



New developments in stormwater characterization and remediation for water reuse

By **Dinushika Shyamali Senavirathne Ekanayake**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Under the supervision of Prof. Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran

A/ Prof. Jaya Kandasamy

University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of engineering and information technology (FEIT)

June 2020

Certificate of authorship/ originality

I, *Dinushika Ekanayake* declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of *doctor of philosophy* , in the *School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology* at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 30/06/2020

Acknowledgement

It would not have been possible to achieve this thesis without the help and support of all the people around me. I am highly appreciative to everyone for their help and support during my research. Firstly, I would like to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to my principal supervisor, Professor Saravanamuth Vigneswaran, for his patient guidance and unwearrying mentorship, from when I commenced on the PhD degree through to completion of this work. I am sure that this dissertation would not have been possible without his support, understanding and encouragement. Secondly, my deepest thanks go to my co-supervisor, Prof. Paripurnanda Loganathan for his great help and support through my entire PhD study. I would also like to thank my co- supervisor A. Prof. Jaya Kandasamy for his assistance as well.

I'm also thankful for the help offered by Dr. Rupak Aryal, M. Kalaruban, Roobavanan, Sharaniya, Alex, Seongchul, Niren and all my other colleagues and lab mates at CTWW for their help and support. I had a wonderful time working with them. Special thanks to senior technical officer of environmental engineering laboratories, Md Abu Hasan Johir who had always been supportive in sharing his valuable time and ideas. Further I acknowledge Craig Bush of Blacktown City Council, Blacktown, NSW for the support of my research. I am grateful to University of Technology Sydney and GRS for their support of my candidature.

I am extremely thankful to my dear husband, Bhanushka Ekanayake, who gave me all his support to complete this PhD successfully. I would never have been able to achieve this project without his backing, and he made me believe in myself and encouraged me through the whole process of research and always stayed beside me during my periods of struggle. I will always be grateful for your selfless support in sharing our household burdens and for loving me the way you do. Furthermore, I would like to use this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude for my late father E.M. Senavirathne, mother Lalitha Kumari Ranathunga and my brothers for providing me with great opportunities in life, for their blessings, love, care and support not only for my PhD study but for my whole life. Last but not least, I would

also like to show my gratitude to the rest of my family and friends who have also offered great support during my candidature.

**DEDICATION OF THIS THESIS
TO MY LOVING PARENTS AND HUSBAND**

Research outcome summary

Publications arising from this work

Eeshwarasinghe, D., Loganathan, P., Kalaruban, M. *et al.* 2018, Removing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from water using granular activated carbon: kinetic and equilibrium adsorption studies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **25**, 13511–13524.

Eeshwarasinghe, D., Loganathan, P. & Vigneswaran, S. 2019, 'Simultaneous removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals from water using granular activated carbon', *Chemosphere*, vol. 223, pp. 616-27.

Ekanayake, D., Aryal, R., Johir, M.A.H., Loganathan, P., Bush, C., Kandasamy, J. & Vigneswaran, S. 2019, 'Interrelationship among the pollutants in stormwater in an urban catchment and first flush identification using UV spectroscopy', *Chemosphere*, vol. 233, pp. 245-51.

Ekanayake, D., Loganathan, P., Johir, M.A.H. *et al.* Enhanced Removal of Nutrients, Heavy Metals, and PAH from Synthetic Stormwater by Incorporating Different Adsorbents into a Filter Media. *Water Air Soil Pollut* **232**, 96 (2021).

Conference papers

D. Eeshwarasinghe¹, C. Bush², P. Loganathan¹, J. Kandasamy¹, S. Vigneswaran¹ 2017, The importance of determining pollutant loads from varying rainfall events for stormwater harvesting and reuse schemes, NSW Stormwater Conference September 2017

Eeshwarasinghe, D., Loganathan, P., Kalaruban, M., Sountharajah, D.P., Kandasamy, J., Vigneswaran, S. 2017, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water: simple analytical method and removal technique. International Conference in Waste Water and Waste Management for Extractive Industries. October 23-24, 2017, Nusa Dua, Bali, Indonesia, Book of Abstract page 68.

Conferences

Presented at International Conference in Waste Water and Waste Management for Extractive Industries, October 23-24, 2017, Nusa Dua, Bali, Indonesia.

Presented at Stormwater NSW Conference which was held at the Newcastle Exhibition & Convention Centre on Wednesday, 6th and Thursday, 7th September, 2017

Presented at 11TH CESE conference, Sukosol, 4-8 November 2018, Bangkok, Thailand

Table of Contents

Contents

Certificate of authorship/ originality	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Research outcome summary	vi
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables.....	xvi
List of Abbreviations.....	xviii
Abstract	xxi
Chapter One	2
1.0 Introduction	2
1.1 Research questions and scope	6
1.1.1 Objectives of the research.....	6
1.2. Organisation of the thesis	8
Chapter Two.....	11
2.1 Stormwater	11
2.1.1. Uses of stormwater	13
2.2 Characterisation of stormwater	14
2.2.1. Stormwater monitoring.....	15
2.2.2 Correlation between pollutant concentrations.....	16
2.2.3. Photometric applications in stormwater monitoring	16
2.3 Specific pollutants in stormwater.....	17
2.3.1. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	17
2.3.1.1. Health effects of PAHs	18
2.3.1.2. Characteristics of PAHs.....	19
2.3.1.3. Measuring techniques of PAHs	24
2.3.1.4. PAH removal techniques	24
2.3.1.5. PAHs in sediments and stormwater	25
2.3.2. Heavy metals	26
2.3.2.1. Health effects of heavy metals.....	26

2.3.2.2. Heavy metals removal techniques	28
2.3.2.3. Heavy metals in the environment	29
2.3.3. Nutrients	30
2.3.3.1 Health effects of Nutrients	30
2.3.3.2 Nutrients removal techniques	31
2.3.3.3. Stormwater pollution by nutrients	32
2.3.4. Total Suspended solids	32
2.4. Water Treatment technologies	33
2.4.1 Removing pollutants from stormwater	34
2.4.1.1. Adsorption process	34
2.4.1.2. Dynamic adsorption column experiments	35
2.4.1.3. Designing a stormwater treatment system	36
2.4.1.4. Guidelines, and standards applied to stormwater	37
2.4.1.5. ANZECC guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (2000).....	37
2.5. Current stormwater treatment practices in Australia	42
2.5.1 Infiltration methods	42
2.5.1.1 Biofilters	43
2.5.1.2. Bio-retention system	44
2.5.1.3. Pollutant removal by Bio-retention system	45
2.6 Conclusions	50
Chapter Three.....	54
3.1. Introduction	54
3.2. Background	57
3.2.1. Catchment description	57
3.3. Stormwater sampling	58
3.3.1 UV absorbance calculations	66
3.3.1.1 Statistical Analysis.....	66
3.3.2. Urban pollutant build-up	67
3.4. Results and discussion	68
3.4.1. Pollutants concentrations and suitability of stormwater for field irrigation applications.....	68
3.4.1.1. Characterisation of N species in stormwater	77
3.4.1.2. Heavy metals.....	77

3.4.1.3 Microbiology analysis.....	80
3.4.2. Rainfall characteristics	81
3.4.3. Correlation among pollutants	82
3.4.4. first flush identification using UV	86
3.4.4.1. Relationship between measured parameters in the first flush.....	90
3.4.5. TSS build-up measurements.....	92
3.5. Conclusions.....	93
Chapter four	97
4.1. Introduction.....	97
4.2. Material and methods.....	99
4.2.1 Materials	99
4.2.1.1 GAC characteristics	100
4.2.2 Method development	102
4.2.2.1 Selecting a solvent	102
4.2.2.2 Selecting the best absorbance wavelengths	104
4.2.2.3. PAH analysis.....	107
4.2.3. Batch kinetics adsorption experiments.....	108
4.2.4. Batch equilibrium adsorption experiments.....	109
4.2.5. Column adsorption experiments.....	110
4.3. Results and discussion	114
4.3.1. GAC characteristics.....	114
4.3.2. Kinetic adsorption experiments.....	116
4.3.2.1 Kinetic adsorption models	116
4.3.2.2. Equilibrium adsorption experiment	123
4.3.2.3 Column experiments	129
4.4. Conclusions.....	135
Chapter Five.....	137
5.1 Introduction.....	137
5.2. Materials and methods	140
5.2.1 Materials	140
5.2.2 Chemical analysis.....	141
5.2.3 Zeta potential	142
5.2.4 Adsorption experiments	142

5.3. Results and discussion	144
5.3.1 Equilibrium adsorption.....	144
5.3.2. Zeta potential.....	151
5.3.3. pH changes during adsorption.....	153
5.3.4. Equilibrium adsorption modelling.....	156
5.3.5. Kinetic adsorption modelling.....	160
5.4 Conclusions.....	165
Chapter Six.....	167
6.1. Introduction.....	167
6.2. Materials and Methods.....	169
6.2.1. Materials.....	169
6.2.1.1 Zeolite.....	169
6.2.1.2 GAC.....	170
6.2.1.3 R165.....	171
6.2.1.4 Synthetic stormwater.....	172
6.2.2. Methods.....	173
6.2.2.1 Column experiment.....	173
6.2.2.2 Enhancing the performances using additives (GAC, zeolite).....	178
6.2.2.3 Measured parameters.....	179
6.3. Results.....	180
6.3.1 Nutrients removal.....	180
6.3.1.1 PO ₄ -P removal.....	180
6.3.1.2 NH ₄ -N removal.....	180
6.3.2 DOC removal.....	187
6.3.3 Heavy metals removal.....	187
6.3.4 Turbidity and conductivity removals.....	191
6.3.5 Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) removal.....	192
6.4 Conclusions.....	196
Chapter Seven.....	199
7.1. Summary of key findings.....	199
7.1.1 Stormwater characterisation.....	199
7.1.2 Laboratory adsorption experiments.....	200
7.1.3 Column experiments with bio-retention media.....	202

7.2 Recommendations	205
References	210
Appendix A	224
Appendix B	237
Appendix C	256

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Average annual water balances from households, various cities (Stormwater Management in Australia 2015).....	12
Figure 2.2 Distribution of stormwater treatment methods used in Australia ; adopted from (Hatt, Deletic & Fletcher 2006).....	42
Figure 3.1 Angus Creek Catchment and land use distribution (State of the water ways, published by Blacktown City Council, Sydney)	57
Figure 3.2 Land use composition as a percentage of the catchment (State of the water ways, published by Blacktown Council, Sydney).....	58
Figure 3.3 Location of the sampling point ;(a) Sampling location map; (b) Auto Sampler at Angus Creek.....	59
Figure 3.4 A & B. Variation of TDS and conductivity	71
Figure 3.5 A& B Variation of DOC and pH	72
Figure 3.6 A & B Variation of TSS and turbidity	73
Figure 3.7 A & B Variation of TDN and TDP	74
Figure 3.8 Variation of Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR) and Na	75
Figure 3.9 Nitrogen speciation variation over the time.....	76
Figure 3.10 Boxplot for the concentrations of the analysed heavy metals. Risk level for long-term irrigation (mg/L): Fe (0.2), Zn (2), Cd (0.01), Cu (0.5), Ni (0.2), Pb (2), Cr (0.1), Mn (0.2), Co (0.05); Fe short-term (20 years) irrigation 10 mg/L	79
Figure 3.11 Hydrograph and DOC pollutograph during 26th September 2018, 4th October.....	82
Figure 3.12 PCA plot of the water quality parameters from 13 stormwater runoff events	86
Figure 3.13 UV absorbance record in runoff events	89
Figure 3.14 Relationship between measured parameters in the first flush (red dots indicate samples within the first flush and black dot indicates samples after the first flush)	91
Figure 3.15 Total suspended solids build-up in Angus Creek catchment over period of time.....	92
Figure 4.1 UV/ VIS spectrum for naphthalene dissolved in acetonitrile, methanol and acetone.....	103
Figure 4.2 UV/VIS spectrum for acynaphthylene dissolved in acetonitrile, methanol and acetone.....	103
Figure 4.3 UV/VIS spectrum for phenanthrene dissolved in acetonitrile, methanol and acetone.....	104
Figure 4.4 (a-e) Calibration curves of tested PAHs in acetonitrile.....	107
Figure 4.5 Characteristics of GAC	115

Figure 4.6 Kinetic of PAHs adsorption on GAC and models fit to the data.....	120
Figure 4.7 Webber and Morris intra-particle diffusion plots for the adsorption of PAH on GAC	122
Figure 4.8 Removal efficiency of PAH – Batch equilibrium adsorption experiment.	124
Figure 4.9 Experimental data and models fit to the data of PAHs adsorption on GAC	125
Figure 4.10 Breakthrough curves for acenaphthylene adsorption on sand at two flow velocities.....	129
Figure 4.11 Breakthrough curves for acenaphthylene adsorption at different GAC to sand ratios (weight of GAC is shown in the legend. The balance of the total weight of 25 g in the column was sand) and Thomas model fits to the data	130
Figure 4.12 Thomas model fit for the breakthrough curves for naphthalene, acenaphthene and acenaphthylene adsorption at different GAC to sand ratios.....	134
Figure 5.1 Scanning electron micrographs of GAC (Eeshwarasinghe et al. 2018)	140
Figure 5.2 Percentage removal of acenaphthylene and phenanthrene compared with percentage removal of heavy metals during their simultaneous adsorption on GAC in the presence of 0.005 M NaNO ₃ (PAH concentration 1 mg/L, metal concentration 20 mg/L).....	147
Figure 5.3 Amount of acenaphthylene and phenanthrene removed compared with amount of heavy metal removal during their simultaneous adsorption on GAC in the presence of 0.005 M NaNO ₃ (PAH concentration 1 mg/L, metal concentration 20 mg/L)	148
Figure 5.4 Percentage removal of heavy metals from solutions with and without the presence of acenaphthylene and phenanthrene (solutions with initial concentrations: 0.005 M NaNO ₃ , PAH 1 mg/L, metal 20 mg/L)	149
Figure 5.5 Amount of heavy metals adsorbed from solutions with and without the presence of acenaphthylene and phenanthrene (solutions with initial concentrations: 0.005 M NaNO ₃ , PAH 1 mg/L, metal 20 mg/L).....	150
Figure 5.6 Effect of pH on the zeta potential of GAC (0.6 g/L) with and without metals (20 mg/L) and acenaphthylene (1 mg/L)	152
Figure 5.7 Effect of pH on the zeta potential of GAC (0.6 g/L) with and without metals (20 mg/L) and phenanthrene (1 mg/L).....	152
Figure 5.8 Effect of initial pH, heavy metal, and PAH on change in pH (Δ pH = final pH – initial pH) during adsorption on GAC	154
Figure 5.9 Relationship between Δ pH (Final pH - initial pH) and Δ zeta potential (zeta potential of GAC with heavy metals and PAHs – zeta potential of GAC alone) at three initial pHs during adsorption.....	155
Figure 5.10 Weber and Morris plots of (A) rate of adsorption of phenanthrene in the presence of heavy metals; (B) rate of adsorption of acynaphthylene in the presence of heavy metals (PAH 1 mg/L, metals 20 mg/L, GAC dose 0.3 g/L).....	162

Figure 5.11 Weber and Morris plots of (A) rate of adsorption of metals in the presence of phenanthrene; (B) rate of adsorption of metals in the presence of acynaphthylene (1 mg/L) (PAH 1 mg/L, metals 20 mg/L, GAC dose 0.3 g/L).....	163
Figure 6.1 SEM images of zeolite; adopted from the thesis (Nguyen 2016).....	170
Figure 6.2 Column Experiment.....	177
Figure 6.3 The breakthrough curve for (a) PO ₄ -P and (b) NH ₄ -N removal by four columns at a flow velocity of 100 mm/h. Lines show the Thomas model simulation..	183
Figure 6.4 The breakthrough curves for NH ₄ -N and PO ₄ -P removals by the 10% Zeolite + R165 column test at a flow velocity of 300 mm/h. Lines show the Thomas model simulation.....	185
Figure 6.5 Metals removal by (a) R165 (b) R165+10% zeolite at a flow velocity of 100 mm/h.	189
Figure 6.6 Turbidity removal by two filter columns at flow velocities of 100 and 300 mm/h	192
Figure 6.7 The breakthrough curve for PAH removal by the column with (a) R165 at flow velocity 100 mm/h; (b) 0.3% GAC +10% Zeolite+ R165 at flow velocity 100 mm/h; (c) 0.3 % GAC +10% Zeolite+ R165 at flow velocity 300 mm/h.....	195
Figure 7.1 Schematic diagram of proposed small-scale treatment systems.....	207

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Carcinogenicity and some properties of selected PAHs adopted from Nguyen (2016)	19
Table 2.2. Properties of some major PAHs adopted from (Awoyemi 2011)	21
Table 2.3. Australian guidelines on permissible levels of target pollutants for different end uses and environmental protection	38
Table 2.4. Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality limits for Na and SAR factor (RWCC 1993)	40
Table 2.5. Criteria for public health risk management associated with various end uses of harvested stormwater (Anzecc 2000; NSW 2006; RWCC 1993) Values are median for E. coli, 24-hour median for turbidity and 90th percentile for pH, ² Maximum is 5 NTU	41
Table 2.6. Water quality improvement through the bio-retention system , adopted from (Liu et al. 2014).....	48
Table 3.1 Analytical methods used for stormwater parameter analysis	62
Table 3.2 Sampling dates, time and rainfall	63
Table 3.3 Microbiological results for the stormwater analyses.....	80
Table 3. 4 Correlation among dissolved pollutants in stormwater runoff.....	84
Table 4.1 Characteristics of the PAH used in the study (chapter 2) ¹ Awoyemi (2011); ² Miller et al. (1985); ³ Wammer & Peters (2006). *This value was estimated from Fig. 3 and Table 2 of the article by (Gustafson & Dickhut 1994).....	101
Table 4. 2 Adsorption models Alade, Amuda & Ibrahim (2012); Kalaruban et al. (2016); ⁴ Nguyen et al. (2015); ^{1,4} Riahi, Chaabane & Thayer (2017); ³ Weber & Morris (1963)	112
Table 4.3 Characteristics of GAC	114
Table 4.4 Parameter values for batch kinetic adsorption models.....	121
Table 4. 5 Diffusion rates (ks1, ks2) and coefficient of determination (R2) obtained from the Webber and Morris plots	123
Table 4.6 Parameter values for batch equilibrium adsorption models	128
Table 4. 7 Column adsorption parameters for acenaphthylene adsorption at different ratios of GAC to sand.....	131
Table 4. 8 Column adsorption parameters for different PAHs (GAC weight (g): sand weight (g)	133
Table 5. 1 Model parameters for the adsorption of acenaphthylene on GAC at three metals concentrations in the presence of 0.005 M NaNO ₃	158
Table 5. 2 Model parameters for the adsorption of phenanthrene on GAC at three metals concentrations in the presence of 0.005 M NaNO ₃	159

Table 5.3 Weber-Morris plot parameters for the adsorption of PAHs and heavy metals in the presence of each other	
Table 5.4 Weber-Morris plot parameters for the adsorption of PAHs and heavy metals in the presence of each other	164
Table 6.1 Characteristics of the bio retention media (R165)	171
Table 6.2 Characteristics of synthetic stormwater	173
Table 6.3 Summary of all experiments	178
Table 6.4 Analytical methods for measuring stormwater parameters.....	179
Table 6.5 Thomas model parameters for the simulation of breakthrough curves in Fig.6.3	184
Table 6.6 Thomas model parameters for the simulation of breakthrough curves in Fig.6.4	186

List of Abbreviations

AC= Activated Carbons

$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{NO}_3$ = ammonium sulphate

AET = apparent effects threshold

Barrett-Joyner-Hanlenda (BJH)

Brunauer = Emmett-Teller (BET)

$\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ = Calcium hydroxide

Ca^{2+} = Calcium

C_e = equilibrium concentration of adsorbate (mg/L)

C_o = initial concentration of adsorbate (mg/L)

C_s = the concentration on the external surface (mg/L)

C_t = concentration of adsorbate at time t (mg/L)

DOC = dissolved organic carbon

Dw = dry weight

FTIR = Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

G = gram

g/L = gram per litre

GAC = Granular activated carbon

GSMS =Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

HA = humic acid

HCl = hydrochloric acid

HCO_3^- = bicarbonates

HPLC = High-performance liquid chromatography

hr = hours

hr/h = hours

k_1 = equilibrium rate constant of pseudo-first-order sorption (1/min)

k_2 = equilibrium rate constant of pseudo-second-order (1/min)

k_{AB} = kinetic constant, (L/mg.min)

KCl = Potassium chloride

KF = Freundlich constants (mg/g)

k_f = the external mass transfer coefficient (m/s)

KH_2PO_4 = Monopotassium phosphate

K_L = Langmuir constant related to the energy of adsorption (L/mg)

KNO_3 = Potassium nitrate

K_{ow} = Octanol-water partition coefficient

L = litre

M = mass of dry adsorbent (g)

m/h = meter per hour

mg/L = milligram per litre

$\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ = Magnesium Chloride Hexahydrate

$\mu\text{g/L}$ = Micrograms per litre

min = minutes

mL/min = millilitre per minute

MQ = Milli-Q water MW = Molecular weight

n = Freundlich constant

Na_2CO_3 = sodium carbonate

NaCl = sodium chloride

NaHCO₃ = sodium bicarbonate

NaNO₃ = sodium nitrate

NaOH = Sodium hydroxide

PAH = Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon

PCB = Polychlorinated biphenyl

Q = Flow rate (cm³/s)

Q_e = amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g)

q_{max} = maximum amount of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent (mg/g)

q_o = equilibrium adsorbate uptake per g of adsorbent (mg/g)

rpm = revolutions per minute

SEM = Scanning Electron Microscopy

SS = suspended solids

t = filtration time (min).

TEF = Toxic equivalency factor

TEQ = toxicity equivalent quotient

TOC = total organic carbon

UV /VIS spectrum= Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy

V = the interstitial velocity (m/s)

WHO = World Health Organization

USEPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

WUSD = Water Sensitive Urban Design

Abstract

Water scarcity due to persistent drought is forcing many countries around the world to explore alternative freshwater resources. Australia is the world's driest inhabited continent, and has one of the most variable rainfall intensities. This has encouraged the harvesting of stormwater and reuse of water in order to reduce the demand placed on municipal water supplies. Urban and industrial stormwater runoff has high potential as a reusable water resource for agricultural irrigation, irrigation of parks and sportsgrounds, and toilet flushing. However, stormwater contains many pollutants which can have dire effect on plants, animals, aquatic organisms and humans and for this reason they should be removed before the water is used for these beneficial purposes.

Assessing urban stormwater quality by investigation and characterisation of pollutants is a prerequisite for its effective management, for reuse and safe discharge. The stochastic nature of rainfall, dry weather periods, topology, human activities and climatic conditions generate and wash-off pollutants differently from event to event. Therefore, a study was commenced to investigate the major physico-chemical pollutants in stormwater runoff collected from an urban catchment system after several rainfall events over a period of three years. Correlation analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) were done to identify the possible relationships among measured pollutants. Although correlation analysis revealed some relationships between pollutants, PCA biplots suggested a few group-related pollutants and revealed that a two-component model could explain nearly 72% of the variability between pollutants. Pollutants in the group that included dissolved organic carbon (DOC) behaved in a similar manner. Most of the pollutants were washed off during an early stage of an event giving rise to the first flush phenomenon. UV spectroscopy was applied to identify the first flush by comparing the recorded spectrum of consecutive samples that were collected in an event. Analysis of the spectra was able to isolate the point when first flush ends for DOC and pollutants that behaved similar to it.

The second part of the thesis dealt with remediation of stormwater by removing important organic and inorganic pollutants. Organic pollutants and heavy metal concentrations in stormwater are expected to increase and reach toxic levels in the near future because of rapid urbanisation leading to increasing density of motor

vehicles. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are a group of highly persistent, toxic and widespread environmental micropollutants that are increasingly found in water. A study was conducted in removing five PAHs, namely naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, fluorene and phenanthrene from water by adsorption onto granular activated carbon (GAC). The pseudo-first order (PFO) model satisfactorily described the kinetics of adsorption of the PAHs. Batch equilibrium adsorption data fitted well to Langmuir, Freundlich and Dubinin-Radushkevich models with the Freundlich model having the best fit. The Langmuir adsorption capacities for naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, fluorene and phenanthrene were 33.7, 76.6, 40.8, 45.7 and 47 (mg/g), respectively. The adsorption affinities were related to the hydrophobicity of the PAHs as determined by the log k_{ow} values. Overall the results showed that GAC can be effectively used to remove PAHs from stormwater.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and heavy metals are dangerous pollutants that commonly co-occur in water. Therefore, it is important to determine their adsorption capacities when they are present together, because there could be competition for adsorption between the two groups of pollutants and this would reduce their removal efficiency. Most previous adsorption studies were conducted either on PAHs or heavy metals separately and not when they occur together. An adsorption study conducted on the simultaneous removal of PAHs (acenaphthylene, phenanthrene) and heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Zn) by GAC showed that, when these pollutants are present together, their adsorption capacities were less than when they were present individually due to competition for adsorption. Between the two classes of pollutants, PAHs had higher adsorption capacities than heavy metals. The reduction in adsorption of PAHs by heavy metals followed the orders of heavy metals' adsorption capacity and reduction in the negative zeta potential of GAC (Cu > Zn > Cd).

Bio-retention beds constitute a widespread treatment measure used in sustainable stormwater management particularly by the local councils in Sydney, Australia. However, most of the bio-retention treatment systems are not efficient in removing pollutants from stormwater. Final part of the research was on evaluating the efficiency in removing pollutants using a bio-retention medium (R165, natural

soil with a texture sandy loam) and enhancing the pollutant removal capacity by mixing with GAC (0.3%) and zeolite (10%). Column experiments were carried out at the flow velocity of 100 mm/hr and 300 mm/hr in down-flow mode. The soil-based filter without additions removed substantial amounts of PO₄-P and NH₄-N for up to 8 h at a flow velocity of 100 mm/h which is a one-year time-equivalent of rainfall at a locality in Sydney, Australia. An addition of 10% zeolite to the soil-based filter extended the column saturation period to 24 h. The breakthrough data for PO₄-P and NH₄-N were satisfactorily described by the Thomas model. The majority of the nine heavy metals tested were removed by more than 50% for upto 4 h in the soil-based filter. This level of removal increased to 16 h when 10% zeolite was added to the filter. The column with the soil-based filter + 10% zeolite had higher affinity for Pb, Cu, Zn and As than Ni, with Pb having the highest percentage removal. Soil-based filter + 10% zeolite removed considerable amounts of 3 PAHs (30-50%), while Soil-based filter + 10% zeolite + 0.3% GAC removed 65 to > 99% of the PAHs at 24 h operation. Phenanthrene and pyrene were almost 100% removed. This application will greatly facilitate the reduction of pollutant concentration in biofilter-treated stormwater in many stormwater harvesting projects which are currently experiencing difficulties in achieving clean reusable water.