© <2020>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ The definitive publisher version is available online at https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139764

Biomethane production from anaerobic co-digestion and steelmaking slag: A new waste-to-resource pathway



Minh V. Truong, Luong N. Nguyen, Kangkang Li, Qiang Fu, Md Abu Hasan Johir, Andrea Fontana, Long D. Nghiem

PII:	S0048-9697(20)33284-8		
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139764		
Reference:	STOTEN 139764		
To appear in:	Science of the Total Environment		
Received date:	14 May 2020		
Revised date:	19 May 2020		
Accepted date:	26 May 2020		

Please cite this article as: M.V. Truong, L.N. Nguyen, K. Li, et al., Biomethane production from anaerobic co-digestion and steel-making slag: A new waste-to-resource pathway, *Science of the Total Environment* (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139764

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

# Biomethane production from anaerobic co-digestion and steel-making slag: A new waste-to-resource pathway

### Submitted to

## Science of the Total Environment GTSW2019 Special Issue May 2020

Minh V. Truong<sup>a</sup>, Luong N. Nguyen<sup>a</sup>, Kangkang Li<sup>b</sup>, Qiang Fu<sup>a</sup>, Md Abu Hasan Johir<sup>a</sup>, Andrea Fontana<sup>c</sup> and Long D. Nghiem<sup>a, d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2220, Australia

<sup>b</sup> CSIRO Energy, 10 Murray Dwyer Circuit, Mayfield West, NSW 2304, Australia

<sup>c</sup> InfraBuild, 105-123 Dohertys Road, Laverton, Victoria 3026, Australia

<sup>d</sup> NTT Institute of Hi-Technology, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

\*Corresponding author

Long D. Nghiem, Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia (E-mail: DucLong.Nghiem@uts.edu.au)

#### Abstract

A proof of concept of using steel-making slag to upgrade biogas to biomethane is demonstrated in this study. Biogas is generated from the anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and beverage waste. The CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity of an alkaline liquor derived from the release of calcium from the steel-making slag is comparable to that of the commercial adsorbent monoethanolamine. Although only 5% of Ca in the steel-making slag was released to the alkaline liquor, 1 ton of steel-making slag could be capable of upgrading 10 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas to over 90% methane content. The results also show that pH can be used as a surrogate parameter to monitor and control biogas upgrading. Further research to improve the release of calcium is essential for the acceleration of the weathering process of steel-making slag for subsequent construction applications.

**Keywords**: Biogas upgrading; Anaerobic co-digestion; Steel-making slag; CO<sub>2</sub> removal; monoethanolamine adsorption.

Solution

#### 1. Introduction

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a widely used technology for stabilizing organic waste as well as sewage sludge (SS) in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (Sawatdeenarunat et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017). In recent years, the paradigm shift toward a circular economy has resulted in renewed interest in AD technology as a platform to recover resources from organic wastes. This can be achieved through anaerobic co-digestion (AcoD) of carbon-rich organic wastes and sewage sludge to produce biogas (Dhanya et al., 2020; Mata-Alvarez et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2019).

The produced biogas contains methane (CH<sub>4</sub>, 50-70% v/v), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>, 30-40% v/v) and traces of water vapour, hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S), ammonia and other gases. Biogas can be converted to electricity and heat by a combined heat and power unit to offset energy demands of the WWTPs. In addition to heat and electricity production, biogas can be upgraded to biomethane by removing CO<sub>2</sub>. Biomethane exhibits the same energy value as natural gas and can be fed to town gas grid or used as a transport fuel.

Several biogas upgrading technologies involving CO<sub>2</sub> removal have been available. These using water and polyethylene, include physical scrubbing chemical scrubbing using monoethanolamine, pressure swing adsorption, membrane separation and cryogenic separation (Baena-Moreno et al., 2019; García-Gutiérrez et al., 2016; Leung et al., 2014). However, the bottlenecks in terms of high energy and water consumption, difficulties in handling solvent, poisoning of adsorbents, and high investment and operational cost have been hindering the maturity of these technologies, especially in small-scale biomethane plants (Adnan et al., 2019). Hence, the discovery of a low-cost solution to capture CO<sub>2</sub> and produce biomethane is of particular importance.

A possible feedstock for  $CO_2$  sequestration is industrial solid wastes (e.g. combustion residues, fly ashes and steel-making slag) which are generally alkaline and rich in calcium (Baciocchi et al., 2016; Huijgen and Comans, 2005; Ji et al., 2018). These wastes are abundant and widespread available in industrial areas, thus a low-cost material. Moreover, these materials tend to be much reactive for carbonation due to their chemical instability, thus reducing the energy consumption and costs of  $CO_2$  sequestration (Huijgen and Comans, 2005).

Steel-making slag, a by-product from steel production, is a potential alkaline adsorbent for  $CO_2$  capture from biogas due to the presence of free basic oxides, such as CaO and MgO in its chemical composition (Baciocchi et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019; Heiderscheidt et al., 2020). Apart from the potential production of biomethane with low costs, the use of steel-

making slag for carbon sequestration brings other benefits. Firstly, accelerated carbonation of steel-making slag via carbon sequestration may be considered as a pre-treatment strategy to valorise this material prior to utilization in construction (Baciocchi et al., 2016). Due to its significant content of calcium and magnesium oxides, steel-making slag has a swelling property owing to weathering process when it exposes to moisture or rainwater. This property results in poor volumetric stability and low mechanic performance in construction applications (Baciocchi et al., 2016). Therefore, the weathering process of steel-making slag can be accelerated via  $CO_2$  sequestration. Secondly, the use of steel-making slag for biogas upgrading can address environmental issues caused by the stockpile of steel-making slag in industrial areas or its discharge in landfill sites, and by the emission of  $CO_2$  into the atmosphere. The leaching of alkaline materials during steel-making slag weathering into natural water bodies can be detrimental to the environment (Barca et al., 2014).  $CO_2$  is a greenhouse gas and its increasing emission has been linked to global warming and climate change (Fan et al., 2019).

 $CO_2$  sequestration by steel-making slag has been widely studied in recent years driven by greenhouse gas emission reduction and the development of circular economy in which re-use and recycling are favourable. The content of metal oxides and high alkalinity properties of steel-making slag confer a high potential  $CO_2$  sequestration capacity (Baciocchi et al., 2009; Bonenfant et al., 2008; Ko et al., 2015). For example, Baciocchi et al. (2009) estimated a value of 140 m<sup>3</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed per ton of steel-making slag based on the total calcium content in steel-making slag. Ko et al. (2015) reported that  $CO_2$  content in gas phase could affect positively the carbonation reactions with steel-making slag. However, these studies have only investigated the performance of steel-making slag using pure  $CO_2$  gas from compressed gas cylinders. In addition, the configurations of steel-making slag giving the best  $CO_2$  capture performance have not been indicated yet.

In this study, we demonstrated the proof-of-concept using steel-making slag to remove  $CO_2$  for biogas upgrade from an AcoD system. Biogas production and quality as well as total solid removal were used to indicate the performance of AcoD. The release of alkaline material from steel-making slag and  $CO_2$  sequestration capacity were examined and compared to a commercial  $CO_2$  adsorbent. Possible measures to enhance the  $CO_2$  removal capacity of steel-making slag were also discussed. This study thus provides insights to the development of a novel technique to simultaneously accelerate the weathering process of steel-making slag and upgrade biogas for biomethane production.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Feed stocks and chemicals

Beverage waste was collected from a WWTP where it was co-digested with sewage sludge. This beverage waste is a mixture of soft drinks unsuitable for human consumption (e.g. out of date, contamination and damaged packaging) from a commercial waste collector in NSW Australia. The beverage waste was transported and stored in the WWTP prior to use for anaerobic co-digestion process. The storage environment (i.e. ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure) and intensive mixing condition ensure no remaining  $CO_2$  gas from soft drinks in the beverage waste sample. Raw sewage sludge and digested sludge were also obtained from the same WWTP for daily experimental work and inoculating the anaerobic reactor, respectively. After collection, sewage sludge and beverage waste were stored at - 4  $^{\circ}$ C in the dark and used within 2 weeks.

Analytical grade (>98% purity) monoethanolamine and NaOH were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (NSW, Australia). The solution of each chemical was prepared in 1 L bottle at 0.4 M concentration and used for  $CO_2$  removal within 1 h of preparation. The concentration of 0.4 M was selected based on a preliminary testing to observe the saturation point over a short period (i.e. 4-5 days). This concentration was also selected as an intermediate value between the high practical concentration of commercial adsorbents (e.g. 5 M for monoethanolamine (Lv et al., 2015)) and the low concentration of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> produced from the steel-making slag as indicated in section 3.2.

The steel-making slag was obtained from an electric arc steel-making furnace (InfraBuild, Rooty Hill NSW 2766, Australia). The steel-making slag contained in weight 32% CaO, 32%  $Fe_2O_3$ , 16% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 7% MgO, 6% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 6% MnO. The steel-making slag was washed with DI water to remove dirt and dried at 105 °C for 24 h. Then, the seel-making slag was crushed and sieved to obtain particle sizes of less than 0.6 mm.

#### 2.2. Anaerobic co-digestion operation

A laboratory scale anaerobic co-digestion system was operated in this study (Figure 1). The system consisted of 28 L stainless steel conical reactor, a peristaltic hose pump (DULCO® Flex from Prominent Fluid Controls, Australia), a biogas counter (RITTER, MilliGascounter, Germany). On the biogas outlet line behind the gas counter, a three-way valve was inserted for the interchange of adsorbent solutions. One way was connected to the adsorbent bottle for the adsorption experiment, and another way was expected to be connected to a water bottle, which was identical to the adsorbent bottle, for solution interchange purpose. The volumes of liquid (i.e. adsorbent solution and water) in both these

bottles were the same (1 L). A water bath (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Australia) was used to maintain the reactor temperature at  $35 \pm 0.5$  °C by circulating hot water from the heated bath through a rubber tube that was firmly warped around the reactor. The reactor and pipeline were insulated with two layers of polystyrene foam and aluminium foil.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the anaerobic co-digestion set up and biogas upgrading line for this research.

The AcoD system was inoculated with 15 L of digested sludge. Thus, the active volume of the anaerobic reactor was only 15 L. Then, the digester was purged with  $N_2$  gas for 10 min to remove residual oxygen. The digester content was mixed by the peristaltic hose pump. This pump was continuously operated to draw sludge from the bottom and return to the top of digester at 30 L/h (i.e. 36 turnover volumes per day).

The system was operated in a semi-continuous feeding. Each day, 750 mL of digestate was withdrawn and the same volume of substrate was then fed into the reactor, resulting in a sludge retention time of 20 days. The mono anaerobic digestion (AD) phase was operated for 30 days. Because the reactor has been acclimatised under the same condition, biogas production was stable throughout this experimental period. In this phase, sewage sludge was the only substrate. From day 31, the system was operated in AcoD mode and fed with a mixture of sewage sludge and beverage waste (95:5 %, v/v). The AcoD experimental phase

was operated for 90 days, during which the biogas upgrading experiments had been conducted since the biogas production was stable.

#### 2.3. Preparation of steel-making slag liquor and in-situ alkaline release

To obtain the alkaline liquor, 400 g of steel-making slag was added to 1 L DI water and mixed continuously at 150 rpm and room temperature for 48 h. The equivalent steel-making slag mass per water volume ratio was therefore 0.4 (g/mL). pH and calcium ion (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) concentration were measured at specific time intervals. After 48 h, steel-making slag was discarded to obtain the alkaline liquor (i.e. supernatant). The alkaline liquor was purged with N<sub>2</sub> gas, stored in an air-tight bottle, and used for CO<sub>2</sub> removal experiment in the following day.

 $CO_2$  removal experiment was also conducted using in-situ alkaline release (instead of using the alkaline liquor, steel-making slag was added to DI water immediately before the  $CO_2$  removal experiment). This is denoted as the in-situ experiment. In this experiment, 400 g of steel-making slag was introduced to the adsorption bottle containing 1 L of DI water. This mixture was immediately used for the adsorption experiment.

The ratio of calcium ion release from the steel-making slag into the liquor was calculated by the following equation:

$$x_{Ca^{2+}} = \frac{C_{Ca^{2+\times}V_t}}{m_{slag} \times \omega_{CaO} \times \frac{40}{56}}$$
(1)

Where:  $x_{Ca}^{2+}$  is the releasing ratio of calcium ion;  $C_{Ca}^{2+}$  is the concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the liquor (mg/L);  $V_t$  is the total volume of the liquor (L);  $m_{slag}$  is the mass of the initial steel-making slag (g), and  $\omega_{CaO}$  is the content of CaO in the initial steel-making slag (%).

## 2.4. Biogas upgrading experiments

Raw biogas from the anaerobic co-digestion was directly purged via a micro-diffuser at the bottom of the adsorbent glass cylindrical bottle. The adsorbent bottle (height and diameter of 33 and 6.2 cm, respectively) was placed on a magnetic stir at 150 rpm to mix the adsorbent solution and biogas bubbles for mass transfer maximization. The outlet biogas quality was recorded using a Lambda methane sensor (Lambda Laboratory Instruments). The pH of the adsorbent solution was measured every day by withdrawing 10 mL from sample valve (Figure 1). The experiment was terminated when no further changes in methane content were observed. Once one adsorbent lost its  $CO_2$  removal capacity, another adsorbent was replaced for the test. It is noted that to minimize the interference to the AcoD system, during an interchange of the adsorbent solution, one idle gate of the three-way valve was connected to a water bottle as described earlier. Then, the three-way valve was switched to the water bottle.

In other words, biogas was aerated into the water bottle instead of the adsorbent bottle. The gas outlet of the water bottle was open to the air. The three-way valve was switched back to the adsorbent bottle for the next experiment once the new adsorbent solution had been installed. All  $CO_2$  removal experiments were conducted in replicate.

### 2.5. Analytical methods

Biogas production was continuously recorded via the gas counter. Biogas composition was analysed using a portable GA5000 gas analyser (Geotechnical Instruments, UK) everyday (Nghiem et al., 2014). Total chemical oxygen demand (tCOD) was measured by using digestion vials (Hach, Australia) and Hach DR3900 spectrophotometer following the manufacturer's instruction. Alkalinity, total solids (TS), and volatile solid were measured weekly following the standard method 2320 and 2540, respectively. Digestate pH was measured every second day using a portable pH meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Australia).  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration in steel-making slag liquor was measured using an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (Agilent 7900 ICP-MS).

The amount of  $CO_2$  removed was measured using a gasometric system adapted from the Chittick apparatus (AOAC Official Method 923.02) (Huang et al., 2007). Briefly, the system contained two identical PYREX burettes (50 mL) connected to each other via a flexible tube (Figure 2). One burette (immobile burette) was connected to a glass bottle of HCl acid solution (2 M) that holds the de-absorbed reaction to release  $CO_2$ . On the line connecting the acid bottle and the immobile burette, a two-way valve was set up for pressure balance. The other burette (mobile burette) was open to the atmospheric and can be vertically slide up and down. HCl solution (2 M) was used to reverse carbonation of the absorbed solution for  $CO_2$  release.

To prepare for  $CO_2$  desorption measurement, the balancing valve was opened, and the two burettes were filled with 40 mL 2 M HCl solution. Liquid levels in these two burettes are identical. Then, 2 mL HCl solution (2 M) was placed into the acid bottle. The small adsorbent bottle inside the acid bottle was also filled up with 1 mL of the  $CO_2$ -adsorbed solution. The balancing valve was then closed and an air-tight lid was placed on the acid bottle.

Once the gasometric system was ready, the acid bottle was inverted allowing the adsorbent to mix in the acid.  $CO_2$  gas released from the reaction pushed the liquid level in the immobile burette to a new position. The volume in the immobile burette was recorded as  $V_1$  (mL). Next, the mobile burette was adjusted vertically until both burettes had the same liquid level. The volume of the immobile burette was recorded as  $V_2$  (mL). The difference between  $V_2$ and  $V_1$  is the total volume of  $CO_2$ . The amount of  $CO_2$  (in mole) adsorbed was calculated

using the ideal gas laws.  $CO_2$  desorption measurement was repeated four times. The final amount of  $CO_2$  absorbed was the mean value of four measurements.  $CO_2$  loading of each adsorbent was calculated by dividing the mole of  $CO_2$  absorbed to the total initial mole of the adsorbent.



Figure 2. A photograph of the gasometric system to measure  $CO_2$  adsorbent capacity of steel-making slag liquor.

## 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1. Anaerobic co-digestion performance

Co-digesting beverage waste at 5% by volume with sewage sludge led to an increase in organic loading rate and daily biogas production with no discernible influence on biogas composition (Table 1). The beverage waste in this study contains 4 times more COD content than sewage sludge. Importantly, almost all of this COD content is in soluble form and thus is readily transformable into biogas. The solid content in beverage waste is negligible and is exclusively in the form of volatile solid, thus it is also biodegradable (Table 2). As a result, the increase in biogas production from AcoD compared to mono-AD with only sewage sludge is as expected.

Of particular note, results in Table 1 provide evidence that AcoD can lead to a small but discernible synergistic effect that the increase in biogas production exceeds the increase in COD loading. In fact, the increase in COD loading due to AcoD was 15% (from 1.59 to 1.82 kg  $COD/m^3 \cdot d$ ) while the increase in biogas production was 30% (from 0.5 to 0.65  $L/m^3 \cdot d$ ). The observed synergistic effect has been reported in several previous studies when sewage

sludge was co-digested with a highly biodegradable substrate such as food waste (Ma et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2017a; Xie et al., 2017b).

Methane content in biogas from AcoD was  $66.0 \pm 2.7\%$  and was marginally higher than that from mono-AD with only sewage sludge. These results are in agreement with previous studies that have reported negligible changes or a minor increase in methane content in biogas from AcoD between sewage sludge and beverage waste compared to mono-AD (Isla et al., 2013; Wickham et al., 2018).

**Table 1.** Key performance indicator of anaerobic system with and without beverage waste addition (values indicated average  $\pm$  standard deviation of 20 samples).

Phase	Mono-AD	AcoD
Days	1 – 30	31 - 60
Organic loading rate (kg COD/m <sup>3</sup> ·d)	$1.59\pm0.20$	$1.82\pm0.12$
SS:BW ratio (%, v/v)	100:0	95:5
Biogas production $(L/m^3 \cdot d)$	$0.50\pm0.08$	$0.65\pm0.15$
CH <sub>4</sub> content (%)	$65.0 \pm 3.6$	$66.0\pm2.7$
CO <sub>2</sub> content (%)	$40.0\pm4.5$	$37.0\pm6.2$
H <sub>2</sub> S (ppm)	921 ± 12	$885 \pm 18$
tCOD removal (%)	$60.2\pm27.5$	$69.6\pm7.9$
TS removal (%)	$44.3 \pm 12.6$	$53.6 \pm 11.6$

A slight increase in removal efficiency of tCOD and TS was also observed in co-digestion experiment compared to the mono-AD (Table 1). This is attributed to the highly biodegradable organic content in the beverage waste as discussed above. These results were also consistent with the improvement of COD and TS removal at the full-scale AcoD at the WWTP where the sewage sludge and beverage waste were collected (in communication with the plant operators).

Table 2. Sewage sludge and beverage waste characteristics (values indicated an average  $\pm$ standard deviation of at least three samples)

Feedstock	tCOD (mg/L)	pН	TS (%)	Volatile solid (%)
Sewage sludge	$31700 \pm 2452$	2.86	$1.95\pm0.20$	$1.76 \pm 0.17$
Beverage waste	$125800 \pm 1256$	4.84	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$

#### 3.2. Steel-making slag liquor characterisation

When interacting with water, steel-making slag released calcium ions into the liquor solution, resulting in an increase in the solution pH (Figure 3). The alkaline level of the

obtained liquor increased to pH 11 within 12 hours after soaking the steel-making slag. Correspondingly, the  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration increased more than seven times to 3,500 mg/L. This phenomenon is attributed to the hydrolysis of calcium oxides in the steel-making slag that dissociated  $Ca^{2+}$  and OH<sup>-</sup> ions into the aqueous solution, thereby increasing pH. The pH value of a saturated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution is 12.4 (Athanassiadis and Walsh, 2017). The high steel-making slag liquor pH value of 11.7 suggests that the  $Ca^{2+}$  content is close to the theoretical value of saturated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution.

At equilibrium, 1 g of steel-making slag could release 11 mg of  $Ca^{2+}$  to the alkaline liquor. Calcium oxide content in the steel-making slag based on an elementary analysis was 320 mg/g (section 2.1). Thus, according to Eq (1), at equilibrium, the amount of  $Ca^{2+}$  released to the alkaline liquor was only 5% of the total calcium in steel-making slag. This result could be explained by the hindrance to Ca release from calcium oxides of steel-making slag due to the rapid increase in pH of the liquor to the close theoretical pH of saturated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> solution. In fact, further release of Ca can be stimulated by lowering the initial solution pH. Bang et al. (2016) observed 100% release of Ca to the aqueous phase by adding nitric acid and hydrochloric acid to the initial solution at 20% (w/v). Derived from the hypothesis that the ready availability of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions in the liquor and their in-situ release from steel-making slag might affect the efficiency of carbon sequestration, the CO<sub>2</sub> removal capacity of both the steel-making slag liquor and in-situ alkaline release was investigated.



Figure 3. Changes in Ca concentration and pH of the alkaline liquor as a function of time due to calcium release from the steel-making slag (at room temperature (24 °C) and atmospheric

pressure). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.

# **3.3.** Biomethane production using steel-making slag in comparison with other adsorbents

The steel-making slag liquor was capable of removing  $CO_2$  from biogas to achieve over 90% pure methane content. Within the initial experimental phase, the performance of the steel-making slag liquor was comparable to that of a NaOH solution and monoethanolamine which is a commercial  $CO_2$  solvent. However, the adsorption capacity of the steel-making slag liquor decreased over time and the methane content dropped to 90% after 24 hour (Figure 4a).  $CO_2$  removal by the steel-making slag liquor can be ascribed to the reaction between  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions to form calcite at high pH as  $CO_2$  purged into the adsorbent existed mainly in the form of carbonate ( $CO_3^{2-}$ ). Indeed, the presence of calcite was confirmed as white precipitate settled at the bottom of the adsorption bottle.

NaOH could maintain the  $CO_2$  removal efficiency for a longer period (50 hours) in comparison to the steel-making slag liquor. This phenomenon is due to the difference in the alkaline strength of these solutions. The concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions in the liquor that was derived from the dissolution of  $Ca(OH)_2$  was approximately 4,400 mg/L (Figure 3), corresponding to 0.11 moles of  $Ca(OH)_2$ , significantly lower than that of NaOH (0.4 moles).

Both steel-making slag liquor and in-situ alkaline release are capable of removing  $CO_2$  from biogas, but the steel-making slag liquor showed better performance (Figure 4b). However, the lifespan of the steel-making slag liquor (72 hours) until loss of  $CO_2$  removal capacity was double that of in-situ alkaline release (36 hours). In in-situ alkaline release, the aeration of  $CO_2$  in biogas led to decrease in pH, thereby encouraging the release of Ca, but the rate of release was slow. The decline in pH of in-situ alkaline release (Figure 6) is unfavourable for  $CO_2$  adsorption. When pH decline outweighed the benefits from the slow release of Ca in in-situ alkaline release towards the carbonation process, the efficiency of adsorption process decreased significantly. Further research to find out how to maximize the release of Ca and maintain high pH at the same time is needed to enhance the  $CO_2$  capture performance of using the steel-making slag.



Figure 4. The methane content in biogas after  $CO_2$  removal as a function of time by the steelmaking slag liquor and other commercial adsorbents (a), and in-situ alkaline release (b). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.

## 3.4. CO<sub>2</sub> capture capacity of steel-making slag liquor

To compare the  $CO_2$  capture capacity of different adsorbents,  $CO_2$  loading that indicated the mole of  $CO_2$  adsorbed per mole of adsorbent was used. Overall, the actual amounts of  $CO_2$  adsorbed into all adsorbents were lower than the theoretical values that were obtained based on the stoichiometric ratio of the reaction between  $CO_2$  and the adsorbents (1:1) (Figure 5). This result could be explained by the inefficient adsorption of  $CO_2$  at unfavourable pH conditions since the pH of adsorbents decreased over time (Figure 6), and by the possible competitive adsorption between  $CO_2$  and other acidic gases (i.e.  $H_2S$ ) in biogas (Table 1). Indeed,  $H_2S$  was not detected in biogas after adsorption process.

The results demonstrate that the steel-making slag liquor has a similar CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity comparing to monoethanolamine, but markedly lower than that of NaOH (Figure 5). Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> is a weaker alkaline than NaOH. The CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity of monoethanolamine in this study (0.53 mol CO<sub>2</sub>/ mol monoethanolamine) is in agreement with the previous study (Lv et al., 2015) and similar to that of the steel-making slag liquor. The CO<sub>2</sub> removal capacity of the steel-making slag liquor was 4 m<sup>3</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> per ton of initial steel-making slag, corresponding 10 m<sup>3</sup> biogas used. In this study, the calcium leaching process has not been optimised and the efficiency is only 5%. With research to improve the calcium leaching efficiency to 100%, 1 ton of steel-making slag can then process 200 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas.



Figure 5. Comparison in values of  $CO_2$  loading towards using different adsorbent solutions. It is noted that  $CO_2$  loading towards using steel-making slag liquor was calculated based on the mole of Ca released. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.

#### 3.5. Solution pH as an indicator of biogas quality

The observed correlation between methane content and pH of the sorption solution in Figure 6 suggests that pH could be used as a surrogate parameter to monitor and control  $CO_2$  removal. As the adsorption capacity of the solution was depleted, the solution pH decreased. Thus, pH measurement can be used to determine when to replace the steel-making slag liquor. For steel- making slag liquor, over 90% methane content in upgraded biogas can be achieved when the solution pH is above pH 9 (Figure 6). A similar result can be observed when the release of alkaline was examined in-situ (i.e. alkaline release from steel-making slag and  $CO_2$  removal occur simultaneously). In practice, it is envisaged that treated effluent can be discharged into the environment or beneficially reused without further treatment.



Figure 6. Changes in methane content in biogas as a function of pH during the biogas upgrading experiment.

### 4. Conclusion

This study demonstrates the proof of concept of using steel-making slag to upgrade biogas to biomethane. Biogas was obtained from the anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and beverage waste. The release of calcium from the steel making resulted in an alkaline liquor that has similar  $CO_2$  removal performance when comparing to the commercial adsorbent monoethanolamine. In addition, the values of  $CO_2$  loading on steel-making slag alkaline liquor and monoethanolamine were significantly lower than the theoretical stoichiometric values and that of NaOH (strong alkaline) solution. This result suggests the possibility of further process optimisation for a higher  $CO_2$  removal efficiency. Indeed, only 5% of CaO in steel-making slag was released into the alkaline liquor in this study. Even at this low calcium release efficiency, 1 ton of steel-making slag can be used to upgrade 10 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas to over 90% methane content. The process of  $CO_2$  adsorption to the alkaline liquor can be monitored by measuring the solution pH, thus, providing a low cost and reliable supporting index for process control. Further research to increase the efficiency of calcium release is required to ensure that steel-making slag is fully weathered for subsequent beneficial reuse (e.g. road base construction).

### 5. Acknowledgement

Scholarship support to Minh T. Vu by the University of Technology Sydney is gratefully acknowledged. Authors would like to thank InfraBuild for the assistance with the collection of steel-making slag.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- 2320 ALKALINITY (2017). Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater.
- 2540 SOLIDS (2017). Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater.
- Adnan IA, Ong YM, Nomanbhay S, Chew WK, Show LP. Technologies for Biogas Upgrading to Biomethane: A Review. APL Bioeng. 2019; 6.
- Athanassiadis B, Walsh LJ. Aspects of Solvent Chemistry for Calcium Hydroxide Medicaments. Materials. 2017; 10.
- Baciocchi R, Costa G, Polettini A, Pomi R. Influence of particle size on the carbonation of stainless steel slag for CO2 storage. Energy Procedia. 2009; 1: 4859-4866.
- Baciocchi R, Costa G, Polettini A, Pomi R, Stramazzo A, Zingaretti D. Accelerated Carbonation of Steel Slags Using CO2 Diluted Sources: CO2 Uptakes and Energy Requirements. Front. Energy Res. 2016; 3.
- Baena-Moreno FM, Rodríguez-Galán M, Vega F, Reina TR, Vilches LF, Navarrete B. Synergizing carbon capture storage and utilization in a biogas upgrading lab-scale plant based on calcium chloride: Influence of precipitation parameters. Sci. Total Environ. 2019; 670: 59-66.
- Bang J-H, Lee S-W, Jeon C, Park S, Song K, Jo JW, et al. Leaching of Metal Ions from Blast Furnace Slag by Using Aqua Regia for CO2 Mineralization. Energies. 2016; 9.
- Barca C, Meyer D, Liira M, Drissen P, Comeau Y, Andrès Y, et al. Steel slag filters to upgrade phosphorus removal in small wastewater treatment plants: Removal mechanisms and performance. Ecol. Eng. 2014; 68: 214-222.
- Bonenfant D, Kharoune L, Sauve' S, Hausler R, Niquette P, Mimeault M, et al. CO2 Sequestration Potential of Steel Slags at Ambient Pressure and Temperature. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2008; 47: 7610-7616.
- Chen B, Yoon S, Zhang Y, Han L, Choi Y. Reduction of steel slag leachate pH via humidification using water and aqueous reagents. Sci. Total Environ. 2019; 671: 598-607.
- Dhanya BS, Mishra A, Chandel AK, Verma ML. Development of sustainable approaches for converting the organic waste to bioenergy. Sci. Total Environ. 2020; 723: 138109.
- Fan J, Hong H, Jin H. Life cycle global warming impact of CO2 capture by in-situ gasification chemical looping combustion using ilmenite oxygen carriers. J. Clean. Prod. 2019; 234: 568-578.
- García-Gutiérrez P, Jacquemin J, McCrellis C, Dimitriou I, Taylor SFR, Hardacre C, et al. Techno-Economic Feasibility of Selective CO2 Capture Processes from Biogas Streams Using Ionic Liquids as Physical Absorbents. Energy Fuels. 2016; 30: 5052-5064.
- Heiderscheidt E, Postila H, Leiviskä T. Removal of metals from wastewaters by mineral and biomass-based sorbents applied in continuous-flow continuous stirred tank reactors followed by sedimentation. Sci. Total Environ. 2020; 700: 135079.
- Huang J, Fisher PR, Argo WR. Protocol to Quantify the Reactivity of Carbonate Limestone for Horticultural Substrates. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2007; 38: 719-737.

- Huijgen WJJ, Comans RNJ. Mineral CO2 Sequestration by Steel Slag Carbonation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2005; 39: 9676-9682.
- Isla MA, Comelli RN, Seluy LG. Wastewater from the soft drinks industry as a source for bioethanol production. Bioresour. Technol. 2013; 136: 140-147.
- Ji L, Yu H, Yu B, Jiang K, Grigore M, Wang X, et al. Integrated absorption–mineralisation for energy-efficient CO2 sequestration: Reaction mechanism and feasibility of using fly ash as a feedstock. Chem. Eng. J. 2018; 352: 151-162.
- Ko M-S, Chen Y-L, Jiang J-H. Accelerated carbonation of basic oxygen furnace slag and the effects on its mechanical properties. Constr Build Mater. 2015; 98: 286-293.
- Leung DYC, Caramanna G, Maroto-Valer MM. An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 2014; 39: 426-443.
- Lv B, Guo B, Zhou Z, Jing G. Mechanisms of CO2 Capture into Monoethanolamine Solution with Different CO2 Loading during the Absorption/Desorption Processes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2015; 49: 10728-10735.
- Ma X, Yu M, Yang M, Gao M, Wu C, Wang Q. Synergistic effect from anaerobic codigestion of food waste and Sophora flavescens residues at different co-substrate ratios. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2019; 26: 37114-37124.
- Mata-Alvarez J, Dosta J, Romero-Güiza MS, Fonoll X, Peces M, Astals S. A critical review on anaerobic co-digestion achievements between 2010 and 2013. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 2014; 36: 412-427.
- Nghiem LD, Manassa P, Dawson M, Fitzgerald SK. Oxidation reduction potential as a parameter to regulate micro-oxygen injection into anaerobic digester for reducing hydrogen sulphide concentration in biogas. Bioresour. Technol. 2014; 173: 443-447.
- Nguyen AQ, Nguyen LN, Phan HV, Galway B, Bustamante H, Nghiem LD. Effects of operational disturbance and subsequent recovery process on microbial community during a pilot-scale anaerobic co-digestion. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 2019; 138: 70-77.
- Sawatdeenarunat C, Nguyen D, Surendra KC, Shrestha S, Rajendran K, Oechsner H, et al. Anaerobic biorefinery: Current status, challenges, and opportunities. Bioresour. Technol. 2016; 215: 304-313.
- Wickham R, Xie S, Galway B, Bustamante H, Nghiem LD. Anaerobic digestion of soft drink beverage waste and sewage sludge. Bioresour. Technol. 2018; 262: 141-147.
- Xie S, Wickham R, Nghiem LD. Synergistic effect from anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and organic wastes. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 2017a; 116: 191-197.
- Xie T, Xie S, Sivakumar M, Nghiem LD. Relationship between the synergistic/antagonistic effect of anaerobic co-digestion and organic loading. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 2017b; 124: 155-161.
- Zhang Q, Hu J, Lee D-J, Chang Y, Lee Y-J. Sludge treatment: Current research trends. Bioresour. Technol. 2017; 243: 1159-1172.

#### Author contribution

Minh T Vu: Conceptualization, experimental work, drafting the first version, editing.

Luong N. Nguyen: Conceptualization, experimental work, reviewing, editing.

Kang Li: CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption experiment, reviewering.

Md Abu Hasan Johir: Experimental work, reviewing.

Andrea Fontana: Conceptualization, steel making slag characterisation, reviewing.

Long D. Nghiem: Supervision, planning, conceptualization, experimental work, reviewing, editing



## **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Solution

## **Graphical Abstract**



## Highlight

- Proof of concept using steel-making slag liquor to remove CO<sub>2</sub> from biogas
- $Ca^{2+}$  released from steel-making slag captured  $CO_2$  via carbonation process
- Steel-making slag liquor achieves similar CO<sub>2</sub> removal compared to monoethanolamine
- pH can be used as a surrogate parameter to monitor the CO<sub>2</sub> removal process



Figure 1





Figure 3





Figure 5



Figure 6