

# **A combined up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket and biofilter as an improved alternative on-site sanitation in urban Bhutan: lab-scale to pilot studies**

**by Ugyen Dorji**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

Under the supervision of Dr Sherub Phuntsho & Professor  
Hokyong Shon

University of Technology Sydney  
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

November 2020

## CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, **Ugyen Dorji** declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of **Doctor of Philosophy**, in the **School of Civil and Environmental Engineering/Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology** at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:            Production Note:  
                                 Signature removed prior to publication.

---

**(Ugyen Dorji)**

Date: 19 November 2020

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To write this doctoral Thesis has been one of the most challenging academic experiences of my life; understanding, developing and interpreting data into writing in a second language. Yet it has also been a rewarding learning experience, and I am still learning. No individual achieves anything on their own. This Thesis could not have been completed without the love, support, patience, and guidance of some individuals to whom I am indebted.

First, I dedicate this Thesis to my beloved father, Mr. Sangay Wangdi, for educating his siblings and me. For him, educating his children was a priority despite being the sole bread earner in the family. I dedicate this Thesis to my beloved mother, Mrs. Kezang Wangmo, for raising us with unwavering love and affection throughout her life, although her own education remained a distant dream.

This research and Thesis were only possible with the constant guidance, support, encouragement and understanding of my Principal Supervisor Dr. Sherub Phuntsho. I offer my earnest gratitude to him for introducing me to the world of research and the drive to investigate innovative ideas with simple but critical thinking. We hope to continue working together for many years to come. I extend my heartfelt thank you to my Co-supervisor Prof. Hokyong Shon for his kind support and mentorship during my study at UTS.

I acknowledge the gracious support extended by Dr. Cheki Dorji, President of College of Science and Technology, Bhutan, and Ms. Sangay Choden, Finance Officer and Project Manager, for the full and unconditional support extended to me and UTS for conducting the field study within the CST campus.

I am thankful for the support received from Dr. M.A.H Johir and Mr. Rami Haddad for conducting the laboratory works. I also acknowledge Dr. Pema Dorji and Dr. Niren Kumar Pathak for their support and help during the experimental work at UTS.

I also would like to thank Mr. Chimi Dorji, Chief Laboratory Officer, Royal Centre for Disease Control (RCDC) supporting the access to their laboratory, and to Mr. Pema Chopel and Mr. Nim Dorji for helping with the wastewater sample analysis. I extend my thanks to Mr. Kumar Sunwar, Pelden Enterprise Limited, Pasakha, for his support in the laboratory testing works. I extend my thanks to Mr. Rinchen Dhendup, who as Research Assistant had been a helping hand for me throughout field study. Finally, I thank all those whose names I am unable to include here, who have directly or indirectly supported me in one way or the other in this study.

Finally, I want to thank my family, particularly my wife Dechen for her unwavering support during my study and our two beautiful daughters Tenzin Lhaki Tshomo and Sonam Yangchen Lhazom for their companionship. I thank my mother-in-law, Ms. Samten Zangmo, for taking care of our daughters during my stay at UTS far from my home. I thank all my family members: my brothers and sister, and in-laws for their continual encouragement.

Lastly, I thank Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BTFEC) for funding this work and the College of Science and Technology (CST) for nominating me as the PhD candidate for the project, and University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for offering the International Research Scholarship (IRS). Without all these people, this PhD would not have been possible.

**UGYEN DORJI**

### **Journal Articles Published/Submitted:**

1. **Dorji, U.**, Tenzin, U.M., Dorji, P., Dorji, C., Shon, H.K., Phuntsho, S. 2019, 'Wastewater management in urban Bhutan: Assessing the current practices and challenges', *Process Safety & Environmental Protection*, vol. 132, pp. 82-93.
2. **Dorji, U.**, Tenzin, U.M., Dorji, P., Pathak, N., Johir, M.A.H., Volpin, F., Dorji, C., Chernicharo, C.A.L., Shon, H.K., Phuntsho, S. 2021, 'Exploring shredded waste PET bottles as a biofilter media for improved on-site sanitation', *Process Safety & Environmental Protection*, vol. 148, pp. 370 - 381.
3. **Dorji, U.**, Norbu, K., Dorji, P., Pathak, N., Johir, M.A.H., Dorji, C., Chernicharo, C.A.L., Shon, H.K., Phuntsho, S. 2021, 'On-site domestic wastewater treatment using shredded waste plastic bottles as biofilter media: Pilot operation in Bhutan', Submitted to *Process Safety & Environmental Protection*.

### **Conference Paper and Presentation**

1. **Dorji, U.**, Phuntsho, S., Shon, K. Treatment of domestic black wastewater in a UASB reactor and anaerobic biofilter: a sustainable sanitation solution for the unsewered area in Bhutan, *Challenges in Environment Science & Engineering (CESE-2018)*, 4 - 8 November, Bangkok, Thailand.
2. **Dorji, U.**, Phuntsho, S., Shon, K. Improved on-site sanitation system using up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor and anaerobic biofilter: A pilot household study in Bhutan, *Challenges in Environment Science & Engineering (CESE-2020) – Virtual Conference*, 7 - 8 November, Beijing, China.

## **LISTS OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>UASB</b>	Up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket
<b>ABF</b>	Anaerobic Biofilter
<b>HUASB</b>	Hydrolytic Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Bed
<b>DEWATS</b>	Decentralised Wastewater Treatment System
<b>COD</b>	Chemical Oxygen Demand
<b>BOD</b>	Biological Oxygen Demand
<b>TSS</b>	Total Suspended Solids
<b>VSS</b>	Volatile Suspended Solids
<b>E. coli</b>	Escherichia Coli
<b>TKN</b>	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
<b>RGoB</b>	Royal Government of Bhutan
<b>UNSEPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>WWTP</b>	Wastewater Treatment Plant
<b>NSBB</b>	National Statistical Bureau of Bhutan
<b>MoWHS</b>	Ministry of Works and Human Settlements
<b>WSP</b>	Waste Stabilisation Pond
<b>NEC</b>	National Environment Commission
<b>PET</b>	Polyethylene Terephthalate
<b>SRT</b>	Solid Retention Time
<b>MUASB</b>	Modified Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket
<b>NSSB</b>	National Statistical Bureau of Bhutan
<b>GLSS</b>	Gas Liquid Solid Separator
<b>ABR</b>	Anaerobic Baffled Reactor
<b>UAF</b>	Up-flow Anaerobic Filter

## Table of Contents

<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	2
1.1.1 Global urban wastewater management: issues, current approaches and challenges	2
1.1.2 Global wastewater treatment situation	4
1.1.2.1 Sanitation and on-site treatment	4
1.1.2.2 Influent wastewater composition	5
1.1.2.3 Treatment technologies	6
1.1.2.4 Anaerobic treatment plants and biofilters	8
1.1.3 The institutional situation for wastewater treatment and water quality protection in Bhutan	10
1.1.4 Urban wastewater management in Bhutan	11
1.2 Problem statement and research motivation	13
1.2.1 The problem: inadequate wastewater management systems in urban Bhutan	13
1.2.2 Motivation: the need for an improved alternative to the current conventional on-site sanitation treatment for urban Bhutan	15
1.3 Thesis to be investigated	17
1.4 Purpose of the research	18
1.4.1 Research aim and objectives	18
1.4.2 The research questions	19
1.4.3 Research limitations	19
1.5 Thesis Outline	20
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Global scenario of wastewater issues	23
2.3 Wastewater issues in developing countries	24
2.3.1 Wastewater treatment systems in developing countries	24
2.3.1.1 Centralised wastewater treatment	24
2.3.1.2 Decentralised wastewater treatment	25
2.3.2 Wastewater treatment issues in developing countries	26
2.3.2.1 Space constraints for on-site sanitation	28
2.3.3 Wastewater treatment options in developing countries	29
2.3.3.1 On-site wastewater treatment systems	29
2.3.3.2 Off-site wastewater treatment systems	31
2.3.3.3 Septic tank and soak-away systems	33
2.4 Wastewater treatment and management issues in Bhutan	34
2.4.1 Public wastewater treatment and management systems	34
2.4.2 Issues and limitation of wastewater treatment systems	35
2.5 The emergence of anaerobic treatment systems	37
2.5.1 Anaerobic biological degradation	38
2.5.2 Benefits and drawbacks of the two-phase anaerobic digestion process	40
2.6 Anaerobic treatment of domestic sewage	41

2.6.1	Complex sewage characteristics	41
2.6.2	Characteristics of domestic sewage in Bhutan	42
2.6.3	Per capita quantities of sewage	43
2.6.4	Direct treatment of raw domestic sewage	44
2.6.5	Direct treatment of mixed domestic sewage effluents and greywater	45
2.7	High-rate anaerobic bioreactors and its configurations	45
2.8	Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor	49
2.8.1	Start-up of UASB reactor treating domestic wastewater	50
2.8.2	Steady-state behaviour in the UASB Reactor	50
2.8.3	Biogas production	51
2.8.4	UASB treatment of municipal wastewater	51
2.8.4.1	Modified UASB for the wastewater treatment	54
2.8.5	Other types of reactors to municipal wastewater treatment	56
2.8.6	Constraints of UASB applications in wastewater treatment	58
2.8.7	Post-treatment of municipal UASB effluents	59
2.8.8	Appraisal of UASB for the wastewater treatment	62
2.9	Anaerobic biofilters	63
2.9.1	Types of up-flow anaerobic biofilters	64
2.9.2	The process of anaerobic biofilm development	64
2.9.3	Applications of the anaerobic biofilter in domestic/municipal wastewater treatment	65
2.9.4	Trends in the anaerobic biofilter treatment of wastewater	66
2.9.5	Enhanced performance in anaerobic biofilters	67
2.9.5.1	Modified anaerobic biofilters	68
2.9.6	Trends in the development of local anaerobic biofilters	70
2.9.6.1	Effect of filter materials as biofilter medium in ABF	72
2.9.7	Appraisal of ABFs	73
2.10	UASB+ABF two-staged anaerobic treatment systems	73
2.11	Concluding remarks	77
<b>CHAPTER 3 WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT IN URBAN BHUTAN: ASSESSING THE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CHALLENGES</b>		<b>79</b>
3.1	Introduction	80
3.2	Institutional, policy and regulation on urban wastewater management in Bhutan	82
3.3	Approaches adopted for the study	85
3.3.1	Survey data on the wastewater management system for all urban areas of Bhutan	85
3.3.2	Survey sampling and coverage	85
3.3.3	Survey approach for the two representative towns	86
3.4	Results and discussions	87
3.4.1	Urban centres with the public sewerage system	87
3.4.1.1	Current public wastewater management system and practices	87
3.4.1.2	Challenges of the public wastewater management systems in Bhutan	89
3.4.2	On-site sanitation in urban centres: current practices and challenges	92
3.4.2.1	Current on-site sanitation practises	92
3.4.2.2	Issues and challenges of the current on-site sanitation system	95
3.4.3	Need for exploring low-cost alternatives for on-site sanitation or decentralised treatment system for urban Bhutan	100



3.4.3.1 Low cost decentralised public sewerage system	100
3.4.3.2 Alternative and improved on-site sanitation systems for urban Bhutan	102
3.4.4 Recommendations	103
3.5 Conclusions	104
<b>CHAPTER 4 EXPLORING SHREDDED WASTE PET BOTTLES AS A BIOFILTER MEDIA FOR IMPROVED ON-SITE SANITATION</b>	<b>106</b>
4.1 Introduction	107
4.2 Material and Methods	111
4.2.1 Wastewater characteristics	111
4.2.2 Biofilter media	112
4.2.3 Experimental set-up	113
4.2.3.1 Lab-scale up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) bioreactor set-up	113
4.2.3.2 Biofilter system	114
4.2.4 Operations of the anaerobic bioreactor system for domestic wastewater treatment	115
4.2.5 Wastewater Sampling and Analysis	117
4.3 Results and Discussions	117
4.3.1 Start-up and acclimatisation of the UASB and ABF reactors	117
4.3.2 Performances of the UASB bioreactor as primary treatment of black water	121
4.3.3 Performances of ABFs in COD removal	122
4.3.4 COD removal as a function of anaerobic biofilters column height	125
4.3.5 Biofiltration performances in terms of turbidity removal	127
4.3.6 Implications of sizes of the shredded plastic waste biofilter media	129
4.3.7 Biogas yield in anaerobic biofilters	131
4.4 Conclusions	132
<b>CHAPTER 5 ON-SITE DOMESTIC WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM USING SHREDDED WASTE PLASTICS BOTTLES AS BIOFILTER MEDIA: PILOT OPERATION IN BHUTAN</b>	<b>133</b>
5.1 Introduction	134
5.2 Materials and Methods	136
5.2.1 Location and description of the pilot site	136
5.2.2 Set-up of the pilot on-site wastewater treatment system	137
5.2.3 Acclimatisation and operation of the pilot anaerobic bioreactors	139
5.2.4 Wastewater analysis and performance monitoring	140
5.3 Results and Discussion	141
5.3.1 Wastewater characteristics	141
5.3.2 Start-up operations of the anaerobic bioreactors	143
5.3.3 Performance of UASB bioreactor for primary treatment of blackwater	144
5.3.3.1 Organic (BOD/COD) removal and biogas production	144
5.3.3.2 TSS removal	149
5.3.3.3 E.coli removal	150
5.3.4 Performance of ABF for secondary treatment of mixed UASB effluents and greywater	151
5.3.4.1 Organics removal and biogas production	151

5.3.4.2 TSS removal	155
5.3.4.3 E. coli removal	156
5.3.5 Economic assessment	157
5.4 Conclusions	159
<b>CHAPTER 6 LOCALLY AVAILABLE MATERIALS AS A BIOFILTER FOR THE SECONDARY TREATMENT OF UASB EFFLUENT AND GREYWATER</b>	<b>160</b>
6.1 Introduction	161
6.2 Material and Methods	163
6.2.1 General principles of the approach	163
6.2.2 Wastewater characteristics	164
6.2.3 Selection of biofilter media	165
6.2.4 ABF column system	167
6.2.5 Operation of the ABF columns for secondary treatment of domestic wastewater	167
6.2.6 Wastewater sampling and analysis	169
6.3 Results and Discussions	170
6.3.1 Start-up operation and steady-state of ABF column reactors	170
6.3.2 Hydrolytic activity and acidifications in the ABF columns	171
6.3.3 Performance of biofilters using synthetic domestic wastewater	174
6.3.3.1 COD removal and biogas yield	174
6.3.3.2 Nutrient removal	178
6.3.3.3 Turbidity removal	181
6.3.3.4 Overall performance of locally available filters treating synthetic wastewater	183
6.3.4 Performance of ABF columns using real domestic wastewater	183
6.3.4.1 COD removal	183
6.3.4.2 Nutrient removal	185
6.3.4.3 Turbidity removal	187
6.3.4.4 Overall performance of locally available filters treating real wastewater	189
6.4 Conclusions	189
<b>CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>191</b>
7.1 Conclusions	192
7.1.1 The current on-site wastewater treatment situation in urban Bhutan is inadequate	192
7.1.2 Combined UASB and ABF as an affordable alternative to current on-site wastewater treatment for modern urban settings in Bhutan	193
7.1.3 Shredded waste plastic bottles as an effective and low-cost biofilter media	194
7.1.4 Other locally available biofilter media options for on-site wastewater treatment	194
7.2 Recommendations and future research work	195
7.2.1 Investigate the performance of this on-site wastewater treatment system in the colder regions of Bhutan	195
7.2.2 Process optimisation to increase the removal of E-coli from the wastewater	196
7.2.3 Modular design and potential commercialisation of the UASB+ABF technology in Bhutan	196

7.2.4 Installation and operation of full-scale on-site wastewater treatment system at CST campus for long-term operation and monitoring	196
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>198</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 2.1:</b> Carbon flow inside the anaerobic digester and bacteria involved in different stages of anaerobic digestion (Goswami et al. 2016). .....	38
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> Areas of interest for improvements in UASB reactors (Chernicharo et al. 2015). .....	58
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> The plexiglass column used as an anaerobic biofilter (Source: Young & McCarty 1969). .....	63
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> The unit costs (a) and the footprint (b) of the WWTP installed at various towns in Bhutan. ....	92
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> Types of on-site wastewater management systems practised in all 35 classified towns in Bhutan. ....	94
<b>Figure 3.3:</b> Conditions of septic tanks in the two survey towns: (a) responses to the question on the cleaning frequencies of the septic tanks, and (b) types of issues with the septic tank by the respondents.....	97
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Overflow from the septic tanks as observed at one of the unsewered areas of Thimphu.....	98
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> Schematic layout of the domestic wastewater treatment system using UASB granules (primary treatment unit for blackwater) and biofilter (post-treatment unit for combined UASB effluent and greywater). ....	115
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> Composition of biogas produced from the UASB reactor under decreasing HRTs after the acclimatisation period. ....	119
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Performance of the UASB reactor in COD removal under reducing HRTs and UASB reactor temperature $19\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and room temperature $20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Influent COD: 660 mg/L (as measured). ....	122
<b>Figure 4.4:</b> Performances of biofilters in removing COD from the influent COD (150 mg/L) when operated under different HRTs. ABF1, ABF2 and ABF3 contain shredded plastic filter media square sizes of 10 mm, 20 mm, and 30 mm. (a) Variations of effluent COD with removal rates and (b) average removal rates at different HRTs. ....	125
<b>Figure 4.5:</b> Average COD concentrations of the reactor effluents sampled at different heights in the biofilter column for the three biofilters. All three bioreactors were operated at 6 hours HRT for this sampling. ....	127
<b>Figure 4.6:</b> Turbidity removal in the three plastic filters (ABF1, ABF2, and ABF3). .....	129
<b>Figure 4.7:</b> Shredded waste plastic biofilter media used for the three bioreactors ABF1, ABF2 and ABF3 with initial media before use (top), used media (middle) and SEM images of the used plastic media showing the biomass on its surface (bottom). ....	131

<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Schematic diagram of UASB-ABF pilot system with roughened plastic filter media.....	137
<b>Figure 5.2:</b> Ambient air temperature in the pilot operation shed.....	140
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> Characteristics of the wastewater generated from the CST staff residential building and used as influent for the pilot treatment system. (a) Blackwater and (b) Greywater.....	142
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> The OLR and the COD <sub>t</sub> removal of the (a) UASB reactor (b) ABF reactor throughout the pilot operation since the start-up stage and, (c) Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP) from the effluents of UASB and ABF.....	144
<b>Figure 5.5:</b> Influent wastewater temperature in the pilot operation shed.....	146
<b>Figure 5.6:</b> Performance of the pilot UASB reactor for the primary treatment of BW.....	148
<b>Figure 5.7:</b> TSS removal in UASB under ambient conditions.....	150
<b>Figure 5.8:</b> Performance of the pilot UASB reactor in the removal of E.coli. The E-coli data before day 161 are not available due to issue with the analytical equipment.....	151
<b>Figure 5.9:</b> Performance of the pilot ABF reactor for the treatment of a mixture of UASB effluent and greywater (1:4 v/v mix ratio). (a) Organic (COD/BOD) removal and (b) Biogas production. ABF reactor temperature ( $23.37 \pm 3.48$ °C) at ambient temperature ( $23.68 \pm 3.65$ °C).....	154
<b>Figure 5.10:</b> Performance of the ABF for the removal of TSS from the mixed UASB effluent and greywater.....	155
<b>Figure 5.11:</b> Performance of the ABF in the removal of E. coli.....	156
<b>Figure 5.12:</b> Comparative economic analysis of the new (N) improved on-site wastewater treatment system (UASB + ABF) and the conventional (C) on-site sanitation system (“septic tank” and soak-pit). (a) Unit total cost (CAPEX + OPEX) and (b) Cost components of CAPEX and OPEX. Economic parameters: economic life 30 years & interest rate of 10%.....	158
<b>Figure 6.1:</b> Schematic layout of the wastewater treatment system using anaerobic biofilter (post-treatment unit for combined UASB effluent and greywater). .....	167
<b>Figure 6.2:</b> Effluent COD concentration from (a) SFs; (b) PFs; and (c) BFs, under the decreasing HRTs with a steady influent COD (215 mg/L).....	175
<b>Figure 6.3:</b> Effluent PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> from (a) SFs (b) PFs (c) BFs over the operation period under the decreasing HRTs and ambient room temperature.....	180
<b>Figure 6.4:</b> Effluent turbidity removal from (a) SFs (b) PTs (c) BFs over the operation period under decreasing reducing HRTs.....	182
<b>Figure 6.5:</b> Effluent COD concentration from (a) SFs (b) PFs and (c) BFs under decreasing HRTs.....	184
<b>Figure 6.6:</b> Effluent PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> from (a) SFs (b) PFs and (c) BFs under decreasing HRTs.....	186

**Figure 6.7:** Effluent turbidity from (a) SFs (b) PFs (c) BFs under decreasing HRTs.....188

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.1:</b> Sewage treatment plant (STP) discharge standards (NEC 2020). .....	10
<b>Table 1.2:</b> Types of wastewater management systems in all the municipal areas of Bhutan (Dorji et al. 2019). .....	15
<b>Table 2.1:</b> In both developing and developed countries, critical and essential criteria are used to select wastewater treatment technologies (von Sperling 1996). .....	27
<b>Table 2.2:</b> Characteristics of a few on-site treatment systems (Apau 2017). .....	30
<b>Table 2.3:</b> Off-site wastewater treatment solutions that are currently used (Apau 2017). .....	32
<b>Table 2.4:</b> Typical composition of untreated municipal wastewater (domestic wastewater including constituents added by commercial, institutional and industrial sources) (Kaetzl 2019). .....	42
<b>Table 2.5:</b> Daily per capita BOD, TS, TKN quantities of different types of faecal sludges (Doku 2002). .....	43
<b>Table 2.6:</b> Comparative values for raw wastewater constituents per person per day (g/person/d) (Kaetzl 2019). .....	43
<b>Table 2.7:</b> Characteristics of different reactor types (Alptekin 2008). .....	46
<b>Table 2.8:</b> Common anaerobic reactor configurations (Sattler 2014). .....	48
<b>Table 2.9:</b> Studies of urban wastewater treatment using up-flow sludge blanket (UASB) reactors (Sattler 2014). .....	53
<b>Table 2.10:</b> Studies using Modified UASB reactors on domestic wastewater treatment (Cakir 2004). .....	55
<b>Table 2.11:</b> Other types of reactors for treating municipal wastewater are being researched (Sattler 2014). .....	57
<b>Table 2.12:</b> UASB studies on municipal wastewater post-treatment (Sattler 2014). .....	61
<b>Table 2.13:</b> Studies on the treatment of municipal wastewater with a modified anaerobic biofilter (Cakir 2004). .....	69
<b>Table 2.14:</b> The use of anaerobic biofilters (ABF) to treat municipal wastewater has been studied (Sattler 2014). .....	71
<b>Table 2.15:</b> Performance and operation conditions for two-staged anaerobic systems (Halalsheh 2010). .....	76
<b>Table 2.16:</b> Removal efficiency of UASB reactor with post-treatment (Mungray 2010). .....	77
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Survey coverage of all the towns and the two representative towns in Bhutan. ....	86
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Types of public wastewater management systems in all the municipal areas of Bhutan. ....	89

<b>Table 3.3:</b> On-site wastewater management systems at two representative towns. A total number of buildings for Thimphu City taken is 4874 <sup>b</sup> .	94
<b>Table 4.1:</b> Composition of influent blackwater into UASB & greywater with UASB blackwater effluent into ABF.	112
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Average wastewater parameters for influent blackwater and greywater with effluent.	112
<b>Table 4.3:</b> Biofilter media used and their essential characteristics.	113
<b>Table 4.4:</b> Dimensions and operational conditions of the UASB system.	114
<b>Table 4.5:</b> Dimensions and operational conditions of the anaerobic BIOFILTERs system.	117
<b>Table 5.1:</b> Properties of the ABF media used in this study.	139
<b>Table 5.2:</b> Summary of the domestic wastewater characteristics used in this pilot compared to cities of other countries.	143
<b>Table 5.3:</b> Sewage treatment plant (STP) discharge standards (NEC 2020).	145
<b>Table 6.1:</b> Average synthetic and real wastewater characteristics of mixed UASB blackwater effluent and greywater.	164
<b>Table 6.2:</b> Slag, plastic, commercial and bamboo biofilter used and their essential characteristics.	166
<b>Table 6.3:</b> Operational conditions of the ABF columns system using synthetic wastewater.	169
<b>Table 6.4:</b> Dimensions and operational conditions of the ABF columns system using real domestic wastewater.	169
<b>Table 6.5:</b> pH, ORP and effluent temperature in the ten column biofilters treating synthetic wastewater under decreasing HRTs.	170
<b>Table 6.6:</b> pH, ORP and effluent temperature in the ten column biofilters using real domestic wastewater under decreasing HRTs.	171
<b>Table 6.7:</b> Percentages of hydrolysis and acidification of COD <sub>t</sub> and acidification of CODs based on the influent synthetic wastewater into the ABF columns.	172
<b>Table 6.8:</b> Effluent TVA in biofilters (SF, PF, CF, and BF) using synthetic wastewater under decreasing HRTs.	174
<b>Table 6.9:</b> Effluent NH <sub>3</sub> in biofilters (SF, PF, CF, and BF) using synthetic wastewater under the decreasing HRTs.	178
<b>Table 6.10:</b> Effluent TKN in biofilters (SFs, PFs, CF and BFs) using synthetic wastewater under the decreasing HRTs.	179
<b>Table 6.11:</b> Effluent NH <sub>3</sub> in biofilters (SF, PF, CF, and BF) under the decreased HRTs.	185
<b>Table 6.12:</b> Effluent TKN in SFs, PFs, CF and BFs under the decreasing HRTs.	185



## ABSTRACT

As of 2017, coverage of piped drinking water supply in Bhutan is about 98% and even higher in the urban areas. Still, Bhutan has been struggling to meet the demand for urban infrastructure following rapid and unplanned urban growth, including for domestic wastewater management. Public sewerage infrastructure and centralised wastewater treatment plants require capital for construction and operation. Therefore on-site wastewater treatment is expected to continue to play a significant role in the disposal of domestic wastewater in urban areas of Bhutan. Due to several challenges to the predominant “septic tank” situation, there is an increasing need for an alternative system for the safe disposal of combined black and grey wastewater in urban Bhutan. This Thesis aims to investigate a compact on-site domestic wastewater treatment system as an alternative to “septic tanks”, some of which are used without an on-site soak-pit. The Thesis is composed of seven chapters, of which four chapters are technical studies.

The first study involved a survey in reviewing and understanding the current wastewater infrastructure and related challenges in urban Bhutan. This study observed that only about 23% of the classified towns in Bhutan are currently connected to public sewerage systems which represent the coverage of about 20% of Bhutan’s total urban population, or 7.4% of Bhutan’s entire population. Over 80% of Bhutan’s urban population therefore depends on the on-site treatment of their domestic blackwater, with most greywater simply being discharged to surface water drains without treatment. However, over 40% of the properties with “septic tanks” lacked a soak-pit required for sub-soil treatment of septic tank effluent, indicating unsafe disposal of potentially hazardous septic tank effluent posing significant risks to the public and the environment. Survey of urban plots suggests that the current practice of urban development has led to a situation where the regulation off-set/set-back distances cannot be met to safely accommodate soak-pit within the plot with limited vacant space. This raised the need to explore alternative on-site treatment systems that does not require soak-pit but which could handle both black and grey water, to provide a safe solution for domestic wastewater disposal until networked public sewerage system is delivered or for areas where network sewerage is technically or financially not feasible.

The second study explores an up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and anaerobic biofilter (ABF) in series as an alternative on-site domestic wastewater treatment for modern urban settings in Bhutan. The UASB replaces the current septic tank for primary treatment of blackwater. In contrast, the ABF replaces the soak-pit (soil treatment) instead of further treatment of septic tank effluent combined with greywater. While septic tank and UASB can be comparable in terms of the footprint however, ABF can have much smaller footprint compared to large area required for the disposal of septic tank effluent in the soil using a soak-pit system. Hence UASB and ABF can be

much more compact solving the space constraints faced by the urban plots, unlike the extended space occupied by the septic tank and soak-pit system restricting the utility of limited urban space. The proposed alternative system used shredded waste plastic bottles as a novel biofilter media providing a large surface area for attached biological growth. The use of waste plastic bottles not only mitigate waste plastic problems in Bhutan but provide a locally available alternative biofilter media in place of commercial biofilter media which would have to be imported. A lab-scale UASB+ABF was operated for 188 days using synthetic wastewater (simulated black water and combined UASB effluent and greywater). At 2-day hydraulic retention time (HRT), the UASB achieved a chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal of 70 - 80%, biogas production of 200 - 230 L CH<sub>4</sub>/ Kg COD removed with stable methane composition of above 70%. The best COD removal of 90 - 98% at 8 h HRT was achieved using the smallest (10 mm square) shredded plastic media size, attributed to large surface area per unit volume facilitating more significant attached growth of biomass compared to the larger media sizes (20 mm and 30 mm). The COD removal of the ABF decreased significantly at HRT of 6 h from the initial HRT of 5 days. The combined UASB and ABF at optimal HRT of 8.8 h achieved a final effluent with COD of less than 125 mg/L that meets the National Environment Commission or NEC's effluent discharge standard of Bhutan 2020. This lab-scale study showed that combined UASB and ABF treatment using shredded waste plastic bottle media has the potential for use as an alternative on-site sanitation wastewater treatment.

In the third study, the combined UASB and ABF treatment system was operated at pilot-scale in the field in Bhutan for one year with a capacity of 1000 L/day, equivalent to 2 households (10 population equivalent). The UASB received 200 L/day of blackwater while the ABF received 1000 litres of combined UASB effluent and greywater. Operated at 2-day HRT, the UASB achieved a COD removal of 43 - 74% while ABF with plastic media (40 mm square) achieved a COD removal of 29 - 71% at 8.8 h HRT. The combined UASB-ABF treatment achieved final effluent COD of less than 125 mg/L, BOD less than 30 mg/L and TSS less than 100 mg/L which meets Bhutan's NEC 2020 effluent discharge standards. The UASB and ABF reactors recorded a methane production of 0.80 - 26.09 L/d and 0.81 - 11.95 L/d with methane yield of 66.49 mL/g VSS removed and 45.96 mL/g VSS removed, respectively.

In the fourth study, three different locally available biofilter media were explored and tested for use in the ABF for treatment of a combined UASB effluent and the greywater and their performances compared to a commercial biofilter. The locally available biofilter media included shredded waste plastic bundles (3, 5 and 7 mm strip width), charred bamboo beads (cut fraction of 1/8 (~ 10 mm), 1/4 (~ 20 mm), 1/2 (~ 40 mm)), industrial slags (8, 10 and 12.5 mm sizes) and commercial media (10.5 mm). This lab-scale study was carried out initially using synthetic wastewater and then

finally using real wastewater (obtained from the pilot UASB effluent mixed with domestic grey-water from the pilot site). These lab-scale experiments were operated under different HRTs of 12 h, 8 h and 6 h.

All three test media were able to achieve effluent COD concentrations that meet the equivalent national COD effluent standard, at all three different HRTs. The effluent turbidity of all the biofilters were above the NEC 2020 water standard of 5 NTU. The biofilter media sizes influenced COD removal rates, although HRT had the primary influence on COD removal. During the 150 days of operation, the smaller sized slag and bamboo medias achieved better removal under longer HRT of 20 h. While, the larger media sizes of slag and bamboo achieved better efficiency under the shorter HRT of 6 h. The smaller plastic strips of 3 mm with large surface area achieved better removal efficiency under the different HRTs. The smaller media sizes provide larger surface area for the attached growth and hence are expected to have better COD removal rates; however, it seems media porosity also significantly influences the hydrodynamics and mixing of the influent within the columns which further impacted the COD removal efficiency.

From the above studies, it can be concluded that UASB and ABF in series have the potential for use as an on-site treatment alternative to the current “septic tank” and soak-pit system which currently predominates in urban Bhutan, especially where soil treatment by soak-pit is not feasible due to limited space or impervious soils. Shredded waste plastic flakes, which are low-cost and readily available locally, may be an effective biofilter media for wastewater treatment. Their use as biofilter media could help reduce the mounting plastic waste problems in Bhutan. Improvement and optimisation of the process design and media design (shape and sizes) would help further improve the treatment capacity of this combined treatment system. However recently, the presence of microplastics in the aquatic environment has been acknowledged as one of the major environment concerns as this could pass through the food chain. The plastic biofilter flakes used in this pilot study were subjected to abrasion in order to increase the surface roughness of the generally highly smooth surfaces of the plastic bottles so as to enhance biofilm formation thereby possibly reducing the start-up time of the ABF. This abrasive force could increase the potential of producing microplastic during the preparation of biofilter media as well as its slow release during the long-term operations. Therefore, this is another area of research that needs to be considered in the future.