"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [Journal of phycology, 2020] which has been published in final form at [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jpy.13068] purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving."

1 TAXONOMIC VARIABILITY IN THE ELECTRON REQUIREMENT

2 FOR CARBON FIXATION ACROSS MARINE PHYTOPLANKTON

3	
4	David J. Hughes ^{1*} , Fernanda C. Giannini ^{1,2} , Aurea M. Ciotti ² , Martina A. Doblin ¹ , Peter J.
5	Ralph ¹ , Deepa Varkey ^{1,3} , Arjun Verma ¹ and David J. Suggett ¹
6	
7	¹ Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Broadway 2007, NSW,
8	Australia
9	² Laboratorio Aquarela, Centro de Biologia Marinha (CEBIMar/USP) – Universidade de Sao
10	Paulo, Rodovia Manoel Hypolito Rego, km 131.5, Sao Sebastiao, SP, Brazil
11	³ Department of Molecular Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW, 2109, Australia
12	
13	*Corresponding author: David.Hughes@uts.edu.au
14	
15	Running Title: Phytoplankton electron requirements
16	

- 17 Abstract
- 18 Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf) has been increasingly used to measure marine
- 19 primary productivity by oceanographers to understand how carbon (C) uptake patterns vary
- 20 over space and time in the global ocean. As FRRf measures electron transport rates through
- 21 photosystem II (ETR_{PSII}), a critical, but difficult-to-predict conversion factor termed the
- "electron requirement for carbon fixation" ($\Phi_{e,C}$) is needed to scale ETR_{PSII} to C-fixation
- 23 rates. Recent studies have generally focused on understanding environmental regulation of
- $\Phi_{e,C}$, while taxonomic control has been explored by only a handful of laboratory studies
- encompassing a limited diversity of phytoplankton species. We therefore assessed $\Phi_{e,C}$ for a
- 26 wide range of marine phytoplankton (n=17 strains) spanning multiple taxonomic and size-
- classes. Data mined from previous studies were further considered to determine whether $\Phi_{e,C}$
- variability could be explained by taxonomy versus other phenotypic traits influencing growth
- and physiological performance (e.g. cell size). We found that $\Phi_{e,C}$ exhibited considerable
- variability (~4-10 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), and was negatively correlated with growth rate ($R^2 = 0.7$,
- 31 p < 0.01). Diatoms exhibited a lower $\Phi_{e,C}$ compared to chlorophytes during steady-state,
- 32 nutrient-replete growth. Inclusion of meta-analysis data did not find significant relationships
- between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and class, or growth rate, although confounding factors inherent to
- 34 methodological inconsistencies between studies likely contributed to this. Knowledge of
- empirical relationships between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and growth rate coupled with recent improvements in
- quantifying phytoplankton growth rates *in-situ*, facilitate up-scaling of FRRf campaigns to
- routinely derive $\Phi_{e,C}$ needed to assess ocean C-cycling.
- 38 Key index words: Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry, electron requirements, carbon fixation,
- 39 phytoplankton, FRRf
- 40 Abbreviations: FRRf, Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry; C, carbon; ETR, electron transport
- rate; PSII, photosystem II; $\Phi_{e,C}$, electron requirement for carbon fixation; MPP, marine
- primary production; Chl-a, Chlorophyll-a; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching

43 Introduction

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

Marine primary production (MPP) is a fundamental ecosystem process that supports food webs and regulates the global climate. For decades, various approaches have been applied to quantify phytoplankton photosynthesis - by far the single largest contributor to MPP in the global oceans (Regaudie-de-Gioux et al. 2014). However, disparate approaches commonly evaluate different components of photosynthesis over variable timeframes, and measure photosynthetic rates in different units or "currencies" (sensu Suggett et al. 2009a), such as carbon (C)-fixed, oxygen (O2)-evolved and electron transport through Photosystem II (ETR_{PSII}). Reconciling estimates of MPP between disparate approaches is therefore not trivial, being further compounded by inherent assumptions and caveats specific to each method (Marra 2012, Regaudie-de-Gioux et al. 2014, Hughes et al. 2018a). Use of ¹⁴C bottle incubations (Steeman-Nielsen 1952) to trace incorporation of radio-labelled carbon into organic matter remains the "gold-standard" method to measure aquatic photosynthesis despite well-recognised methodological limitations (Longhurst et al. 1995, Melrose et al. 2006, Marra 2009, Milligan et al. 2015). As such, ¹⁴C measurements underpin the calibration of virtually all satellite algorithms that yield MPP from ocean colour (Campbell et al. 2002, Quay et al. 2010, Saba et al. 2011). Desire to better understand ocean sequestration of atmospheric carbon under a rapidly-changing climate has driven efforts to develop conversion factors allowing for accurate retrieval of C-fixation rates from photosynthetic currencies that can be measured with greater resolution and precision (Regaudie-de-Gioux et al. 2014, Hughes et al. 2018a). Limited sampling resolution afforded by incubation-dependent techniques such as ¹³C, ¹⁴C and O₂-bottle methods has resulted in bias and uncertainties within satellite-based MPP models as they permit insufficient measures of synchronous *in-situ* photosynthetic rates to

robustly "ground-truth" ocean colour algorithms (Saba et al. 2011, Jacox et al. 2015). To overcome this, oceanographers have increasingly gravitated towards bio-optical techniques such as Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf, Kolber et al. 1998) that permit significantly greater sampling resolution (reviewed by Hughes et al. 2018a). FRRf and analogous active Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) fluorometry techniques, actively probe the photochemical status of the oxygen-evolving complex at photosystem II (PSII) (see Huot and Babin 2010, Hughes et al. 2018a), to estimate ETR_{PSII} - the photosynthetic currency of electron transport through PSII. Retrieving rates of C-fixation from ETR_{PSII} measured by FRRf therefore requires a specific conversion factor, termed the "electron requirement for carbon fixation", $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Lawrenz et al. 2013), also termed K_C (Hancke et al. 2015), describing the number of moles of electrons used to fix one mole of C biomass. The theoretical lower limit for $\Phi_{e,C}$ is 4-5 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ (Kolber and Falkowski 1993) based on the minimum number of electrons derived from two H₂O molecules in the production of one O₂ molecule. This lower limit however assumes that all electrons generated at PSII are transferred to NADP⁺ via Photosystem I (PSI), following a pathway referred to as linear electron flow (LEF) which generates the energy (ATP) and reductant (NADPH) required to fix C during the Calvin Cycle (Behrenfeld et al. 2008). In fact, PSII electrons can also flow to diverse alternative pathways that either: i) do not directly result in C-fixation, e.g. nutrient reduction or cyclic electron flow, or ii) fix C less efficiently per electron, e.g. photorespiration (Hughes et al. 2018a). Thus, ETR_{PSII} represents the total number of electrons available to multiple electron sinks, and $\Phi_{e,C}$ summarises the net distribution of electrons between C-fixing and non C-fixing pathways (Hughes et al. 2018a). In highly-dynamic environments phytoplankton tend to exhibit great flexibility in their capacity to adjust photosynthetic electron flow (Cardol et al. 2011). When conditions for photosynthesis are optimal (e.g. when light is not excessive and downstream electron acceptors are not limited

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

by CO₂-availability or nutrient stress), LEF dominates (McDonald et al. 2011), and thus $\Phi_{e,C}$ should be nearer to the theoretical minimum. Under less-optimal conditions, phytoplankton cells upregulate alternative electron pathways which act as photo-protective sinks (Roberty et al. 2014), or mechanisms to generate more ATP relative to NADPH (Cardol et al. 2011, Hughes et al. 2018a), allowing cells to meet increased energy requirements for cellular maintenance, or power nutrient-acquisition processes including carbon concentrating mechanisms (Langner et al. 2009, Halsey et al. 2010, Halsey and Jones 2015). Alternative electron pathways decouple ETR_{PSII} from C-fixation resulting in increased $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Hughes et al. 2018a). Indeed, measured values of $\Phi_{e,C}$ for natural phytoplankton assemblages routinely exceed the theoretical minimum, evidenced by a global mean value of ~11 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹, and often correlate with environmental variables known to regulate photosynthesis (Lawrenz et al. 2013), however the strength of correlation is highly-variable between studies. Schuback et al. (2015) demonstrated a correlation between the extent of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) – dissipation of excess excitation energy as heat – and $\Phi_{e,C}$, possibly reflecting a positive feedback loop between upregulation of alternative electron pathways and activation of NPQ processes at PSII (Nawrocki et al. 2015). Subsequent studies have established further correlations between NPQ and $\Phi_{e,C}$ (e.g. Schuback et al. 2017, Hughes et al. 2018b, Schuback and Tortell 2019), yet discrepancies in the slopes of the relationship between studies suggest that the relationship between the two parameters is not easily predictable at a given space and time (Hughes et al. 2018b). Developing a predictive understanding of $\Phi_{e,C}$ remains a key priority for end-users of active fluorometry, and an essential step towards generating high resolution estimates of C-fixation that can be used to support remote sensing models of MPP (Hughes et al. 2018a). Whilst recent efforts in the field have predominantly focussed on examining $\Phi_{e,C}$ variability

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

in response to light and nutrient availability (Lawrenz et al. 2013, Schuback et al. 2015, 2016,

2017, Zhu et al. 2016, 2017, 2019, Hughes et al. 2018b), a few laboratory studies examining a limited number of phytoplankton strains (Suggett et al. 2009a, Napoleon et al. 2013, Hoppe et al. 2015) have provided intriguing evidence for possible taxonomic regulation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ – an idea also raised by several field observations (Suggett et al. 2006, 2009a, Lawrenz et al. 2013, Robinson et al. 2014). Conclusively identifying taxonomic regulation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ from field studies is, however, problematic because i) seawater samples usually contain a wide diversity of phytoplankton in various physiological states, and ii) taxonomic dominance by broad functional groups is selected for via specific environmental conditions (Finkel et al. 2009). Additionally, field measurements are often constrained by methodological limitations (reviewed by Lawrenz et al. 2013, Hughes et al. 2018a) e.g. such as reliance upon lengthy incubations to measure C-fixation in low biomass samples, which can introduce uncertainty in $\Phi_{e,C}$, making it difficult to separate "real" variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$ from that introduced via methodological artefacts. Studies of unialgal cultures under controlled laboratory conditions allow for robust assessment of taxonomic regulation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ and allow for far better control of methodological artefacts, yet curiously have been under-utilised in studies of $\Phi_{e,C}$ to date (Hughes et al. 2018a). As a result, there is simply not enough statistical power within existing laboratory or field data to determine i) if, and to what extent, taxonomic variation regulates $\Phi_{e,C}$, ii) the taxonomic resolution needed to explain such variability, or iii) whether an overarching trait governing photosynthetic performance (e.g. cell size) associated with changes in taxa can prove a useful metric to explain variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$. To bridge this knowledge gap, we cultured a diverse selection of phytoplankton strains spanning multiple taxonomic and size -classes, to examine $\Phi_{e,C}$ under controlled laboratory conditions. We hypothesised that $\Phi_{e,C}$ would follow a predictable taxonomic pattern, whereby greater values would be observed for taxa with greater energetic requirements for cellular maintenance (and thus lower growth rates). Growth rates have been shown to be highly

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

correlated with algal lineage (Litchman et al. 2007). We further combined our new observations with data mined from previous studies to evaluate the extent to which variation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ could be explained by taxonomy, versus additional traits including cell size and nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ). Such knowledge is needed to improve the accuracy with which FRRf measurements can be scaled-up for remote sensing purposes in order to estimate MPP, particularly as capacity to resolve phytoplankton groups and size classes from satellite data is fast developing (e.g. Bracher et al. 2017). Materials and Methods Phytoplankton culturing We examined a range of phytoplankton taxa, encompassing 7 taxonomic classes and a wide range of cell sizes/volumes (Table 1). Measurements were initially performed on 12 species of non-axenic phytoplankton cultures obtained from the Australian National Algal Culture Collection (ANACC), representing 5 eukaryotic microalgal classes: Dunaliella tertiolecta CCMP1320, Tetraselmis sp. CS-91 and Tetraselmis sp. CS-352 (Chlorophyceae); Thalassiosira weissflogii CCMP1336, T. pseudonana CS-173, Nitzschia closterium CS-5 and Ditylum brightwellii CS-131 (Bacillariophyceae); Nannochloropsis oculata (Eustigmatophyceae); Phaeocystis pouchetti CS-165 and Emiliania huxleyi CS-370 (Prymnesiophyceae); Rhodomonas salina CS-692 (Cryptophyceae) and the one prokaryotic group, Synechococcus sp. CS-94 (Cyanophyceae). All cultures were grown in a temperaturecontrolled incubator (Steridium model: E500, Brisbane, Australia) at 20 °C (See Table 1) within 75 mL flasks (Falcon T75, Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd, Castle Hill, Australia) and maintained in semi-continuous batch mode via periodic serial dilutions when required (Wood et al. 2005). Strains were grown in f/2 enriched seawater (Guillard and Ryther 1962), prepared with sterile local seawater, plus additional silicate (Si) for Bacillariophyceae (diatoms). Salinity was maintained at 35 PSU for all cultures, and a growth irradiance of $60 \pm$

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

10 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ was provided by T5 cool-white fluorescent lighting (24W, Combrite SD224-40) set to a 12:12 hour photoperiod. Cultures were maintained in semi-continuous batch culture with periodic dilution to keep cells in exponential growth (monitored by FRRf physiology and periodic cell counts) for approximately three months prior to sampling. During experimentation, samples were collected in mid-exponential growth for: FRRf photophysiology and photosynthetic-irradiance (PE) response, Chl-a determination, particulate organic carbon and nitrogen content (POC, PON), cell density and biovolume analysis, spectral light absorption (a^{Chl}) and measurements of $\Phi_{e,C}$, detailed below. Sampling of additional strains Additionally, we performed measurements of $\Phi_{e,C}$ (plus ancillary measurements where available) on five dinoflagellate (Dinophyceae) strains that were not part of the original study design, yet became available for sampling as a result of other experimental work that coincided with our study. Specifically, this included two strains of the palytoxin-producing benthic dinoflagellate species, Ostreopsis cf. siamensis (MW3 and F3) that were isolated and, cultured as described in Verma et al. (2016) and Verma et al. (2020), along with three strains of symbiotic dinoflagellates within the family Symbiodiniaceae; Durusdinium trenchii (strain SCF082, formerly called Symbiodinium trenchii or ITS2 type D1a), and Cladocopium goreaui (strains SCF058-04 and SCF055-06 – formerly called Symbiodinium goreaui or ITS2 type C1) described in Ros et al. (2020). O. cf. siamensis was maintained in the same incubator that housed strains from our main study, with the only difference being the media was substituted for F/10 due to visible cell deformation being observed in cells when grown in F/2. Culturing conditions for Symbiodiniaceae were slightly modified to better represent their sites of collection (and long term growth conditions), with strains grown in a separate incubator under a higher irradiance (180 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ – also provided by a 24W, Combrite SD224-40 fluorescent tube) and temperature (26°C), using Daigo's IMK culture

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

medium (Wako, Osaka, Japan) (Table 1). All dinoflagellate strains were maintained in semicontinuous batch culture with periodic dilution to keep cells in exponential growth (monitored by FRRf physiology).

Growth rates and cell size

Growth rates were calculated from daily measurements of *in-vivo* fluorescence over a total period of three months, using FRRf to monitor minimal fluorescence (F_o) as a proxy for Chl-a concentration as per Suggett et al. (2009a). Growth rates (μ) were calculated through linear regression of the natural log of F_o against time, where the slope of the regression line describes daily division rate (d^{-1}). Growth rates calculated from F_o were periodically validated against cell counts from samples preserved daily throughout the experimental period in Lugol's alkaline solution (to a final concentration of 1%), with the exception of *Synechococcus* sp. Overall, growth rates determined from F_o showed strong correlations for the 12 strains grown (range of R^2 : 0.71–0.92, mean R^2 = 0.87; data not shown). For most strains, a minimum of 50 cells were imaged via microscopy and measured using ImageJ software (US National Institutes of Health). Cell volume (μ m³) was determined from calculations based on geometric shape codes of taxa from (Sun and Liu, 2003), selecting codes from taxa with similar shapes in their study.

Chl-a analysis

Chl-*a* for all samples was determined by filtering 15 mL aliquots onto a Whatman GF/F filter (0.7 μm nominal pore size) before immediate extraction of pigments in 90% acetone and storage at 4°C in darkness. For the >10 μm fraction, a similar procedure was conducted, but instead used 10 μm polycarbonate filters (Merck Millipore, Bayswater, VIC, Australia). After 48 h of extraction in acetone, Chl-*a* was determined fluorometrically using a Trilogy fluorometer fitted with Chl-*a* non-acidification module (Turner Designs, California, USA) and calibrated against pure Chl-*a* standards (Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd).

217 POC and PON analysis 218 Twenty mL aliquots were filtered onto pre-combusted GF/F filters under low vacuum (~50 219 mm Hg), dried for 48 h at 60 °C, packaged in pre-combusted aluminium foil and stored in 220 darkness inside air-tight plastic bags containing silica gel packets. Subsequent analysis of 221 POC and PON content was conducted at the Research Corporation of the University of Hawaii, using an elemental analyser (MAT Conflo IV, Thermo Finnigan, California USA) 222 223 coupled to a mass spectrometer (Delta+ XP, Thermo Finnigan). Unfortunately, no value is 224 reported for Synechococcus sp. (CS-94) because this sample was lost prior to analysis. 225 Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf) photophysiology 226 227 A FastOcean FRRf fluorometer attached to a FastAct laboratory system (Chelsea 228 Technologies Group, London, UK) was programmed to deliver single turnover saturation of 229 PSII from 100 flashlets (1 µs pulse with a 2 µs interval between flashes), followed by a 230 relaxation phase of 40 flashlets (1 µs pulse with a 50 µs interval between flashes) (as per 231 Suggett et al. 2015, Hughes et al. 2018b). A total of 20 sequences were performed per 232 acquisition, with an interval of 150 ms between sequences. For all eukaryotic phytoplankton 233 in this study, the blue LED (450 nm) was the sole excitation source used, while a 234 combination of blue and red LEDs (450 nm + 624 nm) was applied to Synechococcus to 235 ensure full closure of PSII reaction centres. The biophysical model of Kolber et al. (1998) 236 was fitted to all FRRf acquisitions using FastPro8 software (V.1.0.55) to determine minimum 237 $(F_{\rm o}, F')$ and maximum fluorescence $(F_{\rm m}, F_{\rm m}')$ and the functional absorption cross-section of 238 PSII (σ_{PSII}, σ_{PSII}') (where the prime notation denotes that samples were measured during 239 exposure to actinic light). FastPro8 software was also used to subtract background 240 fluorescence (obtained from 0.2 µm filtered samples) from the total variable fluorescence 241 signal.

FRRf-derived photosynthetic electron transport rates (ETR_{PSII}, electrons m⁻³ s⁻¹) were
determined using the biophysical "sigma-based" algorithm originally developed by Kolber
and Falkowski (1993).

246
$$\operatorname{ETR}_{PSII} = E \cdot \overline{\sigma_{PSII}}' \cdot [\operatorname{RCII}]_{(FRRf)} \cdot (1 - C)$$
 (1)

where *E* is irradiance (photons m⁻² s⁻¹), σ_{PSII}' is the functional absorption cross-section of PSII under actinic light (nm² PSII⁻¹), [RCII]_(FRRf) is the concentration of PSII reaction centres (mol RCII m⁻³), estimated fluorometrically according to Oxborough et al. (2012) as:

$$[RCII]_{(FRRf)} = K_a \cdot \frac{F_0}{\sigma_{PSII}}$$
 (2)

where K_a is an instrument-specific constant (m⁻¹). The parameter (1-C) in Eq. (1) describes the fraction of open [RCII], calculated here as qP (where qP = $(F_m'-F')/(F_m'-F_o')$). Both [RCII] and (1-C) are necessary in order to measure gross photosynthesis, yielding ETR_{PSII} with units of electrons m⁻³ s⁻¹, assuming an efficiency of one charge-separation event per photon absorbed and delivered to [RCII] (Kolber and Falkowski 1993). As values of σ_{PSII}' are spectrally-weighted towards the fluorometer's measurement LED (see Suggett et al. 2004), all measurements of σ_{PSII}' were spectrally-adjusted to account for the bias of light absorption towards the FastOcean's 450 nm excitation LED (and for combined 450 + 624 nm LEDs used to measure *Synechococcus*). For this, absorption spectra for the initial 12 phytoplankton strains sampled were measured using the quantitative filter pad technique (Roesler, 1998), with absorbance measured from 400-750 nm using a fibre-optic spectrometer (UV/VIS, Ocean Optics, Florida, USA) against a separate sample blank. Measured absorbance spectra were converted into Chl-a specific absorption coefficients (a^{Chl}) as:

$$265 a^{Chl}(\lambda) = \frac{2.303 \cdot A(\lambda)}{L \cdot \beta \cdot Chl - a} (3)$$

- where $A(\lambda)$ represents the wavelength-dependent absorbance, L is the optical pathlength of
- filter particulates (sample volume filtered $[m^3]$ / filter clearance area $[m^2]$) and β the
- 268 pathlength amplification factor (see Roesler, 1998). From this we obtained spectrally-
- resolved values of PSII effective absorption, $\sigma_{PSII}'(\lambda)$ for all strains except *Synechococcus* as:

270
$$\sigma_{PSII}'(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{PSII}'(450 \text{ nm})}{\alpha^{Chl(\lambda)}(450 \text{ nm})}\right) \cdot \alpha^{Chl(\lambda)}$$
 (4)

- For Synechococcus where σ_{PSII} was obtained from a combination of 450 and 624 nm
- excitation LEDs spectrally resolved values of PSII effective absorption were obtained as:

273
$$\sigma_{PSII}'(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{PSII}'(450 + 624 \text{ nm})}{\alpha^{Chl(\lambda)}(450 + 624 \text{ nm})}\right) \cdot \alpha^{Chl(\lambda)}$$
(5)

- Values of $\sigma_{PSII}'(\lambda)$ for all strains were then spectrally-adjusted to the spectral output of the
- 275 white LED within the FRRf optical head (the light source used to drive photosynthesis during
- the incubations) as,

277
$$\overline{\sigma_{\text{PSII}'}} = \left(\sum_{400}^{700} \sigma_{\text{PSII}}^{\prime(\lambda)} \cdot E(\lambda)\right) \Delta \lambda / \sum_{400}^{700} E(\lambda) \Delta \lambda$$
 (6)

- For the five dinoflagellate strains that were sampled in addition to strains from the main
- study, it was not possible to use the same quantitative filter pad technique due to limited
- available sample volume. Therefore, we followed the procedure outlined in Hughes et al.
- 281 (2018b) to perform a spectral correction based on previously-collected fluorescence
- excitation spectra (400–700 nm) collected from dinoflagellate cultures pre-treated with 3-
- 283 (3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) where fluorescence was measured at 730 nm
- 284 (Suggett et al. 2009b, Wu et al. 2014). In doing so we assumed that the shapes of absorption

and fluorescence excitation scale equivalently to the functional absorption cross-section over the spectrum, although acknowledge that absorption by photoprotective pigments would not contribute to the fluorescence spectrum (see Lutz et al. 2001). However, as strains in our study were grown under a relatively low irradiance (60 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ — with the exception of Symbiodiniacea that were grown under 180 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), it is reasonable to suggest that differences between absorption and fluorescence excitation arising from photoprotective pigments would be minimal, and thus, would introduce only minor uncertainty in $\Phi_{e,C}$ during the spectral correction procedure. As the spectral library used contained no representatives of the genus *Ostreopsis*, we used the mean spectral correction factor (SCF) calculated from all dinoflagellate representatives in the library and applied that to the strains MW3 and F3 from this genus (Table 2). We highlight that this may also introduce minor uncertainty into their reported $\Phi_{e,C}$ values, yet arguably represents an improvement over not applying an SCF altogether - which would likely introduce far larger uncertainty (see Silsbe et al. 2015).

299 FRRf photosynthesis-irradiance (PE) curves

Steady-state fluorescence light curves were performed using an identical protocol as that described in Suggett et al. (2015). The model of Platt et al. (1980) was then fit to the data using Sigmaplot curve-fitting software (Version 12.5, Systat Software Inc, California, USA). Least squares non-linear regression analysis of the model fit was performed to derive the maximum rate of photosynthesis, ETR_{PSII}^{max} and the light utilisation efficiency, α (electrons m^{-3} s⁻¹), allowing for subsequent calculation of the light saturation parameter, E_k (ETR_{PSII}^{max}/α) with units of μ mol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹.

Simultaneous FRRf- 14 C incubations ($\Phi_{e,C}$)

We followed the recommendations of Suggett et al. (2009a) and measured both ETR_{PSII} and ¹⁴C-uptake simultaneously (i.e. a "dual incubation") upon the same sample, thus avoiding

discrepancies between spectral quality and intensity that can arise when performing FRRf and ¹⁴C measurements in separate incubations using multiple light sources. This was achieved by incubating radio-labelled samples within the optical head of the FastOcean FRRf and using the FRRf's cool-white LED array to drive photosynthesis in the sample (Supplementary Fig. S1). Not only does the dual incubation method avoid the need to apply spectral correction factors which can be error-prone, but also avoids potential issues with sample heterogeneity (Lawrenz et al. 2013, Hughes et al. 2020). To quantify ¹⁴C-uptake, we adopted the small-volume method of Lewis and Smith (1983) with several modifications. For each strain, triplicate 3 mL samples were placed in a borosilicate test-tube and acclimated under low light (~2-3 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 15 min to relax non-photochemical quenching processes before FRRf assessment of dark-acclimated physiology as per Hughes et al. (2018b). Samples were then spiked to a final concentration of 0.4 µCi mL⁻¹ NaH¹⁴CO₃ (Perkin-Elmer, Melbourne, Australia). The radio-labelled sample was then incubated for 20 min inside the FRRf at a single irradiance corresponding to the growth conditions of that specific strain (60 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for most strains, 180 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for the family Symbiodiniaceae) and ETR_{PSII} was determined every 5 s during this period. At the end of the incubation period, the sample was removed and immediately acidified with 150 µL of 6 M HCl to drive remaining unfixed inorganic ¹⁴C to ¹⁴CO₂. Samples were then agitated gently on an orbital shaker (100 rpm) and left to de-gas for 24 h prior to fixation with 10 mL scintillation fluid (Ultima Gold LLT, Perkin Elmer). Fixed samples were shaken vigorously for several minutes and left to stand for 3 h before measuring disintegrations per minute (DPM) via liquid scintillation counting (Tri-Carb 2810 TR, Perkin-Elmer), using automatic quench correction and a count time of 5 min. ¹⁴C-uptake was calculated on a volumetric basis from the concentration of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and the amount of ¹⁴C isotope incorporated during the incubation as per Knap et al.

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

(1996). Twenty mL aliquots for DIC analysis were taken from parallel samples grown under identical conditions. Each sample was transferred to a glass scintillation vial, preserved with $HgCl_2$ at a final concentration of 0.5%, double-wrapped in parafilm to prevent gas exchange and stored in darkness until subsequent analysis of DIC using a dissolved gas analyser (Picarro 1301, Picarro Instruments, California, USA). Both ETR_{PSII} and ^{14}C -uptake were scaled to hourly-integrated rates as per Suggett et al. (2009a) so that $\Phi_{e,C}$ (mol e^- [mol C]⁻¹) could be determined as:

342
$$\Phi_{e,C} = \frac{\text{ETR}_{PSII} \text{ (mol e}^{-} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)}}{14\text{C uptake (mol C m}^{-3} \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)}}$$
(7)

Additional FRRf-based parameterisation

For all cultures and conditions, non-photochemical quenching of fluorescence was calculated as the normalised Stern-Volmer coefficient (NPQ_{NSV}) as per McKew et al. (2013) during the simultaneous ¹⁴C-FRRf incubations and represents an integrated value over the entire incubation period. In addition, PSU size (mol Chl-*a* [mol RCII]) was calculated from [RCII]^(FRRf) and the concentration of Chl-*a* according to Oxborough et al. (2012).

Meta-analysis of $\Phi_{e,C}$

We searched the literature for parallel measurements of ETR_{PSII} and 14 C-uptake upon laboratory-grown phytoplankton cultures. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to visually assess patterns in $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Supplementary Fig. S2). Upon initial inspection, it was evident that methodological inconsistencies between studies were potentially driving variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$. To minimise methodological bias from our pooled assessment of $\Phi_{e,C}$, we applied screening criteria to ensure consistency with our existing data set. Specifically, data was only included if the following conditions were met: i) phytoplankton were grown under nutrient-replete conditions, ii) ETR_{PSII} was measured by single-turnover instrumentation protocols (Kromkamp and Forster 2003), iii) 14 C incubations were derived from short

incubations <30 min to minimise respiration of C-fixed, iv) the concentration of functional PSII reaction centres [RCII] was determined, rather than assuming a constant relative to measured Chl-a concentration, and v) appropriate spectral correction factors were applied (see above). Although our measurements were performed at irradiances representing lightlimited photosynthesis (i.e. where $E/E_k < 1$ – we revisit this point later in the results section), much reported data is pooled from incubations performed under a range of irradiances spanning both light-limited and light-saturated photosynthesis $(E/E_k>1)$ (e.g. Suggett et al. 2009a), therefore we did not include E/E_k in our screening criteria. Statistical analysis

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for strain-specific differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$, using a Tukey test when significant differences were detected. All $\Phi_{e,C}$ data were log₁₀ transformed prior to statistical analysis to improve assumptions for ANOVA (IBM SPSS v20.0). Differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$ between phytoplankton classes and size classes were assessed by using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test due to violation of the Levene's test for homogeneity of variance. Comparison of $\Phi_{e,C}$ between diatoms and chlorophytes (main study data only) was performed using student's t-test where assumption of normality and equal variance were verified (tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene's tests respectively). Relationships between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and both growth rate, and NPQ_{NSV} were assessed by linear regression (R-software v. 3.2.1). Factors explaining variation in growth rates between strains were assessed using distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) – multivariate multiple regression of principal coordinate axes on predictor variables (PRIMER v6.0, PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK) using $\Phi_{e,C}$, POC:PON and cell volume as predictive variables. Principal component analysis (PCA, PRIMER v6.0) was used to identify patterns in data collated during the meta-analysis of the wider literature. Upon incorporating the additional data from

the meta-analysis, differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$ between taxonomic and size classes were evaluated using the non-parametric, Kruskal-Wallis test.

Results

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

Phytoplankton growth, elemental stoichiometry and photophysiology Growth rates varied considerably between strains, ranging from 0.24 (Tetraselmis sp.) to 0.84 d⁻¹ (N. closterium). Diatoms, together with Synechococcus sp., consistently exhibited the highest growth rates (0.75 - 0.84 d⁻¹), compared to chlorophytes which were generally low $(0.24 - 0.57 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1})$, with prymnesiophytes intermediate $(0.51 - 0.71 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1})$ (Table 2). Growth rates for strains measured outside of the main study are not reported here since we did not have sufficient fluorometry data prior to sampling from which to derive a comparable growth rate. Measured POC:PON ratios ranged from 4.97 to 9.59 across the 12 strains grown in the main study (i.e. excluding additional strains sourced from other studies) (Table 2). Diatoms had notably lower POC:PON ratios than most other classes, with all but D. brightwellii exhibiting values <6, together with the cryptophyte, R. salina. Generally, and as expected (see Suggett et al. 2009b, 2015), we observed inverse covariation between F_v/F_m and σ_{PSII} associated with cell volume (Table 2, Supplementary Fig S3), with the exception of the dinoflagellates that had relatively low F_v/F_m values together with larger σ_{PSII} despite their large cell volumes (Table 2). Synechococcus sp. also appeared to contradict this trend, most likely since the low value for σ_{PSII} reflects the unique combination of excitation LEDs employed in the FRRf protocol for this strain (450 nm + 624 nm). Photosynthetic unit (PSU) size ranged from 254.8 - 842.5 mol Chl-a (mol RCII)⁻¹, however most (14 out of 17) strains had a PSU size between 300 - 650 mol Chl-a (mol RCII)⁻¹ (Table 2). The cyanobacterium Synechococcus exhibited the smallest PSU size, likely since more

- 406 Chl-a is typically associated with PSI rather than PSII as opposed to the eukaryotic strains in
- 407 this study (Pakrasi et al. 1985).
- Interestingly, the light-saturation parameter, E_k (i.e. the irradiance at which photosynthesis –
- 409 measured here as ETR_{PSII} becomes light-saturated), when spectrally-corrected to match the
- growth conditions, was between 130 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for the majority of strains,
- 411 thus up to three-fold higher than growth irradiance (60 180 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, Table 2).
- Only three strains (R. salina and both prymnesiophytes) exhibited E_k values close to growth
- 413 irradiance, ranging from 52.2 58.8 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, whilst the single largest E_k value in
- 414 this study (~350 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) was measured in *Tetraselmis* sp. (CS-352). Since
- 415 ETR_{PSII} and ¹⁴C-uptake incubations were performed at irradiance levels close to growth
- 416 conditions, the irradiance (E) relative to E_k (i.e. E/E_k) was consequently <1 for most strains
- except R. salina (1.26), E. huxleyi (1.12) and P. pouchetti (1.01), thus practically all $\Phi_{e,C}$
- values reported in this study correspond to measurements during light-limited electron
- 419 transport rates (Table 2).
- 420 Simultaneous ¹⁴C-uptake and ETR_{PSII} incubations ($\Phi_{e,C}$)
- The mean measured value of $\Phi_{e,C}$ for this study was 5.7 ± 0.3 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ (n = 17), but
- ranged from 3.8 to 10.7 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹; with T. weissflogii and R. salina exhibiting $\Phi_{e,C}$
- values fractionally below the theoretical minimum of 4 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ (Fig. 1). Measured
- 424 $\Phi_{e,C}$ for the dinoflagellate *Durusdinium trenchii* was significantly higher (10.7 mol e⁻ [mol
- 425 C]⁻¹) than all other species in this study (ANOVA, $F_{16,34} = 8.42$, P < 0.05), whilst the values
- for *P. pouchetti* and *N. oculata* were also larger (7.8 and 7.2 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹, respectively)
- 427 than a number of other strains (ANOVA, $F_{16,34} = 8.42$, P < 0.05) (Fig. 1). For the remaining
- strains, $\Phi_{e,C}$ values were ~4-5 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ and not statistically distinguishable from one
- another (Fig. 1). Overall, the representative dinoflagellate and prymnesiophyte strains

exhibited a wider range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values compared to strains within other classes (Fig. 2a), suggesting that taxonomic class alone was not a reliable predictor for $\Phi_{e,C}$ for these groups. Indeed, no statistically-significant differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$ values were found between taxonomic classes when considering data from all strains (Kruskal-Wallis, $H_3 = 7.64$, P = 0.241). Given the reduced statistical power due to the limited number of replicates within certain classes, the analysis was repeated including only those classes with n=3 strains or higher: i.e. diatoms, dinoflagellates and chlorophytes. We still found no difference between classes (Kruskal-Wallis, $H_2 = 4.15$, P = 0.08). Because we could not entirely discount the possibility that inclusion of the dinoflagellate strains introduced a confounding factor of growth environment, we also examined $\Phi_{e,C}$ between diatoms and chlorophytes, finding that diatoms exhibited a lower mean $\Phi_{e,C}$ (t-test, $t_5 = -2.77$, P < 0.05) (Fig 2a). When binned into arbitrary size classes, $\Phi_{e,C}$ exhibited no statistical differences (Kruskal-Wallis, $H_3 = 4.11$, P = 0.25), although the 1,000-9,999 μ m³ size class exhibited the greatest range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (3.8-10.8) (Fig 2b) Relationship between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and other traits Consistent with our original expectation, we observed a significant inverse relationship between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and growth rate (R² = 0.49, P < 0.05, Fig. 3a); however, R. salina clearly contributed to lack of convergence of a linear fit, and the relationship improved considerably if this strain outlier was removed ($R^2 = 0.70$, P < 0.05, Fig. 3a). Linear regression showed no significant relationship between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and either cell volume (P = 0.28, Fig. 3b), or NPQ_{NSV} (P = 0.26, Fig. 3c). We further examined whether a greater extent of variability in growth rates could be explained from $\Phi_{e,C}$, when combined with additional traits associated with biophysical constraints (cell volume) and elemental composition (POC:PON ratio) that govern the efficiency with which light energy is converted to biomass (see Litchman et al. 2007). Approximately 55% of variability in growth rates for all strains combined mapped

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

onto 3 variables, thus offering only a slight improvement over $\Phi_{e,C}$ alone; again, removal of R. salina improved this to 87% (Fig. 4). Thus overall, the inclusion of additional variables resulted in only a marginally improved ability to reconcile variability between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and growth rates.

Resolving further trends in $\Phi_{e,C}$ by including meta-data

Incorporation of data mined from the extended literature (Supplementary Table S1), increased the total number of observations to n=25 (from our study alone, n=17), representing $\Phi_{e,C}$ measurements from taxonomic classes we previously evaluated (Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Dinophyceae and Cryptophyceae), plus one new class (Pelagophyceae). All of these additional data fell into three of our four arbitrarily-defined size classes, specifically 0-99 μ m³, 100-999 μ m³ and 1,000-9,999 μ m³. These additional data introduced variability of $\Phi_{e,C}$ within most taxonomic classes (Fig. 5a) compared to our original data set (Fig. 2a). Chlorophytes exhibited the widest range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (~5-16 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), closely followed by dinoflagellates (~4-15 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹) while diatoms were

e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), closely followed by dinoflagellates (~4-15 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹) while diatoms were still characterised by a relatively narrow range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (~4-7 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹); however diatoms and chlorophytes (which together comprised nearly half the total observations in this study) were no longer statistically distinguishable (t-test, $t_8 = 0.86$, P = 0.52).

Consideration of the full meta-data did not allow for statistical differences to be determined between size classes (Kruskal-Wallis $H_3 = 0.76$, P = 0.86) (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, linear regression revealed no significant relationship between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and either growth rate, cell volume or NPQ_{NSV} (Fig. 6a-c), suggesting that under light-limited conditions, $\Phi_{e,C}$ cannot be estimated using these other parameters that are easier to measure.

Discussion

Studies are increasingly turning towards examining and modelling $\Phi_{e,C}$ to retrieve estimates of C-fixation from FRRf-assessments of phytoplankton photosynthetic rates (as ETR_{PSII}) (Hughes et al. 2018b). However, these studies have been predominately empirically-based from measurements on natural phytoplankton communities, where it is difficult to reconcile conflated regulatory effects of taxa and environment upon $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Lawrenz et al. 2013, Zhu et al. 2017, 2019, Hughes et al. 2019). By growing 17 strains of phytoplankton belonging to seven classes under controlled laboratory conditions, and mining data from existing studies, we demonstrate taxonomic regulation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ at the species, and possibly class level, and further show that growth rate is an important factor related to $\Phi_{e,C}$ variability. Whilst this is still a statistical approach based on empirical data, by measuring $\Phi_{e,C}$ under controlled environmental conditions, we demonstrate that diatoms appear to exhibit a lower $\Phi_{e,C}$ compared to chlorophytes during steady-state, nutrient-replete growth. We next discuss these observations and how they can potentially improve our ability to apply FRRf for the widespread assessment of MPP.

493 Species-specific variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$

We observed $\Phi_{e,C}$ values ranging from ~3.8-10.7 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ from the phytoplankton cultures, which fall within the range of values reported by the (few) laboratory studies to date (Fujiki et al. 2007, Suggett et al. 2009a, Brading et al. 2011, Hoppe et al. 2015; see Supplementary Table S1), and well within the range of observations from the field (e.g. Lawrenz et al. 2013, Robinson et al. 2014, Zhu et al. 2016, Hughes et al. 2018b). In agreement with previous findings (Suggett et al. 2009a, Napoleon et al. 2013), our study identified species-specific differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$, with *D. trenchii*, *N. oculata*, and *P. pouchetti* exhibiting higher $\Phi_{e,C}$ values than other strains. Our measured $\Phi_{e,C}$ for *D. trenchii* (10.7 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹) was higher than those reported by Brading et al. (2013) for other members of Symbiodiniaceae – i.e., *Symbiodinium* strains (ITS types A13 and A20) under light-limited

photosynthesis (\sim 5 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹). Our findings that low intraspecific variability of C. goreaui (i.e. exhibiting a similar $\Phi_{e,C}$), supports observations by Brading et al. (2013) for Symbiodinium. To our knowledge no previous study has reported $\Phi_{e,C}$ for N. oculata, or P. *pouchetti*, thus it is not possible to evaluate our $\Phi_{e,C}$ values against previous measurements. However, for all remaining strains, $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (~4-6 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹) generally agreed well with the theoretical minimum value of 4-5 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹ (Hughes et al. 2018a), indicating a close-coupling of ETR_{PSII} to C-fixation, although values of $\Phi_{e,C}$ for T. weissflogii and R. salina fell just under this minimum threshold (3.9 and 3.8 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹ respectively). Values of $\Phi_{e,C}$ less than 4 occur relatively frequently in FRRf studies yet are difficult to reconcile with existing knowledge of the oxygenic photosynthesis pathway, and are thus commonly attributed to artifacts in methodology (see Hughes et al 2018a). By performing measurements under carefully-controlled laboratory conditions, we have eliminated most common sources of error (reviewed by Hughes et al. 2018a), but return to this point later when discussing possible effects of cellular pigment concentration upon determination of ETR_{PSII} (and thus $\Phi_{e,C}$). The observed inverse relationship between growth rate and $\Phi_{e,C}$ in this study supported our original expectation that taxa investing electrons more efficiently into fixed-C (i.e. those with a low $\Phi_{e,C}$), can sustain higher rates of growth. As the ratio of gross primary production (GPP - the total amount of C fixed during photosynthesis) to net primary production (NPP – the amount of fixed C retained over the duration of the cell cycle) remains fairly constant irrespective of growth rate (Halsey et al. 2010) this is not unexpected, and was demonstrated particularly well by diatoms, which consistently exhibited high growth rates together with a low and relatively narrow range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values. Considering the design of this study with $\Phi_{e,C}$ measurements made under nutrient-replete and a constant, relatively low irradiance, such an outcome appears logical. Under such favourable conditions, linear electron flow, which

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

generates the ATP and NADPH needed for carbon-assimilation (and thus, ultimately for cellular growth) is expected to be the dominant component of ETR_{PSII}, with little competition from alternative (i.e. non-C-fixing) electron sinks (McDonald et al. 2011) that would be expected to increase $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Hughes et al. 2018a). The nutrient replete and light limited growth conditions used in our study likely also explains why the overall range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values measured (~4-10 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), was relatively low compared to field observations (~2-50 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹). In their natural environment, phytoplankton cells regularly experience non-steady-state environmental conditions, with transient fluctuations in both light and nutrient availability, and thus operate under more "extreme" conditions than those examined here. Numerous field (Moore et al. 2006, Schuback et al 2015, 2017, Zhu et al 2016, 2017, 2019) and laboratory (Suggett et al. 2009a, Brading et al. 2013) studies have shown excess light to be a strong factor decoupling ETR_{PSII} and rates of C-fixation. In this study, $\Phi_{e,C}$ was measured at an irradiance approximating growth conditions, representing light-limited photosynthesis (i.e. $E/E_k \le 1$) for the majority of strains. However, in nature, irradiance can fluctuate over a range of time-scales (Falkowski, 1984), and thus phytoplankton cells are often subjected to extended periods of light-saturated photosynthesis where $E/E_k > 1$) (Moore et al. 2006). Thus, it is unlikely that our observations would hold true under a dynamic light field, where energy dissipation mechanisms (including non-C-fixing pathways which increase $\Phi_{e,C}$) play an important role in regulating photosynthetic performance (e.g. Cardol et al. 2011). This has obvious implications for using a single conversion factor when converting underway FRRf measurements of upper ocean ETR_{PSII} into estimates of fixed C. Currently, little is known as to how $\Phi_{e,C}$ may ultimately scale to a daily mean value under such a fluctuating light regime (but see Hoppe et al. 2015, Zhu et al. 2016, Schuback et al. 2016). Certainly, it is highly likely that taxa-specific differences would be a key factor here, as demonstrated by Wagner et al (2006) who showed

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

that the diatom, *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, was nearly twice as efficient at converting photosynthetic energy into biomass than the chlorophyte, *Chlorella vulgaris*, when grown under fluctuating light, attributing this difference to the highly-efficient NPQ of the diatom. Clearly an important step towards using FRRf to validate remote sensing estimates of MPP is to better understand the uncertainty related to how $\Phi_{e,C}$ responds to fluctuating light, and comparing this with uncertainties of other methods which also scale up incubation time(s) and conditions to daily MPP measures. Evidence for class-dependent variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$ Our study provided some evidence to suggest that diatoms may exhibit a lower $\Phi_{e,C}$ than chlorophytes – although it is important to note that this trend became apparent only after exclusion of dinoflagellate strains from the analysis on the basis of a possible confounding factor of growth condition (discussed below). Our evidence for $\Phi_{e,C}$ regulation at the class level contradicts findings of Napoleon et al. (2013), who reported inter-specific differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$ for both diatoms (Pseudo-nitzschia pungens and Asterionellopsis glacialis), and dinoflagellates (Heterocapsa sp. and Karenia mikimitoi), but no difference between classes. Previous laboratory studies of $\Phi_{e,C}$ (e.g. Suggett et al. 2009a, Brading et al. 2013) either contain too few representatives per phytoplankton class, or examine strains from only a single class, to make meaningful inferences regarding taxonomic regulation of $\Phi_{e,C}$ beyond that of strain/species level. Inclusion of such meta-data (Fig. 5) in our analysis only increased the observed variance, and indeed we could not detect statistical differences in $\Phi_{e,C}$ between classes. Interestingly, $\Phi_{e,C}$ for diatoms was still confined to a relatively narrow range of values despite the increased number of observations (n = 5). Suggett et al. (2006) showed that $\Phi_{e,C}$ values increased during a spring bloom transition from diatoms to (dino)flagellates, whilst Hughes et al.

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

(2018b) demonstrated via nutrient-enrichment bioassays, that decreasing $\Phi_{e,C}$ was largely

driven by a taxonomic shift from a co-dominated phytoplankton assemblage of diatoms and dinoflagellates, towards a diatom-only assemblage. Studies therefore appear to consistently report low $\Phi_{e,C}$ values for diatoms (Suggett et al. 2009a, Hoppe et al. 2015, Hughes et al. 2018b) perhaps suggesting that lower conversion factors (i.e. $\Phi_{e,C}$) could be routinely applied to diatom-dominated assemblages to retrieve C-fixation rates from ETR_{PSII} (at least under specific conditions, for example where nutrients are replete and photosynthesis is lightlimited). Overall, our range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values both within, and between taxonomic classes, appears consistent with previous studies of diatoms, chlorophytes and dinoflagellates under conditions of balanced, nutrient-replete growth (Suggett et al. 2009a, Brading et al. 2013, Hoppe et al. 2015). Variability of $\Phi_{e,C}$ between classes could be expected to be driven by variable demands for energy (ATP) and reductant (NADPH) to maintain optimal growth (Halsey et al. 2013), thus reflecting adaptive strategies to maintain photosynthetic fitness, which have their origins in evolutionary history. Indeed, it has recently been shown that adaptations in light-harvesting apparatus partially explain phylogenetic differences in the proportions of macromolecular pools (i.e. carbohydrates, lipids and proteins) between classes, consistent with observed C:N ratios in the field (see Finkel et al. 2016). Incorporation of N into biomass typically consumes electrons for reductive assimilation of an external N source (see Anderson 1995), thus $\Phi_{e,C}$ is expected to increase in parallel with cellular nitrogen content (Jakob et al. 2007, Hughes et al. 2018a). However, in our study C:N ratio did not appear to be a factor driving $\Phi_{e,C}$ variability, as the lowest measured POC:PON ratios (i.e. highest proportion of N per C biomass) often corresponded to strains with lowest $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (e.g. R. salina). It is however possible that taxa with larger pools of protein, would have a larger $\Phi_{e,C}$ due to the increased cellular maintenance costs for protein turnover (e.g. Quigg

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

and Beardall 2003), which can be directly coupled to the use of photo-produced ATP, instead

of via respiration. Whilst this notion would warrant testing, the relative production of ATP from photosynthetic versus respiratory metabolism would be difficult to quantify (Quigg and Beardall 2003), yet knowledge of protein content could provide additional insight here. Variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$ could also be expected to be driven by taxonomic differences in the downstream efficiency of CO₂-assimilation itself. Dinoflagellates are the only phytoplankton class in this study to possess form II of RuBisCO, characterised by poor CO₂:O₂ discrimination, and are thus more likely to exhibit photorespiration (Brading et al. 2013). It remains unclear, however, whether photorespiration is significant for microalgae or is instead overcome by the expenditure of photo-produced ATP to fuel carbon-concentrating mechanisms (e.g. Badger et al. 1980). No overarching explanation for $\Phi_{e,C}$ variability through cell size Cell size is considered a "master trait" that constrains many physiological and ecological characteristics of phytoplankton, including photosynthetic performance (Finkel et al. 2009). Cell size, captured in this study as biovolume, influences PSII light absorption efficiency (Ciotti et al. 2002), the efficiency with which absorbed light is used to drive photochemistry (Suggett et al. 2009b) and, thus ultimately photosynthetic electron transport (Suggett et al. 2009a, Rattan et al. 2012). Generally, when normalised to cell size, photosynthetic rates tend to be lower for phytoplankton of larger size classes (Bouman et al. 2005, Barnes et al. 2015), as surface-area-to-volume ratio imposes biophysical constraints upon light absorption and nutrient-uptake (Marra et al. 2007). Interestingly, we found that $\Phi_{e,C}$ scaled to growth rate, suggesting biophysical constraints over $\Phi_{e,C}$, yet we did not observe the expected relationship between cell volume and growth rate that would be expected from cell size constraints. Commonly, a reduction in growth rate corresponding to increasing cell size is documented for phytoplankton (Geider et al. 1986), thus our observations would appear to support that $\Phi_{e,C}$ was not subjected to biophysical constraints of cell size. However, we also point out that

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

it has been previously demonstrated that growth rate may be taxa-dependent, with diatoms and dinoflagellates of equivalent size exhibiting up to a three-fold difference in cell division rate under identical conditions (Banse, 1982).

A notable exception to the generally close-coupling of $\Phi_{e,C}$ and cellular growth rate was observed for the cryptophyte, R. salina, which despite having one of the lowest $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (~4 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), also exhibited a low growth rate during the study (0.39 d⁻¹). A possible explanation is that the small volume ¹⁴C-method used in this study captures total organic carbon fixed over the incubation period, and does not discriminate between particulate organic carbon (POC) retained for cellular growth, and any extracellular release of newly-fixed carbon as dissolved organic carbon (DOC). A study by Fukuzaki et al. (2014) found a closely-related cryptophyte species ($Rhodomonas\ ovalis$) to be a prolific producer of fluorescent dissolved organic matter (of which DOC was likely the largest component) when compared to a range of other phytoplankton taxa. Unaccounted-for DOC release by R. salina would decouple GPP from NPP and could thus explain why R. salina grew relatively slowly despite exhibiting a low $\Phi_{e,C}$ value, however this was not explicitly measured and warrants further targeted examination.

Optimality of growth conditions

Given the negative linear relationship between growth rate and $\Phi_{e,C}$ (Fig. 3a), but not cell volume, it raises the interesting question as to whether growth rates in our study were a proxy for perceived quality of growth condition for each strain, and whether this may be responsible for driving observed variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$. Variation in phytoplankton growth rates has been shown to influence lifetimes of newly-fixed carbon (Halsey et al. 2010, 2011, 2013) leading to a variable C-uptake rate somewhere between GPP and NPP as measured by short incubations of 20-60 min, reflecting varying extents to which energy and reductant are

utilised for cellular maintenance. In the studies of Halsey et al. (2010, 2011, 2013) different growth rates were achieved by nutrient limitation - a factor that is unlikely to be an issue for our study as strains were maintained under nutrient replete conditions. A key environmental variable that should be considered in the context of this study however is temperature. Temperature exerts considerable influence over key phytoplankton traits including growth, electron transport and carbon fixation (Baker et al. 2016), with considerable variability in physiological responses to sub- and supra-optimal temperatures, observed both within and between phytoplankton species (e.g. Pittera et al. 2014, Baker et al. 2016, Varkey et al. 2016). All strains in this study (with the exception of Symbiodiniaceae) were grown at a single temperature (20 °C), thus variability introduced in $\Phi_{e,C}$ (and indeed growth rates) due to differing thermal preferenda of strains cannot be adequately accounted-for without thermal performance curves (e.g. Baker et al. 2016), and should be considered when interpreting trends reported here. A final area of consideration is how pigment chemodiversity among phytoplankton strains and spectral quality of the growth conditions (cool white fluorescent tube) may have contributed to variability in growth rate(s), and therefore potentially influenced the observed relationship between growth rate and $\Phi_{e,C}$. Unfortunately the spectral quality of the incubator was not measured at the time of the study therefore not permitting a spectral-adjustment of growth rates – although, we estimate based on previous measurements of similar light sources that differences in absorption could account for 25-50% variability in growth rates across taxa in this study (data not shown). Consideration of "package effects" upon Ka A recent study by Boatman et al. (2019) demonstrated that packaging of chlorophyll and light-harvesting pigments into phytoplankton cells, referred to as the "package effect" (Kirk 1975, Bricaud et al. 1995) represents a potential source of error when calculating ETR_{PSII}

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

(and hence $\Phi_{e,C}$) when using fluorometric estimation of [RCII]_(FRRf) (e.g. Oxborough et al.

2010). Specifically, this error arises when applying an instrument-specific constant, K_a, (m⁻¹) 678 679 not corrected to account for re-absorption of photons generated by PSII fluorescence within 680 the cell before exiting – a phenomenon that increases in magnitude with increasing package 681 effect (Boatman et al. 2019). While a corrective procedure is described by Boatman et al. 682 (2019), this requires the measuring fluorometer to be equipped with dual narrow-band pass 683 filters (at 680 and 730 nm), an atypical configuration for many FRRf instruments currently in 684 use, including the model used here. 685 Boatman et al. (2019) reported post-corrected values for K_a ranging from ~8,000–25,000 m⁻¹ 686 across 11 phytoplankton strains grown under nutrient-replete conditions. This represents a far 687 wider range of K_a values than previously established by field studies (Oxborough et al. 2010) used to derive the default value (11,800 m⁻¹) for the instrument used in our study. 688 689 Theoretically, in a worst case scenario, left uncorrected, package effects may translate to a 690 roughly two-fold underestimate of $\Phi_{e,C}$ reported here for species with the highest pigment packaging. For example, Boatman et al. (2019) reported a corrected K_a value for T. 691 pseudonana of 25,743 m⁻¹, which if applied for the same species in our study would adjust 692 $\Phi_{e,C}$ from 5.6 to 13.6 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹. However, it is important to note that pigment 693 694 packaging levels for a given isolate are dependent upon growth condition (Topel et al. 2005) 695 and physiological condition (Berner et al. 1989), thus interchanging K_a values between 696 studies is unlikely to prove robust. Moreover, only four species are common to our current 697 data set and that of Boatman et al. (2019): T. pseudonana, T. weissflogii, D. tertiolecta and E. 698 huxleyi, thereby precluding thorough evaluation of the error in our dataset arising from 699 uncorrected package effects. Certainly, the packaging-adjusted K_a values reported by 700 Boatman et al. (2019) for nutrient replete cultures were larger than our default K_a value for all 701 but one species in their study (*Chlorella vulgaris*), suggesting that absolute $\Phi_{e,C}$ reported here 702 may be consistently underestimated. However, we also point out that our study includes taxa

not assessed by Boatman et al. (2019), notably dinoflagellates, a cryptophyte (R. salina) and a cyanobacterium (Synechococcus sp.) for which package effects on Ka remains undetermined, and in the case of R. salina for example, this could be a contributing factor towards why measured a $\Phi_{e,C}$ value falling just under the theoretical minimum value of 4 mol e⁻ (mol C)⁻¹. Interestingly, it appears that the extent to which package effects influences Ka is not predictable by cell size, but rather by optical characteristics of a given taxon (Boatman et al. 2019). Despite limited ability to resolve how package effects may, or may not, be driving trends observed here, it remains an important consideration when interpreting reported values for $\Phi_{e,C}$ derived from fluorometric estimates of [RCII]. NPO_{NSV} provides limited predictive capability for $\Phi_{e,C}$ under light-limited conditions In efforts to identify empirical relationships between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and (more easily measured) biophysical properties, we observed poor correspondence between NPQ_{NSV} and $\Phi_{e,C}$: an outcome that appears to contradict observations from natural phytoplankton communities (Schuback et al. 2015, 2016, 2017, Zhu et al 2016, Hughes et al. 2018b, Wei et al. 2019). Such an empirical relationship presumably depends upon a mechanistic link between the upregulation of alternative electron pathways in response to high excitation pressure which increases $\Phi_{e,C}$, and the simultaneous generation of ΔpH that activates thermal-dissipation mechanisms in the PSII antenna (detected as an increased NPQ_{NSV} signature) (Nawrocki et al. 2015, Schuback et al. 2015, Hughes et al. 2018a). It is therefore perhaps unsurprising that we found no correlation between NPQ_{NSV} and $\Phi_{e,C}$ in our study since incubations were predominately performed during conditions of low excitation pressure (i.e. light-limited photosynthesis). Field observations of a correlation between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and NPQ_{NSV} often appear to be driven by cells experiencing dynamic stress to nutrient availability (Schuback et al. 2016, Hughes et al. 2018b) or light exposure (Zhu et al. 2016). While phytoplankton strains were maintained

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

under steady-state conditions in the present study, we still observed a fairly large range of NPO_{NSV} values (0.35-1.81) across all strains, indicating some degree of taxonomic control over this fluorescence parameter. NPQ_{NSV} quantifies the thermal dissipation of absorbed energy, but the regulation and mechanisms by which this is accomplished, vary considerably between taxa (Kaňa et al. 2012). For example, NPQ in diatoms is characterised by a diadinoxanthin-based xanthophyll cycle which is rapidly triggered by ΔpH (Lavaud and Groth 2006), compared to the violaxanthin-based xanthophyll cycle found in chlorophytes over which ΔpH has less control (Finazzi et al. 2006), or the entirely different NPQ found in cyanobacteria associated with the orange carotenoid protein (OCP, Kiriliovsky et al. 2007). In comparison to the NPQ_{NSV} values measured in this study, Hughes et al. 2018b measured a similar range of NPQ_{NSV} for a predominately diatom-dominated natural assemblage, although this corresponded to a much larger range of $\Phi_{e,C}$ values (~4-16 mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), and presumably included cells in various nutritional (and hence photophysiological) states. Indeed, it is increasingly clear that the slopes of the relationship reported between $\Phi_{e,C}$ and NPO_{NSV} are highly variable between studies, complicating our ability to utilise NPO_{NSV} as a reliable predictor of $\Phi_{e,C}$ unless the cause of this variance is better understood. Measures of ambient light and dissolved nutrients are clearly important contextual observations during field studies. The taxonomic differences in NPQ_{NSV} highlighted here may explain at least some of that variability across prior recent field studies, and merit further investigation. Certainly, it could be valuable to determine if a minimum, taxa-specific "threshold" for NPQ_{NSV} exists that could be used to identify $\Phi_{e,C}$ values close to the theoretical minimum regardless of variability in slopes.

Conclusions

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

By conducting a novel assessment of $\Phi_{e,C}$ variability across phytoplankton taxa from both the current and prior culture-based studies, we have demonstrated that inter-specific differences,

together with growth rate may be important factors contributing to observed variability of $\Phi_{e,C}$ amongst marine phytoplankton. We also highlight a possible role for inter-class differences that require further validation. Our empirical observations of co-variance between growth rate and $\Phi_{e,C}$ potentially provides a new means with which $\Phi_{e,C}$ could be predicted, an important step for scaling-up the application of FFRf to improve knowledge of C-cycling in the global oceans. Improved measures in determining phytoplankton growth rates (Behrenfeld et al. 2008) and cell size (Bracher et al. 2017) at global scales may prove key elements in realising this goal. Previous studies have considered $\Phi_{e,C}$ variance predominantly due to environmental regulation, but we have shown that a broader phenotypic trait indicative of fitness, e.g. growth rate co-varies with $\Phi_{e,C}$. While we are unable to fully resolve the mechanisms responsible for explaining this co-variance, our data provides new insight to explore potential cellular properties regulating $\Phi_{e,C}$ across phytoplankton taxa. Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Paul Brooks, Gemma Armstrong, Charlotte Robinson and

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

Joseph Crosswell for providing technical support and advice at various stages during the experiment. We also acknowledge valuable discussions across the peer community in distilling the concepts presented here; in particular, we thank Kevin Oxborough, Doug Campbell and Mark Moore. The contribution of DJS was supported by an ARC Future Fellowship (FT130100202, and input of MD and DJS enhanced through involvement with an ARC Linkage Infrastructure, Equipment and Facilities project LE160100146 led by David Antoine. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

- 774 References
- Anderson, L. A. 1995. On the hydrogen and oxygen content of marine phytoplankton. *Deep*
- 776 Sea Res., Part I 42: 1675-1680.
- Badger, M. R., Kaplan, A. & Berry, J. A. 1980. Internal inorganic carbon pool of
- 778 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: Evidence for a carbon dioxide-concentrating mechanism. Plant
- 779 *Physiol.* 66: 407-413.
- 780 Baker, K. G., Robinson, C. M., Radford, D. T., McInnes, A. S., Evenhuis, C. & Doblin, M.
- A. 2016. Thermal performance curves of functional traits aid understanding of thermally
- induced changes in diatom-mediated biogeochemical fluxes. Front. Mar. Sci. 3: 44.
- 783 Banse, K. 1982. Cell volumes, maximal growth rates of unicellular algae and ciliates, and the
- role of ciliates in the marine pelagial. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 27: 1059-1071.
- 785 Barnes, M. K., Tilstone, G. H., Smyth, T. J., Widdicombe, C. E., Gloël, J., Robinson, C.,
- 786 Kaiser, J. & Suggett, D. J. 2015. Drivers and effects of *Karenia mikimotoi* blooms in the
- 787 western English Channel. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 137: 456-469.
- 788 Behrenfeld, M. J., Halsey, K. H. & Milligan, A. J. 2008. Evolved physiological responses of
- 789 phytoplankton to their integrated growth environment. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*, B 363: 2687-
- 790 2703.
- Bouman, H., Platt, T., Sathyendranath, S. & Stuart, V. 2005. Dependence of light-saturated
- 792 photosynthesis on temperature and community structure. Deep Sea Res., Part I 52: 1284-
- 793 1299.
- 794 Bracher, A., Bouman, H. A., Brewin, R. J. W., Bricaud, A., Brotas, V., Ciotti, A. M.,
- 795 Clementson, L., Devred, E., Di Cicco, A., Dutkiewicz, S., Hardman-Mountford, N. J.,

- Hickman, A. E., Hieronymi, M., Hirata, T., Losa, S. N., Mouw, C. B., Organelli, E., Raitsos,
- 797 D. E., Uitz, J., Vogt, M. & Wolanin, A. 2017. Obtaining phytoplankton diversity from ocean
- 798 color: a scientific roadmap for future development. Front. Mar. Sci. 4: 55.
- 799 Brading, P., Warner, M. E., Davey, P., Smith, D. J., Achterberg, E. P. & Suggett, D. J. 2011.
- Differential effects of ocean acidification on growth and photosynthesis among phylotypes of
- 801 Symbiodinium (Dinophyceae). Limnol. Oceanogr. 56: 927-938.
- Brading, P., Warner, M. E., Smith, D. J. & Suggett, D. J. 2013. Contrasting modes of
- inorganic carbon acquisition amongst *Symbiodinium* (Dinophyceae) phylotypes. *New Phytol*.
- 804 200: 432-442.
- Bricaud, A., Babin, M., Morel, A. & Claustre, H. 1995. Variability in the chlorophyll-specific
- absorption coefficients of natural phytoplankton: Analysis and parameterization. J. Geophys.
- 807 Res.: Oceans 100: 13321-13332.
- 808 Campbell, J., Antoine, D., Armstrong, R., Arrigo, K., Balch, W., Barber, R., Behrenfeld, M.,
- Bidigare, R., Bishop, J., Carr, M.E. & Esaias, W. 2002. Comparison of algorithms for
- 810 estimating ocean primary production from surface chlorophyll, temperature, and irradiance.
- 811 Global Biogeochem. Cycles 16: 9-1-9-15.
- 812 Cardol, P., Forti, G. & Finazzi, G. 2011. Regulation of electron transport in microalgae.
- 813 Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 1807: 912-918.
- Ciotti, A. M., Lewis, M. R. & Cullen, J. J. 2002. Assessment of the relationships between
- dominant cell size in natural phytoplankton communities and the spectral shape of the
- absorption coefficient. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 47: 404-417.

- Falkowski, P. G. 1984. Physiological responses of phytoplankton to natural light regimes. J.
- 818 *Plankton Res.* 6: 295-307.
- Finazzi, G., Johnson, G. N., Dall'osto, L., Zito, F., Bonente, G., Bassi, R. & Wollman, F-A.
- 820 2006. Nonphotochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence in *Chlamydomonas*
- reinhardtii. Biochemistry 45: 1490-1498.
- 822 Finkel, Z. V., Beardall, J., Flynn, K. J., Quigg, A., Rees, T. A. V. & Raven, J. A. 2009.
- Phytoplankton in a changing world: cell size and elemental stoichiometry. J. Plankton Res.
- 824 32: 119-137.
- 825 Finkel, Z. V., Follows, M. J., Liefer, J. D., Brown, C. M., Benner, I. & Irwin, A. J. 2016.
- Phylogenetic diversity in the macromolecular composition of microalgae. *PloS ONE* 11:
- 827 e0155977.
- Fujiki, T., Suzue, T., Kimoto, H. & Saino, T. 2007. Photosynthetic electron transport in
- 829 Dunaliella tertiolecta (Chlorophyceae) measured by fast repetition rate fluorometry: relation
- to carbon assimilation. J. Plankton Res. 29: 199-208.
- Fukuzaki, K., Imai, I., Fukushima, K., Ishii, K-I., Sawayama, S. & Yoshioka, T. 2014.
- 832 Fluorescent characteristics of dissolved organic matter produced by bloom-forming coastal
- phytoplankton. J. Plankton Res. 36: 685-694.
- Geider, R. J., Platt, T. & Raven, J. A. 1986. Size dependence of growth and photosynthesis in
- diatoms: a synthesis. Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser. 30: 93-104.
- 636 Guillard, R. R. & Ryther, J. H. 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms: I. Cyclotella
- 837 nana Hustedt, and Detonula confervacea (cleve) Gran. Can. J. Microbiol. 8: 229-239.

- Halsey, K. H. & Jones, B. M. 2015. Phytoplankton strategies for photosynthetic energy
- 839 allocation. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7: 265-297.
- Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J. & Behrenfeld, M. J. 2010. Physiological optimization underlies
- growth rate-independent chlorophyll-specific gross and net primary production. *Photosynth*.
- 842 *Res.* 103: 125-137.
- Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J. & Behrenfeld, M. J. 2011. Linking time-dependent
- carbon-fixation efficiencies in *Dunaliella tertiolecta* (chlorophyceae) to underlying metabolic
- 845 pathways. *J. Phycol.* 47: 66-76.
- Halsey, K. H., O'malley, R. T., Graff, J. R., Milligan, A. J. & Behrenfeld, M. J. 2013. A
- 847 common partitioning strategy for photosynthetic products in evolutionarily distinct
- phytoplankton species. New Phytol. 198: 1030-1038.
- Hancke, K., Dalsgaard, T., Sejr, M. K., Markager, S. & Glud, R. N. 2015. Phytoplankton
- productivity in an Arctic Fjord (West Greenland): estimating electron requirements for
- carbon fixation and oxygen production. *PloS ONE* 10: e0133275.
- Hoppe, C. J. M., Holtz, L-M., Trimborn, S. & Rost, B. 2015. Ocean acidification decreases
- the light-use efficiency in an Antarctic diatom under dynamic but not constant light. New
- 854 *Phytol.* 207: 159-171.
- Hughes, D. J., Campbell, D. A., Doblin, M. A., Kromkamp, J. C., Lawrenz, E., Moore, C. M.
- 856 & Suggett, D. J. 2018a. Roadmaps and detours: Active chlorophyll-a assessments of primary
- productivity across marine and freshwater systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52: 12039-12054.

- Hughes, D. J., Crosswell, J. R., Doblin, M. A., Oxborough, K., Ralph, P. J., Varkey, D. &
- 859 Suggett, D. J. 2020. Dynamic variability of the electron requirement for carbon fixation in
- eastern Australian waters. J. Mar. Syst. 202: 103252.
- Hughes, D. J., Varkey, D., Doblin, M. A., Ingleton, T., McInnes, A., Ralph, P. J., van
- Dongen-Vogels, V. & Suggett, D. J. 2018b. Impact of nitrogen availability upon the electron
- requirement for carbon fixation in Australian coastal phytoplankton communities. *Limnol*.
- 864 Oceanogr. 63: 1891-1910.
- Huot, Y. & Babin, M. 2010. Overview of fluorescence protocols: theory, basic concepts, and
- practice. In D. Suggett, O. Prášil & M. Borowitzka [Eds.], Chlorophyll a fluorescence in
- aquatic sciences: Methods and applications. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 31-74.
- Jacox, M. G., Edwards, C. A., Kahru, M., Rudnick, D. L. & Kudela, R. M. 2015. The
- potential for improving remote primary productivity estimates through subsurface
- 870 chlorophyll and irradiance measurement. *Deep Sea Res.*, *Part II* 112: 107-116.
- Jakob, T., Wagner, H., Stehfest, K. & Wilhelm, C. 2007. A complete energy balance from
- photons to new biomass reveals a light-and nutrient-dependent variability in the metabolic
- costs of carbon assimilation. J. Exp. Bot. 58: 2101-2112.
- Kaňa, R., Kotabová, E., Sobotka, R. & Prášil, O. 2012. Non-photochemical quenching in
- 875 cryptophyte alga *Rhodomonas salina* is located in Chlorophyll a/c antennae. *PLoS ONE* 7:
- 876 e29700.
- Key, T., McCarthy, A., Campbell, D. A., Six, C., Roy, S. & Finkel, Z. V. 2010. Cell size
- trade-offs govern light exploitation strategies in marine phytoplankton. *Environ. Microbiol.*
- 879 12: 95-104.

- Kirilovsky, D. & Wilson, A. 2007. A new photoactive protein acting as a sensor of light
- intensity: the Orange Carotenoid Protein (OCP). *Photosynth. Res.* 91: 291-292.
- Kirk, J. T. O. 1975. A theoretical analysis of the contribution of algal cells to the attenuation
- of light within natural waters I. General treatment of suspensions of pigmented cells. *New*
- 884 *Phytol.* 75: 11-20.
- Knap, A., Michaels, A., Close, A., Ducklow, H. & Dickson, A. 1996. Protocols for the Joint
- 886 Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) core measurements. Intergovernmental Oceanographic
- 887 Commission Manuals and Guides: 29, JGOFS Report; 19. UNESCO-IOC, Paris, France, 170
- 888 pp.
- Kolber, Z. & Falkowski, P. G. 1993. Use of active fluorescence to estimate phytoplankton
- photosynthesis in situ. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 38: 1646-1665.
- Kolber, Z. S., Prášil, O. & Falkowski, P. G. 1998. Measurements of variable chlorophyll
- 892 fluorescence using fast repetition rate techniques: defining methodology and experimental
- protocols. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg.* 1367: 88-106.
- Kromkamp, J. C. & Forster, R. M. 2003. The use of variable fluorescence measurements in
- aquatic ecosystems: differences between multiple and single turnover measuring protocols
- and suggested terminology. Eur. J. Phycol. 38: 103-112.
- 897 Langner, U., Jakob, T., Stehfest, K. & Wilhelm, C. 2009. An energy balance from absorbed
- 898 photons to new biomass for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Chlamydomonas acidophila*
- under neutral and extremely acidic growth conditions. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 32: 250-258.

- Lavaud, J. & Kroth, P. G. 2006. In diatoms, the transthylakoid proton gradient regulates the
- 901 photoprotective non-photochemical fluorescence quenching beyond its control on the
- 902 xanthophyll cycle. Plant Cell Physiol. 47: 1010-1016.
- Lawrenz, E., Silsbe, G., Capuzzo, E., Ylöstalo, P., Forster, R. M., Simis, S. G. H., Prášil, O.,
- Wromkamp, J. C., Hickman, A. E., Moore, C. M., Forget, M-H., Geider, R. J. & Suggett, D.
- J. 2013. Predicting the electron requirement for carbon fixation in seas and oceans. *PLoS*
- 906 *ONE* 8: e58137.
- 907 Lewis, M. R. & Smith, J. C. 1983. A small volume, short-incubation-time method for
- measurement of photosynthesis as a function of incident irradiance. Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.
- 909 13: 99-102.
- 910 Longhurst, A., Sathyendranath, S., Platt, T. & Caverhill, C. 1995. An estimate of global
- primary production in the ocean from satellite radiometer data. J. Plankton Res. 17: 1245-
- 912 1271.
- 913 Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C. A., Schofield, O. M. & Falkowski, P. G. 2007. The role of
- 914 functional traits and trade-offs in structuring phytoplankton communities: scaling from
- 915 cellular to ecosystem level. *Ecol. Lett.* 10: 1170-1181.
- 916 Lutz, V. A., Sathyendaranath, S., Head, E. J., & Li, W. K. 2001. Changes in the in vivo
- absorption and fluorescence excitation spectra with growth irradiance in three species of
- 918 phytoplankton. J. Plankton Res. 23: 555-569.
- 919 Malerba, M. E., Palacios, M. M., Palacios Delgado, Y. M., Beardall, J. & Marshall, D. J.
- 920 2018. Cell size, photosynthesis and the package effect: an artificial selection approach. New
- 921 Phytol. 219: 449-461.

- Marra, J. 2009. Net and gross productivity: weighing in with ¹⁴C. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 56:
- 923 123-131.
- Marra, J. 2012. Comment on "Measuring primary production rates in the ocean: Enigmatic
- 925 results between incubation and non-incubation methods at Station ALOHA" by PD Quay et
- al. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 26: GB2031.
- 927 Marra, J., Trees, C. C. & O'reilly, J. E. 2007. Phytoplankton pigment absorption: a strong
- 928 predictor of primary productivity in the surface ocean. *Deep Sea Res.*, *Part I* 54: 155-163.
- 929 Mcdonald, A. E., Ivanov, A. G., Bode, R., Maxwell, D. P., Rodermel, S. R. & Hüner, N. P.
- 930 2011. Flexibility in photosynthetic electron transport: the physiological role of plastoquinol
- 931 terminal oxidase (PTOX). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg. 1807: 954-967.
- 932 Mckew, B. A., Davey, P., Finch, S. J., Hopkins, J., Lefebvre, S.C., Metodiev, M. V.,
- Oxborough, K., Raines, C. A., Lawson, T. & Geider, G. J. 2013. The trade-off between the
- 934 light-harvesting and photoprotective functions of fucoxanthin-chlorophyll proteins dominates
- 935 light acclimation in *Emiliania huxleyi* (clone CCMP 1516). New Phytol. 200: 74-85.
- 936 Melrose, D. C., Oviatt, C. A., O'reilly, J. E. & Berman, M. S. 2006. Comparisons of fast
- 937 repetition rate fluorescence estimated primary production and ¹⁴C uptake by phytoplankton.
- 938 *Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser.* 311: 37-46.
- 939 Milligan, A. J., Halsey, K. H. & Behrenfeld, M. J. 2015. Advancing interpretations of 14C-
- 940 uptake measurements in the context of phytoplankton physiology and ecology. J. Plankton
- 941 *Res.* 37: 692-698.

- Moore, C. M., Suggett, D. J., Hickman, A. E., Kim, Y-N, Tweddle, J. F., Sharples, J.,
- 943 Geider, R. J. & Holligan, P. M. 2006. Phytoplankton photoacclimation and photoadaptation
- in response to environmental gradients in a shelf sea. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51: 936-949.
- Napoleon, C., Raimbault, V. & Claquin, P. 2013. Influence of nutrient stress on the
- 946 relationships between PAM measurements and carbon incorporation in four phytoplankton
- 947 species. *PLoS ONE* 8: e66423.
- Nawrocki, W. J., Tourasse, N. J., Taly, A., Rappaport, F. & Wollman, F. A. 2015. The plastid
- 949 terminal oxidase: its elusive function points to multiple contributions to plastid physiology.
- 950 Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 66: 49-74.
- Oxborough, K., Moore, C. M., Suggett, D. J., Lawson, T., Chan, H. G. & Geider, R. J. 2012.
- 952 Direct estimation of functional PSII reaction center concentration and PSII electron flux on a
- volume basis: a new approach to the analysis of Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf)
- 954 data. Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 10: 142-154.
- Pakrasi, H. B., Riethman, H. C. & Sherman, L. A. 1985. Organization of pigment proteins in
- 956 the photosystem II complex of the cyanobacterium *Anacystis nidulans* R2. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
- 957 Sci. U. S. A. 82: 6903-6907.
- 958 Pittera, J., Humily, F., Thorel, M., Grulois, D., Garczarek, L. & Six, C. 2014. Connecting
- 959 thermal physiology and latitudinal niche partitioning in marine Synechococcus. ISME J. 8:
- 960 1221-1236.
- 961 Platt, T., Gallegos, C. & Harrison, W. 1980. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in natural
- assemblages of marine phytoplankton. J. Mar. Res. 38: 103-111.

- Quay, P., Peacock, C., Björkman, K. & Karl, D. 2010. Measuring primary production rates in
- the ocean: Enigmatic results between incubation and non-incubation methods at Station
- 965 ALOHA. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 24: GB3014.
- 966 Quigg, A. & Beardall, J. 2003. Protein turnover in relation to maintenance metabolism at low
- 967 photon flux in two marine microalgae. *Plant, Cell Environ.* 26: 693-703.
- Rattan, K. J., Taylor, W. D. & Smith, R. E. 2012. Nutrient status of phytoplankton across a
- trophic gradient in Lake Erie: evidence from new fluorescence methods. Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
- 970 *Sci.* 69: 94-111.
- 971 Regaudie-De-Gioux, A., Lasternas, S., Agustí, S. & Duarte, C. M. 2014. Comparing marine
- 972 primary production estimates through different methods and development of conversion
- 973 equations. Front. Mar. Sci. 1: 19.
- Roberty, S., Bailleul, B., Berne, N., Franck, F. & Cardol, P. 2014. PSI Mehler reaction is the
- main alternative photosynthetic electron pathway in *Symbiodinium* sp., symbiotic
- 976 dinoflagellates of cnidarians. New Phytol. 204: 81-91.
- Robinson, C., Suggett, D. J., Cherukuru, N., Ralph, P. J. & Doblin, M. A. 2014. Performance
- of Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry based estimates of primary productivity in coastal waters.
- 979 J. Mar. Syst. 139: 299-310.
- Roesler, C. S. 1998. Theoretical and experimental approaches to improve the accuracy of
- particulate absorption coefficients derived from the quantitative filter technique. *Limnol*.
- 982 Oceanogr. 43: 1649-1660.

- Ros, M., Camp, E.F., Hughes, D.J., Crosswell, J.R., Warner, M.E., Leggat, W.P. and Suggett,
- 984 D.J. 2020. Unlocking the black-box of inorganic carbon-uptake and utilization strategies
- among coral endosymbionts (Symbiodiniaceae). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* doi: 10.1002/lno.11416
- Saba, V. S., Friedrichs, M. A., Antoine, D., Armstrong, R. A., Asanuma, I., Behrenfeld, M.
- J., Ciotti, A. M., Dowell, M., Hoepffner, N., Hyde, K. J. W. & Ishizaka, J. 2011. An
- evaluation of ocean color model estimates of marine primary productivity in coastal and
- pelagic regions across the globe. *Biogeosciences* 8: 489-503.
- 990 Schuback, N., Flecken, M., Maldonado, M. T. & Tortell, P. D. 2016. Diurnal variation in the
- 991 coupling of photosynthetic electron transport and carbon fixation in iron-limited
- phytoplankton in the NE subarctic Pacific. *Biogeosciences* 13: 1019-1035.
- 993 Schuback, N., Hoppe, C. J., Tremblay, J. É., Maldonado, M. T. & Tortell, P. D. 2017.
- Primary productivity and the coupling of photosynthetic electron transport and carbon
- 995 fixation in the Arctic Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 62: 898-921.
- 996 Schuback, N., Schallenberg, C., Duckham, C., Maldonado, M. T. & Tortell, P. D. 2015.
- 997 Interacting effects of light and iron availability on the coupling of photosynthetic electron
- transport and CO₂-assimilation in marine phytoplankton. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0133235.
- 999 Schuback, N. & Tortell, P. D. 2019. Diurnal regulation of photosynthetic light absorption,
- electron transport and carbon fixation in two contrasting oceanic environments.
- 1001 *Biogeosciences* 16: 1381-1399.
- 1002 Silsbe, G. M., Oxborough, K., Suggett, D. J., Forster, R. M., Ihnken, S., Komárek, O.,
- Lawrenz, E., Prášil, O., Röttgers, R., Šicner, M. & Simis, S. G. 2015. Toward autonomous
- measurements of photosynthetic electron transport rates: An evaluation of active

- fluorescence-based measurements of photochemistry. Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods 13: 138-
- 1006 155.
- Steeman-Nielsen, E. 1952. The use of radioactive carbon (C¹⁴) for measuring organic
- production in the sea. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 18: 117-140.
- Suggett, D. J., Goyen, S., Evenhuis, C., Szabó, M., Pettay, D. T., Warner, M. E. & Ralph, P.
- J. 2015. Functional diversity of photobiological traits within the genus *Symbiodinium* appears
- to be governed by the interaction of cell size with cladal designation. New Phytol. 208: 370-
- 1012 381.
- 1013 Suggett, D. J., Maberly, S. C. & Geider, R. J. 2006. Gross photosynthesis and lake
- 1014 community metabolism during the spring phytoplankton bloom. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51: 2064-
- 1015 2076.
- 1016 Suggett, D. J., Macintyre, H. L., Kana, T. M. & Geider, R. J. 2009a. Comparing electron
- transport with gas exchange: parameterising exchange rates between alternative
- photosynthetic currencies for eukaryotic phytoplankton. *Aguat. Microb. Ecol.* 56: 147-162.
- Suggett, D. J., Moore, C. M., Hickman, A. E. & Geider, R. J. 2009b. Interpretation of fast
- repetition rate (FRR) fluorescence: signatures of phytoplankton community structure versus
- physiological state. Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser. 376: 1-19.
- Sun, J. & Liu, D. 2003. Geometric models for calculating cell biovolume and surface area for
- 1023 phytoplankton. *J. Plankton Res.* 25: 1331-1346.
- Toepel, J., Langner, U. & Wilhelm, C. 2005. Combination of flow cytometry and single cell
- absorption spectroscopy to study the phytoplankton structure and to calculate the Chl A
- specific absorption coefficients at the taxon level. J. Phycol. 41: 1099-1109.

- Varkey, D., Mazard, S., Ostrowski, M., Tetu, S. G., Haynes, P. & Paulsen, I. T. 2016. Effects
- of low temperature on tropical and temperate isolates of marine Synechococcus. ISME J. 10:
- 1029 1252-1263.
- 1030 Verma, A., Hoppenrath, M., Harwood, T., Brett, S., Rhodes, L. & Murray, S. 2016.
- Molecular phylogeny, morphology and toxigenicity of Ostreopsis cf. siamensis
- 1032 (Dinophyceae) from temperate south-east Australia. *Phycol. Res.* 64: 146–159.
- 1033 Verma, A., Hughes, D. J., Harwood, D. T., Suggett, D. J., Ralph, P. J. & Murray, S. A. 2020.
- Functional significance of phylogeographic structure in a toxic benthic marine microbial
- eukaryote over a latitudinal gradient along the East Australian Current. *Ecol. Evol.* DOI:
- 1036 10.1002/ece3.6358
- Wagner, H., Jakob, T. & Wilhelm, C. 2006. Balancing the energy flow from captured light to
- biomass under fluctuating light conditions. *New Phytol.* 169: 95-108.
- Wei, Y., Zhao, X. & Sun, J. 2019. Fast repetition rate fluorometry (FRRF) derived
- phytoplankton primary productivity in the Bay of Bengal. Front. Microbiol. 10: 1164.
- Wood, A. M., Everroad, R. & Wingard, L. 2005. Measuring growth rates in microalgal
- cultures. In R. A. Andersen [Ed.] *Algal Culturing Techniques: A Book for All Phycologists*.
- 1043 Elsevier, pp. 269-285.
- Wu, Y., Jeans, J., Suggett, D. J., Finkel, Z. V. & Campbell, D. A. 2014. Large centric diatoms
- allocate more cellular nitrogen to photosynthesis to counter slower RUBISCO turnover rates.
- 1046 Front. Mar. Sci. 1: 68.

- Zhu, Y., Ishizaka, J., Tripathy, S. C., Wang, S., Mino, Y., Matsuno, T. & Suggett, D. J. 2016.
- Variation of the photosynthetic electron transfer rate and electron requirement for daily net
- 1049 carbon fixation in Ariake Bay, Japan. J. Oceanogr. 72: 761-776.
- 1050 Zhu, Y., Suggett, D., Liu, C., He, J., Lin, L., Le, F., Ishizaka, J., Goes, J. & Hao, Q. 2019.
- Primary productivity dynamics in the summer Arctic Ocean confirms broad regulation of the
- electron requirement for carbon fixation by light-phytoplankton community interaction.
- 1053 Front. Mar. Sci. 6: 275.

Table 1 Summary of phytoplankton strains used in this study, indicating strain IDs and synonyms (where applicable) taxonomic class and cell volume (μ m³), together with growth conditions of temperature (°C) and photosynthetically active radiation, PAR (μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The grey shaded area represents strains sampled opportunistically in addition to the main study (note the different growth conditions).

Class	Species	Temperature	PAR	Media
		(°C)	(arouth)	
	Ditylum brightwellii CS-131	20	60	f/2
Bacillariophyceae	Nitzschia closterium CS-5	20	60	f/2
	Thalassiosira pseudonana CS-173; CCMP1335; 3H	20	60	f/2
	Thalassiosira weissflogii CS-871; CCMP1336	20	60	f/2
Chlorophyceae	Dunaliella tertiolecta CCMP1320, NEPCC1	20	60	f/2
	Tetraselmis sp. CS-91	20	60	f/2
	Tetraselmis sp. CS-352	20	60	f/2
Cyanophyceae	Synechococcus sp. CS-94, RRIMP N1 (S1)	20	60	f/2
Eustigmatophyceae	Nannochloropsis oculata CS-179	20	60	f/2
Prymnesiophyceae	Emiliania huxleyi CS-370	20	60	f/2
	Phaeocystis pouchetti CS-165	20	60	f/2
Cryptophyceae	Rhodomonas salina CS-692; CRJB02	20	60	f/2
Dinophyceae	Ostreopsis siamensis F3; FR3	20	60	f/10
	Ostreopsis siamensis MW3	20	60	f/10
	Durusdinium trenchii SCF082 (D1a)	26	180	IMK
	Claodocopium goreaui SCF058-04 (C123)	26	180	IMK
	Claodocopium goreaui SCF055-06 (C124)	26	180	IMK

Table 2 Mean (\pm SE) values of growth rate (μ , d⁻¹), particulate organic carbon to nitrogen ratio (POC:PON, dimensionless), maximum PSII photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m , unitless), PSII functional absorption cross-section (σ_{PSII} , nm² PSII⁻¹), turnover time of PSII (τ_{PSII} , ms), light saturation parameter (E_k , μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), incubation irradiance relative to E_k (E/E_k , dimensionless), photosynthetic unit size (PSU size, mol Chl-a [mol RCII]⁻¹) and spectral correction factors (SCF). Grey area shows strains sampled opportunistically, i.e. where certain measurements were not performed (-).

Species	μ	POC:PON	Cell Volume	$F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m}$	σ_{PSII}	$ au_{\mathrm{PSII}}$	$E_{ m k}$	$E/E_{\rm k}$	PSU size	SCF
D. tertiolecta	0.35 (0.01)	6.43	293 (21)	0.51	2.98	611.0	151.8 (7.1)	0.27	565.6 (143.9)	0.38
Tetraselmis sp. (CS-91)	0.57 (0.01)	6.46	301 (17)	0.56	2.99	696.3	157.5 (2.7)	0.28	306.5 (7.5)	0.49
Tetraselmis sp. (CS-352)	0.24 (0.01)	4.97	1570 (45)	0.60	1.82	701.6	354.8 (64.4)	0.11	339.3 (23.6)	0.40
N. oculata	0.28 (0.02)	8.58	14 (1)	0.53	3.52	689.6	144.1 (5.9)	0.30	543.1 (58.6)	0.45
T. weissflogii	0.76 (0.02)	5.05	1718 (28)	0.58	3.44	585.4	205.6 (13.2)	0.27	642.3 (25.6)	0.52
T. pseudonana	0.74 (0.01)	5.18	132 (9)	0.54	4.05	551.8	140.9 (12.5)	0.31	447.1 (26.7)	0.46
N. closterium	0.84 (0.05)	5.77	206 (15)	0.53	3.63	562.3	137.8 (9.53)	0.32	501.9 (92.2)	0.49
D. brightwellii	0.77 (0.03)	6.62	54521 (225)	0.49	3.71	571.8	159.9 (14.35)	0.30	472.6 (79.3)	0.56
Synechococcus (CS-94)	0.82 (0.05)	Lost	1.35	0.49	1.79	619.1	132.8 (17.2)	0.37	254.8 (11.0)	0.63
E. huxleyi	0.71 (0.02)	9.59	102.4 (12)	0.47	6.86	521.9	58.8 (4.4)	0.89	636.9 (30.1)	0.41
P. pouchetti	0.51 (0.02)	6.67	596.9 (41)	0.46	5.06	594.9	65.03 (2.1)	0.84	507.0 (9.1)	0.44
R. salina	0.39 (0.01)	5.11	168.5 (9)	0.50	2.62	745.75	52.2 (7.8)	1.11	457.6 (32.9)	0.69
O. siamensis (MW3)	-	-	31556	0.44	3.73	589.6	131.9 (6.05)	0.33	842.5 (60.1)	0.44
O. siamensis (F3)	-	-	30752	0.46	3.54	611.3	124.78 (2.3)	0.35	552.5 (6.6)	0.44
D. trenchii (D1a)	-	-	1124	0.40	4.28	597.1	195.2 (9.9)	0.92	350.5 (24.3)	0.42
C. goreaui (C123)	-	-	1586	0.43	4.59	582.0	212.5 (8.6)	0.85	267.7 (3.2)	0.42
C. goreaui (C124)	=	-	1123	0.41	4.53	570.4	222.0 (6.5)	0.81	338.7 (11.8)	0.42

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Measured values of the electron requirement for carbon fixation (mol e- [mol C]-1), $\Phi_{e,C}$ for the 17 phytoplankton strains (14 species) examined in this study. Values were determined using Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf) and small volume ¹⁴C incubations using a "dual incubation" approach (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Vertical labels indicate phytoplankton taxonomic class. Error bars indicate standard errors and letters indicate means that are statistically indistinguishable ($\alpha = 0.05$) (ANOVA).

Figure 2. Boxplot of the electron requirement for carbon fixation, $Φ_{e,C}$ (mol e- [mol C]-1) for the 17 phytoplankton strains examined in this study, grouped by a) taxonomic class – abbreviated by the first 3 letters of the class name: Chlorophyceae, Eustigmatophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Dinophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Prymnesiophyceae and Cryptophyceae, and b) arbitrary bins of cell volume (μm³). The length of the box corresponds to the inter-quartile range, solid line denotes the mean, whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 times the inter-quartile range and open circles denote outliers (not excluded from statistical analyses). The letters n denotes the number of strains within each sample group. Although a Kruskal-Wallis failed to find significant differences in $Φ_{e,C}$ across taxonomic classes, a comparison of diatoms and chlorophytes in isolation (representing a subset of the data excluding dinoflagellates due to difference growth conditions and other sample groups with a sample size, $n \le 2$ detected a difference in $Φ_{e,C}$ (t-test, $p \le 0.05$, denoted by asterix).

Figure 3. Relationship between the electron requirement for carbon fixation, $\Phi_{e,C}$ (mol e-[mol C]-1), and corresponding measures of a) phytoplankton growth rate (d⁻¹), b) log-normalised cell volume (V[log]) and c) non-photochemical quenching, estimated as the normalised Stern-Volmer coefficient (McKew et al. 2013), denoted here as NPQ_{NSV}

(dimensionless) for all strains grown in the main study (i.e. excluding strains sampled "opportunistically" where growth data was unavailable. The relationship between growth rate and $_{\Phi e,C}$ is described by $\Phi_{e,C}$ = -3.73* μ + 7.31. Symbols represent the different phytoplankton classes (see inset key). The cryptophyte, *R. salina* (denoted by square symbol) has been excluded from the regression in panel a – if included the relationship weakens (R^2 = 0.49, p < 0.05).

Figure 4. Distance-based redundancy (dbRDA) plot illustrating the DistLM model with growth rate as the response variable, showing selected predictive variables of cell volume, POC:PON ratio and the electron requirement for carbon fixation ($\Phi_{e,C}$) for 11 strains of phytoplankton grown in the main study (note: *R. salina* is excluded from this analysis, see results section, together with strains sampled opportunistically for which POC:PON and growth rates were not measured – see materials and methods section). Symbols represent the different phytoplankton classes (see inset key).

Figure 5. Boxplot of the electron requirement for carbon fixation (mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), $\Phi_{e,C}$ including data from meta-analysis together with all strains measured during this study, (n = 25), grouped by a) taxonomic class – abbreviated by the first three letters of the class name as outlined in Fig. 3 with an additional class, Pelagophyceae, and b) arbitrary size-class bins, based upon cell volume (μ m3). The length of the box corresponds to the inter-quartile range, solid line denotes the mean, whiskers represent the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 times the inter-quartile range and open circles denote outliers (not excluded from statistical analyses). The letters n denotes the number of strains within each sample group.

Figure 6. Relationship between the electron requirement for carbon fixation, $\Phi_{e,C}$ (mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹), and corresponding measures of a) phytoplankton growth rate (d-1), b) lognormalised cell volume (V[log]) and c) non-photochemical quenching, estimated as the normalised Stern-Volmer coefficient (McKew et al. 2013), denoted here as NPQ_{NSV} (dimensionless) for all strains grown in the main study (i.e. excluding strains sampled "opportunistically" where growth data was unavailable, together with meta-analysis data. Symbols represent the different phytoplankton classes (see figure key).

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure S1. Schematic of a "dual" incubation, used to measure ETR_{PSII} and 14 C- incorporation for a sample simultaneously in order to derive the electron requirement for carbon fixation, $\Phi_{e,C}$ (mol e⁻ [mol C]⁻¹). Three mL of phytoplankton culture or seawater sample is radio-labelled inside the FRRf-specific test tube, which is then loaded into the FRRf optical head where the cool-white LED (see actinic LED spectra) provides a pre-determined irradiance level. ETR_{PSII} is continuously measured via the 450 nm blue excitation LED (spectral output shown). Upon completion of the incubation, the sample is removed and processed to measure 14 C-incorporation – i.e. acidified and vented to remove unincorporated 14C, then counted using a liquid scintillation counter. Sample temperature is maintained via a water jacket inside the optical head which is plumbed to a heater-chiller (in this example set to 20 °C, but during experimentation was set to match that of the strain's growth temperature).

Supplementary Figure S2. Principle component analysis (PCA) of metadata, showing the variability in $\Phi_{e,C}$ explained by experimental growth conditions. The colour of each point represents $\Phi_{e,C}$ value as a scale from lowest (yellow) to highest (red). The shape of the symbol depicts the approach used to quantify/estimate PSII reaction centre content [RCII], triangle = direct measurement via O_2 flash-yield, circle = fluorometric estimate of RCII ([RCII]_(FRRf)) according to

Oxborough et al. (2012), square = assumed constant value (n_{PSII}). Groupings of high $\Phi_{e,C}$ values appears to correspond to O_2 flash-yield assessment, with low values predominately associated with n_{PSII} and [RCII]_{FRRf}.

Supplementary Figure S3. Fast Repetition Rate fluorometry (FRRf) measurements of PSII maximum photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m , dimensionless) and the functional absorption cross-section of PSII (σ_{PSII} , nm² PSII⁻¹) using the blue excitation LED (450 nm) for all strains examined during this study with the exception of *Synechococcus* (excluded due to having a unique LED protocol [blue + orange LEDs]). The equation for the generated regression line was y=-11.11x + 9.33 with an R² of 0.35. Values shown represent means from triplicate measurements prior to measurement of the electron requirement for carbon fixation ($\Phi_{e,C}$).