Learning from pornography: results of a mixed methods systematic review

Katerina Litsou*a, Paul Byronb, Alan McKeeb and Roger Inghama

^aCentre for Sexual Health Research, School of Psychology, University of Southampton, UK; ^bFaculty of Arts & Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

*Corresponding author <u>a-m.litsou@soton.ac.uk</u>

Abstract

Researchers and media commentators often claim that young people are increasingly learning about sex through pornography, but evidence about this is unclear. This article reports on a mixed methods systematic review of research on pornography use for sexual learning. Ten articles were included that explicitly addressed how porn users describe the educational aspects of pornography. Thematic analysis located five key themes about 'porn education' in these articles: learning the mechanics of sex; learning concerning sexual identities and sexualities; inadequate information through pornography; wrong lessons from pornography; and a need for more relevant sex education. No articles attempted to measure or discuss whether people who access pornography have better (or worse) skills and knowledge about sex and sexual health than those who do not. Sex education is only discussed in relation to young people, neglecting attention to lifelong learning about sex and sexuality. According to this dataset, pornography use can offer useful information about the mechanics of sex, and this is particularly pertinent for young gay men. Many articles reveal that young people are often aware of the shortcomings of pornography as a source of information and guidance, and that improvements to sex and relationships education are necessary.

Keywords: pornography, sexually explicit material, sex education, learning, interdisciplinary research

Introduction

It is claimed that pornography is a significant source of sexual education for many young people, especially when other sources of information are limited, inadequate, or unavailable (Harkness, Mullan, and Blaszczynski 2015, Rosengard, Tannis, Dove, Den Berg, Lopez, Stein, and Morrow 2012, Albury 2014, Smith 2013, Lehmiller 2018). Access to pornography through digital media, along with the ability to use anonymous search and browse, makes it easy for people to regularly engage with sexual media (Spišák 2016, Attwood, Barker, Boynton, and Hancock 2015, Paasonen 2011). This has fuelled concern that pornography is a primary source of sex education for many young people, along with worries about what porn is (or is capable of) teaching young people (Albury 2014, Dawson, Nic Gabhainn, and MacNeela 2019b). This article presents the results from a systematic review of studies on pornography use and what people report that they are learning from such use.

This research forms part of an interdisciplinary study of the relationship between the consumption of pornography and healthy sexual development, as defined by McKee, Albury, Dunne, Grieshaber, Hartley, Lumby, and Mathews (2010). More information about the broader project is provided elsewhere (McKee and Ingham 2018, McKee 2019, McKee, Byron, Litsou, and Ingham 2020).

Several systematic reviews have explored research on the consumption of pornography. Ashton, McDonald, and Kirkman (2018), for example, conducted a systematic review on women's experiences of pornography. Their results indicated that women's experiences with pornography are complex and depend on individual micro differences arising from personal desires and public expectations.

In another systematic review of pornography consumption and indicators of sexual risk behaviours, associations were reported between watching pornography, greater level of unsafe sex practices, and higher numbers of sexual partners (Harkness, Mullan, and Blaszczynski 2015). Elsewhere, De Alarcon, De La Iglesia, Casado, and Montejo's (2019) systematic review of online pornography addiction reported that addiction seems to be a subtype of hypersexual disorder, that it can be considered pathological and that it can adversely influence sexual development and sexual functioning. An additional systematic review has reported on research on young people's consumption of pornography (Alexandraki, Stavropoulos, Anderson, Latifi, and Gomez 2018), finding that research has mainly focused on individual related factors, and that more research is needed on the contextual (e.g. social influences) and activity (e.g. Internet practices) aspects of porn use (Alexandraki et al. 2018). None of the above systematic reviews focuses on research regarding the extent to which consumers report pornography as a source of education.

While many researchers have acknowledged that pornography is a source of sexual education, there is little agreement as to what consumers actually learn from it (Albury 2014). School-based sex and relationships education is often criticised as being overly biological, and overly concerned with risk-avoidance rather than suggesting that sex is potentially pleasurable (Allen 2012, Philpott, Knerr, and Boydell 2006, Ingham 2005). A similar point can be made about broader pornography research that neglects attention to pleasure through its risk focus, particularly regarding young people's use of porn (Spišák 2016).

According to the latest Relationships and Sex Education guidelines in England, pornography presents a distorted picture of sexual behaviours, can damage the way people

see themselves in relation to others and negatively affects how they behave towards sexual partners (Department for Education UK 2019, 28). Much research literature implies that watching pornography can have negative effects on audiences, claiming that pornography can be a poor source of sexual education. For example, it has been proposed that (among others), as a result of watching pornography, people are more likely to: engage in high-risk sexual behaviours which elevate the risk of acquiring sexually transmitted infections (Braun-Courville and Rojas 2009); have less progressive gender role attitudes (Brown and L'Engle 2009); be less willing to intervene as a bystander in a possible rape situation (Foubert, Brosi, and Bannon 2011); and have increased likelihood of engaging in unprotected insertive anal sex (which entails high HIV infection risk) (Eaton, Cain, Pope, Garcia, and Cherry 2012).

There are also more general systematic reviews of pornography use, with most of them focusing on supposed negative aspects of pornography use. We found no systematic reviews on pornography as a positive source of sexual learning. The very limited research on positive aspects of pornography use has focused on women and men who have sex with men (McCormack and Wignall 2017, Smith 2007). Some exceptions that focus on broader populations include McKee (2007a), McKee, Lumby, and Albury (2008), Rissel, Richters, De Visser, McKee, Yeung, and Caruana (2017) and (Attwood, Smith, and Barker 2018). The present systematic review addresses a gap in pornography research by focusing on research where pornography users report what they learn from pornography.

Methods

A mixed methods interdisciplinary systematic review was conducted to explore what people say they learn from their use of pornography. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed as a general guide (PRISMA 2015). This systematic review addressed if and how pornography is used as a source of sex education and, more specifically, on the relationship between pornography use and users' knowledge about the biological and physical aspects of sex. It was not possible to conduct a formal meta-analysis since there is no general consensus among researchers regarding the search terms they use from one study to another (Boland, Cherry, and Dickson 2017), including a lack of agreement on what constitutes pornography or sexually explicit material (McKee et al. 2020).

Four databases were searched - ProQuest, EBSCO, Scopus and JSTOR. The search terms used were: (porn* OR 'sexually explicit material' OR 'visual sexual stimuli') AND (education OR information OR learn* OR knowledge OR 'sexual health'). These search terms were each nominated by at least two members of the study's Delphi Panel (McKee et al. 2020). Two of the authors [KL and PB] conducted the searches independently, each made a list of the results and then cross-checked the lists for discrepancies. After duplicates were removed, 692 articles remained; these were screened by title and abstract independently by the same two authors. Articles were eligible for inclusion if they reported original research data that used qualitative and/or quantitative methods which addressed the consumption of pornography and the topic of sex education, were written in English and were published between January 2000 and December 2017. Articles for which decisions could not be made solely on the basis of abstracts and titles, were read in full. Finally, 10 articles were included in this systematic review in accordance with the inclusion criteria mentioned above. The numbers of exclusions at each stage are presented in Table 1, and the final list of articles can be found in Table 2.

Table 1: Articles inclusion process

	removed	total
Initial total		983
Endnote removal of duplicates	284	699
Hand removal of duplicates	7	692
Title and abstract screened	634	58
Full article screened	48	
Final inclusion	-	10

Table 2: List of included articles

Author and Country	Aim	Participants and Age Range in Years	Method	Pornography Definition	Main Findings
Aggarwal, Sharma, and Chhabra (2000) India	'To examine the knowledge of medical students about sex, the sources of learning about sex and the sexual behaviour and practices of	Male and female students, 17- 25	Survey in real life	Not provided	'Common source of knowledge about sex were friends, pornographic films, books and magazines' (p. 226).

	young adults' (p. 226).				
Arrington- Sanders, Harper, Morgan, Ogunbajo, Trent, and Fortenberry (2015) USA	'The role SEM plays on sexual development among Black adolescent males who engage in sex with other males and whether young men describe that SEM impacts sexual and risk-taking behaviour during first same-sex sexual relationships' (p. 598).	Black same- sex attracted males, 15-19	Mixed methods: Online survey Semi- structured qualitative interviews	'Sexually explicit material was defined as any kind of material aiming at creating or enhancing sexual feelings or thoughts in the recipient and, at the same time, containing explicit exposure and/or descriptions of the genitals and clear and explicit sexual acts such as vaginal intercourse, anal intercourse, oral sex, masturbation, bondage, etc,' (p. 600).	'SEM was used primarily for sexual development and learning about the mechanics of samegender sex. Secondly, to determine readiness for sex, learn about sexual performance, introduce sexual scripts, and develop models about how sex should feel' (p. 597).
Castro-Vazquez and Kishi (2002) Japan	'To propose an educational intervention for sexuality education courses at a Japanese senior high school' (p. 465).	Males, 15-18	Semi- structured interviews	Not provided	'Interviews show the ways in which informants create their own sexual cultures by incorporating, discarding or frankly rejecting notions of sex, contraception and risk that are included in sex education at school, in pornography and in

					talking with peers' (p. 465).
Doornwaard, den Boer, Vanwesenbeeck, van Nijnatten, ter Bogt, and van den Eijnden (2017) Netherlands	'To gain in-depth insight into Dutch adolescents' motives, perceptions and reflections toward internet use for finding information or advice related to romance and sexuality, searching for and viewing pornographic or erotic material and romantic and sexual communication' (p. 1038).	Males and females, 16-19	Web-based focus groups	'Pornography may comprise videos, pictures or written text. Such sexual images or texts are sometimes referred to as erotica' (p. 1040).	'Sex-related Internet use seems an increasingly normalized and common phenomenon. Participants perceived the Internet as a useful source of sexual information, stimulation, inspiration, and communication. Yet they discussed a range of negative consequences and risks related to sexrelated online behaviours, particularly concerning pornography's potential to create unrealistic expectations about sex and sexual attractiveness. Participants generally believed they had the necessary skills to navigate through the online sexual landscape in a responsible way, although they believed other young people could

Hesse and Pedersen (2017) Canada	'To determine whether the frequency of SEM consumption predicts knowledge of sexual human anatomy, physiology, and typically practiced sexual behaviour. Also to investigate self-perceived effects of SEM consumption and whether participants report SEM as a positive or negative contributor to various aspects of life' (p. 754). 'To explore how	Males and females, 15-58	Survey online Mixed	'Any kind of material aiming at creating or enhancing sexual feelings or thoughts in the recipients and at the same time contain explicit exposure and/or descriptions of the genitals and clear and explicit sexual acts such as vaginal intercourse, anal intercourse, oral sex, masturbation, bondage, sadomasochism, rape, urine sex, animal sex etc. Was emphasized that material containing men and women posing or acting naked such as seen in Playboy/Playgirl did not contain clear and explicit sexual acts and were to be disregarded as pornography' (p. 761).	be influenced inadvertently and adversely by sex-related online content' (p. 1038). 'We determined that contrary to expectations, frequency of SEM exposure did not contribute to inaccurate knowledge of sexual anatomy, physiology, and behaviour. Participants reported greater positive self-perceived effects of SEM consumption than negative effects' (p. 754).
Weiss, Iverson,	and where YMSM	11013101, 10-24	methods:	Not provided	information related to
and Kipke (2010)	receive relevant		Self-completed		gay men's sexuality is not
• • •			•		
USA	information on		on-line		readily available from

	sexual		interviews and		family, friends, or
	health/behaviour'		surveys		schools. At initiation of
	(p. 243).				anal intercourse,
					respondents generally
					had limited information
					about HIV and sexually
					transmitted infections
					(STIs). In some cases, this
					resulted in the perception
					that activities such as
					unprotected sex were
					'low risk'. Many
					mentioned they first
					learned about anal sex
					during their sexual debut,
					describing painful and/or
					unpleasant experiences.
					Some relied on
					older/more experienced
					partners, the Internet,
					and pornography for
					information' (p. 243).
Smith (2013)	'To identify	Males and	Open-ended	Not provided	'Motivations for viewing
USA	motivation for	females, 18-	semi-structured		SEM included curiosity
	viewing sexually	32	interviews		about sex, curiosity about
	explicit material'.				SEM, viewing with
	'To explore the				romantic partners, in
	experiences of				groups or for individual
	adolescents as				pleasure' (p. 62).

	they learned about				'Positive assessments
	sexuality' (p. 62).				were that some content
					portrayed a more realistic
					range of people and
					bodies and it was a safe
					means of exploring and
					learning about sexuality'
					(p. 62).
					'Negative assessments
					included encountering
					upsetting content and
					feeling that it portrayed
					unrealistic sexual
					behaviours and
					interactions' (p. 62).
Mattebo,	'The aims were to	Males and	Focus group	'Pornography is hard to define but one	'Conflicting messages
Larsson, Tydén,	gain a deeper	females, 28-	discussions	definition is sexually explicit pictures,	about sexuality became
and Häggström-	understanding of	60		texts or other material whose primary	the core category.
Nordin (2014)	how personnel,			purpose is to cause sexual arousal' (p.	Pornography conveyed a
Sweden	who work with			197).	contradictory message
	adolescents,				compared with national
	reason about the				public health goals,
	effect of				societal laws, and
	pornography and				regulations. Young
	its spread in the				people use pornography
	media, and to				as a source of
	explore how well				information and
	prepared they				stimulation. Pornography
	consider				contributed to norm-
	themselves to be				creating ideals and a

	in addressing sexual health and gender equality' (p. 196).				demanding sexuality, thus, confirming the traditional gender order. A professional approach was required when addressing sexuality and gender equality issues and requested better training tools and more cultural competence' (p. 196).
Rosengard et al. (2012) USA	'To understand how families serve as sexual information sources, the messages adolescents recall from family and how family learning experiences affect sexual behaviour among at-risk adolescents' (p.83).	Males and females, 15-18	Semi- structured in- depth interviews	Not provided	'Many participants identified family as sexual health information sources. Primary messages recalled: risks of sex, protection, and relationship advice. Many adolescents portrayed learning experiences as negative, cautionary, lacking detail and not always balanced with positive messages. Participants who reported four or more sexual risks were the only group to identify pornography as a sexual health information

					source. Participants who reported fewer than four sexual risks were most likely to identify family sexual health information sources' (p. 83).
Rothman, Kaczmarsky, Burke, Jansen, and Baughman (2015) USA	'To answer the following: What types of pornography do youth report watching; where and for what purpose? Do youth feel that pornography exposure has an impact on their own sexual behaviours? How do parents react to their pornography use?' (p. 736).	Males and females, 16-18	Interviews	Not provided	'Youth primarily reported watching pornography that featured one-on-one sexual intercourse but also reported having seen extreme pornography (e.g., public humiliation, incest); youth reported watching pornography on home computers or smartphones, and that pornography was frequently watched in school; youth reported watching for entertainment, for sexual stimulation, instructional purposes, and to alleviate boredom; many copied what they saw in pornography during their own sexual encounters; pressure to make or to imitate

	pornography was an element of some unhealthy dating relationships; and parents
	were generally described as unsupportive of youth's use of
	pornography but underequipped to discuss it' (p. 736).

Analysis was conducted in line with the six steps identified in Braun and Clarke's (2006) thematic analysis guidelines. Thematic analysis was chosen as it is not firmly attached to any specific theoretical background and thus seemed appropriate for an interdisciplinary project such as this. Two researchers coded independently, looking for themes and making sure that their coding captured important information from the data in relation to the research question: what is the relationship between pornography use and users' knowledge about the biological and physical aspects of sex. These results were then shared with the wider research team and, after discussion, five major themes were identified.

Notably, the terms pornography or sexually explicit material (SEM) were not defined consistently across the reviewed articles, reflecting the absence of a standard definition of pornography (Harkness, Mullan, and Blaszczynski 2015, McKee et al. 2020). Rather than applying a strict definition of pornography, we use the terms applied within the literature discussed. In our closing discussion, we follow a definition commonly suggested and used by pornography researchers (Hald and Malamuth 2008, 616), whereby pornography (or SEM) is seen as any kind of material intended to create or enhance sexual feelings or thoughts in the recipient and at the same time containing explicit exposure and/or descriptions of genitals and sexual acts. Throughout this paper the terms pornography and sexually explicit material are used interchangeably.

The terms 'sexuality education', 'sexual education' and 'sex education' are used throughout the literature reviewed, so will be used interchangeably throughout this paper signifying any type of sexual education, broadly defined, provided in schools and other systems such as homes. The term 'learning' mentioned as any type of sexual learning throughout the paper, refers to any kind of learning in relation to any type of sexual activities and sexuality in general. The terms sex education and sexual learning can be considered umbrella terms, incorporating many different aspects of learning, for example learning about how one's body responds to different erotic presentations. This paper discusses only those issues that came up specifically from the included papers and not all aspects of sexual learning.

Results

Our systematic review resulted in ten articles that met the search requirements. Within these articles, five main themes were identified regarding consumption of pornography and how it functioned as a source of sex education. These themes were derived from studies that predominately related to young people and school-based sex education. Eight out of the ten articles focused specifically on young people, even though the search terms did not specify age groups. The following five themes are not mutually exclusive, they overlap and interact and are listed from most to least common across this literature.

Learning the mechanics of sex

The most prevalent theme concerned young people's use of pornography as a source of information to learn 'how to have sex'. The articles frequently mentioned that inadequate sexual education and lack of sexual information about the mechanics of sex led people to engage with pornography. Pornography was mentioned by participants within these studies as being used for learning about sexual performance, positions and roles; for example, Arrington-Sanders et al. (2015, 602) mentioned that:

'Sexual performance was a key theme that emerged among participants as a reason why they watched SEM. Sexual performance included learning about position and sexual roles in certain positions; how adolescents should act during sexual activity with sexual partners; and how sex should feel (experiences of pain and pleasure)'.

It was often stated that it was from pornography that young people first learned 'what goes where' (Arrington-Sanders et al. 2015), 'what to do' (Rosengard et al. 2012) and 'how it works' (Kubicek et al. 2010). This includes information about the function of sexual organs, 'how to masturbate and ejaculate' (Arrington-Sanders et al. 2015), and sexual roles. In their study of US teenagers from alternative high schools and correctional facilities, Rosengard et al. (2012, 88) cite a 17-year old Hispanic woman:

'[Before watching porn] I didn't know that sex was like a penis going into a vagina. I thought it was just like when you hump somebody. Like I used to sit on people's laps and think that I was havin' sex'.

A 17-year old man of mixed-race was quoted:

'At first I was just humpin' and stuff but and then seein' that you stick it in the hole so it went on from that. I don't know, I thought I was good at it... I just copied what I seen and since...like...like at that time, I thought like anybody who was on TV or tape must be great so I thought I was great and good at it' (Rosengard et al. 2012, 90).

In another of the articles, participants who realised that their knowledge was lacking from personal experiences chose to privately address their 'ignorance' by consulting pornography:

'Participants described risking considerable social embarrassment if they were to ask others about sexual behaviours because their peer group stigmatised anyone who seemed to be ignorant about sex. Thus, online SEM offered participants the opportunity to learn more about these topics without risking embarrassment or loss of status' (Smith 2013, 69).

Some articles reported that, upon realising that pornography can provide information about sex that is not found in formal sex education, young people continued to engage with pornography as an information source about sex and how it should feel (Aggarwal, Sharma, and Chhabra 2000). Arrington-Sanders et al. (2015) stated:

'youth described sexual development as a process that occurs over time and not all at once where participants described learning about sexual performance through a series of experiences and experiments to determine what felt enjoyable' (603).

Rothman et al. (2015) studied pornography use among 16 to 18-year-olds in the USA and reported that pornography was used to learn sex techniques, including how to perform oral, anal and vaginal sex. A 17-year-old woman explained:

'I never knew how to like, suck dick, basically, and I went on there to see how to do it. And that's how I learned' (Rothman et al. 2015, 740).

A lack of useful sex education at school was commonly noted, particularly in studies of men who have sex with men. In Kubicek et al.'s (2010) study of young men who have sex with men, for example, one participant stated:

'Because there's really no information on [anal sex] . . . there's really no strong definition nor strong advice about anal sex. It's usually just through word of mouth or from porn' (p. 251).

Finally, learning how to determine readiness for sex through watching pornography was also mentioned, as well as pornography's value in helping to relax and feel prepared for sex. For example, Arrington-Sanders et al. (2015, 602) reported:

'Youth described watching SEM to determine their readiness to have sex. Participants described if they felt aroused by the sex and that they understood how to perform the sex, they were more likely to be ready to engage in sexual activity. SEM served as a bridge to begin sexual activity by calming nerves about sex and helping them to mentally prepare for initiation of sexual activity'.

Learning about sexual identities and sexualities

This theme covers how young people learn about sexual identities and sexualities from watching pornography. This includes their own and other people's sexualities and what they find to be sexually arousing. Studies of same sex attracted young men reported that pornography use assisted in realising one's sexual orientation. For example, Arrington-Sanders et al. (2015) report an 18-year-old gay man as saying:

'I started straight porn but I noticed that I didn't like it because it had a female in it for real and I didn't like it. It was just something that I would just look at the guy. I watch it but I just look at the guy do the stuff' (p. 602).

In the same study, a 19-year-old gay man is reported as saying:

'Porn taught me a lot. I first started out with straight porn. Porn actually helped me realize that I was gay. When I was watching porn, it started from just boys and girls but I started looking at the guy more. So then I got interested in two guys and a girl and then it just went to two guys and then to more guys and that's when I noticed, "Wow, I don't like girls anymore" (Arrington-Sanders et al. 2015, 602).

Kubicek et al. (2010) report that some participants' first exposure to gay culture was through watching pornography. One young participant reported that:

'I started going to gay porn sites and I was like "That's hot". So then that's kind of how I got exposure to, I guess, gayness' (p. 252).

Inadequate information through pornography

The third theme was that pornography does not provide adequate sexual health information. Many of the articles reported that participants did not see pornography as useful for learning about sexual health, and some participants reported that pornography often seemed to focus too much on 'fetish' and 'kinky' behaviours (e.g. fisting, water sports, and bestiality) (Kubicek et al. 2010, 252). One participant from Kubicek et al.'s (2010) study is quoted saying that he:

'only learned dirty stuff because they really do dirty things, not healthy. And I'm like... I would learn nothing positive from those movies' (p. 252).

Some articles mentioned that information about protection from sexually transmissible infections (STIs) and unwanted pregnancies was not present on account of condoms not being used. In this way, pornographic videos were not regarded as being useful for sexual health knowledge. Castro-Vazquez and Kishi (2002) cite a 16-year-old heterosexual man:

"...If you ask if I learnt about contraception, I would say, no . . . but anyway, I would say that all those videos show us about sex, but not about 'etiquette', reproduction, contraception and stuff like that. We see videos to satisfy our sexual desires' (475).

Wrong lessons from pornography

The fourth theme identified was concern, both amongst researchers and young people, that consumers might get the 'wrong' lessons from porn. Pornography was described in general as providing unrealistic expectations about sexual encounters. Young people who used pornography were concerned that other consumers (but not themselves) might get the wrong lessons from pornography via a third person effect (Hald and Malamuth 2008, Ven-Hwei and Ran 2002), such as by encountering 'unrealistic' messages about sex, bodies, pleasure, and 'risky' sex acts. Lack of emotion, exaggerated looks and performance, long duration of sex and the submissive role of women in pornography were described as unrealistic and misleading (Doornwaard et al. 2017, Smith 2013). Doornwaard et al. (2017) report a 17-year-old man participant as saying:

'Porn is often loveless, women are frequently treated disrespectfully, and the actors do things that aren't comfortable or arousing in real-life' (p. 1043).

While some participants regard this kind of pornography as being arousing, they question the unrealistic aspects of what they have watched, exemplified by a 16 year old man participant cited here (Doornwaard et al. 2017):

'When I am aroused, pornography is fun to watch. But afterwards I regularly ask myself what I just looked at. Not that I view very absurd stuff, but it often is very unrealistic' (p. 1044).

Young women, in particular, reported concerns relating to their self-image, the unlikely scenarios and the unrealistic expectations placed on them through watching pornography (Smith 2013). Young women who did not watch pornography also stated that they felt insecure about their appearance and their sexual performance (Doornwaard et al.

2017). For example, a 20-year-old said:

'So I'd almost be like pissed if that is how it turned out just because the woman is doing everything that the guy wants to do, and I'm definitely not like that at all' (Smith 2013, 71-72).

A need for more relevant sex education

The final theme is a call for improved sex education, addressing better the needs of young people. This theme differs from themes regarding the learning that takes place from watching pornography, but it is included due to the importance of considering broader aspects and systems of sexual learning. Researchers call for a broadening of the scope of sexual education:

'to lean away from only teaching about pregnancy prevention and male erections' (Hesse and Pedersen 2017, 768),

'the need to improve knowledge about different aspects of sex' (Aggarwal, Sharma, and Chhabra 2000, 226)

and

'that schools need to develop strategies on how to teach students basic and effective search methods on obtaining information pertaining to sexual health on the Internet. This would ensure that students receive comprehensive sexual health information from credible websites' (Mattebo et al. 2014, 197).

In addition, it was suggested that specific education for same-sex attracted young men is required, along with a need for teachers to be well trained and comfortable in discussing sexual diversity (Kubicek et al. 2010):

'More policy level strategies are needed that insure federal funding is allocated to comprehensive sexual health education programs that provide [same-sex attracted] young men with the skills and information needed to make an informed, responsible, and healthy decisions prior to first same-sex' (Arrington-Sanders et al. 2015, 606).

Discussion

A key finding from our analysis, looking across these five themes, is that none of the articles attempted to measure or discuss whether people who consume pornography have better knowledge, improved skills and/or different attitudes about sexual health and sex than people who do not.

Two of our themes—inadequate information through pornography and wrong lessons from pornography — indicate that pornography provides limited or inaccurate information about sex and sexual health. At the same time, there is research that shows

that watching sexually explicit media is just one of the factors which might influence young people's sexual behaviours as there are many other factors at play (Hald, Kuyper, Adam, and de Wit 2013).

Further, there is no clear evidence showing that people who do not get adequate sexual education actually choose to watch pornography by way of compensation. There is only limited cross-sectional research on this topic within an Irish university student sample, aged 18-24 years, which showed that satisfaction with school-based sex education was not associated with pornography use as a source of sexual information and that individuals may use pornography for information regardless of their sex education in school (Dawson, Nic Gabhainn, and MacNeela 2019a).

One article in our sample claimed that pornography was the only available source for men who have sex with men to learn about anal sex, since information about this was not provided through school-based sex education (Kubicek et al. 2010). However, this paper does not provide evidence of specific ways of using pornography, nor whether pornography users gain better sexual knowledge, sexual skills and attitudes in comparison to non-users. There is evidence across a range of research that people use porn to enhance their sex lives, as a form of play, recreation, as well as other reasons (Attwood 2005, Tsaliki 2011, Ashton, McDonald, and Kirkman 2018, Albury 2014, Rissel et al. 2017, McKee 2007b).

According to this dataset, pornography use can offer information about many aspects relating to the mechanics of sex – what goes where and what bodies can do in a range of sexual situations, as well as learning about one's sexual identity, sexual desire, sexual pleasure, all of which are important kinds of sexual learning that many schools continue to fail to address. Authors claim that it is important that there is at least one source that can provide accurate sexual health education and encourage open communication regarding these issues for those who need it (Gesser-Edelsburg and Elhadi Arabia 2018, Lehmiller 2018).

A key issue raised in a number of the articles reviewed is that young people seem aware of the shortcomings of pornography as a source of information and guidance. The potential harm created when young people use pornography as a form of sex education can potentially be mitigated through engaging more directly with young people regarding their pornography use

Limitations

This systematic review used only articles published in English between January 2000 and December 2017, excluding books, book chapters, unpublished materials and material published not in English. Due to the rapid changes in availability of sexually explicit material via smart phones (Spišák 2016), some important information will inevitably be missing from the selected articles.

Conclusions

People – especially young people – appear to learn about sex from pornography. Most authors of the articles examined here (Arrington-Sanders et al. 2015, Smith 2013, Mattebo 2014) highlighted the need to adopt a more holistic perspective on sexual health promotion as opposed to one primarily concerned with a risk-reduction approach. In

particular, it is recommended that information should be provided regarding the use of pornography in order to get sexual pleasure, how to avoid viewing sexually explicit material when people do not want to view it, as well as information to encourage more critical evaluation of sexual information and sexual acts depicted in sexually explicit material (Smith 2013).

In addition, more research is needed to comprehend whether people who watch sexually explicit materials actually do have better knowledge of the mechanics (and other aspects) of sex than those who do not; as well as whether they have a more (or less) comprehensive understanding of common sexual roles and sexual identities.

References

- Aggarwal, O., A. K. Sharma, and P. Chhabra. 2000. "Study in sexuality of medical college students in India." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 26 (7):226-229.
- Albury, K. 2014. "Porn and Sex Education, Porn as Sex Education." *Porn Studies* 1 (1-2):172-181. doi: 10.1080/23268743.2013.863654.
- Alexandraki, K., V. Stavropoulos, E. Anderson, M. Latifi, and R. Gomez. 2018. "Adolescent pornography use: A systematic literature review of research trends 2000-2017." *Current Psychiatry Reviews* 14 (1):47-58. doi: 10.2174/2211556007666180606073617.
- Allen, L.; Carmody, M. 2012. "'Pleasure has no passport': re-visiting the potential of pleasure in sexuality education." Sex Education 12 (4):455-468. doi: 10.1080/14681811.2012.677208.
- Arrington-Sanders, R., G. W. Harper, A. Morgan, A. Ogunbajo, M. Trent, and J. D. Fortenberry. 2015. "The Role of Sexually Explicit Material in the Sexual Development of Same-Sex-Attracted Black Adolescent Males." *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 44 (3):597-608. doi: 10.1007/s10508-014-0416-x.
- Ashton, Sarah., Karalyn. McDonald, and Maggie. Kirkman. 2018. "Women's experiences of pornography: A systematic review of research using qualitative methods." *The Journal of Sex Research* 55 (3):334-347. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2017.1364337.
- Attwood, F. 2005. "What Do People Do With Porn? Qualitative Research Into The Consumption, Use, And Experience of Pornography And Other Sexually Explicit Media " *Sexuality & Culture* 9 (2):65-86. doi: 10.1007/s12119-005-1008-7.
- Attwood, F., M. J. Barker, P. Boynton, and J. Hancock. 2015. "Sense about sex: media, sex advice, education and learning." *Sex Education* 15 (5):528-538. doi: 10.1080/14681811.2015.1057635.
- Attwood, F., C. Smith, and M. Barker. 2018. "'I'm just curious and still exploring myself': Young people and pornography." *New Media and Society* 20 (10):3738-3759. doi: 10.1177/1461444818759271.
- Boland, Angela., G. M. Cherry, and R. (Eds.) Dickson. 2017. *Doing a systematic review: A students's guide*. Second ed. London: Sage Publications.
- Braun-Courville, D. K., and M. Rojas. 2009. "Exposure to Sexually Explicit Web Sites and Adolescent Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 45 (2):156-162. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.12.004.
- Braun, V.; Clarke, V. 2006. "Using thematic analysis in psychology." *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3 (2):77-101. doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
- Brown, J., and K. L'Engle. 2009. "X-Rated: Sexual attitudes and behaviors associated with U.S. early adolescents' exposure to sexually explicit media." *Communication Research* 36 (1):129-151. doi: 10.1177/0093650208326465.
- Castro-Vazquez, G., and I. Kishi. 2002. "`Nemureru Ko Wo Okosu Mono Dearu': Learning About Sex at a Top Ranking Japanese Senior High School." *Sexualities* 5 (4):465-486. doi: 10.1177/1363460702005004005.
- Dawson, K., S. Nic Gabhainn, and P. MacNeela. 2019a. "Dissatisfaction with school sex education is not associated with using pornography for sexual information." *Porn Studies* 6 (2):245-257. doi: 10.1080/23268743.2018.1525307.
- Dawson, K., S. Nic Gabhainn, and P. MacNeela. 2019b. "Toward a model of porn literacy: Core concepts, rationales and approaches" *Journal of Sex Research* doi: doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2018.1556238.
- De Alarcon, R.; De La Iglesia, J.; Casado, N.; Montejo, A. . 2019. "Online porn addiction: What we know and what we don't—A systematic review." *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 8 (1):91-111. doi: 10.3390/jcm8010091.

- Department for Education UK. 2019. Relationships Education, Relationships and Sex Education (RSE) and Health Education. edited by Government of the United Kingdom. London.
- Doornwaard, S. M., F. den Boer, I. Vanwesenbeeck, C. H. C. J. van Nijnatten, T. F. M. ter Bogt, and R. J. J. M. van den Eijnden. 2017. "Dutch Adolescents' Motives, Perceptions, and Reflections Toward Sex-Related Internet Use: Results of a Web-Based Focus-Group Study." *Journal of Sex Research* 54 (8):1038-1050. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2016.1255873.
- Eaton, L. A., D. N. Cain, H. Pope, J. Garcia, and C. Cherry. 2012. "The relationship between pornography use and sexual behaviours among at-risk HIV-negative men who have sex with men." *Sexual Health* 9 (2):166-170. doi: 10.1071/SH10092.
- Foubert, J. D., M. W. Brosi, and R. S. Bannon. 2011. "Pornography viewing among fraternity men: Effects on bystander intervention, rape myth acceptance and behavioral intent to commit sexual assault." *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity* 18 (4):212-231. doi: 10.1080/10720162.2011.625552.
- Gesser-Edelsburg, A., and M. Elhadi Arabia. 2018. "Discourse on exposure to pornography content online between arab adolescents and parents: Qualitative study on its impact on sexual education and behaviour." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 10 (10):e11667. doi: 10.2196/11667.
- Hald, G. M., L. Kuyper, P. C. G. Adam, and J. B. F. de Wit. 2013. "Does Viewing Explain Doing? Assessing the Association Between Sexually Explicit Materials Use and Sexual Behaviors in a Large Sample of Dutch Adolescents and Young Adults." *Journal of Sexual Medicine* 10 (12):2986-2995. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12157.
- Hald, G. M., and N. M. Malamuth. 2008. "Self-perceived effects of pornography consumption." *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 37 (4):614-625. doi: 10.1007/s10508-007-9212-1.
- Harkness, Emily., Barbara. Mullan, and Alex. Blaszczynski. 2015. "Association between pornography use and sexual risk behaviours in adult consumers: A systematic review." *CyberPsychology, Behaviour & Social Networking* 18 (2):59-71. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2014.0343.
- Hesse, C., and C. L. Pedersen. 2017. "Porn Sex Versus Real Sex: How Sexually Explicit Material Shapes Our Understanding of Sexual Anatomy, Physiology, and Behaviour." *Sexuality and Culture* 21 (3):754-775. doi: 10.1007/s12119-017-9413-2.
- Ingham, R. 2005. "We Didn't Cover That at School': Education Against Pleasure or Education for Pleasure?" *Sex Education* 5 (4):375-388. doi: 10.1080/14681810500278451.
- Kubicek, K., W. J. Beyer, G. Weiss, E. Iverson, and M. D. Kipke. 2010. "In the dark: Young men's stories of sexual initiation in the absence of relevant sexual health information." *Health Education and Behavior* 37 (2):243-263. doi: 10.1177/1090198109339993.
- Lehmiller, J. 2018. *Tell Me What You Want*. London: Robinson.
- Mattebo, M., M. Larsson, T. Tydén, and E. Häggström-Nordin. 2014. "Professionals' perceptions of the effect of pornography on Swedish adolescents." *Public Health Nursing* 31 (3):196-205. doi: 10.1111/phn.12058.
- Mattebo, M.; Larsson, M.; Tydén, T.; Häggström-Nordin, E. 2014. "Professionals' perceptions of the effect of pornography on Swedish adolescents." *Public Health Nursing* 31 (3):196-205. doi: 10.1111/phn.12058.
- McCormack, M., and L. Wignall. 2017. "Enjoyment, exploration and education: Understanding the consumption of pornography among young men with non-exclusive sexual orientations." *Sociology* 51 (5):975-991. doi: 10.1177/0038038516629909.
- McKee, A. 2007a. "Positive and negative effects of pornography as attributed by consumers." Australian Journal of Communication 34 (1):87-104.
- McKee, A., K. Albury, M. Dunne, S. Grieshaber, J. Hartley, C. Lumby, and B. Mathews. 2010. "Healthy Sexual Development: A Multidisciplinary Framework for Research." *Journal of Sexual Health* 22 (1):14-9. doi: 10.1080/19317610903393043.

- McKee, A., P. Byron, K. Litsou, and R. Ingham. 2020. "An interdisciplinary definition of pornography: Results from a global Delphi Panel." *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. doi: 10.1007/s10508-019-01554-4.
- McKee, A., and R. Ingham. 2018. "Are there disciplinary differences in writing about pornography? A trialogue for two voices." *Porn Studies* 5 (1):34-43. doi: 10.1080/23268743.2017.1390397.
- McKee, A., C. Lumby, and K. Albury. 2008. The porn report. Melbourne Melbourne University Press.
- McKee, A.; Ingham, R.; Litsou, K.; Byron, P. 2019. "Search and Analysis Protocol ARC DP170100808 Pornography's effects on its audiences: synthesising an innovative interdisciplinary approach." Last Modified September 30.

 https://data.research.uts.edu.au/publication/a06c922d3267c40e6c17627632733431/#2a2edbc708c91860c527b9501dc641bb/Search%20and%20analysis%20protocol%20140918.pdf.
- McKee, Alan. 2007b. "The relationship between attitudes towards women, consumption of pornography, and other demographic variables in a survey of 1,023 consumers of pornography." *International Journal of Sexual Health* 19 (1):31-45. doi: 10.1300/J514v19n01_05.
- Paasonen, S. 2011. Carnal resonance: Affect and online pornography. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Philpott, A., W. Knerr, and V. Boydell. 2006. "Pleasure and prevention: When good sex is safer sex." *Reproductive Health Matters* 14 (28):23-31. doi: 10.1016/S0968-8080(06)28254-5.
- PRISMA. 2015. "Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses." accessed April http://prisma-statement.org/.
- Rissel, C., J. Richters, R. O. De Visser, A. McKee, A. Yeung, and T. Caruana. 2017. "A profile of pornography users in australia: Findings from the second australian study of health and relationships." *Journal of Sex Research* 54 (2):227-240. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2016.1191597.
- Rosengard, C., C. Tannis, D. Dove, J. Den Berg, R. Lopez, L. A. R. Stein, and K. Morrow. 2012. "Family sources of sexual health information, primary messages, and sexual behavior of at-risk, urban adolescents." *American Journal of Health Education* 43 (2):83-92. doi: 10.1080/19325037.2012.10599223.
- Rothman, E. F., C. Kaczmarsky, N. Burke, E. Jansen, and A. Baughman. 2015. ""Without porn...I wouldn't know half the things I know now": A qualitative study of pornography use among a sample of urban, low-income, black and hispanic youth." *Journal of Sex Research* 52 (7):736-746. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2014.960908.
- Smith, C. 2007. *One for the girls! The pleasures and practices of reading women's porn.* Bristol: Intellect Books.
- Smith, M. 2013. "Youth viewing sexually explicit material online: Addressing the elephant on the screen." *Sexuality Research and Social Policy* 10 (1):62-75. doi: 10.1007/s13178-012-0103-4.
- Spišák, S. 2016. "'Everywhere they say that it's harmful but they don't say how, so I'm asking here': young people, pornography and negotiations with notions of risk and harm." *Sex Education* 16 (2):130-142. doi: 10.1080/14681811.2015.1080158.
- Tsaliki, L. 2011. "Playing with porn: Greek children's explorations in pornography." *Sex Education* 11 (3):293-302. doi: 10.1080/14681811.2011.590087.
- Ven-Hwei, Lo., and Wei. Ran. 2002. "Third-Person Effect, Gender, and Pornography on the Internet." *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 46 (1):13-33. doi: 10.1207/s15506878jobem4601_2.