



# Egg donation and having a baby in Australia

**Rosemarie G. Hogan**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy (Public Health)**

under the supervision of

Distinguished Professor Elizabeth Sullivan  
Associate Professor Alex Wang and  
Dr Jane Frawley

University of Technology Sydney  
Faculty of Health

June 2020

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I, Rosemarie Hogan declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy (Public Health), in the Faculty of Health at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

**Date:** June 2020

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Many people have generously provided assistance through the course of this work. I wish to sincerely thank colleagues, friends and family whose expertise, advice, interest, and support have sustained me. In particular I wish to acknowledge people without whom this project could not have been completed.

Firstly, thank you to my supervisors, Distinguished Professor Elizabeth Sullivan, Associate Professor Alex Wang and Dr Jane Frawley for their guidance, encouragement, constructive criticism and belief in my ability to undertake this work. I am indebted to you for sharing your knowledge and time, and for your patience and warmth.

I am extremely grateful to Dr Karin Hammarberg for her encouragement, valuable comments and direction on the four papers resulting from this thesis. Thank you also to Dr Zhuoyang Li for your invaluable statistical expertise. I am indebted to Louise Johnson, CEO of the Victorian Assisted Reproductive Treatment Authority for her support and to all the women who so generously shared their personal experiences.

I wish to acknowledge the Australian Government Research Training Program and the Graduate Research School, University of Technology Sydney (UTS), for the award of a PhD scholarship. I am very grateful to Ms Priya Nair for her administrative support and expertise with the final formatting of this thesis.

To my friends and fellow PhD candidates, the student experience was greatly enhanced by your wonderful camaraderie and for being there to share the ups and downs of student life.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my family. To my husband Simon, I am extremely grateful for your continuing support and encouragement. To my children Sarah, Luke and Ciara, thank you for your patience and understanding. Thank you to my mother-in-law Yvonne Bartels for taking time to read earlier drafts of this manuscript and for your ongoing support. Lastly, to my brother Adrian and sister-in-law Karen, thank you for your encouraging words and belief that I could do it.

## **FORMAT OF THESIS**

This is a thesis by compilation. It is structured as a single manuscript and includes two published manuscripts and a further two manuscripts under peer review for consideration for publication. The manuscripts are included verbatim as accepted or submitted for publication. Copyright permission to reproduce the first two manuscripts has been obtained. The third manuscript has been peer reviewed and final revisions are being completed. The fourth manuscript is under review.

# LIST OF PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS WORK

## Peer-Reviewed Manuscripts Published (Chapters 4, 5 and 6)

**Hogan, R.G.**, Wang, A.Y., Li, Z., Hammarberg, K., Johnson, L., Mol, B.W. & Sullivan, E.A. 2020, 'Having a baby in your 40s with ART: the reproductive dilemma of autologous versus donor oocytes', *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, published online 18 May 2020, <<https://doi.org/10.1111/ajo.13179>>.

**Hogan, R.G.**, Wang, A.Y., Li, Z., Hammarberg, K., Johnson, L., Mol, B.W. & Sullivan, E.A. 2019, 'Oocyte donor age has a significant impact on oocyte recipients' cumulative live birth rate: a population-based cohort study', *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 112(4), pp. 724-730, <<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.05.012>>.

**Hogan, R.G.**, Hammarberg, K., Wang, A.Y. & Sullivan, E.A. 2021, 'Battery hens' or 'nuggets of gold': a qualitative study on the barriers and enablers for altruistic egg donation', *Human Fertility*, in publication.

## Peer-Reviewed Manuscript Under Review (Chapter 7)

**Hogan, R.G.**, Hammarberg, K., Frawley, J., Wang, A.Y. & Sullivan, E.A. 2020, 'Recipients' experiences of altruistic egg donation in Australia', *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, under review.

## **CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS**

**Rosemarie Hogan** is the author of this body of work. With the guidance and advice of all supervisors, she developed the research concepts and the design of the four studies undertaken during this candidature. Rosemarie is the primary author of all the publications and manuscripts included in this thesis.

**Distinguished Professor Elizabeth Sullivan** was the principle supervisor and contributed to the conception, design, writing, editing and proof reading of all manuscripts arising from the research findings.

**Associate Professor Alex Wang** was a co-supervisor and contributed to the conception, design, writing, editing and proof reading of all manuscripts arising from the research findings.

**Dr Jane Frawley** was a co-supervisor and contributed to the writing, editing and proof reading of the fourth manuscript arising from the research findings.

**Dr Karin Hammarberg** contributed to the editing and proof reading of all manuscripts arising from the research findings.

**Dr Zhuoyang Li** provided assistance with the statistical analysis and interpretation of the data in manuscripts one and two.

**Professor Ben Mol** and **Ms Louise Johnson** contributed to the editing and proof reading of manuscripts one and two.

## CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THESIS

**Hogan, R.**, Wang, A., Li, Z., Johnson, L., Hammarberg, K. & Sullivan, E., 'The effect of oocyte donor's and recipient's ages on cumulative live birth rate: a population-based cohort study', *34<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology*, Barcelona, Spain, 1-4<sup>th</sup> July 2018.

**Hogan, R.**, Wang, A., Li, Z., Hammarberg, K., Johnson, L., Mol, B.W. & Sullivan, E., 'Motherhood over 40: own eggs or donor eggs?', *Fertility Society of Australia and New Zealand annual conference*, Hobart, Australia, 14-18<sup>th</sup> Sept. 2019.

## SCHOLARSHIPS AND AWARDS

**2017-2020:** Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

**2019:** University of Technology Sydney, Vice Chancellors Conference Award.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
FORMAT OF THESIS .....	v
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS WORK.....	vi
CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS .....	vii
CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS ARISING FROM THESIS.....	viii
SCHOLARSHIPS AND AWARDS.....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xii
ABBREVIATIONS .....	xiii
ABSTRACT.....	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .....	16
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	16
1.2 RATIONALE OF THESIS .....	24
1.3 THESIS STRUCTURE .....	25
1.4 RESEARCHER'S POSITION AND MOTIVATION.....	26
1.5 CONCLUSION .....	27
1.6 REFERENCES.....	29
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW .....	35
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	35
2.2 ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY (ART).....	36
2.3 EGG DONATION.....	40
2.4 SUMMARY .....	53
2.5 REFERENCES .....	55
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH DESIGN, METHODOLOGY AND METHODS.....	63
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	63
3.2 RESEARCH AIMS AND OBJECTIVES .....	63
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY .....	64
3.4 OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH STUDIES.....	67
3.5 DATA STORAGE .....	77

3.6 CHAPTER CONCLUSION .....	77
3.7 REFERENCES .....	79
CHAPTER 4: STUDY 1.....	81
4.1 ABSTRACT .....	82
4.2 INTRODUCTION.....	83
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	85
4.4 RESULTS.....	87
4.5 DISCUSSION .....	89
4.6 REFERENCES .....	93
CHAPTER 5: STUDY 2.....	101
5.1 ABSTRACT .....	102
5.2 INTRODUCTION.....	104
5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	106
5.4 RESULTS.....	108
5.5 DISCUSSION .....	110
5.6 REFERENCES .....	115
CHAPTER 6: STUDY 3.....	120
6.1 ABSTRACT .....	121
6.2 INTRODUCTION.....	122
6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	122
6.4 RESULTS.....	128
6.5 DISCUSSION .....	135
6.6 REFERENCES .....	141
CHAPTER 7: STUDY 4.....	147
7.1 ABSTRACT .....	148
7.2 INTRODUCTION.....	149
7.3 MATERIALS and METHODS .....	150
7.4 RESULTS.....	153
7.5 DISCUSSION .....	161
7.6 REFERENCES .....	165
CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION .....	170
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	170
8.2 PRIMARY FINDINGS.....	171
8.3 IMPLICATIONS OF FINDINGS.....	176

8.4 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH .....	181
8.5 LIMITATIONS.....	182
8.6 SUMMARY .....	183
8.7 REFERENCES.....	185
APPENDICES.....	189
APPENDIX 1: ADVERTISEMENT FLYER.....	189
APPENDIX 2: PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET (Donor).....	190
APPENDIX 3: PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET (Recipient) .....	191
APPENDIX 4: CONSENT FORM (Donor).....	192
APPENDIX 5: CONSENT FORM (Recipient).....	193
APPENDIX 6: INTERVIEW GUIDE (Donor).....	194
APPENDIX 7: INTERVIEW GUIDE (Recipient).....	195

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Thesis structure and publications.....	28
Table 2: Overview of Research Studies.....	69
Table 3: Demographic and clinical characteristics of study populations .....	96
Table 4: Embryo transfer cycles.....	96
Table 5: Mean number of oocytes and mean number of embryos suitable for transfer/freezing .....	97
Table 6: Cumulative Live Birth Rates for donor and autologous oocyte cycles.....	98
Table 7: Cumulative Live Birth Rate of oocyte recipients by donor age .....	99
Table 8: Cycle specific and cumulative live birth rates (all ages) .....	100
Table 9: Selected demographics of participants in oocyte donor/recipient cycles .....	118
Table 10: Treatment factors of embryo transfer cycles .....	119
Table 11: Cumulative live birth rates in oocyte recipient cycles by age of recipients and donors.....	119
Table 12: Cumulative live birth rate by recipient and donor ages.....	119
Table 13: Participant characteristics at the time of donation .....	145
Table 14: Themes and sub-themes .....	169
Table 15: Characteristics of women who received donor eggs.....	169

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Regulation of ART in Australian states and territories .....	17
Figure 2: Average cost of treatment using donated eggs (costs correct at 1 May 2020).....	46
Figure 3: Convergent Mixed Methods.....	66

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

AHR	adjusted hazard ratio
ANZARD	Australian and New Zealand Assisted Reproduction Database
AOR	adjusted odds ratio
ARR	adjusted relative risk
ART	assisted reproductive technology
BL	blastocyst
CI	confidence interval
CL	cleavage stage embryo
DET	double embryo transfer
FSA	Fertility Society of Australia
HR	hazard ratio
ICMART	International Committee Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies
ICSI	intracytoplasmic sperm injection
IVF	in vitro fertilization
LBR	live birth rate
OR	odds ratio
RR	relative risk
RTAC	Reproductive Technology Accreditation Committee
SART	Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology
SD	standard deviation
SET	single embryo transfer
VARTA	Victorian Assisted Reproductive Treatment Authority

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Egg donation is an essential component of assisted reproductive technology (ART) treatment. Studies indicate that when a woman or couple inquire about egg donation, they want to know if the treatment will result in a healthy baby. As yet, there is limited evidence comparing women receiving ART treatment with their own eggs, to women of a similar age using donor eggs. Also, there is little research on how donors and recipients experience the process of egg donation in Australia.

**Methods:** Mixed-methods research was conducted. Two population-based cohort studies investigated the impact of age on the cumulative live birth rate (CLBR) in egg donation cycles. Semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis were conducted with egg donors and recipients to examine the barriers and enablers for altruistic egg donation.

**Results:** Overall, the findings demonstrate that women in their 40s using donor eggs were five times more likely to have a baby than women using their own eggs. The evidence confirms that the age of the egg donor is critical. Women using eggs from donors under 35 years had a statistically significantly higher CLBR when compared with recipients using eggs from donors over 35 years. However, most women in their 40s undergoing ART used their own eggs despite the minimal chance of having a baby as a result. The qualitative findings report that women experience difficulties finding a donor while egg donors described

feeling undervalued. The egg donors wanted clinics to provide more person-centred care and emotional support.

**Conclusions:** The results of this study are timely and highly relevant to fertility clinics where egg donation is offered and to inform public health policy. This research is the first to evaluate the CLBR in women who have received donated eggs. The findings can be used when counselling women over 40 about their ART treatment options. The results lend support for the requirement to have an upper age limit for egg donors in Australia. Steps to improve women's experience of egg donation have been identified. Public health strategies such as national education campaigns on egg donation and the establishment of a public egg bank are recommended to increase donor recruitment and retention. Critically, better clinic follow-up care, including post-donation counselling, would significantly improve donors' experience of altruistic egg donation, which in turn may lead to egg donors being willing to donate more than once.