

# Quantitative immuno-mass spectrometry imaging by laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry

---

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at

University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Science

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

By Mika T Westerhausen

Supervised by:

Professor Philip Doble

Dr Nerida Cole

Dr David Bishop

Associate Professor Andrew McDonagh

2019

## Abstract

Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) is an elemental bio-imaging technique that combines high sensitivity and spatial resolution of elements with quantification in two or three dimensions. LA-ICP-MS has recently been applied to detection and quantification of non-elemental analytes (eg. proteins) in tissue sections using immunohistochemical methods. This approach uses molecular probes such as antibodies, tagged with reporter elements such as the lanthanides which are not found naturally in biological samples. Analyses based on these methods is known as immuno-mass spectrometry imaging (iMSI) and has the potential for application to currently refractive research questions in cell and organ biology and new diagnostic platforms.

The drawbacks of commonly used matrix-matched tissue standards were addressed by development of novel facile methods for the preparation of moulded gelatin standards. Surface roughness and robustness were compared against cryo-sectioned gelatin and homogenised brain tissue standards. The moulded standards had significantly higher accuracy, precision and reproducibility and were easier to prepare. Additionally, background metals in gelatin were removed using chelating resins to increase the dynamic calibration range and to improve limits of analysis.

The resolution of LA-ICP-MS elemental bio-imaging is usually constrained by the diameter of the laser spot size and is often not adequate to explore in situ sub-cellular distributions of elements and proteins in biological tissue sections. Super-resolution reconstruction is a method used for many imaging modalities, combining multiple lower resolution images to create a higher resolution image. This thesis describes a super-resolution reconstruction method for LA-ICP-MS imaging by ablating consecutive layers of a biological specimen with offset orthogonal scans. Layer-by-layer image reconstruction was extended to the third dimension without the requirement of image registration across multiple sections. Quantitative super-resolution reconstruction provided superior image clarity and fidelity in two- and three-dimensions.

These methods were applied to the development of iMSI for both antibody and aptamer probes to quantify and localise dystrophin in muscle, and myelin basic protein in brain. Quantification of dystrophin is challenging by conventional methods and is central to development of Duchenne muscular dystrophy treatments. Samples were stained with a gadolinium labelled anti-dystrophin antibody and analysed by LA-ICP-MS. Normal mouse and normal human samples were found to have ~700 and ~300 parts per billion gadolinium respectively with under 20% relative standard deviation. The results improved on current methods and met FDA guidelines. The feasibility of using aptamers for iMSI was confirmed, which may enable analysis of challenging targets.

# Certificate of Original Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program

Signature of Student:

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 31/07/19

Dedicated to difficulty

## Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge my three supervisors in order of chronological encounter. Firstly, Associate Professor Andrew McDonagh for introducing me to research during my undergraduate research project on ruthenium phthalocyanine and putting a secretly analytical chemistry project among the physics honours projects so that a naïve young nanotechnology student could unwittingly give it a try.

Secondly Professor Philip Doble for initially putting Andrew up to the task of luring students over to analytical chemistry dark arts and eventually for putting up with my physics brained peculiarities over the course of my PhD studies. Finally, I would like to thank Dr Nerida Cole for teaching me everything that is required to be a researcher worth one's salt from experiments in the lab to brainstorming in the pub and supporting my endeavours from near and afar.

Next I would like to thank the three postdocs that helped me throughout my PhD but especially during the final months of thesis writing. Dr David Bishop, Dr Raquel Gonzalez de Vega, and Dr David Clases were all extremely helpful. I would particularly thank David Bishop for the ongoing collaboration and helping me translate my research to clear and logical presentation.

The champions of the lab Ronald Shimmon, Alex Angeloski and Dayanne Mozaner Bordin who have helped me for the whole of my PhD, despite breaking machines, finishing all the reagents and being an all-round nuisance in the lab.

The physics kids both finished and fallen soldiers who supported me from undergrad through to PhD: Kerem Bray, Matt Tai, Marc Gali Labarias, Alba Santín Garcia, Rodolfo Previdi and Vince Ha-Hau.

Much love and thanks to the PhD students of the group formerly known as Elemental Bio-Imaging Group now known as Atomic Medicine Initiative. Phuc Nguyen Prashina Singh, Karen Duong, Natasha Benson, Matthew Diplock, Thomas Lockwood, Joel Steele, Jake Violi, David Gertner, Sarah Meyer, and Vitor Cesar Taranto. Also, our newly

minted *Goodest Bois* Helen Zeng, Fiona Dang, Siobhan Peters and Jacob Marecic. Friday beers at Staves were an essential distress from weeks of machine mishaps.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their continued support over my many years of study.

# List of Publications

## Refereed journal publications

[1] Mika T. Westerhausen\*, David P. Bishop\*, Annette Dowd, Jonathan Wanagat, Nerida Cole & Philip A. Doble, “Super-Resolution Reconstruction for Two-and Three-Dimensional LA-ICP-MS Bioimaging”, *Analytical Chemistry*, October 2019.

[2] Mika T. Westerhausen\*, Thomas E. Lockwood \*, David P. Bishop, , Raquel Gonzalez de Vega, Anna Röhnelt, Nerida Cole, Philip A. Doble & David Clases, “Low background mould-prepared gelatine standards for reproducible quantification in elemental bio-imaging”, *The Analyst*, October 2019.

[3] Mika Westerhausen\*, David P. Bishop\*, Nerida Cole, Elizabeth Gibbs, Rachelle Crosbie-Watson, Florian Barthelemy, Stan Nelson, Carrie Miceli, Philip A. Doble, and Jonathan Wanagat, “Quantitative immuno-mass spectrometry imaging of skeletal muscle dystrophin”, Article in preparation, Jan 2020.

\*Equal First Author

## Conference oral presentations

**Mika T Westerhausen**, David Bishop, Nerida Cole, Philip Doble, “Orthogonal Anisotropic Acquisition LA-ICP-MS for 2D and 3D Super Resolution Reconstruction Bio-Imaging”, Asia-Pacific Winter Conference on Plasma Spectrochemistry (APWC), 2017, Matsue, Japan

**Mika T. Westerhausen**, David P. Bishop, Nerida Cole, Jon Wanagat & Philip Doble, “Super-resolution reconstruction for bio-imaging by LA-ICP-MS”, European Winter Conference on Plasma Spectrochemistry (EWPCPS), 2019, Pau, France

**Mika T. Westerhausen**, David P. Bishop, Nerida Cole, Jon Wanagat & Philip Doble, “3D Super-resolution reconstruction for bio-imaging by LA-ICP-MS”, Conference on Laser Ablation, 2019, Maui-Hawaii, USA



# Table of Contents

Abstract .....	ii
Certificate of Original Authorship.....	iv
Acknowledgements .....	vi
List of Publications .....	viii
Refereed journal publications .....	viii
Conference oral presentations.....	viii
Table of Contents.....	ix
List of Figures .....	xiv
List of Tables.....	xix
Acronyms & Abbreviations .....	xx
1. Literature Review .....	1
1.1 Metals in biology.....	1
1.1.1 Sources of metals .....	1
1.1.2 Analysis of elements .....	2
1.1.3 Mass Spectrometry in bioanalysis.....	3
1.1.3.1 Elemental Mass Spectrometry.....	4
1.1.3.2 Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry .....	4
1.1.4 Elemental bio-imaging techniques .....	6
1.1.4.1 LA-ICP-MS: fundamentals, principles and fields of application.....	10
1.1.4.1.1 Laser Ablation limits .....	11
1.1.4.1.2 Quantification strategies by means of LA-ICP-MS .....	13
1.1.4.1.3 Applications of LA-ICP-MS .....	15
1.1.4.2 Immuno-Mass Spectrometry Imaging and beyond .....	15
1.1.5 Tagging agents .....	18

1.1.6	Image processing .....	21
1.2	Thesis aims.....	24
2	Materials and Methods .....	26
2.1	Instrumentation.....	26
2.1.1	Solution nebulization inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry .....	26
2.1.2	Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry .....	28
2.1.3	Microscopy .....	30
2.1.4	Profilometry .....	30
2.1.5	Protein quantification via Spectrophotometry .....	31
2.2	Data acquisition and processing .....	31
2.2.1	Data acquisition .....	31
2.2.1.1	Conversion from raw data to 2D representation.....	32
2.2.2	Data visualization and manipulation .....	33
2.3	Experimental Methods.....	33
2.3.1	Chemicals and Reagents.....	33
2.3.2	Matrix Matched Mouse Brain Standards .....	34
2.3.2.1	Quantification of Metal Concentrations in Standards .....	34
2.4	Calculations.....	35
2.4.1	Linear regression analysis .....	35
2.4.2	Limits of analysis and outliers .....	36
2.5	Animals.....	36
2.5.1	Immunohistochemistry .....	37
3	Standard Preparation and Comparison .....	38
3.1	Abstract.....	38
3.2	Introduction.....	38
3.3	Method .....	41
3.3.1	Gelatin Standards.....	41

3.3.2	Tissue Standards .....	44
3.3.3	SN-ICP-MS.....	44
3.3.4	Elemental extraction to decrease background levels.....	45
3.3.5	LA-ICP-MS.....	45
3.3.6	Data Analysis .....	46
3.3.7	Profilometry .....	46
3.4	Results and Discussion .....	47
3.4.1	Qualitative comparison of mould methods .....	47
3.4.2	Topography and height characterisation.....	49
3.4.3	Post-analysis characterisation .....	52
3.4.4	Analytical figures of merit and background equivalent concentrations ....	53
3.5	Conclusion.....	56
3.6	Acknowledgments .....	57
4	Super resolution reconstruction for LA-ICP-MS imaging.....	58
4.1	Abstract.....	58
4.2	Introduction.....	58
4.3	Method .....	60
4.3.1	Instrumentation.....	60
4.3.2	Reagents .....	61
4.3.3	Standard Preparation.....	61
4.3.4	Sample Preparation .....	62
4.3.5	Optimisation of image acquisition parameters.....	63
4.3.6	Super Resolution Reconstruction.....	65
4.3.7	Processing Algorithms .....	66
4.3.8	Image processing software.....	67
4.3.9	Statistical Analysis.....	67

4.4	Results and Discussion .....	67
4.4.1	Optimisation of image acquisition parameters .....	67
4.4.2	Calibration .....	71
4.4.3	Quantification.....	76
4.4.4	Three-Dimensional Reconstruction .....	78
4.5	Conclusions.....	80
5	Muscular Dystrophy .....	82
5.1	Abstract.....	82
5.2	Introduction.....	82
5.3	Methods.....	86
5.3.1	Materials.....	86
5.3.2	Mouse models .....	86
5.3.3	Human tissue .....	87
5.3.4	Histological preparation .....	87
5.3.5	3-dimensional sample preparation.....	87
5.3.6	Preparation of iMSI standards .....	88
5.3.7	iMSI .....	89
5.3.8	Image processing .....	89
5.3.9	Statistical analysis.....	89
5.4	Results and Discussion .....	90
5.4.1	iMSI in wild-type and mdx mouse skeletal muscle.....	90
5.4.2	iMSI in normal human and DMD skeletal muscle.....	95
5.4.3	3D iMSI of thick sections.....	99
5.5	Conclusion.....	103
6	Towards aptamer imaging.....	105
6.1	Abstract.....	105
6.2	Introduction.....	105

6.3	Method .....	108
6.3.1	Animal Handling .....	108
6.3.2	Lanthanide Labelling of antibodies.....	108
6.3.3	MBP Antibody labelling.....	108
6.3.4	MBP Aptamer labelling .....	109
6.3.5	Myelin Basic Protein Antibody and Aptamer specificity analysis.....	112
6.3.6	Microscopy .....	112
6.3.7	LA-ICP-MS.....	113
6.3.8	Preparation of iMSI standards .....	113
6.4	Results and Discussion .....	114
6.4.1	Antibody vs Aptamer .....	114
6.4.2	Aptamer binding confirmation via dot blot .....	114
6.4.3	Fluorescence microscopy comparison.....	116
6.4.4	LA-ICP-MS comparison.....	119
6.5	Conclusion.....	121
7	Overall conclusions and future work.....	123
8	References.....	126
9	Appendix.....	157

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Bioimaging of four transition metals (Cu, Fe, Zn and Mn) in mouse brain by LA-ICP-QMS imaging, scale bar 2mm. [24].....	8
Figure 1.2: Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry schematic using a helium and argon gas system [33]. .....	10
Figure 1.3: Comparison of ablation spot between a) nanosecond and b) femtosecond pulse width LA-ICP-MS systems [44]. .....	12
Figure 1.4: Comparison of antibody and aptamer structures [85]. .....	17
Figure 1.5: Schematic of indirect immunolabelling using fluorescent tagging [88]. .....	18
Figure 1.6: Double immunolabeling of two cytokeratins, Ck14 (IgG3) and Ck8/18/19 (IgG1), in a duct wall of the human mammary gland (Twenty micrometers scale bar and colours are for Ck8/18/19 (a, FITC, green), Ck14 (b, Alexa-647, pink) and for nuclei (DAPI, blue) [86].....	19
Figure 1.7: Scheme of selected bi-functional ligands used as labelling reagents and their binding to amino acids of an antibody. The SCN-DOTA covalently binds to 3-amino groups of lysine residues. The other opportunity shown here is the labelling via a maleimide group which binds to the sulfhydryl residues after partial reduction of the antibody's cysteine-based disulfide bridges. MeCAT and SCN-DOTA contain a DOTA macro-cycle (pink circle) to complex lanthanide ions whereas the MAXPAR polymer chain contains many DTPA residues as chelating compounds (yellow circle). .....	21
Figure 1.8: Illustration of the basic principle of Super Resolution Reconstruction. ....	23
Figure 1.9: Scheme illustrating the super-resolution reconstruction from the acquisition of two orthogonal thick slices [122].....	24
Figure 2.1: Agilent 7500cx (left) and 7700x (right) Series Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer fitted with Agilent Integrated Autosampler [124]. .....	26
Figure 2.2 NWR-193 Laser Ablation System [123] (left) and Agilent 7700 Series Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer [124] (right).....	28
Figure 2.3: Leica FS CB microscope. ....	30
Figure 2.4: DektakXT Profilometer. ....	30

Figure 2.5: Thermo Scientific™ NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer. ....	31
Figure 2.6: Representation of laser ablation raster and the resulting spectra. ....	32
Figure 2.7: Graphic user interface for ImaJar software. ....	33
Figure 3.1: Moulding devices for gelatin standards: Cavity slide (A), Culturewell™ (B) and Hybriwell™(C). ....	42
Figure 3.2: 6-well Hybriwell™ gasket schematic [152]. ....	43
Figure 3.3: Cavity slide gelatin standards. Plan view and single concavity aspect. ....	47
Figure 3.4: Culturewell™ silicon gasket gelatin standards. Plan view and aspect of 4 wells. ....	47
Figure 3.5: Hybriwell™ slide gelatin standards left in the freezer too long. Plan view and aspect of 2 wells. ....	48
Figure 3.6: Hybriwell™ slide gelatin standards. Plan view and aspect of 2 wells. ....	48
Figure 3.7 Teflon tape manufactured schematic and as fabricated gelatine standards. ....	49
Figure 3.8: Normalised heights as determined by profilometry showing deviations in height and heterogeneity in surface topography. The measured height of the tissues/standards was normalised to the expected height. ....	50
Figure 3.9: Visual comparison of an ablated homogenised animal tissue standard (A) and a gelatin standard (B). (C): Profilometry data standards ablated eleven times with increasing laser power. (D): Signal intensities for Mn, Cu, and Zn derived from repeated ablation of standard material. ....	53
Figure 4.1: Orthogonal acquisition, (A) first line scans, (B) second line scans offset by half the magnitude of the laser spot size, (C) combined pattern for SRR processing. Arrows denote direction of scan. ....	65
Figure 4.2: SRR processing of two ablated layers. (A) The two layers acquired with an AR of 2 in the horizontal and vertical directions. (B) and (C) Representation of the two layers brought to congruence using the Kronecker Product. (D) Up- sampling with null values into a checkerboard pattern. (E) Layers offset and stacked into a 3D array and null values and trilinearly interpolated. (F) Populated layers summed together to produce final 2D image. ....	66
Figure 4.3: Representative photomicrograph of dystrophin in murine quadriceps. The expression of the protein is clearly seen as a honeycomb structure in the	

membranes of the muscle fibres. The protein was stained with Gd-labelled MANDYS8 primary antibody and detected using a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Sigma) conjugated to alkaline phosphatase with NBT/BCIP substrate (Sigma). .....68

Figure 4.4: Image panel of acquisition parameter optimisation. Seven acquisitions were considered representing ARs ranging from 1 to 10. The SRR images are in column 1, except for (A), which was constructed in the conventional manner. Column 2 are the images after application of the Gaussian filter, whilst column 3 represents images after RLTV. ....70

Figure 4.5: Post ablation raster pattern after two passes in the horizontal and vertical directions. ....72

Figure 4.6 Representative calibration curves and image panels. (A) Anisotropic single layer calibration curve in horizontal direction. (B) Image of calibration standards in horizontal direction. (C) Anisotropic single layer calibration curve in vertical direction. (D) Image of calibration standards in vertical direction (E) Calibration curve after SRR with AR = 2. (F) Image of calibration standards after SRR. (G) Calibration curve after application of the Gaussian filter. (H) Image of calibration standards after Gaussian filter. (I) Calibration curve after RLTV. (J) Image of calibration standards after RLTV. ....73

Figure 4.7: Effect of image processing on two-layer test. (A) Anisotropic acquisition of single layer in horizontal direction. (B) Same layer as (A), transposed to vertical direction. (C) SRR of (A) and (B). (D) Application of Gaussian filter. (E) RTLTV image. ....78

Figure 4.8: Three-dimensional reconstructions of continuously ablated 50  $\mu\text{m}$  section. (A) Planar view of single focus acquisition. (B) and (C) Oblique and isometric views of refocused laser acquisition at 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for each pass. (D), (E), and (F) Planar, oblique and isometric views of single focus laser acquisition. The structural integrity of the dystrophin is clearly seen throughout the 10 layers. ....79

Figure 5.1: Dystrophin iMSI in wild type and mdx mouse quadriceps muscle. A, low-resolution iMSI (15  $\mu\text{m}$ ) of whole mouse quadriceps cross section showing expected sarcolemmal distribution of dystrophin. High-resolution (1.75  $\mu\text{m}$ )



images of dystrophin by iMSI in wild-type (B) and mdx mouse quadriceps (C). Quantification scale in A denotes ppb of gadolinium for all panels. ....	90
Figure 5.2: Histograms with the 3 tested thresholding methods (A). Intensity map of WT quadricep (B) with the correlating threshold methods: Raw (C), Median (D) and Otsu's (E) using the histogram colours to represent the areas of the image used for quantification. ....	92
Figure 5.3: Representative areas of ablation of murine quadriceps, A, B and C. Black scale bar represents 1000 $\mu\text{m}$ . ....	93
Figure 5.4: IHC with hematoxylin counterstain (A) and iMSI (B) for dystrophin in serial sections of human quadriceps muscle (80 year old). Scale bar in A denotes 100 microns and applies to both images. The same gadolinium-labeled anti-dystrophin antibody was used in both. Quantification scale in C denotes ppb of gadolinium. ....	95
Figure 5.5: Exemplar iMSI image of section from 18-year-old male vastus lateralis biopsy. Scale bar is in ppb Gd. ....	96
Figure 5.6: IHC (A) and iMSI (B) of dystrophin in serial muscle sections from a patient with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Quantification scale in C denotes ppb of gadolinium in panel B. Black bar in A denotes 100 microns. ....	97
Figure 5.7: Consecutive sections of human quadricep tissue (1-4) on the same intensity scale. ....	100
Figure 5.8: Two-dimensional image in the X-Z plane of 12-layer 3D stack of 30 $\mu\text{m}$ thick sections incubated for 30 (A) ,60 (B) and 120 (C) minutes on the same intensity scale. Red line signifies the final layer with signal above the LOQ. ....	101
Figure 5.9: Two-dimensional image in the X-Z plane of 16-layer 3D stack of 30min incubated tissue of thickness 10 $\mu\text{m}$ (A), 30 $\mu\text{m}$ (B) 50 $\mu\text{m}$ (C) on the same intensity scale Red line signifies the final layer with signal above the LOQ. ...	102
Figure 5.10: 3D image of 120min incubation time 30um section, scale in ppb of Gd. .	103
Figure 6.1: Dot blots of MBP only control (A), MBP Aptamer only control(B), human serum albumin control (C), unfolded MBP aptamer 5' biotin (D), and folded MBP aptamer 5' biotin (E) all visualized with streptavidin HRP and TMB substrate. ....	115

Figure 6.2: Elemental images of dot blots for folded Scrambled MBP aptamer 5' thiol tagged with <sup>153</sup>Eu control (A), folded MBP aptamer 5' thiol tagged with <sup>153</sup>Eu (B), unfolded MBP 5' biotin tagged with streptavidin Eu (C), folder MBP 5' biotin tagged with streptavidin Eu (D), MBP only control with streptavidin Eu (E), MBP aptamer 5' thiol tagged with 153Eu only control(F), and MBP aptamer 5' Biotin only control (G). .....116

Figure 6.3: Mouse hippocampal formation stained with Rabbit antiMBP AntiRabbit-FITC (Green) and Hoechst 33342 (Blue) (A) and MBP aptamer 5' Biotin and Streptavidin FITC (Green) and Hoechst 33342 (Blue) (B). The white arrow points to an oligodendrocyte. ....117

Figure 6.4: Mouse hippocampus stained with MBP aptamer 5' Biotin and Streptavidin Qdot (Red) and Hoechst 33342 (Blue). The white arrow points to a possible oligodendrocyte. ....118

Figure 6.5: Mouse hippocampus (A) and hippocampal formation (B) stained with MBP aptamer 5' Biotin and Streptavidin FITC (Green) and Hoechst 33342 (Blue) courtesy of the Human Protein Atlas[240] The white arrows point to possible oligodendrocytes.....119

Figure 6.6: Low resolution images of MBP aptamer biotin 5'-Streptavidin Eu (A), MBP aptamer thiol 5' -<sup>153</sup>Eu (B) and antiMBP-<sup>153</sup>Eu (C). Calibration bar denotes ppb Eu. ....120

Figure 6.7: High resolution image of MBP aptamer 5'biotin-streptavidin Eu (A) and correlating antiMBP-FITC micrograph courtesy of the Human Protein Atlas [240] Calibration bar denotes ppb Eu. ....121

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Typical ICP-MS parameters for SN-ICP-MS.....	27
Table 2.2: Typical LA-ICP-MS parameters. ....	29
Table 2.3: Table of formulas used in the excel function LINEST. ....	35
Table 2.4: General equations used for statistical analysis. ....	36
Table 3.1: Metal salts used in Gel Standards.....	42
Table 3.2: Concentrations of external calibration standards. ....	45
Table 3.3: Comparison of accuracy derived from different preparation methods and materials.....	51
Table 3.4: Characterisation of standard materials. The concentrations and error of each calibration level were cross-quantified by solution-based ICP-MS. LOD, Sensitivity and Linearity were determined from the calibration curve constructed by LA-ICP-MS. ....	54
Table 3.5: Background concentration [pg/g] of gelatin materials prior and after an additional extraction step with different resins, respectively.....	55
Table 4.1: Concentrations of gelatin standards. ....	61
Table 4.2: Concentration of liquid standards. ....	62
Table 4.3: Super resolution reconstruction acquisition parameters.....	64
Table 4.4: Analytical Figures of Merit after application of the processing algorithms. .	74
Table 4.5: Average Response and %RSD for calibration standard near or above the LOQ. ....	76
Table 4.6: Average concentrations and %RSD for simulated two-layer sample.....	77
Table 5.1: Incubation and section parameters for 3D atlas incubation.....	88
Table 5.2: Concentrations of gelatin standards (ng/g± ng/g). ....	89
Table 5.3: Average values of gadolinium (ppb) and % RSDs from the regions of interest and thresholding methods for wildtype murine quadriceps .....	94
Table 5.4: Technical replicates of dystrophin iMSI from consecutive serial muscle sections.....	98
Table 6.1: Sequences and Functionalisation of Oligonucleotides.....	110
Table 6.2: Concentrations of gelatin standards (ng/g). ....	113

## Acronyms & Abbreviations

**2D** Two Dimensional

**3D** Three Dimensional

**AP** Alkaline Phosphatase

**AR** Anisotropic Ratio

**CRM** Certified Reference Material

**DAPI** 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

**DMD** Duchenne's Muscular Dystrophy

**EBI** Elemental Bio-Imaging

**EDTA** Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

**FDA** Food and Drug Administration

**FFPE** Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded

**FITC** Fluorescein isothiocyanate

**FM** Fluorescence Microscopy

**HRP** Horseradish Peroxidase

**ICP-MS** Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

**IHC** Immunohistochemistry

**LA-ICP-MS** Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry

**LIBS** Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy

**LOD** Limit of Detection

**LOQ** Limit of Quantification

**IPIXE** Ion Particle induced X-ray emission

**MALDI** Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization

**MeCAT** Metal-coded affinity tags

**MBP** Myelin Basic Protein

**MC** Multicollector

**Mdx** Mutation Dystrophin X-Chromosome

**MRI** Magnetic Resonance Imaging

**PBS** Phosphate Buffered Saline

**PMMA** Poly(methyl methacrylate)

**ppb** Parts per billion

**ppm** Parts per million

**PTFE** Polytetrafluoroethylene

**RLTV** Richardson-Lucy Total Variance

**RNA** Ribonucleic acid

**SCN-DOTA** 2-(4-isothiocyanatobenzyl)-1,4,7,10-

tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-  
tetraacetic acid

**SIMS** Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry

**SN-ICP-MS** Solution Nebulisation  
Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass  
Spectrometry

**SRR** Super Resolution Reconstruction

**ssDNA** single stand Deoxyribonucleic  
acid

**TBS** Tris Buffered Saline

**TCEP** Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine

**TOF** Time of flight

**WT** Wildtype

**XFM** X-Ray Fluorescence Microscopy

**XPS** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy