Inkjet printing of artificial latent fingermarks for improved quality assurance and research efficiency

A thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Science)

Romain STEINER

B.Sc, M.Sc

Centre for Forensic Science

University of Technology Sydney

February 2021

Certificate of original authorship

I, Romain Steiner declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature: Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 16 February 2021

Research communication

Peer-Reviewed Publications

Steiner, R., Moret, S. and Roux, C., *Evaluation of the use of chemical pads to mimic latent fingermarks for research purposes*. Forensic Science International, 2020. 314.

Steiner, R., Roux, C. and Moret, S., *Controlling fingermark variability for research purposes: A review*. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Forensic Science, 2019. 1.

Conference Presentations

Oral presentation at the 5th Crossing Forensic Borders seminar held by the University of Technology Sydney, Australia; 10 February 2021.

Oral presentation at the 8th Doctoral School of the Ecole des Sciences Criminelles (ESC), University of Lausanne held in Les Diablerets, Switzerland; 26-29 August 2019.

Oral presentation at the WSU-UTS Symposium held in Sydney, Australia; 11 July 2019.

Poster presentation at the 24th International Symposium of the Australian and New Zealand Forensic Science Society (ANZFSS) held in Perth, Australia; 9-13 September 2018.

Oral presentation at the University of Technology Sydney – Western Sydney University (UTS-WSU) Symposium held in Sydney, Australia; 24 July 2018.

Abstract

Research in fingermark detection is a constantly evolving field where detection techniques are frequently improved or discovered to be able to detect as many fingermarks as possible in any given case. Quality control in fingermark detection is paramount to ensuring detection techniques meet a scientifically accepted standard, but this control is hindered by the intrinsic variability of natural fingermarks. The chemical composition of fingermark secretions, as well as deposition parameters such as the pressure applied or the amount of residue deposited on a substrate, can considerably vary between individuals and even for a same individual at different times. Because of this variability, it is challenging to unambiguously attribute any failure to detect fingermarks to the detection technique used rather than to a poor quality of the latent mark. The International Fingerprint Research Group (IFRG) guidelines aim at providing a standardised framework for researchers to reduce the effect of fingermark variability. However, due to its unpredictable nature, this variability can never be completely controlled, and new detection techniques need to go through many different stages of experimentation (and peer-review) before being approved for use into standard operating procedures.

This thesis aimed at developing a method to reproducibly produce artificial fingermarks using an inkjet printer. Firstly, a standard solution mimicking real human secretions was developed and was shown to be reactive towards a range of commonly used detection techniques. Fingermark patterns were then printed using an everyday inkjet printer by replacing the black ink with the synthetic secretions. Artificial fingermarks were printed on a porous and a non-porous substrate and were processed with some of the most used detection techniques on these kinds of surfaces. The artificial fingermarks were shown to be reactive towards most of the detection techniques tested. To validate the process, two different practical applications were examined: the production of proficiency tests for the assessment of laboratories methods and detection techniques, and an inter-laboratory comparison focussed on the physical developer technique. Both experiments showed very good potential for the use of artificial fingermark for quality assessment and research.

The proposed method has potential to alleviate the effects of fingermark variability by providing a way to reproducibly produced controllable fingermarks with a known and fixed

composition. Further research is imperative to improve the method but the results found showed that artificial fingermarks are the right way to go for a better research and quality assessment.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank the University of Technology Sydney for the grant of this scholarship and this once-in-a-lifetime opportunity of undertaking a PhD at the other side of the world. The experiences these last three years have brought me are beyond any of my expectations and I cannot wait to see what the future holds for me.

A special acknowledgement goes to my supervisory panel, Dr Sebastien Moret and Prof. Claude Roux for their continual support from the very first day of this long journey, and Prof. Andy Bécue for his external view and precious expertise on the subject.

I also would like to thank the Ecole des Sciences Criminelles in Lausanne for allowing me to use their facilities for the 3 wonderful months I spent in Switzerland to work on my project and present my results to other PhD candidates from over 10 different countries.

I warmly address a special acknowledgement to the fingermark donors who took some of their time (and I know it is precious!) to put their hands in latex gloves, rub their fingers on their forehead before pressing them against different substrates. This may not sound as a big deal, but this research would not have been the same without their availability.

I would like to give a special credit to all the UTS-WSU fingermark research group for the fruitful discussions held during our regular meetings that helped me identifying issues during my project and ways to resolve them.

I acknowledge all the laboratories supervisors as well, especially Dr Linda Xiao and Dr Ronald Shimmon, for the inductions and different trainings which made my laboratory routine safer and enjoyable.

And last but not least, a very special "thank you" goes to my partner, Margarita, for her support in every possible way and for comforting me when I was feeling down and overwhelmed by this project. It felt great to have someone I love and who I can rely on and talk to after a hard day at work and you were always there for me, I love you.

Table of contents

Certificate of original authorshipi
Research communicationii
Peer-Reviewed Publicationsii
Conference Presentationsii
Abstract iii
Acknowledgements
Table of contents vi
List of figures and tablesxii
List of figuresxii
List of tablesxx
Abbreviationsxxiv
Overviewxxvi
Overviewxxvi Chapter 1: Theoretical considerations2
Overviewxxvi Chapter 1: Theoretical considerations
Overview
Overview
Overview xxvi Chapter 1: Theoretical considerations 2 1.1 Introduction 2 1.2 Fingermark composition and its control 6 1.2.1 Chemical composition 6 1.2.2 How can fingermark composition be controlled? 8
Overview xxvi Chapter 1: Theoretical considerations 2 1.1 Introduction 2 1.2 Fingermark composition and its control 6 1.2.1 Chemical composition 6 1.2.2 How can fingermark composition be controlled? 8 1.3 Fingermark deposition and its control 20
Overview
Overview xxvi Chapter 1: Theoretical considerations 2 1.1 Introduction 2 1.2 Fingermark composition and its control 6 1.2.1 Chemical composition 6 1.2.2 How can fingermark composition be controlled? 8 1.3 Fingermark deposition and its control 20 1.3.1 Physical factors influencing quality of deposition 20 1.3.2 How can fingermark deposition be controlled? 21

1.3.3.1 Existing methods	25
1.3.3.2 Printing method	26
1.4 Current shortcomings and aims of the project	28
1.4.1 A 'standard' fingermark?	28
1.4.2 Aims and objectives of the research	29
1.4.2.1 Aim	29
1.4.2.2 Objectives	30
1.4.2.3 Thesis structure	30
Chapter 2: The use of commercially available chemical pads to produce artificial fingermarks	35
2.1 Introduction	35
2.2 Materials and methods	37
2.2.1 Collection/deposition of artificial and real fingermarks	38
2.2.2 Chemicals	39
2.2.3 Fingermark detection techniques and results recording	40
2.2.4 Part 1 – Techniques applied individually	41
2.2.5 Part 2 – Techniques applied in sequence	43
2.2.6 Part 3 – Behaviour at different aging times	44
2.3 Results and discussion	46
2.3.1 Part 1 – Techniques applied individually	46
2.3.2 Part 2 – Techniques applied in sequences	58
2.3.3 Part 3 – Behaviour at different aging times	62
2.4 Additional findings	66
2.5 Final considerations on the use of chemical pads to produce artificial fingermarks	5. 69

Chapter 3: Design and study of artificial secretions	72
3.1 Preparation of synthetic sweat and sebum	72
3.1.1 Chemicals and products	73
3.1.2 Preparation of synthetic sweat	73
3.1.3 Preparation of synthetic sebum	75
3.2 Preparation of an emulsion - synthetic fingermark residue	77
3.2.1 Theory on fingermark residues and emulsions	77
3.2.2 Preparation of an emulsion	80
3.2.2.1 de la Hunty emulsion	80
3.2.2.2 Sisco et al. emulsion	81
3.3 Reactivity assessment of the artificial secretions	83
3.3.1 Methodology	83
3.3.2 Results	84
3.3.2 Results	<i>84</i> 84
3.3.2 Results	<i>84</i> 84 86
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8	<i>84</i> 84 86 88
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 9	<i>84</i> 84 86 88 <i>91</i>
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 9 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9	<i>84</i> 84 86 88 <i>91</i> 95
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 8 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9 3.4.1 Methodology 9	84 84 86 88 91 95 95
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 8 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9 3.4.1 Methodology 9 3.4.2 Results 9	84 84 86 88 91 95 95 95
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.2 Sincussion 8 3.3.3 Discussion 9 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9 3.4.1 Methodology 9 3.4.2 Results 9 3.4.3 Discussion 9	84 84 88 91 95 95 95 96 98
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 8 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9 3.4.1 Methodology 9 3.4.2 Results 9 3.4.3 Discussion 9 3.5 Concluding comments on the developed artificial secretions 9	84 84 86 88 91 95 95 95 95 96 98 99
3.3.2 Results 8 3.3.2.1 Synthetic sweat 8 3.3.2.2 Synthetic sebum 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.2.3 Emulsions 8 3.3.3 Discussion 9 3.4 Stability of the emulsion and size of the micelles 9 3.4.1 Methodology 9 3.4.2 Results 9 3.4.3 Discussion 9 3.5 Concluding comments on the developed artificial secretions 9 Chapter 4: Inkjet printing of artificial fingermarks 10	84 84 88 91 95 95 95 96 98 99 03

4.1.1 Drop on demand technologies
4.1.2 Printing inks
4.2 Choice of the printer and optimisation of the printing method 107
4.2.1 Selection of the printer107
4.2.2 HP Deskjet 3630 Inkjet Printer – Cartridge modification
4.2.3 Reproducibility of printings110
4.2.3.1 Methodology110
4.2.3.2 Results and discussion115
4.3 Printing artificial fingermarks with an inkjet printer for detection technique
performance assessment 124
4.3.1 Methodology
4.3.2 Results
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate127
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion149
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate150
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate154
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate157
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate1574.4 Using an advanced printer to print artificial fingermarks159
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate1574.4 Using an advanced printer to print artificial fingermarks1594.4.1 Methodology161
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate1574.4 Using an advanced printer to print artificial fingermarks1594.4.1 Methodology1614.4.2 Results and discussion165
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate1574.4 Using an advanced printer to print artificial fingermarks1594.4.1 Methodology1614.4.2 Results and discussion1654.4.3 Comparison of the HP and Fujifilm Printers179
4.3.2.1 Printing on porous substrate1274.3.2.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1384.3.3 Discussion1494.3.3.1 Printing on porous substrate1504.3.3.2 Printing on non-porous substrate1544.3.4 Recommendations to print artificial fingermarks on paper and acetate1574.4 Using an advanced printer to print artificial fingermarks1594.4.1 Methodology1614.4.2 Results and discussion1654.4.3 Comparison of the HP and Fujifilm Printers1794.5 Final comments on the two inkjet printing methods182

4.5.2 Fujifilm Printer
4.5.3 Critical reflection on the work done and conclusions
Chapter 5: Applications and perspectives regarding the use of artificial fingermarks190
5.1 Design and implementation of proficiency testing using printed fingermarks 190
5.1.1 Methodology
5.1.2 Results
5.1.2.1 Choice of concentration 198
5.1.2.2 Printing of the proficiency tests
5.1.2.3 Final results 206
5.1.3 Discussion
5.2 Perspectives for research and inter-laboratories comparisons 210
5.2.1 Methodology
5.2.2 Results
5.2.3 Discussion
5.3 Test strips for detection technique quality control 220
5.4 Final comments on the practical applications of printed fingermarks
Chapter 6: Conclusions and future directions227
6.1 General conclusions 227
6.1.1 The use of chemical pads to produce artificial fingermarks
6.1.2 Development of artificial secretions
6.1.3 Printing of artificial fingermarks
6.1.4 Practical applications 233
6.2 Perspectives and future directions 235

Appendices	239
Appendix I: Detection techniques chemicals, formulations, application, and visualis	ation
	239
Appendix II: Zetasizer measurements and data	248
Appendix III: HP Printer and emulsion formulation troubleshooting	252
References	255

List of figures and tables

List of figures

Figure 4: The Reed-Stanton press rig and a schematic of operation and control [76]. 25

Figure 8: Inked reference of one of the stamps and different scores attributed to artificial mixture fingermarks. From left to right: VMD_{Au/Zn} on glossy paper, CA on acetate, CA+R6G on glass, and Ind/Zn on copy paper. Contrast for all artificial fingermarks was inverted. .. 43

Figure 11: Mean contrast for eccrine-based fingermarks (left) and natural/mixture-based fingermarks (right) when detected with (a) Ind/Zn on copy paper, (b) Ind/Zn on recycled

Figure 12: Mean contrast for eccrine-based fingermarks (left), natural/mixture-based fingermarks (middle), and sebaceous-based fingermarks (right) when detected with VMD_{Au/Zn} on acetate. Real secretions are in dark blue and artificial ones in light blue...... 50

Figure 15: Distribution of scores per artificial secretion type and per detection technique.

Figure 19: Distribution of scores for artificial eccrine and mixture fingermarks detected with Ind/Zn and Nin based on their depletion number $(1 - 1^{st} depletion, 2 - 2^{nd} depletion, 3 - 3^{rd} depletion)$.

Figure 21: Distribution of scores for each of the real/artificial fingermarks deposited on (a) acetate and (b) glass and treated with the detection sequence $CA \rightarrow VMD_{Au/Zn} \rightarrow R6G. .. 61$

Figure 23: Mean scores of quality obtained for both age comparisons (1 week vs. 1 day and 1 month vs. 1 week) for all real and artificial fingermarks treated with Ind/Zn, CA+R6G and $VMD_{Au/Zn}$ using the modified UC scale. A positive score indicates that a better quality was observed after the second age in time span pairings and a negative score indicates a decrease in quality. 1D to 1W = 1 day to 1 week and 1W to 1M = 1 week to 1 month. 63

Figure 24: Mean scores of contrast obtained for both age comparisons (1 week vs. 1 day and 1 month vs. 1 week) for all real and artificial fingermarks treated with Ind/Zn, CA+R6G and $VMD_{Au/Zn}$ using the modified UC scale. A positive score indicates that a better quality was observed after the second age in time span pairings and a negative score indicates a decrease in quality. 1D to 1W = 1 day to 1 week and 1W to 1M = 1 week to 1 month. 64

Figure 26: synthetic sebum_s after sonication (left) and at room temperature (right)....... 76

Figure 30: Series of 5 depletions of emulsion_s deposited with a stamp and detected with Ind/Zn. An increase in quality can be observed down to the third depletion, followed by a loss in quality due to a decrease in contrast (depletion numbers are indicated from 1 to 5).

Figure 31: Evolution of the size of the particles in the dispersed phase, as well as the zeta
potential of the emulsion as a function of time. An increase of the negative values of zeta
notential indicates an increase in stability 96
potential indicates an increase in stability.
Figure 32: Sequence of droplet formation, ejection, and refilling in a thermal inkjet print
head [116] 105
Figure 33: Structure of a piezo inkjet system. Droplets are formed by the deformation of the
wall after an electric signal [116]
Figure 34: Refillable (left) and genuine cartridge (right) used in a Canon Pixma MG7760 with
fixed print heads
fixed print neads
Figure 35: HP Deskiet 3630 Printer (left), tri-colour and black HP 63 ink cartridges (right).
Figure 36: (Left) empty black cartridges cleaned and dried. The lid is removed on the left
The set of
cartridge to show the inside of the ink chamber. (Right) detailed view of the nozzles 109
Figure 37: Two inked fingerprints (top) used to create the templates (bottom) for the
rigure 57. Two linked higerprints (top) used to create the templates (bottom) for the
printings
Figure 28: A4 document used as the template to print the artificial fingermarks (not to scale)
rigule 58. A4 document used as the template to print the artificial higer harks (not to scale).
Figure 20: Screenshet of the Decument Properties and Advanced Options windows of the
Figure 39: Screenshot of the Document Properties and Advanced Options windows of the
HP Deskjet 3630. The most critical settings are highlighted
Figure 40: Mass loss after each page printed with cartridge 1 (6 artificial fingermarks per
page)
Figure 41: Mass loss after each series of 10 pages printed with cartridge 1 (60 artificial
fingermarks per series)
Figure 42: Black and white images (inverted) of two artificial fingermark printed in SDPI and
treated with Ind/Zn. Printing #7 from S2 (left) and printing #6 from S3 (right) 121
Figure 43: Black and white images (inverted) of an artificial fingermark printed in (left) SDPI
and (right) MDPI and treated with Ind/Zn. The SDPI fingermark comes from the S7 series

while the MDPI one comes from the M3 series. Ridge detail is more defined on the
fingermark printed in MDPI 122
Figure 44: Black and white images (inverted) of artificial fingermarks printed in SDPI (left)
and MDPI (right) treated with Ind/Zn. SDPI fingermarks are taken from series S7 (top and
middle) and S1 (bottom) and MDPI fingermarks from series M3 (top) and M1 (middle and
bottom)122
Figure 45: Artificial fingermark printed with (left) clogged nozzles and (right) a fully cleaned
cartridge and detected with Ind/Zn 123
Figure 46: Artificial fingermarks printed with H/I sebum on two different pages and detected
with ODO
with ORO 128
Figure 47: Real fingermark (left) and two artificial fingermarks (right) printed with H/I
sebumcin and detected with ORO
Figure 48: Two artificial fingermarks printed with the emulsion and detected with ORO.129
Figure 40. Two real fingermarks detected with the sequence $\ln d/2n \rightarrow Nin \rightarrow OBO$. The
Figure 49. Two real higermarks detected with the sequence hid/21 \rightarrow Nin \rightarrow OKO. The
quality after ORO processing was deemed as (a) good and (b) medium
Figure 50: Two artificial fingermarks printed with the emulsion _{conc} and treated with the
sequence (a) Nin \rightarrow OBO and (b) Ind/Zn \rightarrow OBO
Figure 51: (a) Three different artificial fingermarks printed with sweat $ ightarrow$ H/I sebum _{C10} on
paper and detected with the sequence Ind/Zn $ ightarrow$ ORO. (b) Artificial fingermark printed with
(left) sweat \rightarrow H/I sebum _{C10} and (right) emulsion _{conc} and detected with ORO
Figure 52: Real fingermarks treated after immersion with ORO individually (left) and in
sequence after Ind/Zn (right)
Figure 52. Detailed view of the videos of entificial figure meadle with dwith (a) the synthetic
Figure 53: Detailed view of the ridges of artificial ingermarks printed with (a) the synthetic
sweat and (b) sweat \rightarrow sebum, detected with CA + R6G
Figure 54: Unprocessed artificial fingermarks (left and middle) and a real fingermark (right)
deposited on acetate and observed in coaxial illumination

Figure 55: Artificial fingermarks printed with the emulsion _{conc} and treated within 24 hours (left) and after 7 days (right) with (a) CA fuming and (b) R6G
Figure 56: Artificial fingermarks printed with (left) H/I sebum and (middle) emulsion _{con} compared to (right) a real fingermark. All fingermarks were processed with R6G without prior CA fuming
Figure 57: Actual view (left) and sketch (right) of the main components of the Fujifilm DMI Printer. Images are taken from the Fujifilm Printer user manual [127]160
Figure 58: Jetting module and fluid module (left) and sketch (right) of a cartridge used with the Fujifilm Printer (the sketch is taken from the Fujifilm Printer user manual [127]). Recarrows indicate which elements were removed to make the cartridge refillable
Figure 59: Templates printed with the Fujifilm Printer. a) Lines, dots and zoomed fingermarl ridges [128]. b) Squares and lines [129]. c) Fingermark patterns
Figure 60: Optimal cartridge settings used to print the synthetic sweat (example fo template B)
Figure 61: Example of a "single pulse inverted trapezoid" waveform. Figure is taken from the Fujifilm Printer user manual [127]164
Figure 62: Template A (zoomed ridges) printed with the emulsion and detected with Nin or 80 gsm (left) and 200 gsm (right) paper
Figure 63: Template A (zigzag lines) printed with the emulsion and detected with ORO on 80 gsm (left) and 200 gsm (right) paper
Figure 64: Template A (zoomed ridges) printed with the emulsion on 80 gsm paper and treated in sequence with (left), Ind/Zn, (middle) Nin, and (right) ORO
Figure 65: Template B printed with (left) an unfiltered and (right) filtered emulsion on 200 gsm paper and treated with ORO168
Figure 66: FM model printed with the emulsion _{conc} on 200 gsm paper and treated with Ind/Zn. Dark bands resulting from clogged nozzles are clearly visible

Figure 67: Artificial fingermarks printed on 100 gsm paper and detected with Nin. The same template was used for the two fingermarks and the printing parameters were identical.

Figure 69: Illustration of a fingermark template (not to scale). The fingermark images were placed to be printed on the pre- and post-testing, as well as the testing field. For confidentiality reasons, the actual fingermark images printed on the tests are not presented.

Figure 71: Fingermark models with output levels of (a) 0, (b) 85, (c) 170, and (d) 191..... 202

Figure 72: Artificial fingermark printed from a template having an output level of (left) 0 and (right) 191. Both fingermarks were processed with Ind/Zn. Halftone printing results in an increased spacing between the dots on the fingermark printed with a higher output level.

Figure 74: Templates printed for the study were cut in half as indicated by the red lines. The left halves were processed in Australia (AU) and the right ones in Switzerland (CH). 212

Figure 76: Positive control test strips developed by Janssen-Bouwmeester *et al.* for visualisation techniques Nin (top) and Ind/Zn (bottom) [45].

Figure 77: Test strip developed by Kupferschmid et al. [82]...... 222

Figure 78: Control slides developed by Thiburce et al. showing three levels of polymerization
[77]

List of tables

Table 1: Main constituents of human glands found in fingermark residues. Adapted from
[20, 25, 26]
Table 2: Formulation used by Schwarz (all compounds dissolved in 500 mL of water).
Adapted from [43] 10
Table 3: Amino acid solution developed by the NFI. Adapted from [45].
Table 4: Composition of the artificial fingermark material. Adapted from [49]. 13
Table 5: Composition of the synthetic fingermark residue. The eccrine compounds are
dissolved in 100 mL of deionised water and the eccrine fraction are dissolved in 30 mL of
dichloromethane (DCM). Adapted from [50] 15
Table 6: Summary of 5 different artificial sweat formulations described in the literature. In
bold are the compounds present in all formulations. Adapted from [43-45, 49, 50]
Table 7: Summary of 2 different artificial sebum formulations described in the literature. In
bold are the compounds present in both formulations. Adapted from [49, 50]
Table 8: Description of the substrates used for the study. 39
Table 9: Detection sequences considered for all three surface types, and imaging details for
each technique. "exc." corresponds to the excitation wavelength and "obs." to the
observation one
Table 10: Grading scale used for the quality assessment of the artificial fingermarks 43
Table 11: Modified UC scale used to compare both halves of a deposition [91]. Scores were
attributed when observing the difference in contrast/ridge quality between time span #1
and time span #2 (i.e., 1 day vs. 1 week and 1 week vs. 1 month)
Table 12: Quantity of amino acids in the synthetic sweat stock solution
Table 13: Sweat _D and sweat _s deposited on copy and filter paper and detected with Ind/Zn
and Nin. Slight differences in colour and contrast can be observed

Table 14: Sebum_D and Sebum_S deposited on copy and filter paper and detected with ORO
and PD. Differences can be seen in the homogeneity of the spot tests
Table 15: $Emulsion_D$, $emulsion_s$ and $emulsion_{conc}$ detected with Ind/Zn, Nin, ORO, and PD.
Sebum _D concentration has a clear impact on the intensity of the reaction with ORO 90
Table 16: Printing settings chosen on the HP Deskjet 3630 113
Table 17: Experimental design of the printing reproducibility experiment. Series of printings
are indicated for each cartridge, day, and resolution
Table 18: All measurements for the series of printings made 1 by 1 with both cartridges and
both resolutions. S1-S5 are the series printed in SDPI and M1-M2 those printed in MDPI.
Table 19: All measurements for the series of printings made 10 by 10 with both cartridges
and both resolutions. S6-S10 are the series printed in SDPI and M3-M5 those printed in
MDPI
Table 20: Substrates and compatible detection techniques and sequences used to detect
printed artificial fingermarks124
Table 21: General scheme of the study
Table 22: Artificial fingermarks printed with the $emulsion_{conc}$ on paper and treated with
Ind/Zn, Nin, and ORO (applied individually)130
Table 23: Artificial fingermarks printed with an old and a fresh $emulsion_{conc}$ on paper and
treated with Ind/Zn, Nin, and ORO (in sequence)131
Table 24: 3 different artificial fingermarks printed with sweat \rightarrow H/I sebum _{C10} on paper and
detected with the sequence Ind/Zn \rightarrow Nin \rightarrow ORO
Table 25: Artificial fingermarks printed on paper with sweat, H/I sebum_ $C10$, and emulsion _{conc} .
All processed with VMD _{Au/Zn} 136
Table 26: Artificial fingermarks printed with emulsion _{conc} on paper and immersed in water
for 15 minutes compared to dry artificial fingermarks. Fingermarks were treated with Ind/Zn
and ORO (individually and in sequence)

Table 31: Substrates used with the Fujifilm Printer161

Table 36: Different artificial fingermarks from the FM template printed on 80, 100, and 200 gsm paper with sweat followed by sebum_{diluted}. Printings were detected with Ind/Zn.... 176

Table 37: Different artificial fingermarks from the FM template printed on 80, 100, and 200
gsm paper with sweat followed by sebum_{diluted}. Printings were detected with Nin followed
by ORO (applied in sequence)
Table 38: Different amino acid concentrations used during the pre-tests. 197
Table 39: All four fingermarks printed with C ₀ , C ₅ , C ₁₀ , and C ₅₀ and detected with Ind/Zn
(parts of the fingermarks have been blurred for confidentiality)
Table 40: All four fingermarks printed with C_0 , C_5 , C_{10} , and C_{50} and detected with Nin (parts
of the fingermarks have been blurred for confidentiality) 201
Table 41: Variation in luminescence on different pre-testing fields treated with Ind/Zn (parts
of the fingermarks have been blurred for confidentiality) 204
Table 42: Variation in luminescence of different artificial fingermarks printed on the
validation samples and treated with Ind/Zn (parts of the fingermarks have been blurred for
confidentiality)
Table 43: Experimental design of the 16 printings made with the Fujifilm Printer 211
Table 44: Template B printed on 80, 100, and 200 gsm copy paper and treated with PD in
Australia (left halves) and Switzerland (right halves)
Table 45: FM template printed on 80, 100, and 200 gsm copy paper and treated with PD in
Australia (left halves) and Switzerland (right halves)

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Word
ATR	Attenuated Total Reflection
СА	Cyanoacrylate
CAST	Centre for Applied Science and Technology
DCM	Dichloromethane
DFO	1,8-Diazafluoren-9-one
DI	Deionised
DOD	Drop on demand
DPI	Dots per inch
Emulsion _{conc}	Emulsion prepared with sebum _{conc} (de la Hunty's formulation)
Emulsion _D	Emulsion (de la Hunty's formulation)
Emulsions	Emulsion (Sisco et al. formulation)
ESC	Ecole des Sciences Criminelles
FTIR	Fourier-Transform Infrared
Gsm	Grams per square meter
H/I	Hexane/isopropanol (50:50, v/v)
HCI	Hydrogen chloride
HLB	Hydrophilic-lipophilic balance
IFRG	International Fingerprint Research Group
Ind/Zn	1,2-indanedione/zinc
LOD	Limit of detection
MDPI	Max dots per inch (resolution)
MMD	Multi Metal Deposition
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NFI	Netherlands Forensic Institute
Nin	Ninhydrin
o/w	Oil-in-water
ORO	Oil Red O
PD	Physical developer
рН	Potential of hydrogen
РТ	Proficiency test/testing
R6G	Rhodamine 6G
SDPI	Standard dots per inch (resolution)
Sebum _{conc}	Concentrated synthetic sebum (de la Hunty's formulation)
Sebum _D	Synthetic sebum (de la Hunty's formulation)
Sebums	Synthetic sebum (Sisco et al. formulation)
SMD	Single Metal Deposition
Sol-gel	Solution-gelation

Sweat _D	Synthetic sweat (de la Hunty's formulation)
Sweats	Synthetic sweat (Sisco et al. formulation)
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UC	University of Canberra
VMD _{Au/Zn}	Gold/zinc vacuum metal deposition
w/o	Water-in-oil

Overview

Research in fingermark detection is a constantly evolving field where new detection techniques are frequently discovered, and existing ones are continuously improved in order to optimise the detection in any given case. Given the high number of laboratories developing and testing fingermark detection techniques, research must be standardised in some way for the results to be comparable. The International Fingerprint Research Group (IFRG) Guidelines were published to set the framework in which fingermark detection research has to be undertaken to guarantee valid results throughout the different phases of research and development in fingerprint research.

This need for standardisation arises from one specific characteristic of fingermarks: their inherent variability. Fingermark variability is the result of two main parameters: the chemical composition of the secretions and the deposition factors. These two parameters have been studied and efforts have been reported to try to control fingermark variability by developing standard solutions or by presenting methods to reproducibly deposit latent fingermarks. However, no real attempt at creating realistic artificial fingermarks, with a known chemical composition and a controllable deposition method, has been reported. Standard solutions are usually limited to simplistic mixtures that are reactive towards very few detection techniques. More complex solutions have been reported but their reactivity towards a large range of techniques has never been assessed. Moreover, a reproducible way to deposit fingermark simulants has never been extensively studied nevertheless some promising results were obtained by using an inkjet printer.

This thesis aimed at developing a method to reproducibly produce artificial fingermarks with a known, controllable, and realistic composition using an inkjet printer. A simulant is considered as realistic if its reactivity towards an extended range of detection technique mimics real fingermarks. It should also allow for detection sequences to be assessed on different types of substrates. To achieve this goal, the research was divided into four main parts: (i) the evaluation of commercially available chemical pads to produce latent fingermarks, (ii) the development of artificial secretions, (iii) the presentation and optimisation of the inkjet printing method, and (iv) the demonstration of two different practical applications that could benefit from the use of artificial fingermarks. The primary objective of the study in relation to the chemical pads was to evaluate their reliability to mimic real fingermarks when deposited on different substrates and processed with different detection techniques. The results obtained showed that, even if those pads can give a better control on the quality in some cases, they are too unreliable and cannot be recommended for use in research or practice. The deposition method using a rubber stamp was also shown to be unreproducible as it was impossible to precisely control the amount of simulant loaded on the stamps and deposited on the substrate. These results further highlighted the need for a more realistic simulant, combined with a better deposition method.

The second part of the thesis was dedicated to the choice of the most optimal synthetic solutions for the purpose of the research. Different synthetic sweat and sebum formulations found in the literature were tested and their reactivity towards common detection techniques was compared. Emulsions formed by mixing the sweat and sebum solutions were tested with the same detection techniques applied individually and in sequence, and the results confirmed the presence of both eccrine and sebaceous compounds within the emulsions. The choice was conclusively made to keep the formulations with the most optimal properties for inkjet printing.

The third, and fundamental part of the research, aimed at presenting and optimising the inkjet printing method to controllably print artificial fingermarks with the synthetic solutions developed. Two different printers were compared: a commercially available consumer inkjet printer (HP Printer), and a chemical printer (Fujifilm Printer). The high reproducibility of the HP Printer was first demonstrated by printing a consequent number of pages with the synthetic solutions. Artificial fingermarks were then printed with the different synthetic solutions (sweat, sebum, and emulsion) on a porous (paper) and a non-porous (acetate) substrate. The artificial fingermarks were processed with a range of detection techniques compatible with each of the substrates: 1,2-indanedione/zinc, ninhydrin, Oil Red O, and physical developer on paper; cyanoacrylate fuming, rhodamine 6G, gold/zinc vacuum metal deposition, and silver-black powder on acetate. The techniques were applied individually, as well as in sequence, and the results assessed. The best results were obtained on the fingermarks printed with the emulsion, which not only had a very similar quality and contrast compared to real fingermarks but could also be processed with detection

sequences on paper and acetate. Two main shortcomings were identified that were directly related to the use of the HP Printer: the impossibility to print on rigid or thick substrates and to print solutions that are too viscous. The Fujifilm Printer was used to try to overcome those issues, but the results showed that the printer suffered from an important lack of reproducibility and that the emulsion could not be printed without clogging the cartridge nozzles. Overall, inkjet printing was shown to be a very efficient, easy to apply, and a quick method to produce realistic artificial fingermarks. The quality of the results was highly reproducible and comparable to those obtained when real fingermarks were processed with the same detection techniques. For those reasons, the inkjet printing method could start being implemented by laboratories as positive control tests that would lead to an important gain of time and improved quality assurance in research and practice.

Finally, the last part of the research presented how the use of artificial fingermarks could be applied to the production of proficiency tests (PTs) and to inter-laboratory comparisons of detection techniques. PTs currently suffer from the intrinsic variability of fingermarks as two different forensic laboratories will never receive the exact same fingermark, thus making laboratory detection success comparisons challenging. A collaboration with Forensic Foundations (a PTs providing company) was undertaken where the fingermarks sent to the participants were printed with artificial secretions on a paper substrate. Pre-testing of the samples showed that all the fingermarks were properly printed and detected by correctly prepared working solutions of 1,2-indanedione/zinc and ninhydrin. It was demonstrated that the fingermarks sent to the participants were all consistent, which will conclusively contribute to a better review of their methods. The inter-laboratory study was undertaken in collaboration with the Ecole des Sciences Criminelles in Switzerland and focused on physical developer (PD) performance. Different patterns printed with the Fujifilm Printer were processed in Australia and Switzerland with two similar PD working solutions. However, because the poor reproducibility of the Fujifilm Printer, no definitive conclusions could be drawn regarding any advantage in the efficiency of the PD technique applied in Switzerland or Australia. Despite these results, the potential of artificial fingermarks to compare different working solutions used by different laboratories remains very promising. Using reproducible printers such as the HP might resolve some of the shortcomings highlighted. Finally, artificial fingermarks have the potential to have an important impact in the way research is undertaken. It is hoped that this thesis will lay the foundation of future developments to optimise the process even further.