: Python Scripts.....	92
Table 4.11: Action Shell Commands	93
Table 4.12: Initiation Checklist.....	100
Table 4.13: Development Checklist.....	101
Table 4.14: Pipeline Configuration Checklist.....	102
Table 4.15: Deployment Checklist	103
Table 4.16: Management Checklist	104
Table 5. 1: DRA Instance Toolset.....	108
Table 5. 2: DRA Instance Setup and Configuration Template	109
Table 5. 3: Software Component	110
Table 5. 4: Hardware Component.....	110
Table 5.5: Industry Case study Analysis.....	114
Table 5.6: Industry Case Study Reporting Summary	115
Table 5.7: Research Case study Analysis	120
Table 5.8: Research Lab Case Study Reporting Summary.....	122
Table 5.9: SEP SFS Spring 2017 Results Analysis	127
Table 5.10: SEP Students Qualitative Feedbacks.....	129
Table 5.11: Contribution to Learning Results Analysis	132
Table 5.12: Course Content Results Analysis.....	133
Table 5.13: Overall Rating Results.....	134
Table 5.14: INP Students Qualitative Feedbacks	135
Table 5.15: DRAv1.0 vs DRAv2.0 (Design).....	136
Table 5.16: DRAv1.0 v. DRAv2.0 (Instance)	136
Table 5.17: Participants Demographic Distribution	137
Table 5.18: DRA Contextual Model Questions Group.....	141
Table 5.19: DRA Conceptual Model Questions Group.....	141
Table 5.20: DRA Logical Model Design Questions Group.....	141
Table 5.21: DRA Logical Model Functions Questions Group	141
Table 5.22: DRA Physical Model Questions Group.....	142
Table 5.23: DRA Operational Model Questions Group	142
Table 5.24: Contextual Questionnaire Data (RT1).....	144
Table 5.25: Contextual Group Data (CT1)	144
Table 5.26: Conceptual Questionnaire Data (RT2)	145
Table 5.27: Conceptual Group Data (CT2).....	145
Table 5.28: Logical Design Questionnaire Data (RT3).....	147
Table 5.29: Logical Design Group Data (CT3)	147

Table 5.30: Logical Features Questionnaires Data (RT4)	148
Table 5.31: Logical Features Group Data (CT4)	148
Table 5.32: Physical Model Questionnaires Data (RT5)	150
Table 5.33: Physical Model Group Data (CT5).....	150
Table 5.34: Operational Model Questionnaires Data (RT6).....	151
Table 5.35: Operational Model Group Data (CT6)	151
Table 5.36: DRA Total Data Results (RT7)	153
Table 5.37: DRA Total Data Chi ² -Test (CT7).....	154
Table 5.38: DRA Usefulness (FT1).....	156
Table 5.39: DRA Usefulness Ratings (RT8)	158
Table 5.40: DRA Usefulness Categories Frequencies	160
Table 5.41: Survey Overall Feedback (FT2)	160
Table 5.42: Survey Overall Feedbacks Ratings (RT9).....	162
Table 5.43: DRA Overall Criteria Occurrences.....	163
Table 5. 44: Quantitative Indicator Matrix (QIM).....	165
Table 5. 45: Qualitative Evaluator Matrix	166
Table 5. 46: QEM Criteria Occurrences	168
Table 5. 47: Suggested Improvements.....	169
Table 5. 48: Future Research Ideas.....	172
Table 6. 1: DRA Characteristics Output.....	176
Table 6. 2: DRA Design Models.....	177
Table 6. 3: DRA Instance Components	179
Table 6.4: Thesis Key Contributions	180

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Waterfall v. Agile (Bibik 2018)	3
Figure 1.2: DevOps Context	5
Figure 1.3: Cloud Structure.....	6
Figure 1.4: Multi-Cloud.....	7
Figure 1.5: IoT Generic Structure.....	9
Figure 1.6: Research Question Dimensions.....	11
Figure 1.7: Research Aim	13
Figure 1.8: DRA Framework Overview	15
Figure 1.9: DRA Application.....	17
Figure 1.10: Research Organisation.....	19
Figure 2.1: SLR Filtration Process	25
Figure 2.2: Stage 3—Filtration Results Graph	25
Figure 2.3: Stage 4—SLR Quality Results Graph	26
Figure 2.4: SLR Selection Progress Overview	27
Figure 2.5: DRA for AEPM (adapted from the Gill Framework®)	47
Figure 3.1: DSR Overview	50
Figure 3.2: DSR Process	52
Figure 3.3: Problem Identification.....	53
Figure 3.4: Analysis	53
Figure 3.5: Design.....	54
Figure 3.6: Development	55
Figure 3.7: Evaluation.....	55
Figure 3.8: Output.....	61
Figure 4.1: DRA Framework	65
Figure 4.2: Framework Characteristics (Example View)	67
Figure 4.3: Abstraction Characteristic (Example View).....	68
Figure 4.4: Human Factor Characteristic (Example View)	70
Figure 4.5: Infrastructure Characteristic (Example View)	71
Figure 4.6: Process Characteristic (Example View).....	73
Figure 4.7: Tools Characteristic (Example View)	74
Figure 4.8: Product Characteristic (Example View).....	75
Figure 4.9: DRA Contextual Model.....	77
Figure 4.10: DRA Conceptual Model.....	78
Figure 4.11: DRA Logical Model.....	79
Figure 4.12: DRA Physical Model.....	81
Figure 4.13: DRAv1.0 Instance Pipeline	86
Figure 4.14: DRAv2.0 Instance Pipeline	86
Figure 4.15: IoT App (maven-app-heroku) https://maven-app-heroku.herokuapp.com	90

Figure 4.16: IoT App Control Panel	90
Figure 4.17: IoT Network	94
Figure 4.18: DRAv1.0 Instance	96
Figure 4.19: DRAv2.0 Instance	98
Figure 5.1: Empirical Evaluation Overview	107
Figure 5.2: SEP Spring 2017 SFS Results Graph.....	127
Figure 5.3: Contribution to Learning Graph.....	133
Figure 5.4: INP Survey Results Charts.....	134
Figure 5.5: Contextual Data Graph (RF1)	144
Figure 5.6: Conceptual Data Graph (RF2).....	146
Figure 5.7: Logical Design Data Graph (RF3)	147
Figure 5.8: Logical Features Data Graph (RF4).....	149
Figure 5.9: Physical Model Data Graph (RF5).....	150
Figure 5.10: Operational Model Data Graph (RF6).....	152
Figure 5.11: DRA Models Combined Data (RF7).....	154
Figure 5.12: DRA Usefulness Ratings Graph (RF8)	159
Figure 5.13: Survey Overall Feedbacks Graph (RF9)	162
Figure 6.1: Research Journey.....	174
Figure 6.2: DRA Framework Overview	175

List of Equations

Equation 3.1: Chi ² Formula	59
Equation 3.2: Average and Above Frequency (AAF) Formula.....	59
Equation 3.3: Average and Above Percentage (AAP) Formula	59
Equation 5.1: QI Formula	164

List of Abbreviations

AAF	Average and Above Frequency
AAP	Average and Above Percentage
API	Application Programming Interface
App	Software Application
CD	Continuous Deployment
CI	Continuous Integration
DB	Database
DRA	DevOps Reference Architecture
DSR	Design Science Research
IaaS	Infrastructure as a Service
IoT	Internet of Things
MQTT	Message Queuing Telemetry Transport
PaaS	Platform as a Service
PC	DevOps Practice
POC	Proof of Concept
QEM	Qualitative Evaluator Matrix
QIM	Quantitative Indicator Matrix
QI	Quantitative Indicator
RDF	Resource Description Framework
Retro-QA	Retrospective Quality Assurance
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
RPIB	Raspberry Pi Model 3 B
RQ	Research Question
SaaS	Software as a Service
SLR	Systematic Literature Review
SSH	Secure Shell
UTS	University of Technology Sydney
WAN	Wide Area Network
WSN	Wide Sensor Network
GPIO	General-purpose input/output
FEIT	Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

Glossary

Abstraction	Abstraction refers to a logical view of entities such as objects, elements and services.
Agile	Agile is an iterative software development methodology that solves the complexity of the software project by adopting an iterative approach to ‘revisit’ the development process (analysis, planning, architecture, design, develop, test and deploy) and hasten the release or delivery of products to customers.
Continuous Integration (CI) Broker	A CI broker is a DevOps cloud-based tool that hosts the deployment configuration for the software applications (e.g. IoT-applications) deployment to multi-cloud in a DRA architectural model.
DevOps	DevOps is a set of software development practices that combine software development (Dev) and information technology operations (Ops) to improve agile software development.
DevOps Pipeline	A pipeline is a set of integrated DevOps tools that enable an automated software deployment process.
Framework	A framework is a set of development elements and components that are combined to produce a tailored process/method.
Internet of Things (IoT)	IoT refers to a network of physical objects that feature an IP address for internet connectivity, and the communication that occurs between these objects and other internet-enabled devices and systems.
JavaScript Object Notation (JSON)	JSON is an open-standard file format that uses human-readable text to transmit data objects consisting of attribute-value pairs and array data types (or any other serializable value).
Multi-Cloud	Multi-cloud is the integration of several cloud computing services in a single heterogeneous architecture.
NoSQL Database (DB)	A No SQL DB stores data in a document format such as JavaScript Object Notation.
Process/Method	‘Process’ and ‘method’ are used interchangeably in this thesis (although it is acknowledged that these terms are used differently in other areas of software engineering).
Reference Architecture	Reference architecture in the field of software architecture or enterprise architecture provides a template solution for architecture for a particular domain.
Vendor Lock-In	Vendor lock-in is a situation in which a customer using a cloud product or service cannot easily transition to a competitor’s cloud product or service. Vendor lock-in may occur in several cases—for instance, when a cloud in the multi-cloud system hosts the deployment configurations of the software application and when a cloud in the multi-cloud system hosts the database for the application data.
Waterfall	Waterfall methodology aligns the software development process (analysis, planning, architecture, design, develop, test, and deploy) in sequential order.

Abstract

DevOps originated in the context of agile software development, which seems an appropriate approach to enable the continuous delivery and deployment of a software application in small releases. There is growing interest among organisations in adopting the DevOps approach and a multi-cloud environment for IoT (Internet of Things) application deployment. However, the challenge is how to apply DevOps when a multi-cloud heterogeneous environment is required for IoT application deployment. To address this vital research need, this thesis applies a design science research (DSR) method. It develops the DevOps reference architecture (DRA) framework to automate IoT applications deployment to the heterogeneous multi-cloud environment. The DRA is a cloud-enabled framework that mainly focuses on the deployment part of the integrated agile–DevOps methodology. Using a DSR method, the DRA has been incrementally developed by the iterative application of build, review, and adjust research activities. The DRA is intended for use by software organisations, coaches, managers, engineers, developers, and consultants as comprehensive reference architecture for deploying IoT applications to a multi-cloud environment using the DevOps approach.

The DRA has three main components: framework characteristics, framework architecture, and framework composition. Framework characteristics incorporate nine main elements arranged into three categories: foundation (abstraction, human factor, infrastructure), core (process, tools, product), and extended (business value, rules, legal). Framework characteristics provide the building blocks necessary to create a reference architecture design using the DevOps approach and cloud infrastructure. Framework architecture is composed of five models: contextual, conceptual, logical, physical, and operational. Framework architecture is the blueprint used in the framework composition to create DevOps pipeline instances that enable IoT application deployment to the multi-cloud environment. The DRA framework composition includes three components: resources (architecture design, software, and hardware), configuration (pipeline, IoT application, IoT network), and output (DRA reference architecture, DRAv1.0 instance, DRAv2.0 instance). The framework provides implementation instructions and an evaluation template to implement and evaluate DRAv1.0 (single cloud) and DRA v2.0 (multi-cloud) instances in different organisational contexts.

The proposed DRA framework is evaluated using an empirical evaluation composed of four iterations: industry case study, research case study, teaching case study, and industry field surveys. The results of this thesis indicate that the proposed DRA framework can be considered reasonable for the successful adoption of the DevOps approach for IoT application deployment to the multi-cloud environment. The evaluation results indicate that the DRA framework is generic and can be used in different organisational contexts and technology stacks to establish a cloud-based deployment architecture that is suitable for IoT applications.