

Cytotoxicity and Metabolic Study of New Psychoactive Substances

by Huey Sze Leong

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Science)

under the supervision of Professor Shanlin Fu and Professor Paul Kenneth Witting

University of Technology Sydney Faculty of Science

March 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Huey Sze Leong declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements

for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Mathematical and Physical

Sciences/ Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In

addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the

thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 24 March 2021

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to express my upmost gratitude to Professor Shanlin Fu for all his kindness, support and invaluable guidance. I would particularly like to thank him for his patience and belief in me, which enabled me to finally arrive at the final stage of this challenging but rewarding journey. This academic pursuit would not have been accomplished without him.

To my co-supervisor, Professor Paul Kenneth Witting, I would like to thank him for his varied support in the laboratory and advice given to me throughout my candidature. Without his external collaboration, the success of this project would not be possible. I would also like to thank colleagues at the Discipline of Pathology, The University of Sydney, Mr Martin Simone for helping me to get orientated in the laboratory and instructing me with regard to cell biology, which added a new dimension to my science journey. Furthermore, I would also like to thank the staff at The Charles Perkin Centre, The University of Sydney, especially Mr Craig Jackson for providing administrative and technical support as well as instrumentation training whenever needed in the laboratory.

I thank all the academic and professional staff at UTS who supported me throughout my candidature. I wish to especially thank Ms Jane Cameron and Ms Zofia Winiarski for the technical support with the fungus study and allowing me the access to the incubation facility. I must also thank Dr Ronald Shimmon and Dr Dayanne Bordin Mozaner Bordin for all the support in the laboratory especially with the LC-MS instruments.

I would also like to thank Professor Claude Roux and the Centre for Forensic Science for their strong support, particularly with the attendance of conferences.

To the UTS friends especially colleagues from Drugs and Toxicology Group that I have made over the last couple of years, thank you for making my PhD enjoyable. Your thoughtful comments during our discussions added insight and shaped my perspectives throughout my candidature. I would also like to thank Mr Ahmad Yusri Mohd Yusop for being a great colleague with me at conferences and always extending help whenever I needed some.

Lastly, I want to express my deepest gratitude to God and my family for supporting me throughout my PhD journey both emotionally, mentally and spiritually. Your prayers and encouragement for me have spurred me on to do my best and empowered me to achieve something that I never thought possible. I love you all dearly.

Table of Contents

Lis	t of pap	pers/publications	iv
Lis	t of Fig	ures	V
Lis	t of Tal	oles	ix
Abs	stract		X
Cha	apter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Backg	round	2
1.2	Resea	rch Objectives	3
1.3	Resea	rch Scope	3
1.4	Refere	ences	4
Cha	apter 2	Literature Review	5
2.1	New P	Sychoactive Substances	6
	2.1.1	Synthetic Cathinones	7
	2.1.2	Synthetic Cannabinoids	8
2.2	Cytote	oxicity and Mechanism of Toxicity	. 10
	2.2.1	In vitro cell-based models	. 11
	2.2.2	End-point and real-time and measurements	. 12
2.3	Metab	polism	16
	2.3.1	Metabolism models	. 17
	2.3.2	Liquid chromatography – mass spectrometry	. 18
2.4	Refere	ences	. 20
Cha	apter 3	Synthetic cathinones induce cell death in dopaminergic SH-SY	′5Y
cell	s via sti	imulating mitochondrial dysfunction	. 33
3.1	Forew	ord	. 34
3.2	Abstr	act	. 35
3.3	Introd	luction	. 36
3.4	Mater	rials and Methods	. 38
	3.4.1	Chemical Synthesis	. 38
	3.4.2	Cellular Studies	. 39
	3.4.3	Cell Culture	. 40
	3.4.4	Cytotoxicity Assays	. 40
	3.4.5	TB Assay	. 41

	3.4.6	LDH Assay	41
	3.4.7	Measurement of Intracellular ROS	42
	3.4.8	Measurement of Mitochondrial Respiration	42
	3.4.9	Measurement of Intracellular ATP	43
	3.4.10	Measurement of Real-Time Imaging and Measurement of Intracellular	Ca ²⁺
			43
	3.4.11	Measurement of Caspase 3 and 7 Activity	44
	3.4.12	Data Analysis	44
3.5	Result	s	44
	3.5.1	Butylone, Pentylone, and MDPV Elicited Neurotoxicity with Diffe	erent
		Potencies	44
	3.5.2	Butylone, Pentylone, and MDPV Triggered Oxidative Stress	46
	3.5.3	Butylone, Pentylone, and MDPV Compromised Mitochondrial Bioenerge	etics
			47
	3.5.4	Butylone, Pentylone, and MDPV Altered Neuronal Ca ²⁺ Homeos	tasis
			49
	3.5.5	Butylone, Pentylone, and MDPV Induce an Apoptotic Cell Death Path	way
			51
3.6	Discus	sion	53
3.7	Concl	usion	. 59
3.8	Refere	ences	61
Cha	apter 4	Monitoring metabolism of synthetic cannabinoid 4F-MDMB-BINA	CA
via	high-r	esolution mass spectrometry assessed in cultured hepatoma cell	line,
fun	gus, liv	er microsomes and confirmed using urine samples	69
4.1	Forew	ord	70
4.2	Abstra	act	71
4.3	Introd	uction	72
4.4	Mater	ials and Methods	74
	4.4.1	Chemicals and reagents	74
	4.4.2	Monitoring in vitro metabolism	75
	4.4.3	In vivo metabolism	78
4.5	Result	s	79
	4.5 1	<i>In vitro</i> metabolism	. 79

	4.5.2	Phase I metabolism	83			
	4.5.3	Phase II metabolism	85			
	4.5.4	Comparison among the <i>in vitro</i> models	85			
	4.5.5	In vivo metabolism	89			
4.6	Discus	ssion	92			
4.7	Conclusion					
4.8	Refere	ences	98			
Cha	apter 5	The Detox Factory: Toxicology Profile of New Psychoactive	Substances			
	•••••		103			
5.1	Forew	ord	104			
5.2	Abstra	act	105			
5.3	Introduction					
5.4	Materials and Methods					
	5.4.1	Chemicals and reagents	108			
	5.4.2	Cytotoxicity Studies	108			
	5.4.3	Metabolic Stability Studies	110			
	5.4.4	Data Analysis	111			
5.5	Results and Discussion		111			
	5.5.1	In vitro Cytotoxicity Potency of NPS	111			
	5.5.2	Metabolic Stability	116			
5.6	Concl	usion	119			
5.7	References					
Cha	apter 6	Conclusions, limitations, recommendations and future work .	128			
Apj	pendice	es	133			
	Appendix A					
	Appen	dix B	140			

List of papers/publications

The following papers for Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 are reproduced in this thesis with the

permission from the publishers (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License,

CC BY 4.0). Paper for chapter 5 has been submitted for peer-reviewed publications.

For Chapter 3

Leong, H.S.; Philp, M.; Simone, M.; Witting, P.K.; Fu, S. Synthetic Cathinones Induce

Cell Death in Dopaminergic SH-SY5Y Cells via Stimulating Mitochondrial Dysfunction.

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 1370

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21041370

For Chapter 4

Huey Sze Leong, Shimpei Watanabe, Unnikrishnan Kuzhiumparambil, Ching Yee Fong,

Hooi Yan Moy, Yi Ju Yao, Paul K Witting, Shanlin Fu. Monitoring metabolism of

synthetic cannabinoid 4F-MDMB-BINACA via high-resolution mass spectrometry

assessed in cultured hepatoma cell line, fungus, liver microsomes and confirmed using

urine samples.

Forensic Toxicol. 2021, 39, 198-212

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11419-020-00562-7

For Chapter 5

Huey Sze Leong, Morgan Philp, Paul Kenneth Witting, Shanlin Fu. The Detox Factory:

Toxicology Profile of New Psychoactive Substances.

iv

List of Figures

- **Figure 2.1** Chemical structures of butylone, pentylone and MDPV, and their relationship to methamphetamine, MDMA, amphetamine and cathinone
- **Figure 2.2** General structure depiction of synthetic cannabinoids (SCBs) based on their different sections
- Figure 3.1 Chemical structures of three synthetic cathinones (SCs): (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, and (C) 3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV). Table: Estimated pKa values (measure of ionization) calculated using MarvinSketch software (https://chemicalize.com/), XLogP3-AA (measure of lipophilicity), molecular weight (MW), polar surface area (PSA), and hydrogen bond donors (HBD) of (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, and (C) MDPV. Data were obtained from the PubChem Compound. Database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pccompound/56843046; 60208608; 20111961). Optimal penetration of BBB: XLogP3-AA ranged from 1 to 4, MW < 450 Da, PSA < 90 Å2, and HBD < 3
- **Figure 3.2** Dose-response curves after the treatment of (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, and (C) MDPV for 24 h; lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (black) and trypan blue (TB) assay (blue). Data are Mean \pm SD obtained from three independent experiments for the TB assay and four independent experiments for the LDH assay. Table: Mean EC₁₅, EC₄₀, and EC₅₀ values after 24 h of treatment of butylone, pentylone, and MDPV, obtained from both TB and LDH assays
- **Figure 3.3** Intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) after 2, 4, 6, and 24 h treatment of EC₁₅ and EC₄₀ for (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, and (C) MDPV. Data are Mean \pm SD obtained from three independent experiments. Different to the control; **** p < 0.0001 and *** p < 0.001
- **Figure 3.4** Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) measurement over time after 24 h of treatment of EC_{15} and EC_{40} for (A) butylone (filled and empty triangle, respectively), (B) pentylone (filled and empty square, respectively), and (C) MDPV (filled and empty

diamond, respectively) with control (empty circle). Individual mitochondrial function parameters of EC₁₅ and EC₄₀ for (D) butylone (clear and grey diagonal bars, respectively), (E) pentylone (clear and grey horizontal bars, respectively), and (F) MDPV (clear and grey vertical bars, respectively): (i) basal respiration (***p < 0.01 vs. EC₁₅ pentylone, and *****p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅ MDPV), (ii) proton leak (***p < 0.01 vs. EC₁₅ MDPV), (iii) maximal respiration (*****p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅ pentylone and EC₁₅ MDPV), (iv) non-mitochondrial respiration, and (v) spare respiratory capacity (*****p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅ pentylone, and ****p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅ MDPV). The spare respiratory capacity was calculated from the difference between maximal and basal respiration. Data are Mean ± SD obtained from at least four independent experiments normalized to the % confluence of cells. Different to the control; **p < 0.1, ****p < 0.001 and *****p < 0.0001

Figure 3.5 Intracellular levels of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) after 24 h of treatment of EC₁₅ and EC₄₀ for butylone, pentylone, and MDPV. Data are Mean \pm SD obtained from three independent experiments normalized to total protein. Different to the control; *** p < 0.001 and **** p < 0.0001

Figure 3.6 Representative merged images of Cal-520AM staining in (A) the control, (B) EC₁₅ butylone, (C) EC₁₅ pentylone, and (D) EC₁₅ MDPV using the IncuCyte[®] livecell imaging system (scale bar: 100 μm) at different time points (8, 12, and 22 h) after drug treatments. Triangular arrowhead shows cell axons, the filled arrow shows the focal distribution, and the broken arrow shows the dispersed distribution of Cal-520 AM staining. (E) Quantification of Cal-520 AM fluorescence after 24 h of treatment of EC₁₅ and EC₄₀ for butylone, pentylone, and MDPV. Data shown in panel E are Mean \pm SD obtained from four independent experiments. **### p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅ butylone, EC₁₅ pentylone and EC₁₅ MDPV. Different to the control; ****p < 0.0001

Figure 3.7 Effect elicited by EC₁₅ and EC₄₀ for butylone, pentylone, and MDPV in the activation of caspase 3 and 7 after 24 h of treatment. Data are Mean \pm SD obtained from four independent experiments normalized to the % confluence of cells. #### p < 0.0001 vs. EC₁₅. Different to the control; **** p < 0.0001

- **Figure 4.1** Product ion spectra and structures with the suggested fragmentation patterns from the three *in vitro* models: *C. elegans* (F), human liver microsomes (M) and HepG2 (H). Bracket indicates spectra obtained from one of the *in vitro* models with CE 10 or 20 eV. The exact position of the hydroxylation was not determined
- **Figure 4.2** Proposed metabolic pathway of 4F-MDMB-BINACA. *In vivo* metabolites were in italics and bold. The exact position of the metabolites with hydroxylation structure were not determined
- **Figure 4.3** (A) Common *in-vitro* metabolites among the seven most abundant metabolites in (B) C. *elegans* (C) HLM and (D) HepG2 model. C. *elegans*, HLM and HepG2 are represented by horizontal, diagonal and vertical bar lines, respectively. Error bars reflect the relative abundance variation of a specific metabolite within the analyzed group (n = 3)
- **Figure 5.1** Normalized dose-response curves after 72 h drug treatment with (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, (C) MDPV and (D) 4F-MDMB-BINACA in HepG2 cells. Data are mean \pm SD obtained from at least three independent experiments for the CyQuant assay. Table: Mean EC₅₀ values obtained from dose-response curves of CyQuant assay. ($^{\#}p < 0.1 \text{ vs } 4\text{F-MDMB-BINACA}$)
- **Figure 5.2** Linear regression plot of lipophilicity and EC₅₀ values of butylone, pentylone, MDPV and 4F-MDMB-BINACA
- **Figure 5.3** Chemical structures and lipophilicity values (expressed in terms of Consensus LogP) of butylone, pentylone, MDPV and 4F-MDMB-BINACA
- **Figures 5.4** Representative merged images of orange-red TMRE and blue Hoechst 33342 staining in 300 μM of (A) butylone, (B) pentylone, (C) MDPV; 120 μM of (D) 4F-MDMB-BINACA; and (E) the control using fluorescence phase-contrast microscope with a 20x objective (scale bar: 50 μm) after 72 h of drug treatments in HepG2 cells. Quantification of TMRE fluorescence after 72 h of treatment in (F) 100 and 300 μM of SCs: butylone, pentylone and MDPV, and (G) 60 and 120 μM of 4F-MDMB-BINACA.

Data shown in panel F and G are mean \pm SD obtained from four independent experiments.
p < 0.0001 vs 300 μ M pentylone and 300 μ M MDPV. Different to the control; *p < 0.1, ** p < 0.01 and **** p < 0.0001. RFU: Relative Fluorescence Unit

List of Tables

- **Table 4.1** In vitro metabolites of 4F-MDMB-BINACA tentatively identified using C. elegans (F), human liver microsomes (M) and HepG2 (H) models. Mass error of the precursor ion ≤ 5.00 ppm and mass error of product ions ≤ 20.00 ppm. (U): In vivo urine samples
- **Table 4.2** Comparison of the *in vitro* metabolites from the three models (n = 3) with the *in vivo* metabolites obtained from authentic human urine samples (n = 20). Major *in vitro* metabolites (> 10 % peak area ratio) are in italics and bold
- **Table 4.3** *In vivo* urinary profile of individual urine samples that contain only 4F-MDMB-BINACA metabolites
- **Table 4.3** Product ion spectra of B3 and B14 metabolites obtained in the negative electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. Mass error of the precursor ion ≤ 5.00 ppm
- Table 5.1 Toxicokinetic parameters of butylone, pentylone, MDPV and 4F-MDMB-BINACA incubated via *in vitro* human liver microsomes (n = 3) and *in vivo* rat plasma from selected literatures. $t_{1/2}$: Half-life, CL/F: observed apparent clearance; CL_H: estimated hepatic clearance; CL_{int}: estimated intrinsic clearance; C_{max}: maximum observed concentration; E_H: hepatic clearance ratio; T_{max}: time of C_{max}; N.A.: Not applicable

Abstract

The unprecedented growth of new psychoactive substances (NPS) render identification a challenging issue to both the forensic and clinical laboratories. NPS readily available in myriad of unknown formulation, posing serious threat and acute harm for the users. At present, there is paucity of information on the potential potency, toxicity mechanisms, and toxicokinetic parameters associated with the use of these drugs. The present study aimed to investigate the neurotoxicity potency and cellular mechanism of NPS. Hepatoxicity potency potential, metabolic stability and subsequent metabolism pathway of specific NPS is also explored for better understanding of the toxicokinetics of these NPS.

The neurotoxicity potential and mechanism of synthetic cathinones (SCs) butylone, pentylone and 3,4-methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV) was investigated using differentiated SH-SY5Y cell line. Viability assays and end-point measurements that include markers of oxidative stress, mitochondrial bioenergetics, intracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}) and cell death pathways were employed. All the three SCs displayed dosedependent neurotoxicity with the following order of potency: butylone (least cytotoxic) < pentylone < MDPV (most cytotoxic). The activation of apoptotic cell death pathway implicated the orchestration of mitochondrial-mediated neurotoxicity mechanisms via oxidative stress, compromised bioenergetics balance and changes in Ca^{2+} homeostasis (p < 0.0001 vs. control).

The metabolism of synthetic cannabinoid (SCB), 4F-MDMB-BINACA was investigated using *in vitro* models: HepG2 liver cells, fungus *Cunninghamella elegans* (*C. elegans*) and pooled human liver microsomes (HLM). Tentative structure elucidation of the *in vitro* metabolites was performed using high-resolution mass spectrometry whilst twenty authentic human urine samples were retrospectively analysed using liquid chromatography-orbitrap mass spectrometry. A total of twenty-five *in vitro* metabolites and eight *in vivo* metabolites were tentatively identified. Ester hydrolysis and ester hydrolysis dehydrogenation 4F-MDMB-BINACA metabolites were recommended as urinary markers for 4F-MDMB-BINACA intake. *C. elegans* has the potential to be used

as a complementary model to predict and characterise human metabolites, as well as identifying possible drug toxicities for emerging SCBs.

The metabolic stability and hepatotoxicity potential of butylone, pentylone, MDPV and 4F-MDMB-BINACA were studied using HLM and HepG2 liver cells, respectively. Drug-treated HepG2 exhibited the following cytotoxicity potency: butylone (least cytotoxic) < pentylone < MDPV < 4F-MDMB-BINACA (most cytotoxic). For the metabolic stability study, NPS incubated in HLM were collected at various time points and subsequently analysed by liquid-chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Calculated *in vitro* half-lives together with estimated intrinsic clearance values categorised butylone, pentylone and MPDV as low clearance drugs and 4F-MDMB-BINACA as high clearance drug.

Keywords: New psychoactive substances, cytotoxicity, metabolic profile, in vitro