Safeguarding the northern quoll. Can we mitigate cane toad impacts through conditioned taste aversion?



Naomi Liza Indigo

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0465-7849

Submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy April 2020 University of Technology Sydney School of Life Science

Abstract

Australia has the world's worst record of mammal extinctions. Changes to fire regimes, habitat loss and the impacts of invasive species are causal agents; but controlling invaders across landscapes is difficult. In northern Australia, the spread of toxic cane toads (*Rhinella marina*) has caused population declines of the northern quoll (*Dasyurus hallucatus*), a marsupial predator that dies from toad poisoning. Traditional methods have failed to halt the toad invasion, so we need new methods to reduce their impact.

In this thesis, I critically examined whether conditioned taste aversion (CTA) could reduce the impacts of cane toads on northern quolls. Trials on captive quolls confirmed that quolls fed toad meat infused with an emetic (of a substance causing vomiting) subsequently refused to attack live toads. This raised the question of whether we could use CTA *in situ* to train wild quolls to avoid cane toads. This thesis addresses that gap. Firstly, I analysed mark-recapture data to estimate quoll demographic parameters and used a population viability model to determine whether CTA could prevent quoll population extinction. The success of CTA in reducing the probability of extinction was strongly influenced by the baiting design and requires that a high proportion of the population can be trained prior to toad invasion. In addition, cultural transmission of food preferences from mothers to offspring is necessary for CTA to be a successful long-term mitigation strategy.

I developed a bait suitable for field deployment and demonstrated that captive quolls that ingested toad baits generalised their aversion to toads, and that wild quolls readily consumed baits. Importantly, bait uptake by non-target species was negligible. Next, using a BACI design, I carried out a replicated field experiment to determine whether baiting could mitigate the impact of toads. Surprisingly, at control and experimental sites, quoll populations went extinct following toad invasion. To understand this failure, I then determined the optimum level of bait deployment needed to reach my target species. I aimed to improve baiting design outcomes by employing a model that optimised the delivery of CTA, while accounting for the fact that some animals in the population could not be CTA trained.

Overall, my thesis highlights the challenges of implementing a novel technology in complex ecological systems and demonstrates the need for evidence-based data to guide decision makers. In a world experiencing rapid rates of mammalian extinction, novel conservation strategies such as CTA may help us mitigate threatened populations against the serious impacts of invasive species.

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Naomi Indigo declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution. This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication. Maomi Indigo

Date: 01/04/2020

Acknowledgements

In writing these acknowledgements, it has become clear just how many incredible people and organisations were involved with making this thesis a reality. My PhD was part of an ARC Linkage Grant involving a partnership between The University of Technology Sydney, The University of Melbourne, The Australian Wildlife Conservancy and Territory Wildlife Park.

The work was supported by funding from the Australian Research Council, Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment, the Australian Wildlife Society and the University of Technology School of Life Sciences. I very much appreciate the contributions provided by the Australian Wildlife Conservancy and their Supporters, who were very generous with their financial and technical backing. This project would not have been possible otherwise. I'd like to acknowledge the Traditional Custodians of the land on which I worked and lived, the Bunuba, Kija, Gooniyandi and Wurundjeri people, I pay my respects to their Elders, past, present and emerging.

I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to my supervisory panel, whom all have offered not only academic support, but gargantuan amounts of moral support, and a profound belief in my abilities from outset through to submission of this thesis. Principal Supervisor, Associate Professor Jonathan Webb, thank you for organising the logistics of this project from a University perspective, I appreciate your flexibility with allowing me to be an external student based in the field. I'm extremely grateful for your help with achieving my University milestones, providing much editorial expertise, your constant advice and excellent encouragement.

v

Associate Professor Ben Phillips– Your help with this thesis cannot be overestimated. Thank you so much!! Ben, you have offered unwavering generosity in your role. I'd like to thank you for challenging my brain on a regular basis, for a constant flow of ingenious/insightful suggestions, adopting me into the SpEEL lab at Uni Melbourne, introducing me to Kenbi Ranger Group and for truly inspiring your students daily.

Dr. James Smith– I am so grateful for all that you have taught me. I will never forget my days under the big open sky in the spectacular Kimberley! Thanks for teaching me so much about what it takes to be an effective, gritty field-biologist. Your guidance, patience and expertise as my supervisor (from Intern to Post-Doc) took my skills and experience to another level! Thank you for every facet of your support with planning and implementing this amazing project.

I am extremely grateful for my comrades Ella Kelly and Chris Jolly; I cannot express how happy I am to have worked alongside you both. You have assisted and offered encouragement in so many ways. I will never forget the sustenance that your invaluable friendships have offered me. I hope one day we work together again- we make a quollity team!

I'd like to extend a special thank you Dr. Katherine Tuft and Dr. Sarah Legge for getting the ball rolling with this project. Also, thank you Katherine, for introducing me to my very first northern quoll- it was love at first sight! Thank you to Hugh McGreggor, Anja Skroblin, Alex James, Richard Whatley, Fay Lewis and Toby Barton for all the cups of coffee, cakes, swims, endless discussion, debate, good humour and concrete advice– especially in the early days!!

vi

Thank you to all my amazing volunteers – that spent hours tagging camera trap images, collating data, packing food supplies, cleaning traps and walking gorges with me. A special mention needs to go those who collected cane toads and to the tolerant individuals who helped make cane toad sausages. I am so grateful to have received so much generous assistance – I could not have achieved half of what I did without the support from such wonderful volunteers!

A special thank you must go to Research Assistant, Kristina Koenig, who was instrumental in collating thousands of data points and identifying individual quolls by their unique spot patterns. Without your help, I would no doubt still be ciphering through thousands upon thousands of spots. I'd also like to extend my gratitude to David Nelson, Susie Adamczyk, Hamish McAlpine, Bradley Gale, Ben Vasic, Sam Fischer and Rebecca Diete for being outstanding and hard-working field assistants, thank you, I owe you all a drink!

I also wish to acknowledge the support provided by AWC staff Jessica Masson, Hannah Cliff, Angelina Siegrist, Melissa Bruton, Rohan Wilson and Karen Young – thank you all! A special mention to must go to the unflappable Rosemary Honan, thanks for teaching me how to stay hydrated and positive amongst bouncing radio tracking signals on those hot, <u>sweaty</u> days. Andrew Moreton, thanks for always helping with absolutely anything. Also, mate, sorry about your drink at Roses Pool. Annika Spiridis - thank you for the unrelenting assistance that you offered, especially when I needed it most. Thanks to helicopter pilot's Butch Maher and Nick Sundblom– for always delivering me and my backpack safely; and for always imparting your passion, exquisite knowledge and endless love the for Kimberley upon me. Best.Job.Ever! Thank you to Hamish McAlpine, for your blinding

vii

enthusiasm; also thank you for holding my hand on the scariest day of my life. Thank you to all other staff and glorious friends that I made at Mornington Wildlife Sanctuary and other AWC sanctuaries; there are too many of you to list, but I will always be so grateful for each of you!

Thank you to my officemates in Victoria - Ashton, Marty, Sally, Lucy, Phoebe, Georgia and Tom. You guys made every day better! Thanks to the awesome team in the SpEEL lab and my friends outside of Uni; who have always been up for a yarn and welcomed me in from the quiet, warm tropics to the busy, freezing city that is Melbourne.

My success, meaning the completion of my thesis, would not have been possible without the support and nurturing provided by my family and friends back home in Queensland and Western Australia. Thanks for always fuelling my interest in animals and the great outdoors; and for your support when I decided to pack up my life and live in a tent in the outback Kimberley. I know in the beginning, a bunch of you had no idea what a quoll was, but you still cheered me on the entire way! I love you all so much!

Finally, I want to say that, today, as every other day, I am in the miraculous position of being alive. Thus, I am indebted to thank the incredible organisation that is the Royal Flying Doctors Service. Thank you, for helping me keep my one wild and precious life. What a golden privilege this has all been. X

viii

Preface

The main body of this thesis consists of 5 chapters, including four data chapters that I have, or intend to, submit to journals. I have therefore formatted each chapter according to the guidelines of the individual journals. This has caused some minor formatting differences between chapters and some unavoidable repetition in the species description, experimental methods and background information in some of the chapters.

At the beginning of each chapter, I have acknowledged all authors involved, in the same order as they appear in the manuscripts. In all the chapters, I am listed as the first author and was primarily responsible for conceiving, designing and implementing the research and writing the manuscripts.

Approvals and Funding

The study was conducted under Wildlife Conservation Regulation 17 (Permit number: SF010584), the University of Technology Sydney Animal Care and Ethics Committee (Protocol: 2012-432A), University of Melbourne Animal Ethics Committee (Protocol: 1413369.2) and Department of Parks and Wildlife Animal Ethics Committee (Protocol: AEC 2016_50 and Protocol 2013_37) and AVPMA Permit number: PER82262. Funding was provided by Australian Wildlife Conservancy supporters, the Australian Research Council (LP150100722 and FT160100198 (to Ben Phillips and Jonathon Webb), The Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment (to Naomi Indigo), The Australian Wildlife Society- Wildlife Ecology Science Research Scholarship (to Naomi Indigo) & Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship (to Naomi Indigo).

Chapter contributions

Chapter 2

Indigo, N., J. Smith, J. Webb, and BL. Phillips (2019) Cultural and genetic transmission of a conditioned taste aversion lesson can interact to prevent extinction: Cane toads and northern quolls in Australia. Manuscript in preparation for peerreview.

Indigo N Conceived and developed ideas, conducted data analysis and wrote manuscript.

*Smith J D*eveloped ideas, coordinated field-experiments, and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Webb JK Assisted in development of ideas, analysis and manuscript preparation. *Phillips BL* developed ideas and contributed to experimental design, contributed to analysis, writing of the model and manuscript preparation.

<u>Chapter 3</u>

Indigo, N., J. Smith, J. Webb, and BL. Phillips (2018) Not such silly sausages: Evidence suggests northern quolls exhibit aversion to toads after training with toad sausages. Published by *Austral Ecology* on 8th Febuary 2018.

Indigo N Conceived and developed ideas, executed field experiments, conducted data analysis and wrote manuscript.

Smith J Developed ideas, assisted with coordination of data collection and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Webb JK Developed ideas and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Phillips BL Developed ideas, contributed to experimental design, data analysis and manuscript preparation.

<u>Chapter 4</u>

Indigo. N, J. Smith, E.Kelly, J. Webb and B.L. Phillips (2019) Unpleasant surprises: a full field trial of a promising conservation action suggests it will not work. Manuscript in preparation for peer-review.

Indigo N Conceived and developed ideas, executed data analysis, writing of model, experiments and wrote manuscript.

Kelly, E Conceived and developed ideas, executed data analysis, experiments and wrote manuscript presented in the appendix.

*Smith J D*eveloped ideas, assisted with coordination and collection of data in the field, and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Webb JK Developed ideas and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Phillips BL developed ideas, contributed to experimental design, conceived and wrote data analysis model and assisted with manuscript preparation.

<u>Chapter 5</u>

Indigo, N., J. Smith, J. Webb, and B. Phillips. 2019. Bangers and cash: Baiting efficiency in a heterogeneous population. **43**(4):669-677.

Indigo N Conceived and developed ideas, executed data analysis, field-experiments and wrote manuscript.

*Smith J D*eveloped ideas, assisted with coordination and collection of data in the field, and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Webb JK Developed ideas and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Phillips BL developed ideas, contributed to experimental design, conceived and wrote data analysis model and assisted with manuscript preparation.

Explanation of Terms

Conditioned taste aversion is the process whereby an animal associates the taste or smell of food with adverse gastrointestinal effects (such as malaise or emesis) post-ingestion, and subsequently avoids consuming that food (Garcia, Kimeldorf et al. 1955, Baker, Ellwood et al. 2005).

Referent food is the food item (prey) which an animal becomes averse to.

Bait is a mimic of the referent food paired with a noxious compound or CTA agent (Cohn and MacPhail 1996).

CTA agent is a toxic compound that evokes an adverse post-ingestion effect such as malaise or emesis. CTA agents are required to create a conditioned aversion to an odour or taste of a referent food (Baker et al. 2005, Cagnacci, Massei et al. 2005).

References

- Baker, S. E., S. A. Ellwood, R. Watkins and D. W. Macdonald (2005). "Non-lethal control of wildlife: using chemical repellents as feeding deterrents for the European badger (*Meles meles*)." <u>Journal of Applied Ecology</u> **42**: 921-931.
- Cagnacci, F., G. Massei, D. P. Cowan and R. J. Delahay (2005). "Can learned aversion be used to control bait uptake by Eurasian badgers?" <u>Applied Animal</u> <u>Behaviour Science</u> 92(1): 159-168.
- Cohn, J. and R. C. MacPhail (1996). "Ethological and Experimental Approaches to Behavior Analysis: Implications for Ecotoxicology." <u>Environmental Health</u> <u>Perspectives</u> **104**(2): 299-305.
- Garcia, J., D. J. Kimeldorf and R. A. Koelling (1955). "Conditioned Aversion to Saccharin Resulting from Exposure to Gamma Radiation." <u>Science</u> **122**(3160): 157-158.

Table of Contents

| Abstract | iii |
|--|-----|
| Certificate of Original Authorship | iv |
| Acknowledgements | v |
| Preface | ix |
| Approvals and Funding | ix |
| Chapter contributions | xi |
| Explanation of Terms | xiv |
| References | XV |
| Chapter 1 | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Conservation needs novel tools | 1 |
| Conditioned Taste Aversion- A Novel Strategy | 3 |
| The Practical Challenges of Applying CTA | 6 |
| Northern Quolls and Cane Toads- Background | 14 |
| Current Gaps in Knowledge | 17 |
| Thesis Objectives | 18 |
| References | 22 |
| Chapter 2 | |
| | 1 |

Cultural and genetic transmission of a conditioned taste aversion lesson caninteract to prevent extinction: Cane toads and northern quolls in Australia.39Abstract39Introduction41Methods45

| | 10 |
|------------|----|
| Results | 50 |
| Discussion | 53 |
| References | 60 |

Chapter 3

Not such silly sausages:Evidence suggests northern quolls exhibit aversion totoads after training with toad sausages.83

| Abstract | 83 |
|--------------|----|
| Introduction | 84 |
| Methods | 88 |

| Results | 96 |
|------------|-----|
| Discussion | 101 |
| References | 106 |

Chapter 4

Unpleasant surprises: a preliminary field study testing the efficacy of a promising conservation action suggests it will not work. 116

| Abstract | 116 |
|--|-----|
| Introduction | 117 |
| Methods | 120 |
| Results | 129 |
| Discussion | 132 |
| Management Implications | 141 |
| References | 142 |
| Chapter 5 | |
| Bangers and cash: Baiting efficiency in a heterogeneous population | 150 |
| Abstract | 150 |
| Introduction | 151 |
| Methods | 155 |
| Results | 164 |
| Discussion | 167 |
| Management Implications | 171 |
| References | 174 |
| Chapter 6 | |
| General Discussion | 182 |
| References | 194 |
| Appendix I | |
| Supplementary material for Chapter 1 | 202 |
| Study Species- Northern Quoll | 202 |
| Study area | 203 |
| Conditioned Taste Aversion Baits- Cane Toad Sausages | 206 |
| Data collection | 208 |
| Site selection | 209 |
| References | 210 |
| | |

Appendix II

| Supplementary material for Chapter 2. | 214 |
|--|-----|
| Appendix III | |
| Supplementary materials for Chapter 4. Decay of conditioned taste aversion in captivity. | 217 |
| Methods | 217 |
| Results | 218 |
| References | 219 |

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1-0 : Northern quoll, original illustration by Naomi Indigo i Figure 1-1: Northern quoll consuming chicken neck (Jonathan Webb)......16 Figure 1-2: The best-estimate of the known location of the toad front in the central Kimberley region. This information was current as of the September 2016-9 months into my candidature, the toad invasion front was <5km from my study sites. This map was developed using targeted camera surveys, waterway searches, incidental sightings during fauna surveys, and communication with other landholders in the area......18 **Table 2-1**: Estimates of daily and yearly survival (Φ) and recapture rates (p) derived from the best-supported CJS model [Φ (Sex) p(t)] in program MARK.52 Figure 2-3: Relationship between the efficacy of conditioned taste aversion (E) and the proportion of individuals in the population that are toad-smart (heritability; h^2). When efficacy is zero (baiting fails to train quolls), population viability depends only on the heritability of innate toad-avoidance in the population (a). By contrast, when $E \ge 0.5$, the probability of extinction is influenced by the transmission of toad-smart information from mother to offspring (T) and heritability (h^2 ; (b)). Even with low heritability of toad-avoidance ($h^2 = 0.2$) a high level of social transfer of toad-smart information (T > 0.7) can substantially reduce Figure 3-1: Location of the study area within Australian Wildlife Conservancy's Mornington Wildlife Sanctuary, in the central Kimberley, Western Australia.......91
Table 3-1: CTA sessions and bait events, † denotes empty cells. Bait events
 occurred at the same time within each site. KP was baited only once in November **Table 2-2:** Mean (\bar{x}) time and corresponding standard errors (SE) that treatment and control animals spent exhibiting specific types of interactions with toads e.g. Figure 2-2: Mean proportion of active time that quolls spent directed towards toads. Behaviours are split into categories and across control and treatment Figure 3-3: Frequency of visits to CTA bait stations by each species. Unidentified Figure 4-4: Frequency of visits to CTA bait stations by each species. Unidentified Figure 4-1: Location of control and Treatment monitoring sites within and adjacent to AWC's Mornington Wildlife Sanctuary, in the central Kimberley, **Table 4-1**: Timing of monitoring sessions. Number of consecutive nights that bait stations were active, month and year that that bait stations were open during the study. Cane toad presence is denoted by 0 or 1; whereby the occurrence of cane

| toads = 1 and the absence of toads = 0. The number of nights each bait station was |
|--|
| active, and the number of bait stations remained the same within sites, although |
| differed between sites |
| Table 4-3: Model parameters and their priors including prior distributions, |
| standard deviation, estimated posterior means and their 95% credible intervals. † |
| denotes empty cells. N denotes normal probability distribution; U denotes uniform |
| probability distribution |
| Figure 4-2: Posterior mean population sizes (N _{sk}) and 95% credible intervals for |
| treatment and control populations of northern quolls at Mornington Wildlife |
| Sanctuary before and after toad arrival (vertical dotted line). Estimates assume |
| closure of the population within each session130 |
| Table 5-1: Model parameters and their priors including prior distributions, |
| standard deviation, estimated posterior means and their 95% credible intervals. † |
| denotes empty cells. N denotes normal probability distribution; U denotes uniform |
| probability distribution |
| Figure 5-3: Posterior density distribution of z (probability of an individual being |
| bait-susceptible) when z = minimally informed prior (dashed grey line), versus |
| when z = informed prior (solid black line)164 |
| Table 5-2 : Estimated population size and observed bait take for each population. |
| Posterior mean population sizes (N _i (Site 1: Site 11)) and 95% credible intervals, |
| assuming closure of the population during the time of the study. † denotes empty |
| cells |
| Figure 5-4: Modelled probability of bait take (ui, t) given an individual is bait |
| susceptible ($Z_i = 1$). Curves show uptake probability over time (days since bait |
| deployment) comparing two models, in which there is heterogeneity in baitability |
| (a: $z \sim 0.64$) and no heterogeneity (b: $z = 1$). Series on each plot represent |
| different initial bait density |
| Figure A-I-1: Location of Mornington Wildlife Sanctuary (South-east property) |
| and Charnley River Wildlife Sanctuary (North-west property) within Australia, red |
| circles denotes sites selected for the study |
| |
| Figure A-I-2: Sandstone gorge on Mornington Wildlife Sanctuary. Image highlights |
| topographic complexity of one of the selected study sites |
| |
| Figure A-I-3 : Comparison of another study site located on Charnley River Wildlife |
| Sanctuary. Image highlights variation in topographic complexity |
| Figure A-I-4: Cane toad sausages- 15g of minced & skinned adult cane toad legs |
| (1-3), 1 whole cane toad metamorph (4) & 0.06g of thiabendazole (5), all packed |
| into a synthetic sausage skin (6) |
| Figure A-I-5: Photographs of two camera stations set up on a rock ledge (left) and |
| in a tree (right) |
| Table A-II-1 : Full set of CJS mark-recapture models compared using program |
| MARK |

| Table A-II-2 : Robust design population sizes (N for each sampling session) and | |
|--|---|
| 95% credible intervals, assuming closure of the population during primary | |
| sessions of the study. † denotes empty cells | 6 |
| Table A-II-3 : Raw survival data from previous mark-recapture studies used to | |
| estimate annual sex-based survival rates. † denotes where data was not available. | |
| | 4 |
| Figure A-III-1: Decay of acquired CTA aversion (days since training) (expected | |
| value and associated prediction envelope dashed) within 120 days of training. n = | 5 |
| individual male quolls | 9 |