

# The ordered weighted average inflation

Ernesto León-Castro<sup>a, \*</sup>, Luis F. Espinoza-Audelo<sup>b</sup>, José M. Merigó<sup>c,d</sup>, Anna M. Gil-Lafuente<sup>e</sup>  
and Ronald R. Yager<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Universidad De La Salle Bajío Av Universidad 602 Lomas del Campestre C.P. León, Guanajuato, Mexico

<sup>b</sup>Universidad of Occidente, Blvd. Lola Beltrán s/n esq. Circuito Vial, Culiacán, México

<sup>c</sup>School of Systems, Management and Leadership, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW, Australia

<sup>d</sup>Department of Management Control and Information Systems, School of Economics and Business, University of Chile, Av. Diagonal Paraguay, Santiago, Chile

<sup>e</sup>Department of Business Administration, University of Barcelona, Av. Diagonal, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>f</sup>Machine Intelligence Institute, Iona College, New Rochelle, New York, USA

**Abstract.** This paper introduces the ordered weighted average inflation (OWAI). The OWAI operator aggregates the information of a set of inflations and provides a range of scenarios from the minimum and the maximum inflation. The advantage of this approach is that it can provide a flexible inflation formula that can be adapted to the specific characteristics of the enterprise, region, state or country. Therefore, the novelty of this operator is that experts can forecast the information and provide optimistic or pessimistic results of the expected average inflation according to the knowledge, aptitude or expectations for the whole country or an event that represents a specific sector, market or industry. The paper develops several extensions by using the induced, heavy and prioritized aggregation operators. The work studies the applicability of the operator to the analysis of Mexican inflation by developing some aggregation systems that consider the average inflation of Mexico.

**Keywords:** Inflation, induced aggregation operators, economics, Mexico

## 1. Introduction

Inflation has been an interest for countries since Friedman's [1] novel lecture about the relationship between inflation and growth and how the uncertainty in the first one can affect the second one, arguing that the noise in the price systems that is caused by economic institutions and factors reduces the economic efficiency and affects other variables such as employment and enterprise performance [2, 3].

Because of these, policy makers in every country are usually willing to trade some short-term losses in order to have price stability [4]. Additionally, because

of the relation between growth and inflation [5–7], many countries have policies that focus on inflation targets that can be either intervals or a point target and are usually based on the central bank's internal information [8, 9]. In this sense, the formulation for targeting inflation is very important [10, 11], and the use of a formulation that can include the historical data and the expectations will be important.

Among the aggregation operators, one of the most used and studied ones is the Ordered Weighted Average (OWA) operator that was developed by Yager [12]. This operator aggregates several inputs that lie between the maximum and minimum operators. Additionally, some extensions have been developed [13], such as the induced OWA (IOWA) operator [14] that uses induced values that are provided by the decision maker in the reordering process between the

\*Corresponding author. Ernesto León-Castro, Universidad De La Salle Bajío Av Universidad 602 Lomas del Campestre C.P. 37150 León, Guanajuato, Mexico. E-mail: eleon@ucsc.cl. and ernesto134@hotmail.com.

weights and the arguments instead of the maximum or minimum criteria, the heavy OWA (HOWA) operator [15] that instead uses an unbounded weighting vector that will help to over- or underestimate the results according to different qualitative elements obtained by the decision maker, the prioritized OWA (POWA) operator [16] that is very useful when the problem seeks to unify the ideas of different decision makers and not everyone has the same importance assigned to the result, among other extensions. In recent years, aggregation operators have been applied to different economic and financial problems such as stock markets [17], exchange rates [18, 19], price analysis [20], enterprise risk management [21], government transparency [35] and many more.

The main motivation for studying inflation using aggregation operators is that this important economic variable is not the same for every country, and not all countries use the same categories or the same weights, thus making the comparison process difficult. In addition, when inflation is published by the Central Banks, this information is based on the weights that are determined by them; however, sometimes, this scenario does not reflect the specific scenario for the companies. In this sense, the idea of using different weights and induced values becomes relevant to understanding the reality of a specific market/sector. As can be seen, there is a gap between the information that want to be provided by the government and the real inflation that a specific case can have, in this sense, it is possible to adequate the results based on the weighting vector, that is because most of the countries calculate their inflation based on a weighted average (WA) operator, where they have some product/service divisions that are multiplied by a weight according to their importance in the specific country. In this sense, the basic idea of inflation can be improved by the OWA operator proposed by Yager (1988) and more scenarios can be obtained.

Most of the countries calculate their inflation based on a weighted average (WA) operator,  $t$ . This paper presents the Ordered Weighted Average Inflation (OWAI) operator. An interesting way to calculate and present the inflation is to calculate the maximum and the minimum inflation. This idea is important because when inflation is calculated, usually the government tends to focus on the items that have higher weights in the formulation and then ignore the others with lower importance to the final score. This generates conflicts within countries since sometimes purchasing power is lower than the inflation indicates. That is why the idea of generating the minimum and the maximum

inflation can better explain the reality of the country or the sector of the economy that is to be analyzed.

Additionally, some extensions of the OWAI operator combined with prioritized, induced and heavy operators are introduced. This new aggregation operator is called the prioritized induced heavy ordered weighted average inflation (PIHOWAI) operator, and this operator can be obtained as special cases of the induced heavy ordered weighted average inflation (IHOWAI), induced ordered weighted average inflation (IOWAI), heavy ordered weighted average inflation (HOWAI), prioritized ordered weighted average inflation (POWAI) and OWAI operators. These special cases can be used when the formulation does not need all of the information that the PIHOWAI operator needs. In this sense, when the problem is not that complex, it is possible to use a more simplified form of the operator. Additionally, it is important to note that there are 4 different cases when using the PIHOWAI operator that include the total operator in which the OWA operator and its extension are used in all the elements that compose the formula of case 3 where it is only used in the final formula. Finally, an example assessing Mexican inflation in 2017 using the PIHOWAI operator with three experts was given to provide new ranges of inflation in the country compared with the usual formula that is provided by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI is its acronym in Spanish).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a revision of the basic formulations of inflation and the OWA are presented. Section 3 presents the general idea of the OWAI operator, the particular case of Mexico and a numerical example. Section 4 analyzes the inflation in Mexico for 2017 using different aggregation operators, and Section 5 summarizes the main conclusions of the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Inflation formula

Depending on the country, the inflation formula is different with respect to the elements that compose the formula, but, in a general sense, the idea is the same. In the case of Mexico, the formulation is as follows [22]<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>The parameter values assigned to  $I_s$  and  $I_{ns}$  are the official ones that are used by the Mexican government.

**Definition 1.** In Mexico, the calculation for inflation is as follows:

$$\text{Inflation} = [(0.75)(I_s)] + [(0.25)(I_{ns})] \quad (1)$$

146 where  $I_s$  is the subjacent inflation<sup>2</sup> and  $I_{ns}$  is the  
147 nonsubjacent inflation.<sup>3</sup>

**Definition 2.**  $I_s$  is defined as

$$I_s = [(0.47)(I_m)] + [(0.53)(I_{se})] \quad (2)$$

148 where  $I_m$  is merchandise inflation and  $I_{se}$  is the ser-  
149 vices inflation.  $I_m = \left(\frac{CPI_n - CPI_{n-1}}{CPI_{n-1}}\right)(100)$ , and  $CPI$   
150 is the consumer price index.<sup>4</sup> The same formulation  
151 applies to  $I_{se}$ .

**Definition 3.**  $I_{ns}$  is defined as

$$I_{ns} = [(0.37)(I_a)] + [(0.63)(I_e)] \quad (3)$$

152 where  $I_a$  is the agricultural inflation and  $I_e$  is the  
153 energetics and government inflation. It is important  
154 to note that  $I_a = \left(\frac{CPI_n - CPI_{n-1}}{CPI_{n-1}}\right)(100)$ , and the same  
155 formulation is applied to  $I_e$ .

## 156 2.2. OWA operator and its extensions

A technique that helps to provide new scenarios based on the maximum and the minimum operators is the OWA operator [12]. The formulation is as follows:

$$\text{OWA}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k b_k, \quad (4)$$

where  $b_j$  is the  $j$ th element that is the largest of the collection  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .  $w = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]^T$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n = 1. \quad (5)$$

157 In group decision making [36, 37], there are cases  
158 where the members in the decision process do not  
159 assign the same weights to the final results. In this

<sup>2</sup>Subjacent inflation is the concept that refers to the evolution of the prices of a representative basket of consumer goods and services from which energy products and unprocessed foods are excluded.

<sup>3</sup>Non-subjacent inflation is the concept that refers to the evolution of the prices of energy products and unprocessed foods.

<sup>4</sup>The Consumer Price Index (CPI) is a measure of the average change over time in the prices that are paid by urban consumers for a market basket of consumer goods and services.

160 sense, some components have more impact on the  
161 final results than others, and this can be because  
162 of the experience, hierarchical level and many other  
163 quantitative or qualitative aspects. To aggregate the  
164 information in an optimal way, the prioritized OWA  
165 (POWA) operator [16] can be used. The formulation  
166 is as follows.

**Definition 4.** Assume that we have a collection of criteria that are portioned into  $q$  distinct groups,  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$ , where  $H_i = \{C_{i1}, C_{i2}, \dots, C_{in_i}\}$  denotes the criteria of the  $i$ th category ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ) and  $n_i$  is the number of criteria in the class. Furthermore, we have a prioritization between the groups as  $H_1 > H_2 > \dots > H_q$ . That is, the criteria in the category  $H_i$  have a higher priority than those in  $H_k$  for all  $i < k$  and  $i, k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ . Denote the total set of criteria as  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^q H_i$  and the total number of criteria as  $n = \sum_{i=1}^q n_i$ . Additionally, suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  indicates the set of alternatives. For a given alternative  $x$ , let  $C_{ij}(x)$  measure the satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $i$ th group by alternative  $x \in X$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . The formula is as follows:

$$C(x) = \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{n_i} w_{ij} C_{ij}(x), \quad (6)$$

167 where  $w_{ij}$  is the corresponding weight of the  $j$ th  
168 criteria in the  $i$ th category;  $i = 1, \dots, q$ ; and  $j =$   
169  $1, \dots, n_i$ .

Another extension of the OWA operator is the induced OWA (IOWA) operator [14]. This operator has as the main characteristic that the weights are associated based on induced values instead of the maximum or the minimum operator. The formulation is as follows:

$$\text{IOWA}(\langle u_1, a_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, a_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, a_n \rangle) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j b_j, \quad (7)$$

170 where  $b_j$  is the  $a_i$  value of the OWA pair  $\langle u_i, a_i \rangle$  hav-  
171 ing the  $j$ th largest  $u_i$ ,  $u_i$  is the order-inducing variable,  
172 and  $a_i$  is the argument variable.

173 The heavy OWA (HOWA) operator [15] is an  
174 aggregation operator where the weighting vector is  
175 unbounded instead of being equal to one (like in  
176 the OWA operator case). This characteristic helps to  
177 under- or overestimate based on the expectations and  
178 aptitude of the decision maker. The definition is as  
179 follows.

**Definition 5.** A heavy aggregation operator is an extension of the OWA operator for which the sum of the weights is bounded by  $n$ . Thus, a HOWA operator is a mapping  $R^n \rightarrow R$  that is associated with a weight vector  $w$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that the following holds:

$$HOWA(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j b_j, \quad (8)$$

where  $b_j$  is the  $j$ th largest element of the collection  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  and the sum of the weights  $w_j$  is bounded by  $n$  or can be unbounded if the weighting vector  $W$  is  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq \infty$ .

The prioritized induced heavy OWA (PIHOWA) operator is an aggregation operator that can be used in a group decision making process where not all the decision makers are equally important, and it uses an unbounded weighting vector and an ordering based on the induced values. The formulation is as follows.

**Definition 6.** A PIHOWA operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $PIHOWA : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R$  that has an associated weight vector  $w$  of dimension  $n$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that

$$PIHOWA(\langle u_1, a_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, a_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, a_n \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{n_i} b_j \hat{v}_{ij} C_{ij}(x), \quad (9)$$

where  $b_j$  is the  $j$ th element that has the largest  $u_i$ ;  $u_i$  is the induced order of variables;  $\hat{v}_{ij}$  is the corresponding weight of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $i$ th category;  $i = 1, \dots, q$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ; and  $C_{ij}(x)$  measures the satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $i$ th group by alternative  $x \in X$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . It is important to note that sometimes the weighting vector can be measured as  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq \infty$ .

Some of the particular cases of the PIHOWA operator are as follows:

- 1) if  $u_i = 1/n$ , the PIHOWA operator becomes the PHOWA operator;
- 2) if  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ , the PIHOWA operator becomes the PIOWA operator; and

- 3) if  $C_{ij}(x) = 1/n$ , the PIHOWA operator becomes the IHOWA operator.

### 3. The ordered weighted average inflation

#### 3.1. The ordered weighted average inflation

The use of a combination of inflations with OWA operators will provide a new range of results based on the maximum and the minimum operators. These new results can help to provide a better understanding of the problem and establish better policies and actions to help the general economy of the country. Additionally, the practical advantage of the use of the OWA operator to calculate inflation is that it can be adapted to the needs of the market/sector/company. For example, usually, if a company wants to know the specific inflation rate for the construction sector or the agricultural sector to calculate new prices and policies for the company, the traditional inflation formula does not reflect that specification, but with the use of aggregation operators such as the OWA operator, a more accurate result can be obtained. The main problem that these new formulations try to solve is the little flexibility that the traditional formulation has and how it doesn't represent specific cases. As can be seen in formula 1 to 3, there is a specific weight for each component in the formulation, but there are cases where some product/service divisions will be more important than others and by using the idea of the OWA operator new scenarios based on a specific industry/enterprise/decision makers that will improve the decision making process.

The Ordered Weighted Average Inflation (OWAI) operator that can be used as a base in order to be adapted to the specific formulation of each country is as follows.

**Definition 7.** The OWAI operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $F : R^n \rightarrow R$  with a weight vector  $w = [w_1, \dots, w_n]^T$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_j = w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$ , and it can be defined as

$$OWAI(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j h_j, \quad (10)$$

where  $h_j$  is the  $j$ th element, which is the largest of the collection  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$ , and each element of the collection represents a set of inflation rates that are used in order to obtain the average inflation.

243 Additionally, by using order induced variables, it is  
 244 possible to generate the Induced Ordered Weighted  
 245 Average Inflation (IOWAI), and its definition is as  
 246 follows.

**Definition 8.** The IOWAI operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $IOWAI : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R$  that has an associated weighting vector  $W$  of dimension  $n$ , where the sum of the weights is 1,  $w_j \in [0, 1]$ , and an induced set of ordering variables is included  $(u_i)$ . The formula is

$$IOWAI(\langle u_1, i_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, i_n \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j h_j, \quad (11)$$

247 where  $h_j$  is the  $a_i$  value of the OWA pair  $\langle u_i, i_i \rangle$  hav-  
 248 ing the  $j$ th largest  $u_i$ .  $u_i$  is the order-inducing variable,  
 249 and  $a_i$  is the inflation set.

250 Another extension can be provided by using  
 251 unbounded vectors. In this sense, the Heavy Ordered  
 252 Weighted Average Inflation (HOWAI) operator is pre-  
 253 sented.

**Definition 9.** The HOWAI operator is a mapping  $R^n \rightarrow R$  that is associated with a weight vector  $w$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that

$$HOWAI(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j h_j, \quad (12)$$

254 where  $h_j$  is the  $j$ th largest element of the collec-  
 255 tion  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n$  and the sum of the weights  $w_j$  is  
 256 bounded by  $n$  or can be unbounded if the weighting  
 257 vector  $W$  is  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq \infty$ .

258 In group decision making where not all the  
 259 members are equally important to the final results,  
 260 the Prioritized Ordered Weighted Average Inflation  
 261 (POWAI) operator is applied as follows.

**Definition 10.** Assume that we have a collection of criteria that are portioned into  $q$  distinct groups,  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$ , where  $H_e = \{C_{e1}, C_{e2}, \dots, C_{en_i}\}$  denotes the criteria of the  $e$ th category ( $e = 1, \dots, q$ ) and  $n_i$  is the number of criteria in the class. Furthermore, we have a prioritization between the groups of  $H_1 > H_2 > \dots > H_q$ . That is, the criteria in category  $H_e$  have higher priority than those in  $H_k$  for all  $e < k$  and  $e, k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ . Denote the total set of criteria as  $C = \bigcup_{e=1}^q H_e$  and the total number of cri-

teria as  $n = \sum_{e=1}^q n_i$ . Additionally, suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  indicates the set of alternatives. For a given alternative  $x$ , let  $C_{ej}(x)$  measure the satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th group by alternative  $x \in X$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . The formula is as follows:

$$POWAI(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{n_i} w_{ej} C_{ej}(x), \quad (13)$$

where  $w_{ej}$  is the corresponding weight of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th category,  $e = 1, \dots, q$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ .

262 Finally, a combination of the three operators can be  
 263 constructed in order to be used in complex situations.  
 264 That is, an inflation formula with an aggregation oper-  
 265 ator that is unbounded with induced variables and  
 266 with a group of decision makers with different impor-  
 267 tances can be used. The Prioritized Induced Heavy  
 268 Ordered Weighted Average Inflation (PIHOWAI)  
 269 operator is formulated as follows. 270

**Definition 11.** A PIHOWAI operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $PIHOWAI : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R$  that has an associated weight vector  $w$  of dimension  $IOWAI_s, IOWAI_{ns}$  where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that

$$PIHOWAI(\langle u_1, i_1 \rangle, \langle u_2, i_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle u_n, i_n \rangle) = \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} h_j \hat{v}_{ej} C_{ej}(x), \quad (14)$$

271 where  $h_j$  is the  $j$ th inflation set that has the largest  $u_i$ ;  
 272  $u_i$  is the induced ordering of variables;  $\hat{v}_{ej}$  is the corre-  
 273 sponding weight of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th category;  
 274  $i = 1, \dots, q$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, e_i$ ; and  $C_{ej}(x)$  measures the  
 275 satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th group by alter-  
 276 native  $x \in X$  for each  $e = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . It  
 277 is important to note that sometimes the weighting  
 278 vector can be measured as  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq \infty$ . 279

The PIHOWAI operator has the same special cases as the PIHOWA operator, which are as follows. 280

- 281 1) if  $u_i = 1/n$ , the PIHOWAI operator becomes  
 282 the PHOWAI operator; 283
- 284 2) if  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ , the PIHOWAI operator becomes  
 285 the PIOWAI operator; and 286

287 3) if  $C_{ej}(x) = 1/n$ , the PIHOWAI operator  
288 becomes the IHOWAI operator.

289 An interesting fact is that the inflation sets that are  
290 used in order to calculate the average inflation can  
291 vary from country to country. That is why the use of  
292 this general formulation can be modified in order to  
293 be used in a specific country, like with the case of  
294 Mexico that is presented in Section 3.2.

### 295 3.2. Ordered weighted average inflation 296 operator in Mexico

297 In this section, we will provide the different  
298 inflation formulas that can be obtained using the for-  
299 mulation for Mexico. The main advantage of the  
300 application of the following operators is that they  
301 can be used to reflect the specific inflation for a mar-  
302 ket/sector/company. In this way, they can provide a  
303 better understanding of the situation and allow for  
304 making more accurate changes in sales prices and  
305 other internal policies than when using the traditional  
306 inflation formula. It is important to note that, based  
307 on the formulation, four different special cases can  
308 be formulated. To provide a better explanation, the  
309 formulations will be provided for the total case, and  
310 the other three cases will be explained in section 3.3,  
311 with a numerical example given in 3.4. Definitions 9  
312 to 13 are used to present the specific formulations for  
313 Mexico that are as follows.

**Definition 12.** A Mexico OWAI (MexOWAI) oper-  
ator of dimension  $e = 1, \dots, q; j = 1, \dots, n_i$  is a  
mapping  $F : R^n \rightarrow R$  with a weight vector  $\hat{v}_{ij}$ , where  
 $w_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $1 \leq I \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_j = w_1 + \dots +$   
 $w_n = 1$ , and it can be defined as

$$MexOWAI(OWAI_S, OWAI_{ns}) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j I_j, \quad (15)$$

314 where  $I_j$  is the  $j$ th element, which is the largest of  
315 the collection  $OWAI_S, OWAI_{ns}$ . It is important to  
316 note that in the formulation, an OWA operator has  
317 been used in  $I_S$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$ . In this sense,  
318 the formulations for both are as follows.

**Definition 13.** A subjacent OWAI ( $OWAI_S$ ) opera-  
tor of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $F : R^n \rightarrow R$  with  
a weight vector  $w = [w_1, \dots, w_n]^T$ , where  $w_j \in$   
[0, 1],  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_j = w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$ ,  
and it can be defined as

$$OWAI_S(I_m, I_{se}) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j S_j, \quad (16)$$

319 where  $S_j$  is the  $j$ th element, which is the  
320 largest of the collection  $I_m, I_{se}$ . In addition,  $I_m =$   
321  $\left(\frac{CPI_n - CPI_{n-1}}{CPI_{n-1}}\right)$  (100). The same formulation applies  
322 to  $I_{se}$ .

**Definition 14.** A nonsubjacent OWAI ( $OWAI_{ns}$ )  
operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $F : R^n \rightarrow$   
 $R$  with a weight vector  $w = [w_1, \dots, w_n]^T$ , where  
 $w_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_j = w_1 + \dots +$   
 $w_n = 1$ , and it can be defined as

$$OWAI_{ns}(I_a, I_e) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j NS_j \quad (17)$$

323 where  $NS_j$  is the  $j$ th element, which is the largest of  
324 the collection  $I_a, I_e$ . It is important to note that  $I_a =$   
325  $\left(\frac{CPI_n - CPI_{n-1}}{CPI_{n-1}}\right)$  (100), and the same formulation is  
326 applied to  $I_e$ .

**Definition 15.** Mexico's IOWAI (MexIOWAI) opera-  
tor of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $MexIOWAI : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R$   
that has an associated weighting vector  $W$  of dimension  $n$ , where the sum of the weights  
is 1,  $w_j \in [0, 1]$ , and an induced set of ordering vari-  
ables is included ( $u_i$ ). The formula is

$$MexIOWAI(\langle u_1, IOWAI_S \rangle, \langle u_2, IOWAI_{ns} \rangle) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j I_j, \quad (18)$$

327 where  $I_j$  is the  $a_i$  value of the OWA pair  $\langle u_i, a_i \rangle$   
328 having the  $j$ th largest  $u_i$ .  $u_i$  is the order-inducing  
329 variable, and  $a_i$  is the argument variable for  
330  $IOWAI_S, IOWAI_{ns}$ .

**Definition 16.** Mexico's HOWAI (MexHOWAI)  
operator is an extension of the OWAI operator for  
which the sum of weights is bounded by  $n$ . Thus, the  
MexHOWAI operator is a map  $R^n \rightarrow R$  that is asso-  
ciated with a weight vector  $w$ , with  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  
 $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that

$$MexHOWAI(HOWAI_S, HOWAI_{ns}) = \sum_{k=1}^n w_j I_j, \quad (19)$$

331 where  $I_j$  is the  $j$ th element, which is the largest of the  
 332 collection  $HOWAI_s, HOWAI_{ns}$ ; and the sum of the  
 333 weights  $w_j$  is bounded by  $n$  or can be unbounded if  
 334 the weighting vector  $W$  is  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq \infty$ .

**Definition 17.** Mexico's POWAI (MexPOWAI) operator can be defined if we assume that we have a collection of criteria that are portioned into  $q$  distinct groups,  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$ , where  $H_e = \{C_{e1}, C_{e2}, \dots, C_{en_i}\}$  denotes the criteria of the  $e$ th category ( $e = 1, \dots, q$ ) and  $n_i$  is the number of criteria in the class. Furthermore, we have a prioritization between the groups of  $H_1 > H_2 > \dots > H_q$ . That is, the criteria in category  $H_e$  have a higher priority than those in  $H_k$  for all  $e < k$  and  $e, k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ . Denote the total set of criteria as  $C = \bigcup_{e=1}^q H_e$  and the total number of criteria as  $n = \sum_{e=1}^q n_i$ . Additionally, suppose that  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  indicates the set of alternatives. For a given alternative  $x$ , let  $C_{ej}(x)$  measure the satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th group by alternative  $x \in X$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ . The formula is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & MexPOWAI(POWAI_s, POWAI_{ns}) \\ &= \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{n_i} w_{ej} C_{ej}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

335 where  $w_{ej}$  is the corresponding weight of the  $j$ th criteria  
 336 in the  $e$ th category;  $e = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ .

**Definition 18.** Mexico's PIHOWAI (MexPIHOWAI) operator of dimension  $n$  is a mapping  $PIHOWAI : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R$  that has an associated weight vector  $w$  of dimension  $n$ , where  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & MexPIHOWAI(\langle u_1, PIHOWAI_s \rangle, \langle u_2, \\ & PIHOWAI_{ns} \rangle) = \sum_{e=1}^q \sum_{h=1}^{n_i} I_j \hat{v}_{ej} C_{ej}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

337 where  $I_j$  is the  $a_i$  value of the OWA pair  
 338  $\langle u_i, a_i \rangle$  having the  $j$ th largest  $u_i$ .  $u_i$  is the order-  
 339 inducing variable;  $a_i$  is the argument variable for  
 340  $PIHOWAI_s, PIHOWAI_{ns}$ ;  $\hat{v}_{ij}$  is the correspond-  
 341 ing weight of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th category;  
 342  $e = 1, \dots, q$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ; and  $C_{ej}(x)$  measures  
 343 the satisfaction of the  $j$ th criteria in the  $e$ th  
 344 group by alternative  $x \in X$  for each  $e = 1, \dots, q$ ,  $j =$

345  $1, \dots, n_i$ . It is important to note that sometimes the  
 346 weighting vector can be measured as  $-\infty \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \leq$   
 347  $\infty$ .

The same particular cases that are explained in Definitions 8 and 13 can applied in this case.

- 350 1) if  $u_i = 1/n$ , the MexPIHOWAI operator  
 351 becomes the MexPHOWAI operator;
- 352 2) if  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ , the MexPIHOWAI operator  
 353 becomes the MexPIOWAI operator; and
- 354 3) if  $C_{ij}(x) = 1/n$ , the MexPIHOWAI operator  
 355 becomes the MexIHOWAI operator.

356 It is relevant to note that for the weighting vec-  
 357 tor, it is possible to use the actual weights that are  
 358 proposed by the INEGI (2018) or it can be changed  
 359 based on the expectations and knowledge of the deci-  
 360 sion maker. In this sense, the INEGI weighting vector  
 361 can be assumed to be one expert and the use of a dif-  
 362 ferent weighting vector based on other information  
 363 (such as the weights that are used in other countries)  
 364 or a weighting vector based on the experience and  
 365 expertise of the decision maker can be applied.

### 3.3. Special cases for the MexOWAI operator

366 As has been explained in section 3.1, it is possible  
 367 to generate different inflation OWA cases based on  
 368 which elements of the OWA operator are included or  
 369 not. The formulations are as follows (Table 1).

370 The use of different special cases of the MexOWAI  
 371 is important because it is possible to use different  
 372 information according to the complexity of the year  
 373 or the industry that we want to analyze. For example,  
 374 if the enterprise that wants to analyze the inflation  
 375 has an important impact on the nonsubjacent compo-  
 376 nents, then the use of the case 2 operator is suggested.  
 377 Like this, many more examples can be done by under-  
 378 standing that the most complex situation is the total  
 379 operator and the least complex is case 3. The main  
 380 idea of presenting these special cases is that the  
 381 decision-making process can be improved if more  
 382 scenarios are analyzed and it consider the different  
 383 decisions that involve inflation such as sales, costs,  
 384 profit margins, salaries and many more.

385 Finally, these new formulations can be easily  
 386 adopted by the decision maker because the tradi-  
 387 tional formulation already has the information needed  
 388 to apply the reordering step between the argument  
 389 and the weights. In this sense, instead of obtain new  
 390 information or event more data to generate different  
 391

Table 1  
MexOWA operators and the cases

Operator	Total	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
MexOWAI	Uses: $OWAI_s$ and $OWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $OWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $OWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexIOWAI	Uses: $IOWAI_s$ and $IOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $IOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $IOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexHOWAI	Uses: $HOWAI_s$ and $HOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $HOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $HOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexIHOWAI	Uses: $IHOWAI_s$ and $IHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $IHOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $IHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexPOWAI	Uses: $POWAI_s$ and $POWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $POWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $POWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexPIOWAI	Uses: $PIOWAI_s$ and $PIOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $PIOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $PIOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexPHOWAI	Uses: $PHOWAI_s$ and $PHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $PHOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $PHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .
MexPIHOWAI	Uses: $PIHOWAI_s$ and $PIHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $PIHOWAI_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $PIHOWAI_{ns}$ .	Uses: $I_s$ and $I_{ns}$ .

scenarios and applied other aggregation operators, the main benefit of the OWA operator is that the traditional formulation used by many countries for calculate inflation is already a weighted average (WA) operator, because of that is easy to adjust the formula and obtain different results based on the maximum and minimum operator. Also, because of that it is possible to use any of the extensions of the OWA operator and not only the ones used in this paper.

#### 4. Calculation of Mexico's inflation from January 2017 – December 2017

In this section, the procedure to use the OWAI operator and its extensions is explained. The idea of using different aggregation operators that include information such as the knowledge, expectation, aptitude and expectations of the decision maker instead of the traditional formulation is that usually the traditional formulation is a generalization of many scenarios, but sometimes the result is not the best scenario for a specific company, decision maker or time. In this sense, the use of the OWA operator and its extensions provides different scenarios that can be more accurate or related to the decision makers knowledge.

The steps to using the OWAI operators are detailed as follows.

**Step 1.** To visualize the monthly inflation and the annual inflation using the traditional formulation, the OWAI operator and its extensions, the data from December 2016 to December 2017 is used and presented in Table 2.

**Step 2.** In this example, three different experts were interviewed. In this sense, different vectors are used to calculate subjacent and non-subjacent inflation. The information is presented in Table 3.<sup>5</sup> Additionally, a prioritized vector = (0.35, 0.25, 0.40) is used based on their expertise in the field.

**Step 3.** The inflation of each CPI is done using  $\left(\frac{CPI_n - CPI_{n-1}}{CPI_{n-1}}\right) (100)$ , that results are presented in Table 4.

**Step 4.** Using the traditional formulas, OWAI operator and its extensions the subjacent and nonsubjacent

<sup>5</sup>The weights are determined by the experts according to the impacts that they think the elements have in the sector where the enterprise works. Other techniques can be used, such as simple additive weighting [28] or the ones proposed by [29–31].



Table 2  
CPI information from December 2016 to December 2017 for Mexico

Date	CPI Merchandise	CPI Services	CPI agricultural	CPI Energetics and government
12-16	123.53	116.02	136.95	130.73
01-17	124.68	116.35	135.74	142.45
02-17	126.06	116.90	134.47	143.29
03-17	127.04	117.36	137.42	143.15
04-17	127.80	117.73	139.99	139.69
05-17	128.42	117.85	141.68	135.75
06-17	128.82	118.19	142.28	135.58
07-17	128.97	118.66	145.82	135.03
08-17	129.58	118.74	148.83	135.94
09-17	130.01	119.02	148.73	136.91
10-17	130.29	119.36	145.94	142.59
11-17	130.73	119.78	147.63	148.64
12-17	131.15	120.39	150.31	149.61

Table 3  
Weights assigned to subjacent and non-subjacent inflation

Expert	Weights for subjacent inflation	Weights for non-subjacent inflation
$e_1$	$W_1 = (0.45, 0.55)$	$W_1 = (0.40, 0.60)$
	$H_1 = (0.50, 0.60)$	$H_1 = (0.45, 0.65)$
	$U_1 = (10, 5)$	$U_1 = (10, 5)$
$e_2$	$W_2 = (0.60, 0.40)$	$W_2 = (0.55, 0.45)$
	$H_2 = (0.70, 0.40)$	$H_2 = (0.60, 0.50)$
	$U_2 = (5, 10)$	$U_2 = (5, 10)$
$e_3$	$W_3 = (0.53, 0.47)$	$W_3 = (0.58, 0.42)$
	$H_3 = (0.55, 0.50)$	$H_3 = (0.60, 0.45)$
	$U_3 = (10, 5)$	$U_3 = (10, 5)$

Table 4  
Subjacent and nonsubjacent components' inflation

Date	CPI merchandise	CPI services	CPI agricultural	CPI energetics and government
01-17	0.9278	0.2813	-0.8827	8.9611
02-17	1.1050	0.4717	-0.9389	0.5906
03-17	0.7765	0.3967	2.1955	-0.0958
04-17	0.6045	0.3157	1.8729	-2.4197
05-17	0.4794	0.0977	1.2060	-2.8203
06-17	0.3163	0.2939	0.4233	-0.1210
07-17	0.1173	0.3993	2.4874	-0.4099
08-17	0.4728	0.0633	2.0606	0.6736
09-17	0.3296	0.2408	-0.0624	0.7152
10-17	0.2155	0.2848	-1.8811	4.1530
11-17	0.3357	0.3518	1.1611	4.2378
12-17	0.3231	0.5028	1.8128	0.6533

433 inflation is calculated (See Tables 5 and 6). It is impor-  
 434 tant to note that in this step the different cases of the  
 435 OWAI operator can be applied (See Section 3.3 and  
 436 Table 1).

437 **Step 5.** With the results of the subjacent and non-  
 438 subjacent inflation presented in Tables 5 and 6, the  
 439 next step is to determine the weights that will be used  
 440 to calculate the monthly inflation. In this case, the

weights provided general formulation are used (See  
 Definition 1) but also, each expert provided differ-  
 ent set of weights according to their knowledge and  
 expectations (See Table 7).

441 **Step 6.** In this step the calculation of the monthly and  
 442 annual inflation is done. To do this, the traditional  
 443 formulation, the OWAI operator and its extension are  
 444 used. The results are presented in Table 8.

Table 5  
Subjacent inflation

Date	Expert 1					Expert 2			
	Traditional	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI
01-17	0.5852	0.5722	0.6369	0.6327	0.6973	0.5399	0.6692	0.5680	0.7620
02-17	0.7694	0.7567	0.8201	0.8356	0.8989	0.7251	0.8517	0.7722	0.9622
03-17	0.5752	0.5676	0.6056	0.6263	0.6642	0.5486	0.6246	0.5883	0.7022
04-17	0.4514	0.4456	0.4745	0.4916	0.5205	0.4312	0.4890	0.4628	0.5494
05-17	0.2771	0.2695	0.3077	0.2983	0.3365	0.2504	0.3267	0.2602	0.3747
06-17	0.3045	0.3040	0.3063	0.3345	0.3368	0.3029	0.3074	0.3323	0.3390
07-17	0.2668	0.2724	0.2442	0.2982	0.2700	0.2865	0.2301	0.3264	0.2418
08-17	0.2558	0.2476	0.2885	0.2744	0.3153	0.2271	0.3090	0.2334	0.3563
09-17	0.2825	0.2808	0.2896	0.3093	0.3182	0.2763	0.2941	0.3004	0.3270
10-17	0.2522	0.2536	0.2467	0.2786	0.2717	0.2571	0.2432	0.2856	0.2648
11-17	0.3442	0.3446	0.3429	0.3789	0.3773	0.3454	0.3421	0.3805	0.3757
12-17	0.4183	0.4219	0.4040	0.4632	0.4452	0.4309	0.3950	0.4812	0.4273

  

Date	Expert 3				Prioritized			
	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	POWAI	PIOWAI	PHOWAI	PIHOWA
01-17	0.6239	0.5852	0.6509	0.6186	0.5848	0.6243	0.6238	0.6820
02-17	0.8074	0.7694	0.8436	0.8120	0.7691	0.8077	0.8230	0.8800
03-17	0.5980	0.5752	0.6254	0.6064	0.5750	0.5982	0.6164	0.6506
04-17	0.4687	0.4514	0.4903	0.4759	0.4513	0.4689	0.4839	0.5099
05-17	0.3000	0.2771	0.3125	0.2935	0.2769	0.3002	0.2945	0.3288
06-17	0.3058	0.3045	0.3209	0.3198	0.3044	0.3058	0.3285	0.3305
07-17	0.2498	0.2668	0.2642	0.2783	0.2669	0.2497	0.2917	0.2663
08-17	0.2803	0.2558	0.2917	0.2712	0.2556	0.2805	0.2711	0.3079
09-17	0.2879	0.2825	0.3017	0.2972	0.2825	0.2879	0.3040	0.3120
10-17	0.2481	0.2522	0.2609	0.2644	0.2523	0.2480	0.2733	0.2671
11-17	0.3433	0.3442	0.3605	0.3613	0.3442	0.3433	0.3720	0.3705
12-17	0.4075	0.4183	0.4291	0.4381	0.4184	0.4075	0.4541	0.4379

Table 6  
Nonsubjacent inflation

Date	Expert 1					Expert 2			
	Traditional	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI
01-17	5.3189	5.0236	3.0548	5.4275	3.4587	4.5314	3.5470	4.9353	3.9509
02-17	0.0247	-0.0212	-0.3271	-0.0386	-0.3445	-0.0977	-0.2506	-0.1151	-0.2680
03-17	0.7520	0.8207	1.2790	0.9257	1.3840	0.9353	1.1644	1.0403	1.2694
04-17	-0.8314	-0.7027	0.1559	-0.7300	0.1285	-0.4880	-0.0588	-0.5154	-0.0861
05-17	-1.3305	-1.2097	-0.4045	-1.2905	-0.4852	-1.0084	-0.6058	-1.0891	-0.6865
06-17	0.0804	0.0967	0.2056	0.1118	0.2207	0.1239	0.1784	0.1390	0.1935
07-17	0.6621	0.7491	1.3285	0.8529	1.4324	0.8939	1.1837	0.9978	1.2875
08-17	1.1868	1.2284	1.5058	1.3651	1.6425	1.2978	1.4364	1.4345	1.5732
09-17	0.4275	0.4042	0.2486	0.4368	0.2813	0.3653	0.2875	0.3979	0.3202
10-17	1.9204	1.7393	0.5325	1.8529	0.6461	1.4376	0.8342	1.5512	0.9478
11-17	3.0994	3.0071	2.3918	3.2771	2.6617	2.8533	2.5456	3.1232	2.8156
12-17	1.0823	1.1171	1.3490	1.2404	1.4723	1.1751	1.2910	1.2984	1.4143

  

Date	Expert 3				Prioritized			
	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	POWAI	PIOWAI	PHOWAI	PIHOWA
01-17	3.2517	4.8267	3.5029	4.9794	4.1918	3.8866	4.5346	4.1900
02-17	-0.2965	-0.0518	-0.2976	-0.0681	-0.1504	-0.1978	-0.1613	-0.2148
03-17	1.2332	0.8665	1.2742	0.9305	1.0143	1.0854	1.0937	1.1739
04-17	0.0700	-0.6168	0.0349	-0.6090	-0.3399	-0.2069	-0.3704	-0.2201
05-17	-0.4850	-1.1292	-0.5455	-1.1494	-0.8695	-0.7447	-0.9421	-0.8012
06-17	0.1947	0.1076	0.1995	0.1179	0.1427	0.1596	0.1537	0.1728
07-17	1.2706	0.8070	1.3080	0.8734	0.9939	1.0837	1.0712	1.1726
08-17	1.4781	1.2561	1.5395	1.3314	1.3456	1.3886	1.4522	1.5007
09-17	0.2642	0.3886	0.2844	0.4010	0.3385	0.3143	0.3661	0.3389
10-17	0.6532	1.6187	0.7402	1.6453	1.2295	1.0424	1.3324	1.1212
11-17	2.4533	2.9456	2.6037	3.0652	2.7471	2.6518	2.9692	2.8616
12-17	1.3258	1.1403	1.3817	1.2077	1.2151	1.2510	1.3114	1.3520

Table 7  
Weights assigned to inflation

Expert	Weights for inflation
$e_1$	$W_1 = (0.70, 0.30), H_1 = (0.70, 0.40), U_1 = (5, 10)$
$e_2$	$W_2 = (0.80, 0.20), H_2 = (0.80, 0.30), U_2 = (5, 10)$
$e_3$	$W_3 = (0.60, 0.40), H_3 = (0.65, 0.45), U_3 = (5, 10)$

449 As seen in Table 8, the annual inflation in Mexico  
 450 depending on the aggregation operator that is used  
 451 can range from 6.1010 for OWAI expert 2 to 11.8901  
 452 for IHOWA expert 3. This information is important  
 453 because inflation is an important economic factor for  
 454 each country. In Mexico, it is possible to see that the  
 455 traditional annual inflation for 2017 of 6.6851 can  
 456 change drastically depending on the weights of the  
 457 components that integrate the inflation change and it  
 458 can go as high as 11.8901. In this sense, it is possible  
 459 that if the formulation that is used in order to calcu-  
 460 late the inflation change using the official information  
 461 that the INEGI reports is not accurate, then the general  
 462 inflation of the country could be lower or higher. This  
 463 information is important for the enterprises, govern-  
 464 ments, investors and people in general because many

465 politics like minimum salaries, for example, are based  
 466 on this information. That is why the introduction of  
 467 these operators in classical economic formulations  
 468 can provide new scenarios that before could not be  
 469 addressed and doing this allows for better decisions  
 470 in a country.

471 From the economic point of view, the introduction  
 472 of these operators is important because inflation can  
 473 be dynamic and different numbers can be obtained  
 474 based on different criteria. Additionally, by doing this  
 475 exercise, it is possible to better understand the reality  
 476 of certain sectors or regions where inflation is per-  
 477 ceived by people to be much higher (or lower) than  
 478 that reported by the Central Bank. This information  
 479 can improve the public policies and decisions that are  
 480 made by governments and provide a more compre-  
 481 hensive view of the situations that are happening.

482 Finally, the weighting, induced and prioritized vec-  
 483 tors, such as the one's presented in Table 3 and  
 484 Table 7, are an important element because the used of  
 485 different numbers can drastically change the results.  
 486 In the example provided in this article, a question-  
 487 naire has been done to each expert asking them about

Table 8  
Mexico Inflation for 2017

Date	Traditional	Expert 1				Expert 2			
		OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI
01-17	1.7686	1.9076	2.3294	2.6139	2.7000	1.3382	2.9714	1.9350	3.3893
02-17	0.5832	0.5234	0.0171	0.5695	0.1184	0.5605	-0.0301	0.5833	0.0742
03-17	0.6194	0.6435	1.0770	0.8087	1.2345	0.6260	1.0564	0.7827	1.2262
04-17	0.1307	0.1011	0.2515	0.0522	0.2982	0.2473	0.0508	0.2156	0.0959
05-17	-0.1248	-0.1743	-0.1908	-0.3073	-0.2050	-0.0014	-0.4193	-0.1186	-0.4368
06-17	0.2484	0.2418	0.2358	0.2789	0.2892	0.2671	0.2042	0.3075	0.2565
07-17	0.3656	0.4154	1.0032	0.5499	1.1107	0.4080	0.9929	0.5605	1.1026
08-17	0.4885	0.5418	1.1406	0.7381	1.2759	0.4412	1.2110	0.6171	1.3654
09-17	0.3188	0.3178	0.2609	0.3912	0.3242	0.2941	0.2888	0.3597	0.3542
10-17	0.6693	0.6993	0.4468	0.9362	0.5610	0.4932	0.7160	0.6938	0.8377
11-17	1.0330	1.1433	1.7771	1.5761	2.0141	0.8470	2.1049	1.2414	2.3652
12-17	0.5843	0.6305	1.0655	0.8204	1.2087	0.5797	1.1118	0.7744	1.2596
Annual	6.6851	6.9913	9.4140	9.0277	10.9298	6.1010	10.2589	7.9525	11.8901

  

Date	Expert 3				Prioritized			
	OWAI	IOWAI	HOWAI	IHOWAI	POWAI	PIOWAI	PHOWAI	PIHOWA
01-17	1.6750	3.1301	1.9994	3.5150	1.6722	2.8102	2.1984	3.1983
02-17	0.3658	0.2767	0.4145	0.3211	0.4696	0.1091	0.5109	0.1884
03-17	0.8521	0.7500	0.9799	0.8777	0.7226	0.9411	0.8707	1.0897
04-17	0.3092	-0.1895	0.3344	-0.1817	0.2209	0.0249	0.2059	0.0557
05-17	-0.0140	-0.5667	-0.0423	-0.6151	-0.0669	-0.3983	-0.1542	-0.4270
06-17	0.2614	0.1863	0.2984	0.2205	0.2560	0.2081	0.2939	0.2536
07-17	0.6581	0.5909	0.7603	0.6929	0.5106	0.8357	0.6367	0.9416
08-17	0.7594	0.8560	0.8824	0.9875	0.6037	1.0444	0.7656	1.1829
09-17	0.2784	0.3462	0.3241	0.3944	0.2961	0.3020	0.3565	0.3598
10-17	0.4101	1.0721	0.5027	1.1884	0.5321	0.7642	0.7022	0.8811
11-17	1.1873	1.9050	1.4060	2.1550	1.0868	1.9102	1.4244	2.1582
12-17	0.7748	0.8515	0.9007	0.9822	0.6755	0.9915	0.8410	1.1308
Annual	7.5178	9.2086	8.7603	10.5380	6.9793	9.5431	8.6519	11.0131

the importance of the elements that compose the sub-  
jacent and nonsubadjacent and another one asking them  
about the importance of the subjacent and nonsubja-  
cent inflation to the monthly inflation.

As can be seen, in this article we didn't use any  
specific technique such as minimal variability or  
disparity approach [29–31] or any other related tech-  
nique to determine the weights but decided to let the  
experts decide the weights without any restriction.  
This can be one of the main advantages or disadvan-  
tages of the technique. For one side, it is possible  
to easily generate different scenarios through the  
changes in the weighting vector but in other hand,  
is hard to obtain a unified result or even pick one sce-  
nario as the best one. In this sense, one technique that  
can be used to get the information from the experts is  
the linguistic representations models [32, 33].

For last, it is important to note that the government  
has its own weights for calculate monthly and annual  
inflation and in this paper, we present how much the  
inflation can change based on expert's information.  
This idea is important because usually the informa-  
tion provided by the government is not always the  
best for the decision-making process in enterprises.  
That is why, using these techniques can provided a  
better and wider view of the reality of the companies

## 5. Conclusions

The objective of the paper is to provide a new for-  
mulation in order to calculate the important economic  
variable of inflation. These formulations were made  
using the ordered weighted average (OWA) operator  
as a base and its extension, the prioritized induced  
heavy ordered weighted average (PIHOWA) operator.  
The most important characteristics of these new for-  
mulations are that they can change the weights of the  
components of the subjacent and nonsubadjacent infla-  
tion formulas and the way that both are integrated in  
the general inflation formula using heavy weighting  
vectors and induced variables. In addition, they can  
integrate the information that is provided by different  
experts.

In the paper, a particular case for Mexico's inflation  
has been analyzed. Additionally, as seen in section  
3.3, there are some special cases that can be applied  
if the aggregation operators are used in all the ele-  
ments of the formulation or if they are only used in  
the subjacent or nonsubadjacent inflation formulas. This  
information is important because the weights that are  
associated with each of the components can change

monthly or annually according to the importance that  
each one has, and in that way, new inflation scenarios  
can be generated.

An example of Mexico's 2017 inflation is also pre-  
sented. From the results, it is possible to see that the  
inflation can range from 6.1010 to 11.8901 depend-  
ing on the expert and the aggregation operator that  
it is used, which is different from the 6.6851 that is  
obtained using the traditional formulation. As can be  
noted, these new inflation scenarios can help to gener-  
ate new political, enterprise and personal decisions  
because inflation is now a range and not a set num-  
ber, which can provide a better understanding of the  
economic situation of a country.

For future research, new extensions of the OWA  
operator [34] can be done using Bonferroni Means  
[23, 24], multicriteria decision making [25–27] and  
applications in other areas such as statistics, mathe-  
matics, economics, finance, innovation and any other  
related field.

## References

- [1] M. Friedman, Nobel lecture: Inflation and unemployment, *Journal of Political Economy* **85**(3) (1977), 451–472.
- [2] K.B. Grier and M.J. Perry, The effects of real and nominal uncertainty on inflation and output growth: Some garch-m evidence, *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **15**(1) (2000), 45–58.
- [3] T. Vlandas, Grey power and the Economy: Aging and Inflation Across Advanced Economies, *Comparative Political Studies* **51**(4) (2018), 514–552.
- [4] R. Judson and A. Orphanides, Inflation, volatility and growth, *International Finance* **2**(1) (1999), 117–138.
- [5] R. Levine and D. Renelt, A sensitivity analysis of cross-country growth regressions, *The American Economic Review*, 1992, 942–963.
- [6] D.R. Hinesline, Examining the robustness of the inflation and growth relationship, *Southern Economic Journal*, 2007, 1020–1037.
- [7] J.C. Eggoh and M. Khan, On the nonlinear relationship between inflation and economic growth, *Research in Economics* **68**(2) (2014), 133–143.
- [8] D. Moore and S. Fraser, Causation, knowledge and politics: Greater precision and rigour needed in methamphetamine research and policy-making to avoid problem inflation, *Addiction Research & Theory* **23**(2) (2015), 89–92.
- [9] L.E. Svensson, Open-economy inflation targeting, *Journal of International Economics* **50**(1) (2000), 155–183.
- [10] G. Antinolfi, C. Azariadis and J. Bullard, The optimal inflation target in an economy with limited enforcement, *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **20**(2) (2016), 582–600.
- [11] M.K. Brunnermeier and Y. Sannikov, On the optimal inflation rate, *American Economic Review* **106**(5) (2016), 484–489.
- [12] R.R. Yager, On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multicriteria decisionmaking, *IEEE*

- 593 *Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* **18**(1)  
594 (1988), 183–190.
- 595 [13] X.R. He, Y.Y. Wu, D. Yu and J.M. Merigó, Exploring the  
596 ordered weighted averaging operator knowledge domain: A  
597 bibliometric analysis, *International Journal of Intelligent*  
598 *Systems* **32** (2017), 1151–1166.
- 599 [14] R.R. Yager and D.P. Filev, Induced ordered weighted aver-  
600 aging operators, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and*  
601 *Cybernetics Part B (Cybernetics)* **29**(2) (1999), 141–150.
- 602 [15] R.R. Yager, Heavy OWA operators, *Fuzzy Optimization and*  
603 *Decision Making* **1**(4) (2002), 379–397.
- 604 [16] R.R. Yager, Prioritized OWA aggregation, *Fuzzy Optimiza-*  
605 *tion and Decision Making* **8**(3) (2009), 245–262.
- 606 [17] J.M. Doña, L. David and J.I. Peláez, A mix model of  
607 discounted cash-flow and OWA operators for strategic val-  
608 uation, *International Journal of Interactive Multimedia and*  
609 *Artificial Intelligence* **1**(2) (2009), 20–25.
- 610 [18] E. León-Castro, E.A. Avilés-Ochoa and A.M. Gil-Lafuente,  
611 Exchange rate USD/MXN forecast through econometric  
612 models, time series and HOWMA operators. *Economic*  
613 *Computation & Economic Cybernetics Studies & Research*,  
614 **50**(4) (2016), 135–150.
- 615 [19] E. León-Castro, E. Avilés-Ochoa, J.M. Merigó and A.M.  
616 Gil-Lafuente, Heavy moving averages and their applica-  
617 tion in econometric forecasting, *Cybernetics & Systems* **49**  
618 (2018), 26–43.
- 619 [20] J.M. Merigó, D. Palacios-Marqués and M. Benavides,  
620 Aggregation methods to calculate the average price, *Journal*  
621 *of Business Research* **68** (2015), 1574–1580.
- 622 [21] F. Blanco-Mesa, E. León-Castro and J.M. Merigó, Bonfer-  
623 roni induced heavy operators in ERM decision-making: A  
624 case on large companies in Colombia, *Applied Soft Com-*  
625 *puting* **72** (2018), 371–391.
- 626 [22] INEGI, National Institute of Statistic and Geogra-  
627 phy, Consumer Price Index, (2018) <http://www.beta.inegi.org.mx/proyectos/precios/inpc/>
- 628 [23] F. Blanco-Mesa, E. León-Castro and J.M. Merigó, Bon-  
629 ferroni means with induced ordered weighted average  
630 operators, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems* **34**(1)  
631 (2019), 3–23.
- 632 [24] P. Liu and J. Liu, Some q-rung orthopai fuzzy Bonferroni  
633 mean operators and their application to multi-attribute group  
634 decision making, *International Journal of Intelligent Sys-*  
635 *tems* **33**(2) (2018), 315–347.
- [25] P. Liu, Some Hamacher aggregation operators based on  
636 the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and their  
637 application to group decision making, *IEEE Transactions*  
638 *on Fuzzy Systems* **22**(1) (2014), 83–97.
- [26] P. Liu, S.M. Chen and P. Wang, Multiple-attribute group  
639 decision-making based on q-rung orthopair fuzzy power  
640 maclaurin symmetric mean operators, *IEEE Transactions*  
641 *on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems* (99) (2018),  
642 1–16.
- [27] P. Liu and G. Tang, Some Intuitionistic Fuzzy Priori-  
643 tized Interactive Einstein Choquet Operators and Their  
644 Application in Decision Making, *IEEE Access* **6** (2018),  
645 72357–72371.
- [28] A. Afshari, M. Mojahed and R.M. Yusuff, Simple addi-  
646 tive weighting approach to personnel selection problem,  
647 *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Tech-*  
648 *nology* **1**(5) (2010), 511.
- [29] Y.M. Wang and C. Parkan, A minimax disparity approach  
649 for obtaining OWA operator weights, *Information Sciences*  
650 **175**(1-2) (2005), 20–29.
- [30] R. Fullér and P. Majlender, On obtaining minimal variabil-  
651 ity OWA operator weights, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **136**(2)  
652 (2003), 203–215.
- [31] Y.M. Wang, Y. Luo and X. Liu, Two new models for deter-  
653 mining OWA operator weights, *Computers & Industrial*  
654 *Engineering* **52**(2) (2007), 203–209.
- [32] F. Herrera and L. Martínez, A 2-tuple fuzzy linguistic  
655 representation model for computing with words, *IEEE*  
656 *Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* **8**(6) (2000), 746–752.
- [33] F. Herrera and E. Herrera-Viedma, Linguistic decision  
657 analysis: Steps for solving decision problems under lin-  
658 guistic information, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **115**(1) (2000),  
659 67–82.
- [34] J. Kacprzyk, R.R. Yager and J.M. Merigó, Towards human  
660 centric aggregation via the ordered weighted aggregation  
661 operators and linguistic data summaries: A new perspective  
662 on Zadeh’s inspirations, *IEEE Computational Intelligence*  
663 *Magazine* **14**(1) (2019), 16–30.
- [35] Avilés-Ochoa, E. León-Castro, L.A. Perez-Arellano and  
664 J.M. Merigó, Government transparency measurement  
665 through prioritized distance operators, *Journal of Intelligent*  
666 *& Fuzzy Systems* **34**(4) (2018), 2783–2794.
- 667