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Abstract

Forensic genotyping can be impeded by γ-irradiation of biological evidence in the event of radiological crime; that is, criminal activity involving radioactive material. Oxidative effects within the mitochondria of living cells elicits greater damage to mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) than nuclear DNA (nuDNA) at low doses. This study presents a novel approach for the assessment of nuDNA versus mtDNA damage from a comparison of genotype and quantity data, while exploring likely mechanisms for differential damage after high doses of γ-irradiation. Liquid (hydrated) and dried (dehydrated) whole blood samples were exposed to high doses of γ-radiation (1–50 kilogray, kGy). The GlobalFiler PCR Amplification Kit was used to evaluate short tandem repeat (STR) genotyping efficacy and nuDNA degradation; a comparison was made to mtDNA degradation measured using real-time PCR assays. Each assay was normalized before comparison by calculation of integrity indices relative to unirradiated controls. Full STR profiles were attainable up to the highest dose, although DNA degradation was noticeable after 10 and 25 kGy for hydrated and dehydrated blood, respectively. This was manifested by heterozygote imbalance more than allele dropout. Degradation was greater for mtDNA than nuDNA, as well as for hydrated than dehydrated cells, after equivalent doses. Oxidative effects due to water radiolysis and mitochondrial function are dominant mechanisms of differential damage to nuDNA versus mtDNA after high-dose γ-irradiation. While differential DNA damage was reduced by cell desiccation, its persistence after drying indicates innate differences between nuDNA and mtDNA radioresistance and/or continued oxidative effects within the mitochondria. Degradation of mtDNA is more severe after γ-irradiation than nuDNA; this does not adversely impact on genotyping success of blood samples up to 50 kGy.
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Introduction

High-dose exposure of DNA evidence to γ-radiation may be caused by γ-emitting radionuclides present at a radiological crime. Such crimes involve the abandonment, theft, or trafficking of radioactive material and could lead to the construction of crude radiological weapons, such as a dirty bomb [1]. While such an attack has not yet taken place, extremists have previously demonstrated interest in the use of such unconventional weaponry [2, 3]. The doses received by a forensic sample in such cases may be well beyond several kilogray (kGy); dose rates up to 4.6 kGy/h are expected within a meter of an unshielded Category 1 cobalt-60 γ-emitter with typical activity of 150 terabequerel (TBq) [4]. Similarly, doses of γ-radiation necessary for biological agent decontamination may be upwards of 10 kGy [5–7]. Due to its high probative value, DNA evidence is the most reliable means of identification available today, and hence may be critical for the identification of victims or perpetrators of such crimes.

Genotyping of autosomal ‘length polymorphic’ short tandem repeats (STRs) is the current standard for forensic identity testing [8–10]. This relies on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to facilitate DNA target selection. Ionizing irradiation of DNA evidence can disrupt the PCR by introducing a...
variety of DNA lesions, including base modifications, abasic sites, crosslinkages, and strand breaks [11–13]. These lesions can prevent strand uncoiling, alter primer binding sites, and/or block DNA polymerase during PCR [14, 15]. This results in allelic dropout, particularly for longer amplicons that incur DNA damage lesions with greater frequency [16], following sufficiently high doses (> 10 kGy) of γ-radiation [17, 18].

γ-radiation interacts with DNA constituents via direct ionization events, as well as through secondary oxidative reactions mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS). The latter are produced from the radiolysis of cellular water molecules, as well as by mitochondrial hyperfunction of viable cells [11, 19–22]. The ‘sequence polymorphic’ hypervariable regions (HVRs) located within the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region (D-loop) are alternatives to STR genotyping for degraded DNA. They are present in higher copy number than nuclear DNA (nuDNA) and enable identification from maternal lineage, although the discrimination power of multiplexed STRs is unrivalled by HVR sequencing [23, 24]. Further, the role of mitochondria in mediating ROS production subjects mtDNA to greater oxidative damage than nuDNA [25–27]. Mitochondrial content/volume and oxidative function may also be upregulated by ionizing radiation exposure [21, 22], where increased mitochondrial volume may lead to more frequent ionization events than the nucleus [28].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the degradation of STR genotypes after high doses (1–50 kGy) of γ-radiation to both liquid (hydrated) and dried (dehydrated) whole blood samples, as well as the relative impact of γ-radiation upon nuDNA and mtDNA targets. Integrity indices for mtDNA were determined from the quantity ratios of different sized amplicons targeted by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assays. Similarly, peak height ratios between STRs of equivalent size to the mtDNA targets were used to provide an index of nuDNA integrity.

Methodology

DNA samples

Whole blood was collected by venipuncture from 10 individuals in 4 mL Vacutainers (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, USA) coated with 7.2 mg of dipotassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Aliquots of 150 μL were transferred into sterile 1.5 mL glass vials with polyethylene push caps (liquid/hydrated samples) or air dried onto sterile glass microscope slides (dried/dehydrated samples). A sterile glass cover slip was secured over dried blood flakes with adhesive tape. Blood collection and sample preparation was performed for all samples (including unirradiated controls) the day prior to sample irradiation and stored at 4 °C until irradiation.

Sample irradiation

γ-irradiation of whole blood samples was conducted at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) using the Gamma Technology Research Irradiator (GATRI). Irradiations with cobalt-60 to approximate absorbed doses of 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 kGy were performed independently at ambient temperature (~24 °C). For each dose, the dose rate was confirmed by two ceric-cerous sulphate dosimeters, except at 1 kGy, which relied on a dose rate previously determined by a dose mapping study (data not shown). Samples received a dose rate of approximately 2 kGy per hour over a continuous period until the target dose was reached. Exposure times ranged from approximately 30 min (1 kGy) to 24 h (50 kGy), correcting times to account for radioactive source decay. Samples were immediately stored at ~20 °C post-irradiation.

Sample irradiations took place over three days batched by dose. To evaluate any impact of storage time on DNA integrity, two sets of unirradiated controls were prepared for each individual and sample type (i.e. liquid or dried). These controls were stored under the same conditions as the first and last irradiation batch for subsequent comparison of DNA integrity.

DNA extraction

Whole blood samples were extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) [29]. Sample lysis was carried out directly in the glass vials of liquid samples or by transferring dried blood flakes into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Extracted DNA was eluted into 100 μL elution buffer (10 mM Tris-chloride, pH 9.0, 0.5 mM EDTA). Aliquots of the DNA extracts were stored at ~20 °C prior to use.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

Quantification of nuDNA was performed with the Quantifiler Human DNA Quantification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) [30]. Three mtDNA rRNA coding region targets of different length (86, 190 and 452 base pairs, bp) were quantified by SYBR Green-based qPCR assays [31]. All assays were performed on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System with HID Real-Time PCR Analysis Software v1.1 (Applied Biosystems). Internal PCR controls (IPCs) were included with both the Quantifiler and mtDNA assays.

STR genotyping

A panel of 23 forensic STR markers and amelogenin were genotyped using the GlobalFiler PCR Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems). The standard 25 μL reaction chemistry was applied [32], with products amplified from 1 ng template DNA (29 cycle protocol) on a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). Sample dilutions were in TE buffer (10 mM
Tris-chloride, pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA). Positive controls were
Control DNA 007 (Applied Biosystems). Capillary electrophoresis was performed for GlobalFiler [32] using GeneScan 600 LIZ dye Size Standard v2.0 (Applied Biosystems) and Hi-Di Formamide (Applied Biosystems). Electrophoresis was performed on a 350x/lgenic Analyser with 3500 Series Data Collection Software.

An index of DNA integrity was determined for both nuDNA and mtDNA from the amplification of long versus short targets. For nuDNA, a subset of autosomal forensic STR markers were selected for relative size consistency with the three mtDNA qPCR targets (86, 190 and 452 bp), including loci of low molecular weight (D2S441, ~75–110 bp), intermediate molecular weight (vWA and D1S1656, ~150–210 bp), and high molecular weight (TPOX and SE33, ~310–450 bp). For STR size groups containing multiple loci, the average peak heights of alleles for each marker were determined. Integrity indices were calculated from peak height or quantity ratios comprising intermediate/short (Index A), long/intermediate (Index B), and long/short loci (Index C). Integrity indices for irradiated samples were normalized against those for unirradiated samples of equivalent DNA type. This ‘relative integrity index’ was used for comparison of nuDNA versus mtDNA integrity to account for any differences in PCR efficiency and/or template damage prior to irradiation.

**Statistical analysis**

To account for any variation between the two sets of unirradiated controls (stored under the same conditions as the first and last irradiation batch), the irradiated samples were compared against both sets of controls and the data pooled for statistical analysis using SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, Armonk, USA). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Divergence from a normal Gaussian distribution was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk normality tests and quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots. Equality of variances was checked using Levene’s test. Outliers were removed if they were beyond the first or third quartile of the dataset by more than 1.5× the interquartile range. Nonparametric tests for related samples (repeated measures) were applied for evaluation of any dose-effect differences within data grouped by integrity index (A, B or C), sample preparation (hydrated or dehydrated), or DNA type (nuDNA or mtDNA). These analyses were conducted using Friedman’s tests, with multiple comparisons made by Wilcoxon Signed-Rank tests. Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare the effect of sample hydration status at equivalent doses. Sequential Bonferroni (Holm-Bonferroni) corrected p-values were applied to mitigate against chance significance due to multiple comparisons [33].

**Results**

**DNA quantification and PCR inhibitor detection**

The presence of inhibitors was tested using a TaqMan-based IPC multiplexed with the Quantifiler chemistry (nuDNA) and a separate SYBR Green-based IPC reaction designed for use with the mtDNA assays. Both inhibitor assays did not detect inhibition in any sample; the IPC amplified after ~25 cycles using Quantifiler and ~30 cycles using the SYBR Green IPC assay, consistent with positive and negative controls. The nuDNA concentrations of pooled unirradiated controls ranged from 10 to 34 ng/μL for hydrated samples and 31 to 94 ng/μL for hydrated samples, while mtDNA ranged from 5000 to 90,000 copies/μL (10 to 210 fg/μL) for dehydrated samples and 32,000 to 150,000 copies/μL (74 to 350 fg/μL) for hydrated samples.

**Integrity of unirradiated genotypes**

The duplicate unirradiated controls for each individual and matrix were pooled to compare the integrity of genotypes prior to irradiation with that of positive genotyping controls (Fig. 1). Mean peak heights (± 95% confidence interval) of controls were 9200 ± 500 RFU (dehydrated controls), 8900 ± 480 RFU (hydrated controls), and 7300 ± 660 RFU (Control DNA 007). While all heterozygote alleles were well balanced for Control DNA 007, heterozygote imbalance (< 70% peak height ratio) was observed in half of the dehydrated controls (at up to two loci) and in 80% of hydrated controls (at up to three loci). No correlation with amplicon size was discernible.

**Effect of γ-irradiation on forensic STR genotyping**

A panel of STRs was amplified from whole blood (liquid/hydrated and dried/dehydrated) using the GlobalFiler PCR Amplification Kit and evaluated for signs of degradation after high-dose (1–50 kGy) γ-irradiation (Fig. 2). Peak height averages (± 95% confidence interval) across all loci and individuals were consistent for dehydrated samples at 1 kGy (11,000 copies/μL).
Fig. 1 Peak heights and heterozygote balance of short tandem repeat (STR) genotypes for unirradiated samples and positive controls. Samples included dried (dehydrated) and liquid (hydrated) whole blood (n = 20 for autosomal STRs and 10 for Y-STRs), as well as Control DNA 007 (n = 4). Vertical bars (left vertical axis) represent the average (+ 95% confidence interval) relative fluorescence units (RFU) of peak heights, while dots (right vertical axis) represent the median (+ minimum/maximum) heterozygote imbalance. A heterozygote imbalance threshold of 70% is indicated by a solid line.

Compared to unirradiated controls (Fig. 3), peak heights were significantly increased after 1 kGy for hydrated (by 41 ± 4.0%), and dehydrated samples (by 18 ± 2.9%), 5 kGy for hydrated (by 24 ± 3.9%) and dehydrated samples (by 34 ± 3.7%), and 10 kGy for dehydrated samples only (by 31 ± 3.7%). Peak heights were not statistically different from controls at 10 kGy for hydrated samples or 25 kGy for dehydrated samples. A significant decline in peak height relative to controls occurred for hydrated samples at 25 kGy (by 35 ± 2.8%), and 50 kGy (by 68 ± 2.5%), which did not occur for dehydrated samples until 50 kGy (by 37 ± 2.3%). TH01, D2S1338 and DYS391 produced markedly higher relative changes in comparison to other loci; thus, these loci were excluded from statistical analysis.

Employing heterozygote and homozygote thresholds of 500 and 1000 RFU, respectively, full profiles were attained for all dehydrated samples, as well as hydrated samples up to 25 kGy. Partial profiles attained for 50 kGy hydrated samples were above-threshold for 86 ± 4.1% (mean ± 95% confidence interval) of alleles. Alleles below peak height thresholds (dropout) were above approximately 225 bp (≥ D16S539), with 5.3% of these alleles (all from SE33) being undetectable (below 225 RFU). Genotype nonconcordance (relative to unirradiated genotypes) was found at 21% of nonreportable (subthreshold) loci, consisting of dropout for a single heterozygous allele (miscalled homozygote).

Heterozygote imbalance (< 70% peak height ratio) contributed to greater levels of nonreportable alleles, particularly as dose increased. Out of 10 profiles, no cases of imbalance were observed in dehydrated samples at 1 kGy, 1–3 profiles were imbalanced at up to two loci each from 5 to 25 kGy, and seven profiles had imbalances at up to four loci each at 50 kGy. Hydrated samples exhibited imbalances for 3–4 profiles at up to two loci each from 1 to 10 kGy, nine profiles with up to three loci at 25 kGy, and eight profiles with 2–6 imbalanced loci at 50 kGy. The frequency of imbalances was less than unirradiated controls at 1–25 kGy for dehydrated samples (by 40–100%) and 1–10 kGy for hydrated samples (by 50–63%). Imbalances were more prevalent than in controls.
Effect of γ-irradiation on nuDNA integrity

Significant increases in nuDNA integrity index relative to un-irradiated controls occurred at 1 kGy (dehydrated and hydrated) and 5 kGy (dehydrated only) (Fig. 4). For dehydrated and hydrated samples, respectively, this transpired with frequencies of 77% and 78% at 1 kGy and 56% and 42% at 5 kGy (data not shown); such cases diminished with increasing dose, with no cases beyond 10 kGy for hydrated samples, which did not substantiate statistically significant effects. Corresponding changes to relative integrity were nonsignificant at 5 kGy (hydrated) and 10 kGy (dehydrated) and declined significantly as dose increased.

The relative nuDNA integrity indices were compared for hydrated samples relative to those for dehydrated samples...
Cell hydration significantly lowered relative integrity after 5, 10, or 25 kGy, dependent on the index applied. These differences became more pronounced as dose increased.

**Effect of γ-irradiation on mtDNA integrity**

Integrity indices of mtDNA were more often reduced relative to unirradiated controls without substantive increases (Fig. 6). Significant losses of relative integrity were possible after 5 kGy independent of cell hydration; however, this was dependent on the integrity index applied when cells were dehydrated. Increases in relative integrity index were observed in near 50% of all samples at 1 kGy (data not shown), diminishing as dose increased with no such effects beyond 5 kGy (hydrated) or 25 kGy (dehydrated); this did not result in any statistical significance.

The relative mtDNA integrity indices of hydrated samples were compared relative to those for dehydrated samples (Fig. 7). Hydrated samples produced significantly lower relative integrity indices after 5 kGy. These differences due to sample hydration increased with dose.

**Comparison of nuDNA and mtDNA degradation**

The mtDNA relative integrity indices were compared with those for nuDNA at each dose (Fig. 8). Integrity of mtDNA was significantly lower than nuDNA after equivalent doses, which occurred after a minimum of 1 kGy, dependent on the integrity index applied. Only Index A of dehydrated cells did not demonstrate any significant effects. Differences generally increased with dose and were more extensive when cells remained hydrated.
Forensic DNA evidence may be exposed to γ-radiation doses beyond 10 kGy in the event of a radiological crime, or during decontamination of biological agents from forensic evidence in cases concerning biosecurity [4–7]. This study examined γ-irradiation of whole blood to doses ranging 1 to 50 kGy from a cobalt-60 source. Dried (dehydrated) blood samples were selected to represent typical forensic material, while liquid (hydrated) blood samples were included to preserve cell integrity and water content prior to irradiation. This enabled contributions to DNA damage from indirect mechanisms (e.g., ROS induction) to be evaluated; localized sample heating during irradiation may also contribute [34].

These experiments were designed to imitate a scenario where biological evidence is continuously exposed to γ-radiation for up to 24 h (achieving a dose of 50 kGy), before collection and flash freezing. Continued ROS generation or cell death mechanisms initiated by irradiation can contribute to greater levels of DNA degradation where rapid sample processing or freezing does not occur, which is observed in live cells below 1 kGy [35–37]. For γ-irradiation beyond

---

**Discussion**

Forensic DNA evidence may be exposed to γ-radiation doses beyond 10 kGy in the event of a radiological crime, or during decontamination of biological agents from forensic evidence in cases concerning biosecurity [4–7]. This study examined γ-irradiation of whole blood to doses ranging 1 to 50 kGy from a cobalt-60 source. Dried (dehydrated) blood samples were selected to represent typical forensic material, while liquid (hydrated) blood samples were included to preserve cell integrity and water content prior to irradiation. This enabled contributions to DNA damage from indirect mechanisms (e.g., ROS induction) to be evaluated; localized sample heating during irradiation may also contribute [34].

These experiments were designed to imitate a scenario where biological evidence is continuously exposed to γ-radiation for up to 24 h (achieving a dose of 50 kGy), before collection and flash freezing. Continued ROS generation or cell death mechanisms initiated by irradiation can contribute to greater levels of DNA degradation where rapid sample processing or freezing does not occur, which is observed in live cells below 1 kGy [35–37]. For γ-irradiation beyond

---

**Fig. 6** Change in mitochondrial DNA integrity indices relative to those for unirradiated controls at each dose. Dried (dehydrated: left) and liquid (hydrated: right) whole blood (n = 14 to 18) were γ-irradiated to doses from 1 to 50 kilogray (kGy). Integrity indices comprised quantity ratios for intermediate/short (Index A), long/intermediate (Index B), and long/short loci (Index C), expressed as the average (± 95% confidence interval) percentage change relative to those for unirradiated controls (indicated by a solid line at 0% change). * = significantly different

**Fig. 7** Change in mitochondrial DNA dose-response of hydrated samples relative to dehydrated samples. Dried (dehydrated) blood was γ-irradiated to doses from 1 to 50 kilogray (kGy). Integrity indices comprised quantity ratios for intermediate/short (Index A), long/intermediate (Index B), and long/short loci (Index C), expressed as the average (± 95% confidence interval) percentage change relative to that for dehydrated samples (indicated by the solid line at 0% change). * = significantly different
1 kGy, inherent analytical variation of STR genotypes was greater than the effect of up to four weeks delayed analysis [38], although this may vary with cell hydration level and sample storage conditions.

In the present study, genotypes at forensic autosomal STR loci did not show signs of degradation pre-irradiation, although heterozygote peak imbalances were prevalent (Fig. 1). Doses above 10 and 25 kGy for hydrated and dehydrated samples, respectively, produced greater frequencies of imbalances than the unirradiated controls, which increased progressively up to 50 kGy (Fig. 2). The level of imbalance was consistent with a significant reduction in overall peak height for hydrated and dehydrated samples after respective doses of 25 and 50 kGy (Figs. 2 and 3). This was associated with a progressive decline in peak height as amplicon size increased, typical of degradation [16]. However, the impact on genotype reporting based on peak height and heterozygote imbalance thresholds was minor, affecting a maximum of six loci due to imbalance, with partial profiles (due to dropout of less than 15% of alleles) prevalent for only 50 kGy hydrated samples. Genotype nonconcordance due to dropout of single heterozygous alleles affected one-fifth of subthreshold loci. The robustness of STRs to γ-radiation has been demonstrated for several STR kits and cell substrates (e.g. blood, saliva) capable of full profiles up to 50 kGy [18, 38, 39]. This has also been achieved for dried bloodstains up to 90 kGy [40], although reductions in peak height are typical after 10 kGy [17, 18, 38]. Successful STR genotypes and HV1 sequences from dried saliva are also possible after 51.6 kGy electron beam (beta) irradiation, another common biological decontaminant [41]. However, another study found 56.4 kGy γ-irradiation to produce only 40% full profiles from dried saliva, while a 50 kGy electron beam resulted in 70% full profiles [42]. This demonstrates γ-radiation to be more damaging than beta-radiation at similar dose, although highlights potential for significant points of difference, such as STR kit, sample type and/or post-irradiation sample storage conditions, to influence the consistency of findings between such studies. Another consideration to profiling success, not discussed by these studies, is heterozygote allele imbalance. Heterozygote imbalances are typical of PCR inhibition or degradation, particularly of longer targets [43, 44]. Imbalances in unirradiated controls, without peak height characteristics of degradation [16], indicated that inhibition was likely to have impacted genotypes pre-irradiation (Fig. 1). γ-radiation then improved genotypes relative to unirradiated samples at lower doses; imbalances were reduced by doses of up to 10 and 25 kGy, while peak heights were increased at doses of ≤5 and 10 kGy for hydrated and dehydrated samples, respectively (Fig. 2). This result is unusual and not demonstrated by prior studies exploring similar effects [17, 18, 38–40, 42]. It is unlikely that inherent template damage or cellular function is responsible for these observations, since the doses applied are beyond those expected to initiate any adaptive DNA repair response [45–47]. It is more likely that degradation of potential PCR inhibitors after γ-irradiation, including heme and EDTA that are degraded by respective doses below 1 and 5 kGy [48, 49], lends to improved genotypes at lower doses that are inconsequential to DNA integrity. While inhibition was unconfirmed by qPCR, differences in assay chemistry, length of targets and/or primer design (GC content / melting temperature) can lead to differential sensitivity of PCR assays, and individual amplicons, to inhibition [50–53]. Confirmation of this hypothesis is required via inhibitor-spiking experiments.
At higher doses the sensitivity of integrity indices to degradation proceeded, generally, in order of Index A < Index B < Index C, consistent with greater degradation of longer amplicons. Doses of 10 and 25 kGy were sufficient to cause a significant loss of hydrated and dehydrated nuDNA integrity, respectively (Fig. 4). Only 5 kGy was required to significantly reduce mtDNA integrity of hydrated samples, with up to 25 kGy required for dehydrated samples (Fig. 6). A radioprotective effect was therefore conferred by cellular desiccation (Figs. 5 and 7), demonstrating ROS generation from water radiolysis or other cellular interaction to be a prime contributor to DNA damage from γ-radiation, which is more greatly localized to the mitochondria than the nucleus (Fig. 8). This is consistent with mitochondrial hyperfunction after ionizing-radiation coupled with a reduced DNA repair capacity [21, 22, 27], or upregulation of mitochondrial ROS from cell death [54, 55]; however, such studies include dose regimens well below 1 kGy.

Differential nuDNA versus mtDNA damage was reduced by cell drying, but not completely removed (except for Index A), indicating a capacity for such effects to continue (i.e. due to residual moisture) or for innate differences in radiosensitivity to exist. This may be due to structural arrangements, such as chromatin compaction or DNA interaction with nuclear histones versus mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) [56–58], or the frequency of nuclear versus mitochondrial ionization events [22, 28]. Inclusion of naked (cell-free) DNA after both desiccation and dissolution into aqueous medium could test these hypotheses by evaluation of cellular versus non-cellular effects. Such controls have been applied to support a mechanism for DNA damage from continued activity in desiccated cells after UV-B irradiation [59].

Further radiosensitivity is anticipated for HVRs due to D-loop susceptibility to oxidative damage, as demonstrated for X-rays at low doses (up to 8 Gy) [60]; thus, an equal distribution of damage across the entire mitochondrial genome cannot be assumed. While mtDNA target selection within the rRNA coding region offers multiplexing potential [31], this location causes them to be indirect indicators of HVR sequencing success, despite similar lengths to HVR amplicons. However, no loss of sequencing fidelity has been demonstrated for both HV1 and HV2 of single hairs after a γ-radiation dose of 90 kGy, which was consistent with STR genotyping success of dried blood [40], though not directly comparable to hair. Further evaluation of HVR damage relative to mtDNA integrity indices is required at such high doses that are necessary to degrade the forensic STRs.

**Conclusion**

At a radiological crime scene, successful GlobalFiler STR genotypes can be expected from biological evidence exposed to substantial doses of γ-radiation, at least in the absence of additional degradative factors. While peak heights are reduced with increasing dose and accompanied by heterozygote peak imbalance, full profiles are possible from whole blood up to a dose of 50 kGy; at this dose, allelic dropout is prone for hydrated samples, where peak imbalance is liable to cause genotype nonconcordance. Thus, such genotyping thresholds must be carefully considered for γ-irradiated samples to ensure reliability, especially beyond 50 kGy. The success of STR genotyping suggests there is little to be gained from HVR sequencing at the doses examined; however, at higher doses that may be sufficient to cause autosomal DNA degradation, our evaluation of mtDNA damage suggests poor prospects for HVR sequencing, although this was not attempted.

γ-irradiation of liquid and dried blood demonstrated significantly greater damage to mtDNA than nuDNA at equivalent doses, which was more substantial without desiccation. This implicates ROS induction from water radiolysis and mitochondrial function as causal of DNA damage when sample integrity and water content are preserved during irradiation. Consequently, a radioprotective effect of sample dehydration, as is commonplace for forensic biological specimens, is apparent. However, disparity between nuDNA and mtDNA integrity in dried samples suggests additional radioprotection is afforded to nuDNA. Future investigation should focus on the HVRs as direct targets for degradation in conjunction with broader integrity indicators, such as those applied in this study.

**Key points**

1. γ-irradiation up to 50 kGy did not greatly impact forensic genotyping success.
2. Heterozygote imbalance was the primary contributor to subthreshold alleles.
3. Cell desiccation protected DNA, while cell hydration exacerbated DNA damage.
4. Damage to mitochondrial DNA was greater than nuclear DNA at equivalent doses.
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