# An evaluation of early career academic nurses' perceptions of a support program designed to build career-resilience

#### Abstract

Early career academic nurses can struggle to meet the demands of career development due to feelings of being overwhelmed. Studies indicate that programs targeting these challenges are often sporadic and inconsistent, leading to dissatisfaction and missed opportunities.

This paper reports on findings evaluating a program designed to build career-resilience in a group of early career academic nurses who, through the provision of a structured program of support, were enabled to succeed and thrive in the academy. This six session program was informed by Knowles' adult learning theory, Mezirow's transformational learning theory and Lord's reliance on critical transactions.

This study was undertaken in a large metropolitan university in Sydney, Australia. Participants included nine early career academic nurses. All had been full-time academics from one to six years.

A qualitative descriptive design was employed using Braun and Clarke's six stage process for data analyses. Three themes emerged: the program *fostered connections, strengthened expertise and clarified directions*. This analysis provided deep insights into the value of collegial relationships to galvanise career success. The program's strength was its ability to lessen participants' feelings of isolation and to develop behaviours that enhance career-resilience.

Key words: Career-resilience program; Early career nurses; Nursing faculty; Qualitative evaluation.

In the last ten years, universities globally have been faced with rapidly changing environments (Choudaha and van Rest, 2018) with many academics in Australian universities experiencing a steep rise in workload expectations and a market-driven approach to accountability (McKay and Monk, 2017). This is particularly challenging for early career academic nurses who often transition from a clinical environment and can find navigating a career in academia challenging (Wyllie et al., 2019). Having access to supports during the initial years of an academic career can have a lasting influence on success (Hollywood et al., 2019). Universities have implemented a range of strategies to support academic success with some focusing on developing research confidence with '*a track record*' in research (Browning et al., 2014). Others have targeted relationship building within a caring scholarly community (Cox, 2013) and personal resilience (McDonald et al., 2012). Mentorship programs have also proven to be effective, (Nowell et al., 2017) however a shortage of appropriate mentors can be problematic (Norton and Cherastidtham, 2018). These peer relationships also rely on the mentor having an adequate understanding of the workplace context, opportunities, support mechanisms available and mentee awareness of developmental career expectations (Jackson et al., 2015).

Current studies indicate that decreasing academic isolation and promoting a shared organisational and academic responsibility for development, are both vital for supporting career success (Sutherland, 2019).

# 2. Background

Career resilience is the ability to adjust and adapt to the changes required for career construction (Lengelle et al., 2017). Developing academic career resilience needs a clear understanding of career realities and a disposition that has the capacity to be *'adaptive, nimble, employable, protean and resilient'* (Mishra and McDonald, 2017) in the academic environment. Literature suggests that ECANs are a particularly vulnerable group when it comes to career development, often required to take on high teaching loads at the expense of research careers (Jackson et al., 2015). Nurses have traditionally followed a clinical pathway (Jackson et al., 2015) and as the majority are female, many experience family disruptions to their career (Sutherland, 2017) so, they mostly enter full-time academic employment later in life, with consequences for their career trajectory and success. ECANs are prone to experience a lack of role clarity (Halcomb et al., 2016), a lack of confidence, feelings of uncertainty and of isolation (McDermid et al., 2016). These can hamper their career development,

productivity and positive self-regard, ultimately impacting on their health, wellbeing and family life (Torp et al., 2018). If a lack of support is added to the mix, *'disengagement and burnout'* can result (Crome et al., 2019).

As future academic leaders ECANs benefit from careful career nurturing (Halcomb et al., 2016). Much of the early literature on career support has focused on individual needs. Recent literature has recognised the vital part that colleagues play in nurturing developing academics (Browning et al., 2014). Collegial support impacts favourably on informal learning (Miller et al., 2017), job satisfaction (Lee et al., 2017) role confidence (Crome et al., 2019), and academic progress (Sutherland, 2019). The timeliness of such assistance and the need for a clear understanding of the meaning of academic *'success'* (Sutherland, 2017), are also important long-term. Recent studies emphasising the importance of early engagement within the academic setting (Crome et al., 2019), have been welcomed as a means of generating opportunities and contributing to new employee's wellbeing (Hollywood et al., 2019).

People are at the centre of leadership efforts. Those who aspire to leadership positions need exposure to likeminded colleagues in order to gain expertise. Creative, supportive and positive learning environments are essential. Mentorship programs benefit ECANs by building relationships and enhancing the confidence needed for career development (Jackson et al., 2015). Although ECANs are expected to be proactive, committed to continuous learning and able to forge a work-life balance (Mishra and McDonald, 2017) , universities also need to provide meaningful and structured support for ECANs to be more proactive and resilient. Career-resilient academics are more likely to become dedicated to continuous learning, academic growth and having a wellrounded career life balance (Mishra and McDonald, 2017) – ready to keep pace with changing requirements for career progression. This study explored the effectiveness of a structured program designed to support ECANs to become career-resilient.

#### 2.1 Program for Early Career Academic Nurses (PECAN)

The Program for Early Career Academic Nurses (PECAN) was developed to address issues of isolation and promote a shared organisational and academic responsibility for the career-minded academic nurse. It consisted of face-to-face fortnightly group *'collaboratories'* (Figure 1) given over three months and targeting, everyday issues known to challenge ECAN career development. The sessions were supported by a 72-page learning guide that included self-directed, pre- and post-program reflective exercises. Participants were

encouraged to reflect on what Kaufman (1977) refers to as '*gaps*' not '*wants*' and to search for their own solutions to emergent issues.

#### 2.2 Pedagogical framework

PECAN drew on the adult learning theories of (Knowles, 1978) and the theory of transformational learning (Mezirow, 1991). It offered ECANs opportunities to 'make their own interpretations rather that act on the purposes, beliefs, judgements, and feelings of others' (Nowell and Foster-Fishman, 2011 p.194) and to challenge their 'habits of mind' (Mezirow, 1997). According to Knowles, if adults are to be active learners, they need involvement in their own learning, opportunities to critically reflect on assumptions, and the ability to personalise benefits. Importantly, the learner needs to take the initiative to identify their needs, create goals, select appropriate strategies and evaluate their learning or progress.

#### 2.3 Collaboratory, collegiality and collaborative learning

Collaboratories are described by Bos et al. (2007) as 'a *creative space without walls*' and where like-minded adults get together and solve complex problems (Muff, 2017). It was anticipated that by using the space for critical transactions (Lord, 1994), ECANs would better scrutinise their performance and develop strategies for success. Collaboratories are usually associated with a positive affect regardless of whether for fun or a more serious activity (Bos et al., 2007) and where Kelly and Barsade (2001) state '*combinatorial process occurs as individual-level affective experiences are shared, and therefore spread, among other group members*' (p.106).

Meetings were private, aesthetically pleasing and comfortable experiences to create a warm and welcoming atmosphere, conducive to collaborative learning. Lunch was provided to facilitate social cohesiveness and to ease participants out of their busy morning and into group workings including an informal presentation by guest academics. Building trust to allow for collective learning was addressed by observing the programs' objectives, inviting authentic guest speakers and setting '*house rules*', e.g. sharing of information during sessions was an individual decision.

## 3. Study aims and research questions

This study is part of a three-phase project exploring the three year journey of a group of ECANs. Findings from Phase one, where ECAN's explored experiences with respect to their career journey (Wyllie et al., 2019), were used to inform the programs development and phase three studied the '*dispositions in learning*' or '*habits of mind*' employed by ECANs to strengthen and sustain their career identity. The aim of this phase was to implement and evaluate ECAN's perceptions of PECAN.

Research questions included:

- What are the ECAN's experiences of the program as a mechanism for supporting career development?
- How do ECANs describe their experiences of being part of a learner support group?

## 4. Methods

#### 4.1. Design and setting

The qualitative design featured three sets of data collection over a period of approximately six months. A field journal collected two sets of data. Set one comprised observational journal notes taken during the collaboratories. The notes taken were used as prompts and probes during interviews and to capture examples of critical collegial interactions. Set two were reflective journal entries taken a week following each collaboratory. The journal notes and reflections were undertaken by the principal researcher and later discussed by all researchers. Set three involved individual semi-structured interviews. The setting was a large metropolitan university in Sydney, Australia.

#### 4.2. Participant recruitment

Purposive sampling was undertaken from designated ECANs. The inclusion criteria thus required participants to be:

- employed in an ongoing full-time position;
- within seven years of commencing an academic role (allowing for career disruptions); and
- at least one year into (demonstrates completion of stage one candidature), or having completed a doctorate.

Of the eleven participants in phase one, nine completed PECAN. Reasons for the decrease were because two had left full time employment and no longer met the criteria.

4.3 Ethical considerations

University Human Research Ethics Committee (ETH16-0948) approval was received. Given the study design, participant anonymity could not be maintained. Pseudonyms were therefore used to ensure confidentiality of participants in reporting findings. Careful attention was taken to de-identify quotes and any recognisable comments. Confidentiality was also agreed to by all participants, with reminders given at each collaboratory. All data was stored on a password protected drive on the principal researcher's computer only accessible to this individual.

## 4.4 Data collection

Semi-structured face-to-face interviews, including one via Skype, were undertaken approximately six months following the last collaboratory. This was believed to be a suitable period to allow for any impact.

Privacy and comfort were important to capture nuanced accounts of experiences and perspectives about structure, organisation and transfer of learning. Interviews were audio-taped and conducted by the principal researcher. The interview included open-ended questions (Figure 2). Probes and prompts were used to capture rich data (Bryman, 2016). The interviews lasted between one and one and a half hours. Recordings were professionally transcribed and verified by the principal researcher and participants.

#### 4.5 Data Analysis

Interview transcripts were thematically analysed using the six-stage process of Braun and Clarke (2006). Transcripts were read multiple times by the principal researcher to facilitate data immersion, while notes were taken in margins in order to form initial ideas. Individual responses to questions were arranged systematically on a spreadsheet with a separate cell for each response. Data were coded to match the individual participant and new codes recorded as they were identified. Codes were arranged into subthemes and potential overarching themes. Significance awarded to codes that reflected the research questions and those frequently cited (Bryman, 2016). A thematic map was created to illustrate the connecting subthemes and three major themes. Substantial time was given by the researchers to review the coding before major themes were finalised. Analytical summaries including key quotes to support themes were created.

#### 4.6 Rigour

Credibility was established using an iterative process during data analysis with increasing data immersion and familiarity (Sandelowski and Leeman, 2012). All participants received copies of their own researcher reviewed transcripts to confirm accuracy and authenticity of data (Carlson, 2010). Confirmability was assured by using the reflective journal entries of emerging themes during regular discussions with co-researchers. Dependability was reflected in a detailed audit trail of the analysis stages (Koch, 1994).

## 5. Findings

All nine ECANs participated in interviews. Their ages ranged from 30 to 55 years (mean of 41 years) and all but one were female. Length of academic employment was one to six years. Seven of the nine had held clinical positions prior to commencing at the university and two had held academic positions at other universities.

Three key themes emerged from the data analysis and each provided insights into the participants' experiences of being part of the PECAN and how it supported them to become more resilient by: *fostering connections*, *strengthening expertise and clarifying directions*. Direct quotes were mostly taken from the audio-taped interviews and supplemented by collaboratory interactions.

#### **5.1 Fostered connections**

Collectively, participants felt that they were expected to independently and effectively make the connections with experienced academics to support their development. Their realities however, had been different, and as Terry stated during interview, 'we get siloed and isolated by deadlines and all the rest of it' which can limit getting 'to know or work' with colleagues. This sentiment was echoed by others who talked about 'working in isolation' and 'if you are isolated from a work point of view, like relationships and research, it is not assisting your career'. Adrian even went as far as to call working in isolation 'dangerous ... like people can get depressed' adding that:

'Most academics are analytic ... they are not relaters by nature so it is hard and they may not be comfortable with approaching people ... it is a skill so if they are not aware of that from the beginning it can be highly detrimental going forward and I don't think that we prepare new academics for that' [Adrian]. Collegial goodwill assisted participants to take up opportunities; but mixing in the collaboratories offered a stronger sense of connectedness. One said, 'I came in the beginning to support a colleague, but it was no chore ... I made contacts that I did not expect' [Alex]. Participants had come to realise they had been 'missing that collegiality so that is why I think this program [PECAN] worked well ... it was organic, it wasn't imposed' [Drew]. The sessions provided a supportive environment where they could, 'cultivate an identity' with Jamie adding 'there is only so much planning that you can do on your own'. For many participants, their doctoral studies had prepared them for research but 'in no way does it prepare you on how to become that academic' [Dale]. Although some were beginning to build careers, they realised that they couldn't be productive on their own. This was emphasised by Jamie who said that the PECAN 'had been a really important learning curve for me developing as an individual and taking part in others' development'.

The mentorship session tested the ECANs views about a mentee's role. Three of the nine believed they understood the role. Finley queried what '*understood'* meant. Andy explained it as having courage. She described how at an overseas conference, she had asked one of the guest speakers to be a mentor, and remained in contact with '*this wonderful person'* regarding '*obtaining competitive research grants'*. Jamie thought it important for the mentee to drive the relationship and targeting mentors for your needs. For Adrian choosing two mentors had given a different perspective on career aspirations and confidence to be '*flexible and adaptable to change'*. Participants were also asked to expand on mentorship needs during interviews. Alex who was on '*the look out*' for a mentor better understood her role and realised that '[...] would make an unreal mentor and would follow-up'. She added that the group was '*coming off a low base'* and '*applauded the program in taking a more practical approach to mentorship by teaching us how to be a mentee'*. Others noted at interview that the '*right*' mentor had to be '*trustworthy*', someone to '*admire'*, '*important that they are going down the same pathway*' and as Jamie commented, a '*good fit* ... it doesn't have to be someone in the *faculty*'.

Collaboratory sessions were described by Terry as 'intimate ... with varying level of voices': a 'safe space' where they could 'be themselves' and as Drew added 'develop a bit more empathy for each other ... and be kinder to [one]self'. Finley attributed these feelings to the 'relaxed calm environment' which encouraged 'trust' and 'open[ness]'. Being away from the busyness of the workplace, participants could unwind, focus on group workings and network: skills that some found difficult '... networking is one of the most challenging parts of

being an academic but one that you have to be able to do' [Chris]. All agreed about its career value and for Alex and Andy was the main reason they volunteered to participate. The openness also fostered relationships following the PECAN, and as Alex stated at the completion of her interview, 'You feel like you've got someone you could talk to ... even once we finished the program, we still had that connection'.

#### 5.2 Strengthened expertise

A high point noted at participant interviews, had been listening to guest academics 'powerful ... engaging stories' and being able to mingle and learn from their career trajectories, described by Chris as 'the whole story and the means to get there'. One summed up the ECANs' feelings about how others had forged an academic career journey, 'when the title comes off and we see the person ... the struggles and weaknesses and know that they could do it ... then there's hope for me ... I can get there as well' [Dale].

Being further along in their careers, meant that guest academics brought authenticity and an understanding of what 'worked'. Terry said their input 'laid bare the hidden knowledge ... that we need, to better under[stand] our career'. Drew stated that because she 'recognised [her] own experience' in some of the stories, she felt 'comfortable, more in tune with taking part in the discussions and that the program had her interests at heart'. Andy was more specific about her experience, using the terms 'work-as-done' (WAD) rather than 'work-asimagined' (WAI) to describe why the program had been effective in facilitating her growth and development. She explained that the terms WAD and WAI are used in healthcare literature to discuss the way people think about work and how best to pursue a safe and successful path (Hollnagel, 2017). Andy's view was that the guest academics had shared work that had been experienced or achieved, rather than what was 'thought to be needed'. Using Andy's example at other interviews brought consensus that learning from those who had experience 'was a powerful teacher'.

The reflective exercises highlighted career development gaps. Jamie at interview said it offered opportunities to 'compare a set of norms ... with like-minded people' about 'meaningful self-development'. Maximising opportunities to benchmark came as the result of a 'congenial social setting' [Terry] and the findings indicated that as collegiality thrived so did the dialogue; so that it was 'okay to open up and find solutions to those everyday issues'. Drew stated, [the collaboratories] ... 'was protected time ... a nice little bubble ... almost impenetrable from external pressures ... where a real conversation could be had'. Alex described the experience in this way: 'we learn to be protective about our work in progress but coming here I found a critical voice ... one I could use with myself and others'. Another participant found that group support gave them the ability to 'actually put my view out there with confidence ... give advice to others' [Chris]. Yet another suggested that '... just getting into a room with like-minded colleagues who have had the same experiences and making adjustments to my plans was helpful' [Finley].

## 5.3 Clarified directions

It became apparent that the group had been mulling over career directions for some time and program activities and exercises had triggered critical reflections on their situations. Such conversations were usually carried out with workload supervisors but feedback was that this system had mixed results and on reflection they had found it *'useful'* to reveal their *'hopes'* in a *'small group of like-minded people'* where guest academics added a reality to future direction. As trust built, participants sought more direction. Here Lord's approach of *'challenging interactions'* was observed. During a discussion on *future directions* Drew used a metaphor to describe how she felt, *'I am in some boat and rowing in my own way but the boat just going round in circles'*. Drew was challenged by the guest speaker to think more deeply about responsibility for her career and asked to reflect on *'how'* she planned to change this pattern of behaviour, sparking a lengthy participant interchange about who held responsibility for future directions. Most felt the need for dual faculty and ECAN responsibility focusing on *'the realities of a career'*.

With a competitive global environment, including increasing competition for grant funding, participants recognised the need to be flexible, adaptive *and 'get out there and get known'*. One adaptation that sparked a lively challenging conversation was sharing views on social media. There was consensus that social media was *'unescapable'*. Several found it *'daunting'*. For Andy, it presented quite a problem as she was floundering in *'knowing what to do with networking on social media ... once your comment is there all can view* [Andy]'. She was quizzed about her social media practices. Advice from Drew and Chris included, to: *'limit looking over other people's posts without sharing anything about yourself'*, *'attending large conferences are great for laying a social media platform'* and *'focus on the message ... not feelings'*. Several at interview welcomed the guidance and now approached social interaction in a different way.

One participant had just completed a doctorate and found the PECAN timely, stating that 'more than anything else [working with the group] gave me confidence to ... rethink my next steps' [Jamie]. Involvement also provided major directional changes for Alex, announcing that the program had 'made [her] think very hard about my role and future'. She told the group how she was struggling and until 'I actually came here I was going to leave the job'. She later explained that the experience had 'opened her eyes' and she made some changes to her development plan and found that the change of direction better fitted her needs.

Participants had different views about their future plans and how the PECAN had impacted them. Prior to their academic employment, many had been in positions of authority. Later asked about this, Alex stated 'there's this underlying assumption that everybody who comes in [to the university] wants to move up ... I just want to do effective research'. Others, especially those who were confident that research would be their future path, found the 'research skills roadmap' session essential to understanding the skills required and 'it pinpointed who I now need to ask about such skills'. Another said, 'I just got a new grant ... the group discussions boosted my confidence about my forthcoming project' [Terry]. Change was also on Andy's radar as she described how she had 'been thinking about making a commitment and will now go for senior lecturer sooner, as I realise I feel comfortable with my development'. This was from someone who had previously stated that she was unsure about applying for promotion as she felt insecure about deserving promotion and that her colleagues might think ... 'who does she think she is ... she hasn't been here long enough'. Adrian, also contemplating promotion, added it was about 'just having the acknowledgement from someone else that you are not the only one setting your sights made a difference'.

# 6. Discussion

This study explored participants' overall perceptions of a support program designed to build career-resilience and its impact on their career development. Discussions involving ECAN's development and future career plans are usually carried out during local appraisals by workload supervisors, however most of the participants found this process to be a lonely one due to '*deadlines and all the rest of it*'. They welcomed the autonomy and challenges of determining personal plans but believed that crafting of plans needed more discussion with and practical guidance from colleagues. Previous studies agree that when isolation is not a matter of choice, it can have a major detrimental impact on early career academics development (Ponjuan et al., 2011). Isolation can lead to loneliness and a decline in health and wellbeing (Belkhir et al., 2019); particularly mental health (Kinman, 2016). Participants found mentors and colleagues to be effective in reducing their loneliness, but not all ECANs were lucky enough to have obtained a mentor, with goodwill from colleagues serendipitous. They felt that the PECAN collaboratories filled a niche in lessening the feelings of isolation, providing a collegial environment where the ECANs could connect.

Creating group cohesiveness is important for accomplishing positive work environments and commitment. Positive and productive environments don't just happen, they need to be created (Oades et al., 2011). The program created positivity and as suggested by Iqbal et al. (2016), for cohesiveness to occur, participants must recognise the *'inherent value of groups and the functions they fulfil for members'* (p.8). The learning guide provided, was a resource that allowed for career development and reflection so that when the group came together they were able to concentrate on actual needs and work toward the career aspirations that had brought them into the higher education sector. Sharing was particularly evident and appreciated in the collaboratories, as it brought an authentic perspective, especially the involvement by guest academics who, by talking more about their own personal goals, invited the ECANs to appreciate the career to which they aspired. The sessions allowed participants to think more broadly about their careers and for those on the cusp of important commitments, make modifications and hasten their progress.

One of the strengths of the PECAN's pedagogical design was using Lord's system of '*challenging interactions*' during the collaboratories. This formal but relaxed group allowed for academics with differing levels of expertise to mingle openly, with critical discussions encouraging them as to describe more thoroughly and think more deeply, on how they tackled challenges, took risks and worked toward being an academic in a global community. Participants commiserated and celebrated experiences involving identity building, values clarification and career hopes, as one said in a more, '*organic*' manner. Colleagues were able to give advice and confidence about social media strategies. This approach has worked in other settings where challenging interactions within a community of professionals changed learning behaviours and enhanced professional development (Males et al., 2010). Journal notes indicated that at first the participants had been tentative in offering advice but began to '*probe*' and '*test*' each other's views as relationships became more trusting and the group more cohesive, the language turned from agreeing, to 'but had you thought about ... or, 'if you do it this way ... '. According to Lord (1994) it is this concentration on challenging group dialogue that gives way to

deeper thought and is the catalyst for what he has called '*critical colleagueship*'. An approach that research has shown enhances professional development.

Providing opportunities for transferring of knowledge and upskilling ECANs to cope with the demands of career development requires appropriate content within a conducive environment, while working to strengthen attitudes. The collaboratories show a group of ECANs who were grappling with direction. The program supported the participants becoming more discerning and responding quickly to new ideas, responsibilities, expectations, trends, strategies and other processes at work. This in turn helped them see bigger picture goals and acquire attitudes such as effective people skills, continuous learning, self-confidence, and a willingness to take risks. All of which are valued by employers (Mishra and McDonald, 2017).

Programs all have costs requiring expenditures for their continued operation. The cost of implementation of PECAN was primarily associated with energy, time and a champion. The ECANs in the study were eager to belong to a group where they could connect with like-minded peers and with the shortage of experienced mentors, such connections could maximise resources and offer benefit to both ECANs and faculty. Although implementation and upkeep of the program would incur some ongoing costs it would also have the potential to generate savings. One promising potential cost offset is reducing recruitment costs by increasing staff retention.

## Implications and recommendations

With the international shortage of ECANS, the importance of strategies to retain and support these novice but highly valued staff members cannot be overstated. This study has demonstrated that a structured and meaningful program can promote collegiality and support career engagement. Further, ECANs who are introduced to the uniqueness of the corporate university and who understand the expectations of them as career academics are more likely to succeed and progress.

The key recommendation from this study relates to the importance of supportive workplace environments with regular small group informal mentoring sessions to develop camaraderie and to enhance ECAN's career resilience.

## 7. Limitations

This study of ECANs limits generalisation of the findings to other disciplines. As this study used purposive sampling, the findings may not be representative of other ECANs and in different university settings.

## 8. Conclusions

Preparing nursing academics for a career in an increasingly complex environment involves personal responsibility with both institutional and individual input. Universities therefore need to provide opportunities for ECANs to engage with a variety of support mechanisms to progress their careers. This study has shown that given a supportive environment, using small groups of like-minded academics can be powerful and can engender resilience. Being resilient empowers ECANs to manage career obstacles, make sense of organisational changes, and manage transition. Resilience is more than ensuring positive outcomes in the development of discipline and community, it is also about the individual's capacity to remain self engaged. It is suggested that for continued productivity and career satisfaction, it is important for ECANs to capitalise on early strengthening of their career identity to sustain the journey.

#### Funding and conflict of interest

None declared.

#### Acknowledgements

Without the academics who participated, this paper could not have been written and the authors extend their gratitude.

#### References

Belkhir, M., Brouard, M., Brunk, K.H., Dalmoro, M., Ferreira, M.C., Figueiredo, B., Huff, A.D.,
Scaraboto, D., Sibai, O., Smith, A.N., 2019. Isolation in globalizing academic fields: A collaborative autoethnography of early career researchers. J Academy of Management Learning 18, 261-285. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0329">https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0329</a>
Bos, N., Zimmerman, A., Olson, J., Yew, J., Yerkie, J., Dahl, E., Olson, G., 2007. From shared databases to communities of practice: A taxonomy of collaboratories. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 12, 652-672. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00343.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00343.x</a>
Braun, V., Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology 3, 77-101. 10.1191/1478088706qp0630a

827 828 829 Browning, L., Thompson, K., Dawson, D., 2014. Developing future research leaders. International 830 Journal for Researcher Development. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRD-08-2014-0019 831 Bryman, A., 2016. Social research methods. Oxford university press. 832 Carlson, J.A., 2010. Avoiding traps in member checking. J Qualitative Report 15, 1102-1113. 833 Choudaha, R., van Rest, E., 2018. Envisioning Pathways to 2030: Megatrends Shaping the Future of 834 Global Higher Education and International Student Mobility. J Online Submission. 835 Cox, M.D., 2013. The impact of communities of practice in support of early-career academics. J 836 International Journal for Academic Development 18, 18-30. <u>10.1080/1360144X.2011.599600</u> 837 Crome, E., Meyer, L., Bosanquet, A., Hughes, L., 2019. Improving engagement in an early career 838 academic setting: can existing models guide early career academic support strategies? Higher 839 Education Research & Development 38, 717-732. 840 Halcomb, E., Jackson, D., Daly, J., Gray, J., Salamonson, Y., Andrew, S., Peters, K., 2016. Insights on 841 leadership from early career nurse academics: findings from a mixed methods study. Journal of 842 nursing management 24, E155-E163. https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12317 843 Hollnagel, E., 2017. Why is work-as-imagined different from work-as-done?, Resilient Health Care, 844 Volume 2. CRC Press, pp. 279-294. 845 Hollywood, A., McCarthy, D., Spencely, C., Winstone, N., 2019. 'Overwhelmed at first': the experience 846 of career development in early career academics. Journal of Further Higher Education, 1-15. (View 847 848 via Scopus) 849 Iqbal, M., Velan, G.M., O'Sullivan, A.J., Balasooriya, C., 2016. Differential impact of student 850 behaviours on group interaction and collaborative learning: medical students' and tutors' 851 perspectives. BMC medical education 16, 217. 852 Jackson, D., Peters, K., Andrew, S., Daly, J., Gray, J., Halcomb, E., 2015. Walking alongside: a 853 qualitative study of the experiences and perceptions of academic nurse mentors supporting early 854 career nurse academics. J Contemprary Nurse 51, 69-82. (View via Scopus) 855 Kaufman, R., 1977. Needs assessments: Internal and external. Journal of instructional development 1, 856 5-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02904307 857 Kelly, J.R., Barsade, S.G., 2001. Mood and emotions in small groups and work teams. Organizational 858 behavior and human decision processes 86, 99-130. https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.2001.2974 859 Kinman, G., 2016. Effort-reward imbalance and overcommitment in UK academics: implications for 860 mental health, satisfaction and retention. Journal of Higher Education Policy 38, 504-518. 861 https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2016.1181884 862 Knowles, M.S., 1978. Andragogy: Adult learning theory in perspective. Community College Review 5, 863 9-20. (View via Scopus) 864 Koch, T., 1994. Establishing rigour in qualitative research: the decision trail. Journal of advanced 865 nursing 19, 976-986. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.1994.tb01177.x 866 Lee, X., Yang, B., Li, W., 2017. The influence factors of job satisfaction and its relationship with 867 turnover intention: Taking early-career employees as an example. J Anales de Psicología/Annals of 868 Psychology 33, 697-707. https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.33.3.238551 869 Lengelle, R., Van der Heijden, B.I., Meijers, F., 2017. The foundations of career resilience, Psychology 870 of career adaptability, employability and resilience. Springer, pp. 29-47. (View via Scopus) 871 Lord, B., 1994. Teachers' professional development: Critical colleagueship and the role of 872 professional communities. The future of education: Perspectives on national standards in education, 873 175-204. 874 Males, L.M., Otten, S., Herbel-Eisenmann, B.A., 2010. Challenges of critical colleagueship: Examining 875 and reflecting on mathematics teacher study group interactions. Journal of Mathematics Teacher 876 Education 13, 459-471. 877 McDermid, F., Peters, K., Daly, J., Jackson, D., 2016. Developing resilience: Stories from novice nurse 878 879 academics. J Nurse Education Today 38, 29-35. (View via PubMed) 880 McDonald, G., Jackson, D., Wilkes, L., Vickers, M.H., 2012. A work-based educational intervention to 881 support the development of personal resilience in nurses and midwives. Nurse education today 32, 882 378-384. 883 15 884

886	
887	
888	McKay, L., Monk, S., 2017. Early career academics learning the game in Whackademia. J Higher
889	education research development 36, 1251-1263. (View via Scopus)
890	
891	Mezirow, J., 1991. Transformative dimensions of adult learning. ERIC. (View via Scopus)
892	Mezirow, J., 1997. Transformative learning: Theory to practice. J New directions for adult continuing
893	education 1997, 5-12. (View via Scopus)
894	Miller, F., Partridge, H., Bruce, C., Hemmings, B., 2017. Designing informal learning experiences for
895	early career academics using a knowledge ecosystem model. Journal of Further Higher Education 41,
896	692-705. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2016.1177165
897	Mishra, P., McDonald, K., 2017. Career resilience: An integrated review of the empirical literature. J
898	Human Resource Development Review 16, 207-234. <u>(View via Scopus)</u>
899	Muff, K., 2017. The collaboratory: A co-creative stakeholder engagement process for solving complex
900	problems. Routledge.
901	Norton, A., Cherastidtham, I., 2018. Mapping Australian Higher Education 2018. Grattan Institute.
902	Retrieved December 5, 2018. (View via Scopus)
903	Nowell, B., Foster-Fishman, P., 2011. Examining multi-sector community collaboratives as vehicles for
904	building organizational capacity. American Journal of Community Psychology 48, 193-207. <u>(View via</u>
905	PubMed)
906	Nowell, L., Norris, J.M., Mrklas, K., White, D.E., 2017. A literature review of mentorship programs in
907	academic nursing. Journal of Professional Nursing 33, 334-344. (View via PubMed)
908	Oades, L.G., Robinson, P., Green, S., Spence, G.B., 2011. Towards a positive university. The Journal of
909	Positive Psychology 6, 432-439.
910	Ponjuan, L., Conley, V.M., Trower, C., 2011. Career stage differences in pre-tenure track faculty
911	perceptions of professional and personal relationships with colleagues. J The Journal of Higher
912	Education 82, 319-346. (View via Scopus)
913	Sandelowski, M., Leeman, J., 2012. Writing usable qualitative health research findings. J Qualitative
914	health research 22, 1404-1413. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732312450368
915	Sutherland, K.A., 2017. Constructions of success in academia: An early career perspective. Studies in
916	Higher Education 42, 743-759. 0.1080/03075079.2015.1072150
917	Sutherland, K.A., 2019. Emerging voices and trends in academic development. International Journal
918	for Academic Development 24, 93-96 (View via Scopus)
919	Torp, S., Lysfjord, L., Midje, H.H., 2018. Workaholism and work–family conflict among university
920	academics. J Higher Education Research 76, 1071-1090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0247-0
921	Wyllie, A., Levett-Jones, T., DiGiacomo, M., Davidson, P., 2019. Exploring the experiences of early
922	career academic nurses as they shape their career journey: A qualitative study. J Nurse education
923	today 76, 68-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.01.021
924	today 70, 00-72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1010/j.neut.2017.01.021</u>
925	
926	
927	
928	
929	
930	
931	
932	
933	
934	
935	
936	
937	
938	
939	
940	
941	
942	
943	16

# Figure 2. Semi-Structured Interview Questions

Open-ended questions that asked about participants' thoughts, feelings and experiences of the program and impact of the program on their academic development and career. The journal notes assisted to prompt areas of relevance during the collaboratory sessions.

## A) Introductory

Briefly, tell me about one or two program experiences?

(Warm up questions. Make the person comfortable and get them to 'reconnect' with the program)

## B) Program

Can you tell me what aspects of this program was the most developmentally satisfying/helpful? What did you feel about the collaboratories? What did you think about the booklet? Any surprises about the program?

**Probes and prompts:** Did you feel stimulated? Supported? In what way/s? By whom? Did you continue to use the booklet? How? When?

(Why ask these questions? Appropriateness: To what extent does the program address needs identified from the first interview? How well does the program align with ECAN needs?)

# C) Career development

In your view what has been the most helpful to your career development? **Probes:** Why do think that was? Did anything in the program assist? And, in what way?

Why ask these questions?

To what extent is the program achieving the intended outcomes, in the short, medium and long term? To what extent is the program producing worthwhile results (outputs, outcomes) and/or meeting each of its objectives?

**Effectiveness** A program that can help to develop the ECAN can assist in the growth and development of the students, organisation, discipline and the faculty.

# D) General

Is there anything else you would like to tell me? Anything you would have liked included in the program? Changed? What has been surprising?

Career Management	Module 1 Being Strategic	Module 2 The 'Crafting' of An Academic	Module 3 Fostering Relationships	Module 4 Building Resilience	Module 5 Maximising Influence
Modular Aims	Aim: Exploring the current academic landscape and examine career progression support mechanisms	<b>Aim</b> : Expedite the academic career planning and development processes to enable appropriate goals	<b>Aim:</b> Enhance healthy and effective mutual working relationship with faculty colleagues	<b>Aim:</b> Adopt the elements required to be resilient researcher in an academic environment	<b>Aim:</b> Making plans, and critically collecting evidence for taking a nursing leadership role in academia
Pre-collaboratory Activity Sets designed to focus on developing the ECANs portfolio	Focusing on reviewing the academic landscape and what counts as progression in a career	Exploring needs, what does an academic do & what motivates them	Connecting with colleagues, career relationships and mentorship	Resilience and you	Future plans: Skills that result in development
Collaboratory Face-to-face topical discussions	Navigating Career & self-care: To explore with a group of ECAN colleagues, their career navigation and to what extent a proactive approach can give confidence and so increase performance	Capabilities required: To explore with a group of ECAN colleagues the experiences and capabilities that are shaping a successful ECAN portfolio	A working relationship: Exploring with a group of ECAN colleagues, strategies that establish a productive relationship with your colleagues, including mentors	Resilience in practice: To explore with a group of ECAN colleagues the supports and skills necessary to sustain a research portfolio	Progressing a career: To explore with a group of ECAN colleagues thoughts on career planning, documentation and making the most of opportunities in academia
Post-collaboratory activity sets consolidating modules	Scanning and reflection on the environment	Documenting needs: Exploring expectations and managing progress	Reflecting as a critical tool	Wellbeing and academics	Making plans both short and long term and evidence of development
Post module Recommended resources	Summary: A focus on strategies in the progression of a career	Summary: A focus on the attributes and competencies necessary to flourish as an academic	Summary: A focus on reflection and feedback as sound and effective learning tools	Summary: A focus on wellbeing whilst working in academia	Summary: Portfolio documentation and refining to focus on evidence of leadership

Figure 1: PECAN Overview: Capacity building early career academic nurses' (ECANs) approach to managing a career