



Repair

ALEXANDRA CROSBY

JESSE ADAMS STEIN

School of Design, Faculty of Design, Architecture, and Building, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

We are surrounded by broken things and environments:¹ designed objects, spaces and systems in need of repair. Repair is a commonsense but partial answer to overconsumption and landfill crisis. It is conservative yet progressive. But as a concept and a material reality, repair can also overwhelm. With increasing technological complexity, and decreasing time, resources, and skill, the ethical and logistical questions around repair abound: What shall we care for, why, and how?² Where to begin? In this context, we begin with design: linking the value of repair to design is central to developing an ethics of care in the environmental humanities. In doing so, we acknowledge that design, in its current form, is deeply complicit in environmental destruction.

Dominant definitions of design since European modernism have tended to emphasize the creative capacity of humans to make something *new* by manipulating material resources, organizing information, or delineating space. To be fair, critical design history has worked hard to add complexity to this understanding of design, extending analysis far beyond the new product to incorporate a plethora of practices and account for production, consumption, use, and social meaning.³ Mainstream social understandings of design, however, still tend to gravitate toward the fresh, crisp assurance afforded by the latest new thing. The most celebrated professional designers tend to be those who envision new products. Other material practices, such as repair (as well as disassembly and maintenance) are not generally considered design, even though such practices shape the form, operation, appearance, and perceptions of the material world we occupy (fig. 1).⁴ The recent popularity of “design thinking” brought design and business together, further entrenching design’s status as providing a soothing surface sheen.

1. Muir, “Broken.”

2. van Dooren, “Care.”

3. Lees-Maffei, “The Production-Consumption-Mediation Paradigm.”

4. Fry, *Design Futuring*, 3.



Figure 1. Spare parts in a roadside stall, Surabaya, Indonesia, 2017.

Design has historically operated in the service of the powerful, ever concealing the ugliness of global capitalist exploitation. In this context, repair has often been understood as the unfashionable antithesis to design: repair is seen as making do rather than innovating; repair happens in the face of austerity. By limiting the kinds of people who call themselves designers,⁵ design closes itself off from the consequences of its actions over time: it takes no responsibility for the messy, destructive, and unequal futures that it has contributed to producing.

Repair is evidently everywhere in the history of design, from premodern practices to industrial craft, from the Japanese *kintsugi* tradition of gold ceramics repair to the Roman architectural tradition of *spolia* involving the repurposing of building stone. In response to the limitations of mainstream commercial design culture, feminist scholars are rereading and rewriting design histories.⁶ Likewise, the necessary decolonization of design is already in motion (both in theory and practice).⁷ Indigenous and other non-Western notions of use, ownership, and care are already expanding the definition of design.⁸ As part of that expansion, the study of repair as a design practice needs to be

5. Rosner, *Critical Fabulations*, 25.

6. Rosner, *Critical Fabulations*; Reed, "Women, Work, and Revolution"; Rothschild, *Design and Feminism*.

7. Escobar, *Designs for the Pluriverse*; Tristan Schultz et al., "What Is at Stake with Decolonizing Design?" 81–101; Schultz, "Design's Role in Transitioning to Futures of Cultures of Repair."

8. For examples of indigenous design in Australia, see www.firstnationsfashiondesign.com/, oldwaysnew.com, relativecreative.com.au/design-lab/, and Gothe, "Communicating Fire."

invigorated to make clear its crucial significance in the context of impending environmental and social disasters.⁹ In order to revalue repair in this essay, we decouple design and innovation by thinking through two possible relationships between repair and design: *repair as design* and *designing for repair*.¹⁰ These dual trajectories position repair as more than a reactive response to something broken. Rather, repair is the expression of care, and therefore a way of making ethical decisions about design within complex and traumatized ecological systems.

Repair as Design

Emerging voices have questioned the focus on all that is new in design and technology—challenging the uncritical enthusiasm of innovation-speak. One alternative offered by Andrew Russell, Jessica Meyerson, and Lee Vinsel, for instance, is a pivot toward *maintenance*, in all its forms. Unwittingly at first, Russell, Meyerson, and Vinsel spearheaded a movement—*The Maintainers*—of thinkers and practitioners motivated by “the mundane work that keeps our society going,” resulting in a “more accurate and grounded understanding of human life with technology.”¹¹

Such movements built upon decades of feminist political work making visible unrecognized labor. In 1969, maintenance artist Mierle Laderman Ukeles wrote *Maintenance Art Manifesto 1969! Proposal for an Exhibition “CARE,”* in which she included acts of repair such as “mend the fence” and “seal it again—it leaks,” along with “change the baby’s diaper” in a long list of indispensable yet unrecognized chores that are dismissed as “women’s work.”¹² Ukeles helped make visible the diversity of repair and maintenance. Repair as design happens at different scales in different places. The design of some products—such as bicycles—are progressively repair focused. Other industries, such as consumer electronics, are decidedly anti-repair, but they nonetheless inspire countercultures, hackers, and small industries of out-of-warranty repair. iFixit, for instance, is a company selling parts and publishing free online repair guides in multiple languages.¹³ Repair cafés all over the world focus on community-level transformation, creating spaces for people to learn skills, use tools, and become repairer/designers.¹⁴ Repair is not limited to cosmopolitan contexts, as evidenced by diverse and widespread repair cultures in the Global South.¹⁵ Likewise, Aboriginal designers from Yuendumu,

9. We follow a number of significant scholars in valuing repair, including but not limited to Jackson, “Rethinking Repair”; Graham and Thrift, “Out of Order”; Mattern, “Maintenance and Care”; Houston et al., “Letter from the Editors”; Strel, Bovet, and Sormani, *Repair Work Ethnographies*; and Isenhour and Reno, “On Materiality and Meaning.”

10. Other design writing on repair engages with similar concepts, such as Oropallo, “The Fixing I”; Gill and Lopes, “On Wearing”; Willis, “Renew, Repair, Research”; Tonkinwise, “Is Design Finished?”; and Schultz, “Design’s Role in Transitioning.”

11. Russell, Meyerson, and Vinsel, “About Us.”

12. Laderman Ukeles, “Maintenance Art Manifesto,” 382.

13. See iFixit’s *Repair Manifesto* for another example of repair articulated as politics.

14. Rosner, “Making Citizens, Reassembling Devices.”

15. For example, Corwin, “Nothing Is Useless in Nature.”

Central Australia, inspired the television program *Bush Mechanics*, documenting desert repair culture.¹⁶ These resourceful acts of reparation, mending, reassembling and maintenance constitute a progressive form of design: a form of design fit for the age of the Anthropocene.

Designing for Repair

While we understand design as an extensive social practice, rather than a specific point in a production process, designing for repair focuses on the decisions made at the initial stages of invention, to ensure that objects, spaces and systems are long-lived and repairable. Rather than considering design as a cog in the wheel of consumerism,¹⁷ this shift implies that design keeps designing, all too evident in the legacies of plastic packaging clogging waterways, and the automotive dependence encouraged by ongoing motorway development.

Designing for repair means planning for change over time: designing to allow objects, codes and systems to be opened, disassembled, or altered. These decisions can be material, for example, when designing a child's toy, including a screw-affixed removable panel for the battery, rather than hermetically sealing the casing. Designing for repair includes considerations of durability, longevity and material affordances.¹⁸ Designing for repair means keeping knowledge exchanges open. In this way, repair is linked to other open knowledge movements: Electronic Frontier Foundation,¹⁹ Open Design,²⁰ Creative Commons, and the Free and Open Source Software movement. Much of the current debate over repair, particularly in the United States, relates to the right to repair, demanding policy changes that protect consumers from product software that makes them wholly reliant on the original manufacturer for maintenance and repair.²¹

Revaluating and Redirecting Design

Understanding repair as design, and designing for repair, means letting go of the aspirations for sleek, seamless, and human-centered forms. It means embracing bricolage and remix as we design for uncertainty and complexity in more-than-human systems. It

16. Meakins, "Some Australian Indigenous Languages You Should Know."

17. Thorpe, "Design's Role in Sustainable Consumption."

18. Chapman, "Meaningful Suff."

19. Doctorow, "It's Repair Day."

20. van Abel et al., "Repairing."

21. Tech corporations such as Apple protect their devices' TPMs (technological protection measures), which penalize users for using independent repairers, requiring a return to the original manufacturer (often at great expense). Replacement and discard are therefore encouraged, and repair actively prevented. See, for example, Vinsel, "Fighting for the Right to Repair Our Stuff"; Wiseman, "Do Australian Farmers Need a Right to Repair?" Also, *Make Magazine* designed a special tool "small enough to fit on your key chain, the MAKE Warranty Voider is the perfect companion for mobile fixing, hacking and MacGyvering" (makezine.com/2009/06/19/in-the-maker-shed-make-warranty-voi/).

means designing sometimes unfashionably, often cautiously, with a view to intergenerational equity and the multispecies “earth-repair” that might reframe the relationship between ecology and economy.²² It means prioritizing mending over marketing. It means challenging the relationship of designer (in a studio or office) to a worker (in a workshop or factory) in order to value the labor that goes into repairing the world.²³ It may mean choosing not to design anything at all.

Understanding repair and design as interlinked helps share knowledge between the environmental humanities and design studies, pushing to transform the way design is conceived, managed and practiced. As an expression of care, repair must involve an ongoing critical engagement with the terms of its own production and practice.²⁴ Design cannot only engage with an ethics of mutual care—but it *must* by acknowledging repair as a design practice that is diverse enough to redirect, and by including repair as a criterion for making decisions about what and how we design. Of course, even hopeful repair practices cannot, alone, fix the environmental crises we are in, nor can design solve the wicked problems of climate change. Rather, repair brings design more deeply (through theory) and more slowly²⁵ (through practice) into critical conversations about more-than-human ecosystems, and about design’s culpability in environmental degradation.

ALEXANDRA CROSBY is associate head of the UTS School of Design, Sydney. Her background is in environmental activism, visual communication design, and the ethnographic methods of international studies. She works with Dr Ilaria Vanni Accarigi in the transdisciplinary research studio Mapping Edges (www.mappingedges.org/). She also is a founding member and janitor of Frontyard (frontyardprojects.org).

JESSE ADAMS STEIN is senior lecturer and chancellor’s postdoctoral research fellow at the UTS School of Design, Sydney. She is an interdisciplinary design researcher specializing in the relationship between technology, work, and material culture. Her current (postdoctoral) research reveals the ongoing significance of industrial craft in Australian manufacturing. With Alexandra Crosby she also coleads the project *Repair Design: Shared Knowledge and Practice* (repair.design), which examines repair as design and opens up informed, realistic, and meaningful public discourse about repair practices, capacities, rights, and limitations in an Australian context. She is the author of *Hot Metal: Material Culture and Tangible Labour* (2016).

References

Chapman, Jonathan. “Meaningful Stuff: Toward Longer Lasting Products.” In *Materials Experience: Fundamentals of Materials and Design*, edited by Elvin Karana, Owain Pedgley, and Valentina Rognoli, 135–43. London: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2014.

22. Gibson, Rose, and Fincher, *Manifesto for Living in the Anthropocene*.

23. Strebel, Bovet, and Sormani, *Repair Work Ethnographies*; Hamilton “Labour.”

24. van Dooren, “Care,” 292.

25. Rose, “Slowly.”

- Corwin, Julia Eleanor. "‘Nothing Is Useless in Nature’: Delhi’s Repair Economies and Value Creation in an Electronics ‘Waste’ Sector." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 50, no. 1 (2018): 14–30.
- De Vinck, Marc. "In the Maker Shed: MAKE: Warranty Voider—Leatherman." *Make: Community*, June 19, 2009. makezine.com/2009/06/19/in-the-maker-shed-make-warranty-voi/.
- Doctorow, Cory. "It’s Repair Day: No One Should be Punished for ‘Contempt of Business Model.’" *Electronic Frontier Foundation*. October 20, 2018. www.eff.org/deeplinks/2018/10/its-repair-day-no-one-should-be-punished-contempt-business-model.
- Escobar, Arturo. *Designs for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2018.
- Fry, Tony. *Design Futuring*. Oxford, UK: Berg Publishers, 2008.
- Gibson, Katherine, Deborah Bird Rose, and Ruth Fincher. *Manifesto for Living in the Anthropocene*. Brooklyn, NY: Punctum, 2015.
- Gill, Alison, and Abby Mellick Lopes. "On Wearing: A Critical Framework for Valuing Design’s Already Made." *Design and Culture* 3, no. 3 (2011): 307–27.
- Gothe, Jacqueline. "Communicating Fire: Working with Land and Designing for Country." *Fusion Journal* 10 (2016): 39–66.
- Graham, Stephen, and Nigel Thrift. "Out of Order: Understanding Repair and Maintenance." *Theory, Culture, and Society* 24, no. 3 (2007): 1–25.
- Hamilton, Jennifer. "Labour." *Environmental Humanities* 6, no. 1 (2015): 183–86.
- Houston, Lara, Daniela K. Rosner, Steven J. Jackson, and Jamie Allen. "Letter from the Editors." *Continent* 6, no. 1 (2017): 1–3.
- iFixit. "Repair Manifesto." www.ifixit.com/Manifesto (accessed January 16, 2020).
- Isenhour, Cindy, and Joshua Reno. "On Materiality and Meaning: Ethnographic Engagements with Reuse, Repair, and Care." *Worldwide Waste: Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 2, no. 1 (2019): 1–8.
- Jackson, Steven J. "Rethinking Repair." In *Media Technologies: Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society*, edited by Tarleton Gillespie, Pablo Boczkowski, and Kirsten Foot, 221–39. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2014.
- Lees-Maffei, Grace. "The Production-Consumption-Mediation Paradigm." *Journal of Design History* 22, no. 4 (2009): 351–76.
- Mattern, Shannon. "Maintenance and Care." *Places Journal*, November 2018. placesjournal.org/article/maintenance-and-care/.
- Meakins, Felicity. "Some Australian Indigenous Languages You Should Know." *The Conversation*, May 8, 2015. theconversation.com/some-australian-indigenous-languages-you-should-know-40155.
- Muir, Cameron. "Broken." *Environmental Humanities* 5, no. 1 (2014): 287–90.
- Oropallo, Gabriele. "The Fixing I: Repair as Prefigurative Politics." In *Design Culture: Objects and Approaches*, edited by Guy Julier, Anders V. Munch, Mads Nygaard Folkmann, Hans-Christian Jensen, and Niels Peter Skou, 157–70. London: Bloomsbury, 2019.
- Reed, Sara Desvernine. "Women, Work, and Revolution: A Do-It-Yourself Practice." *Design and Culture* 8, no. 1 (2016): 27–54.
- Rose, Deborah Bird. "Slowly: Writing into the Anthropocene." Special issue, *TEXT* 20 (2013): 1–14.
- Rosner, Daniela K. *Critical Fabulations: Reworking the Methods and Margins of Design*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2018.
- Rosner, Daniela K. "Making Citizens, Reassembling Devices: On Gender and the Development of Contemporary Public Sites of Repair in Northern California." *Public Culture* 26, no. 1 (2014): 51–77.
- Rothschild, Joan. *Design and Feminism*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1999.
- Russell, Andy, Jessica Meyerson, and Lee Vinsel. "About Us." *The Maintainers*. themaintainers.org/about-us (accessed January 16, 2020).
- Schultz, Tristan, Danah Abdulla, Ahmed Ansari, Ece Canli, Mahmoud Keshavarz, Matthew Kiem, Luiza Prado de O. Martins, and Pedro J. S. Vieira de Oliveira. "What Is at Stake with Decolonizing Design? A Roundtable." *Design and Culture* 10, no. 1 (2018): 81–101.

- Schultz, Tristan. "Design's Role in Transitioning to Futures of Cultures of Repair." In *Research into Design for Communities*. Vol. 2 of *Systems and Technologies*, edited by Amaresh Chakrabarti and Debkumar Chakrabarti, 225–34. Singapore: Springer, 2017.
- Strebel, Ignaz, Alain Bovet, and Philippe Sormani, eds. *Repair Work Ethnographies: Revisiting Breakdown, Relocating Materiality*. Singapore: Palgrave Macmillan, 2019.
- Thorpe, Ann. "Design's Role in Sustainable Consumption." *Design Issues* 26, no. 2 (2010): 3–16.
- Tonkinwise, Cameron. "Is Design Finished? Dematerialisation and Changing Things." *Design Philosophy Papers* 2, no. 3 (2004): 177–96.
- Ukeles, Mierle Laderman. "Maintenance Art Manifesto." In *100 Artists' Manifestos: From the Futurists to the Stuckists*, edited by Alex Danchev, 381–83. London: Penguin, 2011.
- van Abel, Bas, Lucas Evers, Peter Troxler, and Roel Klaassen. *Open Design Now: Why Design Cannot Remain Exclusive*. Amsterdam: Bis Publishers, 2014.
- van Dooren, Thom. "Care." *Environmental Humanities* 4, no. 1 (2014): 291–94.
- Vinsel, Lee. "Fighting for the Right to Repair Our Stuff." *American Conservative*, July 23, 2019. www.theamericanconservative.com/articles/fighting-for-the-right-to-repair-our-stuff/.
- Willis, Anne-Marie. "Renew, Repair, Research." *Design Philosophy Papers* 9, no. 1 (2014): 1–3.
- Wiseman, Leanne. "Do Australian Farmers Need a Right to Repair?" Griffith University, *Griffith News*, November 23, 2018. app.secure.griffith.edu.au/news/2018/11/23/do-australian-farmers-need-a-right-to-repair.