

Dynamic Behaviour of Long-Span Timber Ribbed-Deck Floors

by Bella Maria Basaglia

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Dr Rijun Shrestha, Prof Jianchun Li
and Prof Keith Crews

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

August 2019

Certificate of Original Authorship Template

Graduate research students are required to make a declaration of original authorship when they submit the thesis for examination and in the final bound copies. Please note, the Research Training Program (RTP) statement is for all students. The Certificate of Original Authorship must be placed within the thesis, immediately after the thesis title page.

Required wording for the certificate of original authorship

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, *Bella Maria Basaglia* declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of *Doctor of Philosophy*, in the *Faculty of Engineering and IT* at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 27/09/20

“And once the storm is over, you won’t remember how you made it through, how you managed to survive. You won’t even be sure, whether the storm is really over. But one thing is certain. When you come out of the storm, you won’t be the same person who walked in. That’s what this storm’s all about.”

- Haruki Murakami

To my mother, Papa, jiji-san and baba-san

Acknowledgements

This PhD could not have been completed without the patience, friendship and unconditional support provided by a great number of people. Each person has contributed in moulding and shaping me, and at times mending my cracks, as I undertook this research journey; like a potter to their clay.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my principal supervisor Dr Rijun Shrestha for his unwavering support and guidance over the last four and a half years. I could not thank him enough for his generous time and genuine care for my well-being, particularly as I was writing up my thesis. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Professor Keith Crews who, despite often being in a different city to me, always took the time to support and encourage me both in research and professionally. My utmost gratitude is also forwarded to my second co-supervisor, Professor Jianchun Li, who provided invaluable guidance particularly during the human walking load model stage. His enthusiasm and fatherlike mentorship at our weekly meetings provided me with inspiration and energy to ‘pick up my candle’ and delve deeper into the dark tunnel that is ‘research’. I am also grateful for the technical guidance provided by Dr Sardar Malek as I developed my numerical model.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance provided by the Forest and Wood Products Australia from which this project could not be possible without. The time provided by members of the industry and in particular, Andrew Dunn, were instrumental in giving this project a practical edge.

The timber floors would not have been fabricated and tested without the help of the Structures Laboratory staff including Rami Haddad, Muller Hailu, Dave Dicker, Kevin Fung, Laurence Stonard and Richard Turnell. Their ‘can-do’ attitude and assistance during my experimental stage made the experience enjoyable and extremely memorable. Special thanks goes to Peter Brown who was always on hand to help me with my testing equipment.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Yutaka Yokoyama who welcomed me into his laboratory group at Tokyo Institute of Technology for five months in 2017. Professor Yokoyama's technical guidance expanded and enriched my research in ways I could not have imagined. This experience was truly one of my fondest memories of my PhD and I could not have found a better group to have had that experience with in Japan.

I am grateful for the friendship of my university comrades, particularly Kirsten Lewis, Le Hong Thuy Nguyen, John Phung, Van Vu Nguyen and Federico Volpin. Although we may have been researching different areas, I found great comfort in their support during the highs and lows of research.

This journey would not have been possible without the understanding and encouragement from my personal friendships. In particular, I would like to thank my close friends Bec Reel, Amanda Hanna and Emily Choi who always listened to my stresses with intent and care. I also appreciate the advice from Ian Fraser who has been somewhat like a father figure and always supported me in my life decisions. I would also like to express my appreciation for my partner, Noah Homayed, who gave me a shoulder to cry on when things weren't going as planned and lifted me back up with his constant words of encouragement.

Lastly, I would like to express my deepest love and appreciation for my family: my mother, Papa, my grandmother (baba-san) and grandfather (jiji-san). My mother's endless care and emotional support for me is something I could never repay. I just hope that I can make her proud and to answer her question 'Yes, I have finally finished!'. To my Papa, baba-san and jiji-san, who sadly were not able to see me complete: I know you were there in spirit and appreciate your presence throughout this journey. This thesis is dedicated to you.

Bella Basaglia

August, 2019

List of Publications

Conference Papers

1. Basaglia, B., Lewis, K., Shrestha, R., Crews, K., (2015), ‘A comparative life cycle assessment approach of two innovative long span timber floors with its reinforced concrete equivalent in an Australian context’ , *International Conference on Performance-based and Life-cycle Structural Engineering*, 9 – 11 December 2015, Brisbane, Australia (presented)
2. Lewis, K., Basaglia, B., Shrestha, R., Crews, K., (2015), ‘Innovation in the design of cross laminated timber for long span floors’, *International Conference on Performance-based and Life-cycle Structural Engineering*, 9 – 11 December 2015, Brisbane, Australia
3. Hough, R., Basaglia, B., Passerini, S., (2016), ‘Design and construction of a novel stacked glulam wall structure’, *World Conference on Timber Engineering*, 22 – 25 August 2016, Vienna, Austria
4. Lewis, K., Basaglia, B., Shrestha, R., Crews, K.,(2016), ‘The use of cross laminated timber for Long span flooring in commercial buildings’, *World Conference on Timber Engineering*, 22 – 25 August 2016, Vienna, Austria
5. Basaglia, B., Shrestha, R., Crews, K., Yokoyama, Y., (2018), ‘Vibration Response of a Long-Span LVL Floor: Comparison Between Japanese and Australian Assessment Measures’, *World Conference on Timber Engineering*, 20 – 23 August 2018, Seoul, Republic of Korea (presented)

Journal Articles

1. Basaglia, B., Li, J., Shrestha, R., Crews, K., Forthcoming. ‘Response prediction to walking-induced vibrations of a long-span timber floor’, *ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering*. 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002888

List of Notations

$[]$	Matrix
$\{ \}$ or \mathbf{x}	Curly brackets or bold parameters indicate vectors
$[M]$	Mass matrix
$[K]$	Stiffness matrix
$[C]$	Damping matrix
$\alpha_i; \alpha_i^s$	DLF of the i -th harmonic and subharmonic, respectively.
$\bar{\alpha}_i(\bar{f}_j); \bar{\alpha}_i^s(\bar{f}_j^s)$	Normalised dynamic load factor for i -th harmonic and subharmonic, respectively – in Živanović et al. (2007b) load model (Chapter 5)
δ	Joist mid-span deflection due to point load P applied at centre of joist
δ_c, δ_{mid}	Floor mid-span deflection due to point load P applied in the centre of the floor
$\theta(\bar{f}_j); \theta(\bar{f}_j^s)$	Normalised phase angle for harmonic and subharmonic, respectively – in Živanović et al. (2007b) load model (Chapter 5)
$\mu_{e,n}; \mu_{r,n}$	Mass-normalised mode shape amplitudes of mode n at excitation and response nodes, respectively.
ξ	Damping ratio
$\rho, \rho_m, \text{DENS}$	Density (kg/m^3)
ρ_r	Resonant build-up factor
σ	Standard deviation
τ	Integration time constant for running root-mean-square average (s)
ϕ_i	Phase angle of the i -th harmonic
$\varphi_{FE,i}; \varphi_{FE,j}$	Numerically obtained mode shape vector for mode i and j , respectively.
$\varphi_{exp,i}; \varphi_{exp,j}$	Experimentally obtained mode shape vector for mode i and j , respectively.
$\{\psi_n\}$	Eigenvector of mode n
ω	Circular natural frequency (rad/s)

ω_i	Circular frequency of the i -th harmonic load component
ω_n	Eigenvalue or circular frequency of mode n
ω_D	Damped circular frequency (rad/s)
A_0	Mean value of weight normalised force (Chapter 5)
A_i	Dynamic load factor for the i -th harmonic – single footfall trace (Chapter 5)
a_{MTVV}	MTVV from weighted acceleration response (m/s ²)
$a_{w,p}$	Frequency-weighted peak acceleration (m/s ²)
$a_{w,rms}$	Frequency-weighted RMS acceleration (m/s ²)
$a_w(t)$	Frequency-weighted acceleration at time t (m/s ²)
$a_{w,rms}(t_0)$	Rolling frequency-weighted RMS acceleration (m/s ²) at instantaneous time of observation t_0
b	Floor width
c	Damping constant
c_{cr}	Critical damping
d	Diameter of screw
DLF_i	Dynamic load factor of the i -th harmonic
$D_x; D_y$	Flexural rigidity in the joist and cross-joist direction, respectively (Nm) – in Hu and Chui equation (2004)
D_{xy}	Shear rigidity of the multi-layered floor deck and torsion rigidity of the joist (Nm) – in Hu and Chui equation (2004)
\bar{E}	Mean modulus of elasticity
\bar{E}_j	Mean modulus of elasticity of the joist
$eVDV; eVDV_E$	Estimated VDV as per BS 6472-1 (1984); as per Ellis (2001)
$(EI)_l; (EI)_b$	Equivalent flexural rigidity of the floor structure about an axis perpendicular and parallel to the beam direction, respectively.
EX; EY; EZ	ANSYS notation for modulus of elasticity in element x-, y- and z-axes, respectively.
f	Cyclic frequency (Hz)
$f'b$	Bending strength (N/mm ²)
$f'c$	Compression parallel to grain (N/mm ²)

f_i^{FE}	Numerically obtained natural frequency for mode i (Hz)
f_i^{exp}	Experimentally obtained natural frequency for mode i (Hz)
\bar{f}_j	Frequency ratio between the current frequency line and pace frequency – in Živanović et al. (2007b) load model (Chapter 5)
$f_{joist}; f_{girder}$	Natural frequency of the joist and girder, respectively (Hz).
$f_n; f_i$	Cyclic frequency or natural frequency of mode n or i (Hz)
f_p	Pace frequency or walking frequency (Hz)
f_s	Sampling frequency (Hz)
f^s	Shear in beams (N/mm ²)
f^t	Tension parallel to grain (N/mm ²)
$F(\omega)$	Fourier transform of the excitation (input) signal
$F(t)$	Time varying force
$F(x)$	Objective function (Chapter 4)
G	Modulus of rigidity
g	Gravity (9.81 m/s ²)
$g(x)$	Constraint vector (Chapter 4)
GXY; GXZ; GYZ	ANSYS notation for shear modulus in x-y, x-z and y-z planes, respectively.
$H(\omega)$	Frequency response function for the input and output signals
$H_1(\omega); H_2(\omega)$	FRF estimators
I	Moment of inertia
I_{eff}	Effective impulse (Ns)
I_j	Moment of inertia of the joist member
k	Stiffness (N/m)
K_{ser}	Slip modulus
KTx; KTy; KTz	Support stiffness for spring elements in the x-, y- and z-directions, respectively.
L, l	Length, floor span
m	Mass (kg)
m_a	Mass per unit area (kg/m ²)
m_l	Mass per unit length (kg/m)

M_n	Modal mass of mode n (kg)
m_s	Mass per unit area of floor sheathing (kg/m ²)
MAC_{ij}	Modal assurance criterion between mode i and j
$MAC_{ERROR,ij}$	$1 - MAC_{ij}$
$NF_{ERROR,i}$	Natural frequency error for mode i
n	Mode number
n_{40}	Number of eigenmodes with eigenfrequencies lower than 40 Hz for unit impulse calculation in Eurocode 5
n_j	Number of joists
P	1 kN point load (kN)
PRXY; PRXZ; PRYZ	ANYS notation for Poisson's ratio in x-y, x-z and y-z planes, respectively.
P_j	Parameter j for sensitivity study (Chapter 4)
Q	Walker weight (N)
R_i	Response i for sensitivity study (Chapter 4)
s	Spacing
S_{ij}	Sensitivity coefficient for each target response R_i to a certain change in parameter P_j
$S_{n,ij}$	Normalised sensitivity coefficient for each target response R_i to a certain change in parameter P_j
t	Time (s)
T	Duration of response measurement or exposure period (s)
T_f	Duration of a single footfall (s)
t_{RMS}	Period of $1/f_p$ from which RMS response is calculated (Chapter 5)
$u_{vel,max}$	Maximum unit impulse velocity response (m/Ns ²)
v_{MTVV}	MTVV from integrated velocity response (m/s)
v_{rms}	Root-mean-square velocity response (m/s)
w	Maximum instantaneous vertical deflection caused by a vertical concentrated static force F applied at any point on the floor
$w_{f,i}$	Weighting factor for the NF_{ERROR} of mode i (Chapter 4)

$w_{\phi,i}$	Weighting factor for the MAC_{ERROR} for mode i (Chapter 4)
w_l	Load per unit length
$W_m; W_b; W_k$	Frequency-weighting curves provided in ISO 2631-2 (2003), BS 6471-1 (2008a), and ISO 2631-1(1997), respectively.
$X(\omega)$	Fourier transform of the response (output) signal
$x_{min}; x_{max}$	Lower and upper constraints for design variable x (Chapter 4)
x_u	Updated parameter from model updating (Chapter 4)
$y(t)$	Time varying displacement (m)
$\dot{y}(t)$	Time varying velocity (m/s)
$\ddot{y}(t)$	Time varying acceleration (m/s ²)

List of Acronyms

ASD	Auto-spectral density
CE	Complex exponential
CLT	Cross-laminated timber
CoV	Coefficient of variance
DAQ	Data acquisition
DFT	Discrete Fourier transform
DLF	Dynamic load factor
DMF	Dynamic magnification factor
DOF	Degree-of-freedom
EMA	Experimental modal analysis
ESPA	Equivalent sinusoidal peak acceleration
EWP	Engineered wood product
FE	Finite element
FFT	Fast Fourier transform
FRF	Frequency response function
FS	Footstep
FT	Fourier transform
IRF	Impulse response function
LSCE	Least-squares complex exponential
LSFD	Least-squares frequency domain
LVL	Laminated veneer lumber
MAC	Modal assurance criterion
MDOF	Multi-degree-of-freedom
MoE	Modulus of elasticity
MPC	Modal phase collinearity
MPD	Modal phase deviation
MTVV	Maximum transient vibration value
OSB	Oriented strand board
OS-RMS ₉₀	One-step RMS for the 90 th percentile (HIVOSS guide)
PR	Pin-roller
RF	Response factor

RMS	Root-mean-square
SDOF	Single-degree-of-freedom
SFT	Single footfall trace
SIMO	Single-input, multiple-output
SISO	Single-input, single-output
SRSS	Square-root sum of squares
VDV	Vibration dose value

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	iii
List of Publications.....	v
List of Notations	vi
List of Acronyms	xi
Table of Contents	xiii
List of Figures.....	xix
List of Tables	xxvii
Abstract.....	xxx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.1.1 Timber as a structural material.....	1
1.1.2 Long-span timber floors.....	4
1.2 Research problem	7
1.3 Research objectives	9
1.4 Research scope	10
1.5 Structure of thesis	11
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Fundamentals of vibration theory and experimental modal analysis	15
2.2.1 Forced vibration of a damped single degree-of-freedom system.....	15
2.2.2 Forced vibration of a damped multi degree-of-freedom system.....	18
2.2.3 Experimental Modal Analysis.....	18
2.2.4 Computing the frequency response functions	22
2.2.5 Curve fitting methods.....	25
2.3 Humans as vibration source for floors.....	27

2.3.1	Gait cycle	28
2.3.2	Ground reaction force	29
2.3.3	Modelling of human walking	30
2.4	Timber floors as a transmission path.....	38
2.4.1	Support conditions	39
2.4.2	Orthotropic behaviour	41
2.4.3	Damping.....	43
2.4.4	Connections between adjacent cassettes	47
2.5	Human sensitivity to vibrations.....	49
2.5.1	Measurable quantities	49
2.5.2	Early works on vibration perception thresholds	53
2.5.3	Standardisation of human perception.....	54
2.5.4	Annoyance	56
2.6	Vibration serviceability design procedures and criteria	58
2.6.1	Procedures and criteria for timber floors	59
2.6.2	Procedures and criteria for other floors.....	74
2.7	Concluding remarks.....	79
CHAPTER 3 DYNAMIC TESTING METHODS		82
3.1	Introduction	82
3.2	The timber cassette floor	83
3.2.1	Design of the floor	83
3.2.2	Fabrication	86
3.2.3	Determination of material properties of LVL	88
3.3	Experimental Program.....	90
3.3.1	C1: single cassette tests.....	90
3.3.2	C2: double cassette tests	95
3.4	Experimental set-up and testing procedure	97

3.4.1	Test instrumentation and set-up	97
3.4.2	Impact hammer tests	99
3.4.3	Walking tests	101
3.5	Concluding remarks.....	105
CHAPTER 4 MODAL PROPERTIES OF LONG-SPAN TIMBER RIBBED-DECK FLOOR: TEST RESULTS AND FINITE ELEMENT MODEL		107
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Finite element modelling approach	108
4.2.1	General modelling considerations for ribbed-deck floors.....	108
4.2.2	Preliminary investigation of appropriate element type	111
4.2.3	Modelling approach validation of ribbed-deck floor	117
4.3	Impact hammer test results.....	123
4.4	Initial finite element model	127
4.4.1	Experimental boundary condition.....	127
4.4.2	Design boundary condition	131
4.5	Finite element model updating of initial model	132
4.5.1	Correlation analysis for model updating.....	133
4.5.2	Correlation between initial FE model and experimental results	134
4.5.3	Forming the objective function for automatic model updating.....	135
4.5.4	Updating parameter selection.....	136
4.5.5	Updated FE model	141
4.6	Concluding remarks.....	144
CHAPTER 5 WALKING-INDUCED VIBRATION OF LONG-SPAN TIMBER RIBBED-DECK FLOOR		146
5.1	Introduction	146
5.2	Walking load models.....	147
5.2.1	Response evaluation procedure.....	149

5.2.2	Load Model 1	150
5.2.3	Load Model 2	154
5.2.4	Load Model 3	157
5.3	Comparison of simulated and measured results	160
5.3.1	Maximum response	162
5.3.2	Distribution of floor response	172
5.4	Discussion	174
5.5	Concluding remarks.....	176
CHAPTER 6 FACTORS AFFECTING DYNAMIC BEHAVIOUR OF LONG-SPAN TIMBER RIBBED-DECK FLOORS		178
6.1	Introduction	178
6.2	Influence of elastomer at support	180
6.2.1	Modal properties	180
6.2.2	Floor response to walking	184
6.3	Influence of higher ratio of utilisation of elastomer.....	190
6.3.1	Modal Properties	190
6.3.2	Floor response to walking	194
6.4	Influence of cassette-to-cassette connections.....	199
6.4.1	Modal properties	200
6.4.2	Floor response to walking	203
6.5	Double cassette finite element model.....	210
6.5.1	Model overview	211
6.5.2	Preliminary investigation of screw connections between adjacent cassettes 213	
6.5.3	Correlation of FE model to measured modal properties	222
6.5.4	Simulated walking response and comparison to measured results	225
6.6	Concluding remarks.....	229

CHAPTER 7 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF MULTI-CASSETTE FLOOR MODEL AND PARAMETRIC STUDIES231

7.1	Introduction	231
7.2	Multiple cassette model.....	232
7.2.1	Model overview	232
7.2.2	Investigated parameters.....	233
7.2.3	Modal properties of reference case	235
7.2.4	Floor response of reference case.....	236
7.3	Influence of higher damping ratio	239
7.4	Influence of increased stiffness parallel to span.....	243
7.5	Influence of increased span-to-depth ratio	248
7.6	Concluding remarks.....	257

CHAPTER 8 GUIDANCE ON VIBRATION DESIGN OF LONG-SPAN TIMBER RIBBED-DECK FLOORS259

8.1	Introduction	259
8.2	Proposed vibration design flow chart.....	259
8.3	Numerical model or simplified approach.....	262
8.4	Considerations in numerical model.....	262
8.4.1	Material model and element types	262
8.4.2	Boundary conditions	263
8.4.3	Cassette-to-cassette connections	263
8.4.4	Damping ratio	264
8.5	Considerations in response prediction.....	264
8.6	Considerations in vibration criterion and performance assessment	266
8.6.1	Forming appropriate criteria	266
8.6.2	Satisfactory floor performance.....	269
8.7	Considerations in structural changes to improve performance	270

8.8	Concluding remarks.....	271
CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		272
9.1	Conclusions	272
9.2	Recommendations for Future Work	276
References		278
Appendices		296

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Commercial timber buildings in Australia (a) International House (Guthrie 2018); (b) 25 King, Brisbane (Bates Smart 2018)	3
Figure 1-2 Schematic diagram of a (a) ribbed-deck floor system and (b) traditional joist floor	5
Figure 1-3 Proprietary ribbed-deck floor systems. Note Kerto-Q and Kerto-S in (d) are LVL products manufactured by Metsä Wood.....	7
Figure 1-4 Outline of thesis	13
Figure 2-1 An overview of floor vibration.....	15
Figure 2-2 Measurement procedure for modal analysis using hammer excitation (diagram adapted from Friswell and Mottershead (1995) and Sinha (2015b))	20
Figure 2-3 Piezoelectric accelerometer components.....	21
Figure 2-4 Illustration of aliasing phenomenon (Clarence W. de Silva 2000)	21
Figure 2-5 A square sine (top) and its sine wave components (bottom) (Lyons & Fugal 2014)	23
Figure 2-6 Effect of sample length and leakage Fourier transform of a periodic signal (Friswell & Mottershead 1995).....	24
Figure 2-7 Example of typical measured data from an instrumented hammer test and subsequent FRF amplitude and coherence, adapted from (Sinha 2015b).....	25
Figure 2-8 Example of a stabilisation diagram (LMS International 2012).....	27
Figure 2-9 The gait cycle (adapted from Inman, Ralston & Todd 1981; Racic, Pavic & Brownjohn 2009)	28
Figure 2-10 Spatial parameters of human walking (Vaughan, Davis & O'Connor 1999)	29
Figure 2-11 Vertical ground reaction force of one footstep normalised by walker weight (adapted from Racic, Pavic and Brownjohn (2009))	29
Figure 2-12 Force patterns for different types of human activities as investigated by Wheeler (1982) (image from Glisovic & Stefanovic (2010) adapted from Wheeler (1982))	30
Figure 2-13 Mathematical modelling of human walking.....	31
Figure 2-14 An example of continuously measured walking force signal as measured by Racic and Brownjohn (2011)	32

Figure 2-15 Example of duplication of a single footfall trace to create a synthetic continuous time history. Double support phase has been annotated. Adapted from Racic and Brownjohn (2011).	33
Figure 2-16 Typical floor response of a low-frequency floor from walking (Brownjohn & Middleton 2008).....	33
Figure 2-17 Typical floor response of a high-frequency floor from walking (Brownjohn & Middleton 2008).....	34
Figure 2-18 Autospectral density of the walking force (annotated with points of leakage) (after Eriksson (1994))	38
Figure 2-19 Example of floor cassette to frame connection (adapted from (Moroder, Pampanin & Buchanan 2016) (a) cassette webs supported by corbel; (b) cassette webs supported by pocket; (c) cassette webs supported by steel joist hanger	39
Figure 2-20 Support condition with Sylodyn interlayer tested by Jarnerö et al. (2015) (a) tested in the laboratory; (b) tested in-situ.....	41
Figure 2-21 Types of joist bracing tested by Khokhar (2012)	42
Figure 2-22 Typical connections between adjacent cassettes	47
Figure 2-23 Half-lap connection between adjacent CLT panels.....	49
Figure 2-24 Frequency-weighting filters provided in BS 6471-1 (2008a), ISO 2631-1(1997) and JIS C1510 (1995) for z-axis vibration. Frequency weighting W_m is recommended if the vibration direction is unknown as stated in ISO 2631-2(2003)	51
Figure 2-25 Z-axis perception curves for continuous vibration including recommended limits.....	55
Figure 2-26 Recommended range of and relationship between parameters a and b, as published in Eurocode 5 (European Committee for Standardisation 2004)	62
Figure 2-27 Shape of forcing function of a heel-drop impact (Smith & Chui 1988).....	64
Figure 2-28 Proposed <i>aw, rms</i> curves, adapted from Al-Foqaha'a et al. (1999).....	65
Figure 2-29 Comparison between the proposed criterion and subjective rating of 106 field floors (Hu & Chui 2004).....	68
Figure 2-30 Proposed vibration design flow chart, adapted from Hamm et al. (2010) ..	71
Figure 3-1 Fundamental relationship of system response.....	82
Figure 3-2 Tested ribbed-deck floor (a) elevation; (b) cross-section A.....	85
Figure 3-3 Cross-section of LVL showing veneers	88
Figure 3-4 Orientations of laminated veneer lumber	89

Figure 3-5 Flowchart of laboratory experiments	90
Figure 3-6 Stage C1 web supported test boundary conditions.....	91
Figure 3-7 Flange bearing support condition.....	92
Figure 3-8 Detail of ‘screw’ support condition.....	92
Figure 3-9 Set-up of simple boundary condition with elastomer.....	94
Figure 3-10 Calibrated weights at support – typical set-up (test C1_Sdyn1500 shown)	95
Figure 3-11 Double cassette test and connection types	97
Figure 3-12 Accelerometer set-up plan view (as shown by the red dots), impact hammer locations (as shown by the blue crosses) and walking path (as shown by the dotted arrows). Note ‘Start’ indicates the location of where the walker started the walking test.	98
Figure 3-13 Test instrumentation	99
Figure 3-14 Typical plots for 10 test samples for one accelerometer.....	101
Figure 3-15 Raw and W_k filtered acceleration response from walking excitation in time and frequency domain.	103
Figure 3-16 Cumulative distribution of floor response.....	105
Figure 4-1 Principal axes of wood (Porteous & Kermani 2007)	109
Figure 4-2 Local and global coordinate system of FE model	113
Figure 4-3 Steel shaft and plate pin boundary condition	115
Figure 4-4 Accelerometer layout and impact locations	116
Figure 4-5 Experimental vs numerical results for the first two bending modes under PR and fix-fix boundary conditions.....	117
Figure 4-6 Preliminary FE model overview of element type.....	118
Figure 4-7 An example of a spurious (non-physical) mode.....	118
Figure 4-8 (a) ‘Ideal’ pin-roller boundary condition configuration; (b) ‘Spring’ boundary condition configuration	119
Figure 4-9 Sensitivity study of mesh size and frequency of first five modes and mid-span deflection.....	120
Figure 4-10 Plot of sum of FRFs for all impact location points for bearing support condition.....	124
Figure 4-11 Plot of sum of FRFs for all impact location points for screwed support condition.....	124
Figure 4-12 Static deflection test load-deflection curve for Joist A and C.....	126

Figure 4-13 Detail of flange bearing onto timber frame	127
Figure 4-14 Row names for each row within the panel overhang portion of model. Identical row names for the opposite support.	128
Figure 4-15 Isometric view of FE model of cassette with support node locations highlighted	130
Figure 4-16 Plan view of Support A from Figure 4-15. Support B is identical.....	131
Figure 4-17 Uncertain parameters in the FE model	137
Figure 4-18 Normalised sensitivity coefficient of varying material property parameters to x_{min} with respect to each response output	140
Figure 4-19 Normalised sensitivity coefficient of varying material property parameters to x_{max} with respect to each response output	140
Figure 4-20 Normalised sensitivity coefficient of support stiffness parameters with respect to each response output.....	141
Figure 4-21 Flowchart for model updating procedure	144
Figure 5-1 Node locations for each footstep for LM2 (shown temporally for the first 13 footsteps) and the load application node for LM1 and path for LM3	152
Figure 5-2 Single footfall traces applied to the FE model as per Chen et al. (2019)....	156
Figure 5-3 LM3 ‘basic’ and ‘advanced’ load function for a 78 kg person at a 2.1 Hz walking pace	159
Figure 5-4 Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the W_k frequency-weighted measured acceleration response for frequency range of 0 – 50 Hz and 0 – 15 Hz at locations LOC1, LOC2, LOC3 and LOC4 for (a) W1 and (b) W2a.	161
Figure 5-5 Simulated (a) acceleration and (b) velocity response (sum of both modes) of one footstep at C4 for a 78kg walker at 2.1 Hz walking pace based on three vibration design guides.....	164
Figure 5-6 Simulated (NoIntra) vs measured time history response for W1 showing similarities in time-history response.	166
Figure 5-7 Simulated (NoIntra) vs measured time history response for W2a showing similarities in time-history response.	167
Figure 5-8 Simulated vs measured time-history response using LM3 Basic.....	170
Figure 5-9 Cumulative distribution plots of MTVV velocity based on LM3 ‘Advanced’	171

Figure 5-10 Probability and cumulative distribution plots comparing LM2 and measured results	173
Figure 6-1 Plot of sum of FRFs for all impact location points for flange bearing onto Sylodyn support condition. Grey FRF plots refer to single cassette C1_Flange tests from same impact locations.	181
Figure 6-2 Plot of sum of FRFs for all impact location points for flange bearing onto Sylomer support condition. Grey FRF plots refer to single cassette C1_Flange tests from same impact locations.	182
Figure 6-3 Comparison of damping ratios between C1_Flange, C1_Sdyn0 and C1_Smer0 tests.....	182
Figure 6-4 Maximum response factors from W1 walking tests with elastic interlayer	186
Figure 6-5 Maximum response factors from W2a walking tests with elastic interlayer	187
Figure 6-6 Maximum response at B4 for all double cassette walking tests for walking pace	188
Figure 6-7 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency equal to fifth integer division of first bending mode..	189
Figure 6-8 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 2 Hz.	189
Figure 6-9 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 1.5 Hz.	190
Figure 6-10 Sum FRF amplitude for impact LOC4 for all added mass tests.....	192
Figure 6-11 Typical mode shapes for all modes under 50 Hz. Figures are from C1_Smer1000 test.	193
Figure 6-12 Maximum response factor contours for W1 walking tests for C1_Sdyn1000 and C1_Sdyn2000 tests	195
Figure 6-13 Maximum response factor contours for W2a walking tests for C1_Smer1000 and C1_Smer2000 tests.....	196
Figure 6-14 Maximum response at B4 for all Sylodyn and Sylomer walking tests for walking pace (a) 1.5 Hz; (b) 2.0 Hz; (c) ‘R’ Hz	197
Figure 6-15 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all additional mass tests with elastomer at pace frequency equal to fifth integer division of first bending mode.....	198

Figure 6-16 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 2 Hz.	199
Figure 6-17 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at accelerometer B4 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 1.5 Hz.	199
Figure 6-18 Sum FRF amplitude for impact LOC3 for all double cassette tests.....	202
Figure 6-19 Typical mode shapes for all modes under 50 Hz. Figures are from C2_Spl300 test, as an example.	203
Figure 6-20 Maximum response factors from W1 walking tests: web-to-web connection	205
Figure 6-21 Maximum response factors from W1 walking tests: splice connection between adjacent flanges	206
Figure 6-22 Maximum response factors from W1 walking tests: diagonal screws between adjacent flanges.....	207
Figure 6-23 Maximum response at C2 for all double cassette walking tests for walking pace (a) 1.5 Hz; (b) 2.0 Hz; (c) fifth integer of first bending mode.....	208
Figure 6-24 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at Accelerometer C2 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency equal to fifth integer division of first bending mode.....	209
Figure 6-25 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at Accelerometer C2 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 2 Hz.	210
Figure 6-26 Cumulative distribution functions for the RF at Accelerometer C2 for all double cassette tests at pace frequency of 1.5 Hz.	210
Figure 6-27 Double cassette model overview.....	211
Figure 6-28 Sensitivity study of mesh size and frequency of first five modes and mid-span deflection	212
Figure 6-29 Photo of (a) web-to-web connection at 300 mm c/c; (b) splice connection between flanges with screws at 150 mm c/c; (c) plan view of diagonal screw connections at 150 mm c/c (arrows indicate screw locations).	213
Figure 6-30 Spring elements at web-to-web screw connection locations.....	215
Figure 6-31 Comparison of mode shapes and natural frequency between ‘S-w300’ and ‘C-w300’ model with mode shape order in parentheses. Note m and n refer to the degree of curvature in the longitudinal and transverse directions, respectively.....	217

Figure 6-32 MAC diagram for eight common modes obtained experimentally and numerically in C-w150-f300	224
Figure 6-33 Overview of walking path, footstep loading and response nodes on double cassette model	225
Figure 6-34 Simulated velocity response using LM2 'NoIntra' and velocity time-history response integrated from measured acceleration response at C2	227
Figure 6-35 Cumulative distribution plot of measured Spl300 ('R' Hz) for both walkers and LM2 NoIntra at C2	228
Figure 7-1 Overview of multiple cassette model including response node locations (red squares) and single footstep loading locations (pink squares with arrows along grid line E).....	232
Figure 7-2 Cross-section details of different models as per Table 7-1	234
Figure 7-3 Model 1 at 2 Hz pace frequency.....	238
Figure 7-4 Model 1 at 2.09 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency	239
Figure 7-5 Model 2 (2% damping ratio) at 2.09 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency.....	241
Figure 7-6 Model 3 (4% damping ratio) at 2.09 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency.....	242
Figure 7-7 Cumulative distribution of simulated floor response for models with 1% (Mod1), 2% (Mod2) and 4% (Mod3) damping ratio.	243
Figure 7-8 Model 4 at 2 Hz pace frequency.....	246
Figure 7-9 Model 4 at 2.14 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency	247
Figure 7-10 FRF plots at response node E2 for 1 N sinusoidal loading applied at E2 for (a) frequencies between 0 and 30 Hz; (b) 8 to 15 Hz enlarged plot with modes annotated.	247
Figure 7-11 Cumulative distribution of simulated floor response for Model 1 and 4 at 2 Hz and 'R' Hz pace frequencies.....	248
Figure 7-12 Model 5 at 2 Hz pace frequency.....	253
Figure 7-13 Model 6 at 2 Hz pace frequency.....	254
Figure 7-14 Model 5 at 2.02 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency	255
Figure 7-15 Model 6 at 1.80 Hz ('R' Hz) pace frequency	256
Figure 7-16 Cumulative distribution of simulated floor response at node E2 for Model 1, 5 and 6 for both pace frequencies.	257

Figure 7-17 Frequency response plots at response node E2 for 1 N sinusoidal loading applied at E2 for (a) frequencies between 0 and 30 Hz; (b) 8 to 15 Hz enlarged plot with modes annotated.....	257
Figure 8-1 Vibration design flow chart for long-span timber ribbed-deck floors.....	261
Figure 8-2 Considerations when developing vibration design criteria	267
Figure A-1 Typical load-deflection curve.....	297

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Overview of differences between traditional joist floor and ribbed-deck floor system.....	6
Table 2-1 Various damping ratios recommended in standards and reported in literature	45
Table 2-2 Multiplying factors given in ISO 2631-2 (1974) to define vibration magnitudes below which the probability of adverse comment is 'low'	56
Table 2-3 VDV limits for 16 hour day for residential and commercial buildings (British Standards Institution 2008a)	73
Table 2-4 Summary of considered modes, response measures and recommended criterion for CCIP-016, SCI P354 and AISC DG 11.....	78
Table 3-1 Loading assumed for design of timber cassette floor	84
Table 3-2 Edgewise limit state design characteristic values as per Nelson Pine for LVL11(2016) and Carter Holt Harvey for hySPAN®(2012)	86
Table 3-3 Fabrication procedure for the timber cassettes	86
Table 3-4 Summary of measured material properties for LVL13.....	89
Table 3-5 Interlayer properties.....	93
Table 3-6 Summary of single cassette tests	95
Table 3-7 Summary of double cassette tests	97
Table 3-8 Walker details	102
Table 4-1 ANSYS element summary table.....	112
Table 4-2 ANSYS material property input	113
Table 4-3 Numerical solutions for simply-supported web for various element types and % error from analytical results	115
Table 4-4 Natural frequency of the first three modes obtained from LMS Test.Lab ...	116
Table 4-5 Comparison of mode shapes between FE model and experimental	122
Table 4-6 Natural frequency error from experimental natural frequencies	122
Table 4-7 Frequency, damping ratios and mode shapes for simple boundary condition tests.....	125
Table 4-8 Summary of natural frequencies for each restrained row within 76 mm (Row0 – Row4) and the measured natural frequency with mode order in parentheses.....	128

Table 4-9 Natural frequencies for first five modes considering reduced number of restrained nodes for Row1 – Row3	129
Table 4-10 Correlation between experimental results and initial FE model.....	135
Table 4-11 Upper and lower limits considered for sensitivity analysis	139
Table 4-12 Correlation between experimental and tuned FE model.....	142
Table 4-13 Final input parameter values for updated model	143
Table 4-14 Correlation between experimental and updated FE model.....	143
Table 5-1 Calculated effective impulse for each relevant mode.....	153
Table 5-2 Mean DLFs and phase angles at given walking frequency as per Chen, Ding and Živanović (2019)	155
Table 5-3 Dynamic load factors for harmonics and sub-harmonics as per Živanović et al. (2007b).....	158
Table 5-4 Summary of ‘basic’ and ‘advanced’ LM3	158
Table 5-5 Summary of measured acceleration and integrated velocity amplitudes for both walkers at pace frequency equivalent to the fifth integer of the fundamental mode (2.1 Hz).....	162
Table 5-6 Predicted response at location A4, B4 and C4 based on the three load models	163
Table 5-7 Simulated response for ‘NoIntra_C’ with MoE parallel to grain increased by 5% for Web C.....	168
Table 6-1 Summary of single cassette tests referenced in this chapter.....	179
Table 6-2 Summary of double cassette tests referenced in this chapter	179
Table 6-3 Modal properties of flange supported boundary condition with addition of elastomer	183
Table 6-4 Natural frequencies for all modes under 50 Hz for all added mass tests with covariance across all impact locations shown in italicised parentheses	192
Table 6-5 Damping ratio for all modes under 50 Hz for all added mass tests with covariance across all impact locations shown in italicised parentheses	193
Table 6-6 Natural frequencies for all modes under 50 Hz for all double cassette tests with covariance across all impact locations shown in italicised parentheses	201
Table 6-7 Damping ratio for all modes under 50 Hz for all double cassette tests with covariance across all impact locations shown in italicised parentheses	201

Table 6-8 Natural frequencies for all non-spurious modes under 50 Hz for C-w and C-w150 with % error of C-w150 from C-w and C-w300 model	218
Table 6-9 Natural frequencies for all non-spurious modes under 50 Hz for C-w150-f300 and C-w150-f150 with % error of C-w150-f300 from C-w150 and C-w150-f150 models	219
Table 6-10 Natural frequencies for all non-spurious modes under 50 Hz for C-w150-f300r with % error from C-w150-f300.	220
Table 6-11 Correlation between Spl300 and numerical models C-w150-f300 and C-w150-f300r	224
Table 6-12 Predicted response based on Chen et al. (2019) single footstep loading and measured response at accelerometer C2	226
Table 6-13 Summary of modes from C-w150-f300r considered in CCIP-016 method	228
Table 7-1 Overview of all analysed models for parametric studies.....	234
Table 7-2 Summary of cross-sections considered.....	234
Table 7-3 Overview of modal properties for Model 1 for all modes under 30 Hz. Modal properties for common modes to single cassette tests are also noted in italics for ease of comparison; modal masses were taken from the calibrated FE model.	236
Table 7-4 Overview of modal properties for Model 4 for all modes under 30 Hz.	244
Table 7-5 Overview of modal properties for Model 5 for all modes under 30 Hz.	250
Table 7-6 Overview of modal properties for Model 6 for all modes under 30 Hz.	251
Table 8-1 Relationship between annoyance level, probability of perception (based on previous version of standard AIJES-V001-2004) and performance level in current AIJES-V001-2018 standard for commercial buildings	268
Table 8-2 Tentative floor performance levels for use in categories A (residential) and B (office) as proposed by Abeysekera et al. (2018)	269

Abstract

The development of engineered wood products and the environmental benefits of timber over conventional building materials has led to an increased interest in the use of timber for the construction of multi-storey buildings. Timber has a high strength-to-weight ratio making it structurally efficient for long-span floor applications (a common practice in commercial buildings). However, the low mass of such floors makes them more susceptible to walking-induced vibrations compared to heavier floors such as those made from concrete. In fact, when designing long-span timber floors, dynamic performance criteria tends to govern the design rather than strength. Unfortunately, there is a lack of specific vibration design guidance for long-span timber floors with much of the current criteria based on tests of short-span timber joist floors in residential applications. In addition, there is uncertainty as to how accurately other vibration design guides, mainly used for concrete and steel-concrete composite floors, predicts and assesses floor performance of long-span timber floors.

This thesis addresses this gap by investigating the dynamic behaviour of a long-span timber floor through both experimental and numerical methods. Impact hammer and walking tests with two subjects were performed on a 9 m span ribbed-deck floor which consists of a laminated veneer lumber (LVL) panel glued and screwed to three LVL web members, forming one cassette. The influence of various boundary conditions and cassette-to-cassette connections on the modal properties and floor response were explored. A numerical model of a single cassette, calibrated to measured results through model updating, was used to investigate three human walking load models including the deterministic modelling approach adopted in current vibration design guides. In addition, a numerical model representing the cassette-to-cassette connection was developed and updated using measured results of double cassette tests. These details were adopted in a multi-cassette floor model, based on the dimensions of a typical commercial building floor grid, to investigate the influence of common design parameters on modal properties and floor response.

One of the main findings from walking tests was that the floor exhibited neither a completely transient nor a completely resonant response, despite being classified as a ‘high-frequency’ floor. This assumption of floor behaviour resulted in inaccuracies in response prediction using current vibration design guides and it is proposed that a step-by-step load model which considers the stochastic nature of walking is more appropriate. This load model also provides the response time-history which allows an assessment of the duration of certain vibration amplitudes (through a cumulative distribution function) during the walking event to be considered in design.

Modal clustering was consistently observed, particularly for the first two or three modes, in the single and double cassette experiments as well as the multi-cassette numerical model. Furthermore, the multi-cassette model revealed that higher modes with low modal masses largely contributed to the floor response. This finding highlights that criterion which only considers the fundamental mode may not be adequate. In regards to design considerations which may benefit the floor response, damping was found to play a key role. This may be in the form of incorporating an elastomer (such as Sylomer®) at the support locations where experimental tests revealed that the damping ratio could increase from 1% to approximately 5% and 7% for the first and second modes, respectively. The findings from all investigations were used to provide guidance and commentary for a vibration design procedure, based on a finite element approach, suitable for long-span timber ribbed-deck floors which was presented in the form of a flow chart.