

Performance Measurement of Cloud Service Suppliers and Cloud Supply Chain

by Majid Azadi

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of A/Prof. Farookh Khadeer Hussain as principal supervisor, Dr. Fahimeh Ramezani as co-supervisor, Professor Ali Emrouznejad as external supervisor and Professor Reza Farzipoor Saen as external supervisor

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

February 2021

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I, Majid Azadi, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor

of Philosophy, in the School of Computer Science / Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at

the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all

information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 1/02/2021

i

Dedication

To my beloved parents and siblings for their encouragement which helped my dreams come true.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my appreciation to Associate Professor Farookh Khadeer Hussain, who supervised this Ph.D. program, for his knowledgeable comments, valuable support, appropriate guidance during difficult times, and beneficial suggestions along the way. I want to thank Farookh for his wonderful scientific and spiritual support during this journey and his willingness to allow my research to follow my interests. I am also grateful to Dr. Fahimeh Ramezani, my co-supervisor, for her knowledgeable suggestions and valuable technical advice throughout my PhD study. I would also like to express my special thanks to Professor Ali Emrouznejad and Professor Reza Farzipoor Saen for their generous support and letting me work beside their amazing research team.

I would like to thank my parents, the first ones who taught me, for their encouragement and support despite the geographical distance. Pursuing a PhD would not have been possible without their love and assistance. I express my gratitude to my siblings, all my friends and colleagues at the University of Technology Sydney for their help and valuable comments during my study.

I also want to express my appreciation to the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology and the Centre for Artificial Intelligence at the University of Technology Sydney for their support during this research. This research was also supported by the International Research Scholarship (IRS) and UTS President's Scholarship (UTSP) funded by the University of Technology Sydney.

I would like to thank all of my friends especially Dr. Amir Shabani, Dr. Mahdi Saki and Dr. Hadi Hosseini for their great friendship and generous support during my PhD.

Last, but not least, a special thank you to Mrs. Michele Mooney for helping me to identify and correct grammar and syntax problems in my publications.

Abstract

Over the past decade, cloud computing has received much consideration from both practitioners and academics. Nonetheless, the rapid increase in the industrial use-cases of cloud computing and the sharp increase in the number of cloud service providers (CSPs) have resulted in many challenges in performance measurement and the selection of the optimal CSPs according to quality of service (QoS) requirements. To date, there is no reliable approach for the performance assessment of CSPs and quantitative models are rarely used to support such decisions. In addition, the existing approaches to CSP performance measurement suffer from several limitations and drawbacks such as requiring complex calculations, being effort-intensive and being time-consuming. Furthermore, the existing approaches are unable to find slight differences between CSPs in a cloud marketplace owing to the high level of competition. These limitations are major obstacles to applying the existing approaches to assess CSPs. To address these issues in the existing literature, the objective of this study is to develop performance evaluation models based on the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) approach that can act as a proxy for many conventional performance assessment problems in cloud computing. The obtained results show that the models proposed in this study are extremely effective in measuring the efficiency of CSPs. Furthermore, the proposed models can deal with different types of data simultaneously in the efficiency measurement of CSPs and cloud supply chains, giving decision makers the ability to estimate the performance of CSPs efficiently.

Keywords: Cloud services, Cloud service providers (CSPs), Cloud supply chain, Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), Network DEA, Performance measurement and selection.

Contents

Certificate of Authorship/Originalityi			
Dedicati	Dedicationi		
	edgment		
	1 INTRODUCTION		
	BACKGROUND		
1 2	RESEARCH PROBLEMS	2	
1.3	RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	6	
1.4	RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS	13	

	1.5	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	15
	1.5.	1 DESIGN SCIENCE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	15
	1.5.	2 RESEARCH PLAN	17
	1.6.	THESIS STRUCTURE	21
	1.7.	PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO THIS RESEARCH	23
Ch	apter	2 LITERATURE REVIEW	25
	2.1	INTRODUCTION	25
	2.2	CLOUD COMPUTING	25
	2.3	CLOUDS LAYERS ARCHITECTURE AND SERVICES	28
	2.3.	1 INFRASTRUCTURE AS A SERVICE	29
	2.3.	2 PLATFORM AS A SERVICE	29
	2.3.		
	2.4	SERVICE-LEVEL AGREEMENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICE	31
	2.5	CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDERS	33
	2.6	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OF CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDERS	34
	2.7	DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS	40
	2.8	THE IMPLEMENTATION OF DEA IN CLOUD ENVIRONMENT	50
	2.9	DISCRIMINATION POWER IN DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS	50
	2.10	UNDESIRABLE OUTPUTS	54
	2.11	INTEGER-VALUED DATA	56
	2.12	CHANCE-CONSTRAINED DEA	57
	2.13	NETWORK DEA	58
	2.14	FREE DISPOSAL HULL (FDH)	59
	2.15 C	UALITY OF SERVICE	60
	2.16	SUPPLY CHAIN	61
	2.17.	RESEARCH GAPS	61
	2.18.	SUMMARY	62
Ch	apter	3 PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OF CLOUD SERVICE SUPPLIERS AND CLOUD SUPPLY CHAIN	63
	3.2	THE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK	64
	3.2.1	ONE-STAGE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODELS WITH SIGNIFICANT ABILITY TO MAKE DISTINCTION	
	BETW	EEN CLOUD SERVICE SUPPLIERS	67
	3 2	2 EVALUATING EFFICIENCY IN CLOUD SUPPLY CHAINS	60

3.3	SU	JMMARY	. 69
•		NE-STAGE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODELS WITH SIGNIFICANT ABILITY TO MAKE DISTINCTION LOUD SERVICE SUPPLIERS	.71
4.1	IN	TRODUCTION	.71
4.2	٨١	MIXED IDEAL AND ANTI-IDEAL DEA MODEL FOR INCREASING DISCRIMINATION POWER IN	
		IANCE OF EVALUATION CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDERS	71
PER	KFUKIVI		
4	1.2.1	PRELIMINARIES	
4	1.2.2	DUAL LINEAR FORM OF THE ERM MODEL	
4	1.2.3	MODELS DEVELOPMENT	
4	1.2.4	THE ERM MODEL BASED ON DISTANCE TO IDEAL ASPECT (ERM-IDMU/METHOD 1)	.77
	1.2.5 AIDMU	THE ERM MODEL BASED ON DISTANCE TO ANTI-IDEAL ASPECT (ER U/METHOD 79	ίM-
4	1.2.6	THE ERM MODEL BASED ON MIXED ASPECT (ERM-MAIDMU/ METHOD 3)	. 80
4	1.2.7	ILLUSTRATIVE	. 82
4	1.2.8	DATA AND EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED MODELS	. 85
4	4.2.9	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 88
4.3	EF	FICIENCY MEASUREMENT OF CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDERS USING NETWORK DEA	.94
4	1.3.2	EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED METHODOLOGY	.97
4	1.3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	100
4.4	АΙ	NEW RUSSELL DIRECTIONAL DISTANCE FUNCTION (DDF) MODEL TO PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF	
CLC		RVICE PROVIDERS	104
Δ	1.4.1	PROPOSED MODEL	104
	1.4.2	EXPERIMENTATION AND RESULTS	
4.4		JMMARY	
Chapt	er 5 E\	VALUATING EFFICIENCY IN CLOUD SUPPLY CHAINS	112
5.1	IN.	TRODUCTION	112
5.2	TH	IE CLOUD ENVIRONMENT AND ITS SUPPLY CHAIN	113
5.3	ΑI	DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF CLOUD SERVICE PROVIDERS	
(CS	Ps) 11	4	
5.4	TF	HE PROPOSED DEA MODELS	116
_	5.4.1. NTEG	TWO-STAGE SBM NETWORK DEA MODELS WITH UNDESIRABLE OUTPUTS A	
	5.4.2 Valui	TWO-STAGE SBM NETWORK DEA MODELS WITH UNDESIRABLE OUTPUTS, INTEGIED DATA, AND STOCHASTIC DATA	
5.5	CA	ASE STUDY	127
5.6	RF	SULTS AND DISCUSSION	133

5.7	SUMMARY	135
Chapte	er 6 NON-CONVEX TECHNOLOGY IN CLOUD SUPPLY CHAINS	136
INTR	ODUCTION	136
6.2	PROPOSED MODEL	136
6.3	DATA AND EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED MODELS	149
6.4	SUMMARY	154
Chapte	r 7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS	155
7.1	CONCLUSIONS	155
7.2	FUTURE WORKS	160
7.3	APPENDIX	162
7.	3.1 IMPLEMENTATION FOR THE PROPOSED MODELS	167
7.4 F	REFERENCES	171
	Figures	
	1.1 Research Objectives	
	1.2 The design research methodology	
_	1.3 Thesis structure	
_	2.1 Deployment models types	
-	2.2 Cloud computing services (Angel Diaz & Chris Ferris 2013)	
31	2.3 The distribution of responsibilities between customers and cloud providers given different ser	
Figure :	2.4 Cloud service providers	34
Figure :	2.5 Output-oriented piecewise linear convex isoquant (Emrouznejad et al., 2010)	46
Figure :	3.1 Performance evaluation framework of Cloud Service Providers	66
Figure 4	4.1 The efficiency status of the DMUs	83
Figure 4	4.2 The obtained results using network DEA, SBM (CRS) and SBM (VRS)	102
_	5.1 The cloud supply chain	
Figure !	5.2 CSP-PE, a decision support system	116
_	5.3 The two-stage cloud supply chain structure	
_	5.4 Ranking scores with different models	
Figure	6.1 The two-stage cloud supply chain	149
List of	Tables	
	1 The factors used for efficiency measurement of CSPs	
	2.1 Services provided by the major cloud service providers	
	2.2 The summary of reviewed literature related to DEA approach in performance evaluation	
	2.3 The summary of reviewed literature related to discrimination power in DEA	
	I.1 The nomenclatures	
	1.2 Data set for the numerical example and the results obtained using different models	
Table 4	L3 The results of our methods	84

Table 4.4 Comparison of the DEA models based on ideal and anti-ideal concepts	85
Table 4.5 Characteristics of 18 cloud service providers (CSPs) used for evaluation	87
Table 4.6 ERM efficiency scores for the 18 CSPs	88
Table 4.7 Ranking based on the ideal aspect	90
Table 4.8 Ranking based on the anti-ideal aspect	91
Table 4.9 Ranking based on mixed aspects	92
Table 4.10 Results of other ranking methods	93
Table 4.11 Attributes of the 18 cloud service providers (CSPs) and their values	98
Table 4.12 The normalized data for the attributes of the 18 CSPs	99
Table 4.13 The results	101
Table 4.14 The nomenclatures	104
Table 4.15 Characteristics of 18 CSPs	109
Table 4.16 The efficiency results	110
Table 5.1 The data set of 24 CSPs	129
Table 5.2 The results obtained using Models (1)-(8)	131
Table 6.1 The data set of 24 CSPs	150
Table 6.2 The results from Models (1)-(9)	151
Table 7.1 Contributions of the current research	159
Table 7.2 Abbreviations	162
Table 7.3 The data set for CSPs	165
Table 7.4 The data set for cloud supply chain	166