

Design and Properties of Sustainable Geopolymeric Recycled Aggregate Concrete

by Zhuo TANG

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of
Dr Wengui Li (Principal supervisor)
A/Prof Xinqun Zhu (Co-supervisor)
Prof Vivian Tam (Co-supervisor)

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

March 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Zhus Tang declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature: Production Note:
 Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 31/03/2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this chance to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Dr. Wengui Li, for his invaluable guidance, constructive suggestion, strong support, and meticulous care throughout my Ph.D. study. The practices I got have significantly promoted my professional growth, and meantime awarded me with the abilities, skills, and characteristics that are essential for an independent and mature academic researcher. Hearty thanks also go to my co-supervisors, Prof. Vivian Tam and A/Prof. Xinqun Zhu. Their invaluable advice and support greatly smooth the path to accomplishing this project.

The foremost part of this project, experimental research, was conducted in the laboratory of the Centre for Infrastructure Engineering, West Sydney University, and Tech Lab, University of Technology Sydney. The staff in these laboratories were of great assistance to me, which was indispensable to the completement of this project. Besides, I was greatly benefited from and impressed by their professionalism, sense of responsibility, and enthusiasm. Thus, my heartfelt thanks to all staff in these laboratories.

Moreover, I would like to convey my thanks to many of my colleagues and friends. They indeed improve the quality of my life, boost happiness and reduce stress, as well as help me cope with dilemmas. All these make this period fulfilling and unforgettable. It is my fortune to have them in my life.

Finally, I would like to extend my love and gratitude to my parents. They spare no effort to love and support me. Getting parents like them by my side makes me what I am today—love and hugs to my parents.

Once again, I offer my best regards and grateful thanks to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of this study.

Zhuo Tang

March 2021

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal Papers

Tang, Z., Hu, Y., Li, W. & Tam, V.W.Y. 2019, Uniaxial compressive behaviors of fly ash/slag-based geopolymeric concrete with RAs, *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 104, p. 103375.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Hu, Y., Zhou, J.L. & Tam, V.W.Y. 2019, Review on designs and properties of multifunctional alkali-activated materials (AAMs), *Construction and Building Materials*, 200, pp. 474-489.

Hu, Y., **Tang, Z.**, Li, W., Li, Y. & Tam, V.W.Y. 2019, Physical-mechanical properties of fly ash/GGBFS geopolymeric composites with RAs, *Construction and Building Materials*, 226, pp. 139-151.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Tam, V.W.Y. & Luo, Z. 2020, Investigation on dynamic mechanical properties of fly ash/slag-based geopolymeric RA concrete, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 185.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Tam, V.W.Y. & Xue, C. 2020, Advanced progress in recycling municipal and construction solid wastes for manufacturing sustainable construction materials, *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*: X, 6.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Tam, V.W.Y. & Yan, L. 2020, Mechanical behaviors of CFRP-confined sustainable geopolymeric RA concrete under both static and cyclic compressions, *Composite Structures*, 252.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Tam, V.W.Y. & Yan, L. 2020, Mechanical performance of CFRP-confined sustainable geopolymeric recycled concrete under axial compression, *Engineering Structures*, 224.

Tang, Z., Li, W., Peng, Q., Tam, V. W. Y. and Wang, K. 2021, Study on the failure mechanism of geopolymeric recycled concrete using digital image correlation method, *Journal of Sustainable Cement-Based Materials*, 1-20.

Conference Papers

Tang, Z., Li, W., Li P. & Shah S.P., 2018, Durability of Sustainable Construction Materials with Solid Wastes. *The 25th Australasian Conference on Mechanics of Structures and Materials*, ACMSM25, Brisbane, Australia, 4-7 December.

Tang, Z. & Li, W., 2018, Mechanical properties of fly ash/GGBFS based geopolymer concrete incorporating RAs. *The 1st International Conference on Construction Project Management and Construction Engineering*, iCCPMCE 2018, Sydney, Australia, 3-6 December.

Tang, Z. & Li, W., 2019, Rate-dependent behaviors of fly ash-slag geopolymer concrete containing RA. *The 13th Shock & Impact Loads on Structures*, Guangzhou, China, 13-15 December.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	II
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS.....	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF ACRONYMS	XI
LIST OF NOTATIONS	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XVII
LIST OF TABLES	XXII
ABSTRACT	XXIV
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
 1.1 Background.....	1
1.1.1 Geopolymeric recycled aggregate concrete.....	1
1.1.2 FRP-confined GRAC.....	3
 1.2 Research objectives and scope	4
 1.3 Research methodology	5
1.3.1 Advanced progress in recycling municipal and construction solid wastes for geopolymeric composites	5
1.3.2 Engineering properties of sustainable GRAC.....	6
1.3.3 Static compressive behavior of sustainable GRAC	6
1.3.4 Dynamic compressive behavior of sustainable GRAC.....	6
1.3.5 Failure process and mechanism of sustainable GRAC	6
1.3.6 Mechanical performance of CFRP-confined sustainable GRAC under monotonic compression.....	7
1.3.7 Mechanical performance of CFRP-confined sustainable GRAC under cyclic compression.....	7
 1.4 Layout of the thesis	7
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
 2.1 Municipal solid waste.....	9
2.1.1 Municipal solid waste incineration ash.....	10

2.1.2	Waste paper sludge	21
2.1.3	Rubber waste.....	24
2.1.4	Plastic waste.....	29
2.1.5	Other wastes.....	32
2.2	Construction solid waste.....	35
2.2.1	Waste clay brick.....	36
2.2.2	Ceramic waste.....	41
2.2.3	Waste asphalt pavement.....	47
2.2.4	Other wastes.....	48
2.3	Summary.....	49
CHAPTER 3: ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF SUSTAINABLE GRAC		56
3.1	Experimental program	56
3.1.1	Raw materials.....	56
3.1.2	Characterization of coarse aggregates	59
3.1.3	Mix proportions and specimen preparation	59
3.1.4	Properties of fresh concrete	60
3.1.5	Physical characterization of hardened concrete	61
3.1.6	Mechanical characterization of hardened concrete	61
3.1.7	Microstructural characterization	62
3.2	Coarse aggregate properties.....	62
3.2.1	Compositional characterization	62
3.2.2	Physical properties	63
3.2.3	Mechanical properties	63
3.3	Fresh properties.....	64
3.3.1	Workability	64
3.3.2	Setting time	65
3.3.3	Segregation and bleeding.....	66
3.4	Physical properties	66
3.4.1	Density	66
3.4.2	Water absorption.....	68
3.4.3	Volume of permeable void.....	69

3.4.4	Water sorptivity	70
3.5	Mechanical properties	72
3.5.1	Compressive strength.....	72
3.5.2	Flexural strength	74
3.5.3	Splitting tensile strength	77
3.6	Microstructural observation	79
3.7	Summary.....	81
CHAPTER 4: STATIC COMPRESSIVE BEHAVIORS OF SUSTAINABLE GRAC		83
4.1	Experimental program	83
4.1.1	Raw materials	83
4.1.2	Mix proportion.....	84
4.1.3	Specimen preparation	85
4.1.4	Test setup and instrument	86
4.2	Results and discussions	87
4.2.1	Failure pattern.....	87
4.2.2	Stress-strain behavior.....	90
4.2.3	Stress-strain curve.....	93
4.2.4	Peak stress.....	95
4.2.5	Elastic modulus.....	96
4.2.6	Peak strain.....	98
4.2.7	Ultimate strain	99
4.2.8	Energy absorption	101
4.3	Empirical model	104
4.3.1	Stress-strain curve.....	104
4.3.2	Peak strain.....	113
4.3.3	Elastic modulus.....	114
4.3.4	Model verification	116
4.4	Summary.....	119
CHAPTER 5: DYNAMIC COMPRESSIVE BEHAVIORS OF SUSTAINABLE GRAC		120

5.1 Experimental program	120
5.1.1 Raw materials.....	120
5.1.2 Mix proportions	121
5.1.3 Specimen preparation.....	122
5.1.4 Experimental methods	122
5.2 Quasi-static compression	124
5.2.1 Failure characteristics	124
5.2.2 Stress-strain curves	125
5.2.3 Compressive strength.....	128
5.3 Dynamic compression	129
5.3.1 Data processing and determination of strain rates	129
5.3.2 Failure pattern	132
5.3.3 Dynamic stress-strain curve.....	135
5.3.4 Compressive strength and dynamic increase factor.....	137
5.3.5 Energy absorption capacity.....	143
5.4 Summary.....	147
CHAPTER 6: FAILURE PROCESS AND MECHANISM OF SUSTAINABLE GRAC	149
6.1 Experimental program	149
6.1.1 Raw materials.....	149
6.1.2 Mix proportion.....	150
6.1.3 Specimen preparation.....	150
6.1.4 Test setup	150
6.1.5 DIC technique	151
6.2 Results and discussions	153
6.2.1 Mechanical properties.....	153
6.2.2 Crack evolution and failure modes	154
6.2.3 Stress-strain curves	157
6.2.4 Displacement distribution.....	159
6.2.5 Strain distribution.....	163
6.2.6 Failure curve	169

6.3 Summary.....	172
CHAPTER 7: MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF CFRP-CONFINED SUSTAINABLE GRAC UNDER MONOTONIC COMPRESSION.....	173
7.1 Experimental program	173
7.1.1 Specimen design	173
7.1.2 Raw materials	174
7.1.3 Mix proportions	176
7.1.4 Specimen preparation	176
7.1.5 Experimental program	177
7.2 Unconfined specimens.....	178
7.3 Confined specimens.....	183
7.3.1 Failure mode	183
7.3.2 Stress-strain relationships	183
7.3.3 Axial-hoop strain responses.....	189
7.3.4 Volumetric strain	194
7.3.5 Ultimate condition	196
7.4 Comparison of stress-strain models	199
7.4.1 Existing models	199
7.4.2 Performance of existing strength and strain models.....	201
7.4.3 Proposed models for CFRP-confined geopolymeric concrete.....	206
7.5 Summary.....	209
CHAPTER 8: MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF CFRP-CONFINED SUSTAINABLE GRAC UNDER CYCLIC COMPRESSION.....	211
8.1 Experimental program	211
8.1.1 Specimen design	211
8.1.2 Raw materials	212
8.1.3 Specimen preparation	213
8.1.4 Experimental program	215
8.2 Experimental results	215
8.2.1 Failure patterns	215
8.2.2 Stress-strain curves	216

8.2.3	Axial-lateral strain relationship.....	219
8.3	Results and discussions	223
8.3.1	Ultimate condition	224
8.3.2	Residual modulus.....	228
8.3.3	Plastic strain	231
8.3.4	Reloading modulus	234
8.3.5	Stress deterioration.....	237
8.4	Summary	239
CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		241
9.1	Summary and conclusions.....	241
9.1.1	Engineering properties of sustainable GRAC.....	241
9.1.2	Static and dynamic compressive behaviors of sustainable GRAC	242
9.1.3	Failure process and mechanism of sustainable GRAC	244
9.1.4	Mechanical performance of CFRP-confined sustainable GRAC under monotonic and cyclic compression	245
9.2	Recommendations for future works	247
REFERENCES.....		249

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CAC	Calcium aluminate cement
CFA	Coal-derived fly ash
CFRP	Carbon fiber-reinforced polymer
CG	Coal gangue
CSW	Construction solid waste
CWP	Ceramic waste powder
DCP	Dolomite-concrete powder
DIC	Digital image correlation
DIF	Dynamic increase factor
FRP	Fiber-reinforced polymer
GGBFS	Ground granulated blast furnace slag
GNAC	Geopolymeric natural aggregate concrete
GRAC	Geopolymeric recycled aggregate concrete
ITZ	Interfacial transition zone
LSCT	Linear strain conversion transducers
LOI	Loss on ignition
MIBA	Municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash
MIFA	Municipal solid waste incineration fly ash
MK	Metakaolin
MSW	Municipal solid waste
NA	Natural aggregate
NP	Natural pozzolana
nS	Nano-SiO ₂
OPC	Ordinary Portland cement
RA	Recycled aggregate
RAC	Recycled aggregate concrete
RT	Room temperature
SEM	Scanning electron microscopic

SHPB	Splitting Hopkinson pressure bar
SSD	Saturated surface dry
S/S	Stabilization/solidification
SiMn	Silico-manganese
TMWM	Tungsten mining waste mud
WCB	Waste clay brick
WCP	Waste concrete powder
WGP	Waste glass powder
WPSA	Waste paper sludge ash

LIST OF NOTATIONS

Chapter 7

AAE	Average absolute error
c	Constant in the proposed strain enhancement expression
c_1	Constant in the strength enhancement expression
c_2	Constant in the strain enhancement expression
D	Diameter of concrete core (mm)
E_c	Elastic modulus of unconfined concrete (MPa)
E_l	Lateral confinement stiffness
f'_{co}	Peak axial compressive stress of unconfined concrete (MPa)
f^{*}_{cc}	Peak axial compressive stress of actively confined concrete (MPa)
f'_{cc}	Ultimate axial compressive stress of FRP-confined concrete (MPa)
f_{frp}	Ultimate tensile strength of FRP material
f_l^*	Lateral confining pressure of actively confined concrete (MPa)
f_{lo}	Threshold confining pressure (MPa) in the model proposed by Ozbakkaloglu & Lim (2013)
$f_{lu,a}$	Actual lateral confining pressure at ultimate (MPa)
k_1	Axial strength enhancement coefficient in the proposed model
k_2	Axial strain enhancement coefficient in the proposed model
LTS	Linear trend slope
MSE	Mean square error
n	Constant in proposed strength enhancement model
N	Number of data in the sample
SD	Standard deviation
t_{frp}	Total thickness of FRP jackets
ε_{cc}^*	Axial strain of actively confined concrete at f^{*}_{cc}

ε_c	Axial strain of concrete
ε_{co}	Axial strain of unconfined concrete at f'_{co}
ε_{cu}	Ultimate axial strain of FRP-confined concrete
ε_{frp}	Ultimate tensile strain of FRP material
$\varepsilon_{h,rup}$	Hoop rupture strain of CFRP jackets
ε_l	Lateral strain of concrete
ε_o	Intercept strain of the axial strain axis of axial strain-lateral strain curve in model proposed by Xiao & Wu (2000)
ε_{vol}	Volumetric strain of concrete
ε_θ	Circumferential strain of concrete
μ_{tu}	Average tangent dilation rate of confined-concrete at ε_{cu} in model proposed by Xiao & Wu (2000)
α	Parameter in the proposed strain enhancement expression
β	Parameter in the proposed strain enhancement expression

Chapter 8

AAE	Average absolute error
a	Parameter in the model proposed by Lim & Ozbakkaloglu (2014)
c_1	Parameter in the model proposed by Ozbakkaloglu & Lim (2013)
c_2	Parameter in the model proposed by Ozbakkaloglu & Lim (2013)
D	Diameter of concrete core
E_1	Slope of the initial branch of axial stress-strain curve
E_2	Slope of the second branch of axial stress-strain curve
E_c	Elastic modulus of unconfined concrete
E_{frp}	Elastic modulus of FRP material
E_l	Lateral confinement stiffness

E_{re}	Reloading modulus
E_{secu}	Unloading secant modulus
$E_{un,0}$	Residual modulus
f'_{co}	Peak axial compressive stress of unconfined concrete
f^{*}_{cc}	Peak axial compressive stress of actively confined concrete
f'_{cc}	Ultimate axial compressive stress of FRP-confined concrete
f_{frp}	Ultimate tensile strength of FRP material
f_l^*	Lateral confining pressure of actively confined concrete
f_{lo}	Threshold confining pressure in the model proposed by Ozbakkaloglu & Lim (2013)
$f_{lu,a}$	Actual lateral confining pressure at ultimate condition
f_{new}	New stress
f_{un}	Unloading stress
k_1	Axial strength enhancement coefficient
k_2	Axial strain enhancement coefficient
MSE	Mean square error
N	Number of data in sample
SD	Standard deviation
t_{frp}	Thickness of FRP jackets
ε_{cc}^*	Axial strain of actively confined concrete at f^{*}_{cc}
ε_c	Axial strain of concrete
ε_{co}	Axial strain of unconfined concrete at f'_{co}
ε_{cu}	Ultimate axial strain of FRP-confined concrete
ε_{frp}	Ultimate tensile strain of FRP material
$\varepsilon_{h,rup}$	Hoop rupture strain of CFRP jackets

ε_l	Lateral strain of concrete
ε_{new}	Strain of reloading curve at stress f_{new}
ε_{un}	Unloading strain
ε_o	Intercept strain of the axial strain axis of axial strain-lateral strain curve in the model proposed by Xiao & Wu (2000)
ε_{pl}	Plastic strain
μ_{tu}	Average tangent dilation rate of confined-concrete at ε_{cu} in model proposed by Xiao & Wu (2000)
ρ	Confinement stiffness ratio
ϕ_l	Stress deterioration ratio

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Annual MSW generation from selected countries (Waste Atlas 2019).....	10
Figure 2.2. Chemical composition and mineralogy of MIBA	12
Figure 2.3. SEM/EDX of Na–Si–Al system crystal in MIBA geopolymer (Chen et al. 2016b)	13
Figure 2.4. Chemical composition and mineralogy of MIFA.....	20
Figure 2.5. Chemical composition and mineralogy of WPAS.....	23
Figure 2.6. Relationship between the inclusion percentage of WPSA and relative compressive strength.....	24
Figure 2.7. Relationship between the replacement ratio of waste rubber and relative compressive strength.....	28
Figure 2.8. Relationship between the replacement ratio of waste plastic and relative strength.....	31
Figure 2.9. Chemical composition and mineralogy of waste glass.....	33
Figure 2.10. Annual CSW generation from selected countries (Menegaki & Damigos 2018)	36
Figure 2.11. Chemical composition and mineralogy of WCB.....	37
Figure 2.12. Chemical composition and mineralogy of CWP	42
Figure 2.13. SEM micrographs of the ceramic waste aggregates after immersion in the alkali-activating solution (Reig et al. 2017).....	46
Figure 2.14. Unconfined compressive strength (UCS) of WAO-CFA blends and WAO-GGBFS blends at 7 and 28 days.	48
Figure 2.15. The ternary diagram of silica, alumina, and calcium oxide content in municipal and construction solid waste.	54
Figure 3.1.Comparison of the morphology of coarse aggregates	57
Figure 3.2. Particle size distribution curves for aggregates	57
Figure 3.3. Slump values of geopolymeric concrete.....	64
Figure 3.4. Setting time of geopolymeric concrete	66
Figure 3.5. Density of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age.....	68
Figure 3.6. Water absorption of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age.....	69

Figure 3.7. Volume of permeable voids of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age.....	70
Figure 3.8. Relationship between water absorption and volume of permeable voids for geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	70
Figure 3.9. Sorptivity results of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	71
Figure 3.10. Relationship between sorptivity and permeable void of the geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	72
Figure 3.11. Compressive strength of geopolymeric concrete at 3, 7, and 28 days of age	73
Figure 3.12. Flexural strength of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	75
Figure 3.13. Compressive strength versus flexural strength of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age.....	76
Figure 3.14. Splitting tensile strength of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	77
Figure 3.15. Compressive strength versus splitting tensile strength of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	78
Figure 3.16. Splitting tensile strength versus flexural strength of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age.....	79
Figure 3.17. Microstructure of geopolymeric concrete containing NA	80
Figure 3.18. Microstructure of geopolymeric concrete containing RA	81
Figure 4.1. Testing setup for quasi-static compression test	87
Figure 4.2. Failure process of concretes under different load levels (S20R50 specimen)	88
Figure 4.3. Failure patterns of concretes	89
Figure 4.4. Stress-strain behaviors of concretes with different RA replacement ratios..	91
Figure 4.5. Stress-strain behaviors of concretes based on different binders	92
Figure 4.6. Peak stress of concretes with various binder types and RA replacement ratios	95
Figure 4.7. Elastic modulus of concretes with various binder types and RA replacement ratios	96
Figure 4.8. Peak strain of concretes with various binder types and RA replacement ratios	99

Figure 4.9. Ultimate strain of concretes with various binder types and RA replacement ratios	100
Figure 4.10. Ratios of ultimate strain to peak strain of concretes with various binder types and RA replacement ratios	101
Figure 4.11. Toughness and relative energy absorbed of various concretes.....	102
Fig. 4.12. Toughness versus compressive strength curves for geopolymeric concretes	103
Figure 4.13. Relative energy absorbed versus compressive strength curves for geopolymeric concretes.....	104
Figure 4.14. Comparison between existing and proposed stress-strain models and experimental results	112
Figure 4.15. Performance of existing and proposed peak strain models for geopolymeric concrete	114
Figure 4.16. Performance of existing and proposed elastic modulus models for geopolymeric concrete	116
Figure 4.17. Comparison between proposed stress-strain model and experimental results	118
Figure 5.1. Sieve analysis results of coarse aggregates	121
Figure 5.2. SHPB test system for impact testing	123
Figure 5.3. Failure patterns of geopolymeric concrete under quasi-static loading	125
Figure 5.4. Comparison of quasi-static stress-strain curves of GNAC and GRAC	126
Figure 5.5. Comparison of quasi-static stress-strain relation of geopolymeric concrete under different slag content.....	128
Figure 5.6. Quasi-static compressive strength of geopolymeric concrete	129
Figure 5.7. Typical impact signals of SHPB test	130
Figure 5.8. Typical dynamic stress equilibrium relationship between stress and time.	131
Figure 5.9. Failure patterns of geopolymeric concrete under different strain rates	134
Figure 5.10. Stress-strain curves of geopolymeric concrete under dynamic compression	137
Figure 5.11. Relationship between dynamic compressive strength and strain rate for geopolymeric concrete	138

Figure 5.12. Relationships between DIF and strain rate for GNAC and GRAC	139
Figure 5.13. SEM micrograph of GNAC and GRAC	140
Figure 5.14. Relationship between DIF and strain rate for geopolymeric concrete with different slag contents	141
Figure 5.15. Comparison of DIF between the tests results and existing models	143
Figure 5.16. Relationship between incident wave energy and energy absorption for geopolymeric concrete	145
Figure 5.17. Relationships between specific energy absorption and strain rate for GNAC and GRAC	146
Figure 5.18. Relationships between specific energy absorption and strain rate for geopolymeric concrete with different slag contents.....	147
Figure 6.1. The loading and measure system.....	151
Figure 6.2. Schematic illustration of DIC method	153
Figure 6.3. Crack patterns of test specimens.....	156
Figure 6.4. Typical stress-strain curves of test specimens	158
Figure 6.5. Axial-lateral strain curves of test specimens	159
Figure 6.6. Displacement vector fields image of test specimens (unit = m)	162
Figure 6.7. Strain distribution of specimen NAC.....	164
Figure 6.8. Strain distribution of specimen RAC25.....	165
Figure 6.9. Strain distribution of specimen RAC50.....	166
Figure 6.10. Strain distribution of specimen RAC100.....	167
Figure 6.11. Statistic distribution of the strain fields	170
Figure 6.12. Failure curves of the test specimens	171
Figure 7.1. Tensile coupon test of CFRP composites	175
Figure 7.2. Test setup and instrumentation for confined specimens.....	178
Figure 7.3. Typical failure modes of specimens under compression	179
Figure 7.4. Typical compressive stress-strain curves of unconfined concrete.....	180
Figure 7.5. Stress-strain behaviors of confined geopolymeric concrete with different thickness of CFRP confinement.....	186
Figure 7.6. Effects of binder type and RA replacement on stress-strain behavior of confined geopolymeric concrete	188

Figure 7.7. Axial-hoop strain responses of confined geopolymers concrete with different thickness of CFRP jackets.....	191
Figure 7.8. Effects of binder type and RA replacement on axial-hoop strain responses of confined geopolymers concrete	193
Figure 7.9. Dilation behavior of confined geopolymers concrete	195
Figure 7.10. CFRP hoop rupture strain ratios	197
Figure 7.11. Relationship between strength enhancement ratio and confinement ratio	198
Figure 7.12. Relationship between the strain enhancement ratios and confinement ratios	199
Figure 7.13. Performance of existing models for strength and strain enhancement ratios	204
Figure 7.14. Performance of proposed model for strength and strain enhancement ratios	207
Figure 8.1. Typical failure modes of CFRP-confined geopolymers concrete	216
Figure 8.2. Stress-strain curves of CFRP-confined geopolymers concrete	218
Figure 8.3. Axial-lateral strain curves of CFRP-confined geopolymers concrete.....	222
Figure 8.4. Typical stress-strain curve of CFRP-confined geopolymers concrete	223
Figure 8.5. Effects of confinement ratio on strength enhancement ratio and stain enhancement ratio	225
Figure 8.6. Performance of exiting models for the ultimate strength and ultimate strain	228
Figure 8.7. Relationship between residual modulus and unloading strain.....	229
Figure 8.8. Performance of existing models for residual modulus	231
Figure 8.9. Relationship between plastic strain and unloading strain.....	232
Figure 8.10. Performance of existing models for plastic strain	234
Figure 8.11. Relationship of reloading modulus and stiffness deterioration ratio versus unloading strain.....	235
Figure 8.12. Performance of existing models for reloading modulus.....	237
Figure 8.13. Relationship between stress deterioration and unloading strain.....	238

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Recent research on the applications of MIFA in geopolymeric composites.....	17
Table 2.2 Recent research on the applications of rubber waste in geopolymeric composites.....	25
Table 2.3 Recent research of the geopolymeric composites made from waste clay brick	39
Table 2.4 Recent research of the geopolymeric composites made from ceramic waste powder.....	43
Table 2.5 General view of waste materials characteristic, usages, and respective performance of resulted geopolymeric composites.....	50
Table 3.1 Chemical compositions of fly ash and GGBFS	58
Table 3.2 Mix proportions of geopolymeric concrete.....	60
Table 3.3 Details of RA constituents	62
Table 3.4 Physical and mechanical properties of coarse aggregates.....	63
Table 3.5 Physical properties of geopolymeric concrete at 28 days of age	68
Table 3.6 Mechanical properties of geopolymeric concrete	73
Table 4.1 Component proportion of RA	83
Table 4.2 Chemical compositions and LOI of fly ash, GGBFS, and OPC	84
Table 4.3 Details of mix proportion for geopolymeric and OPC concretes.....	85
Table 4.4 Stress-strain characteristics of test specimens.....	94
Table 4.5 Empirical models for stress-strain behavior of OPC concrete, geopolymeric concrete, and RAC	106
Table 5.1 Physical properties of coarse aggregates.....	121
Table 5.2 Mix proportions of geopolymeric concrete.....	122
Table 5.3 Parameters for the fitted DIF relationship described by Eq. (5.10)	143
Table 5.4 Parameters for the fitted relationship described by Eq. (5.14).....	147
Table 6.1 Mix proportion and compressive strength for geopolymeric concrete	150
Table 6.2 Mechanical properties of geopolymeric concrete	154
Table 6.3 Mechanical characteristics of test specimens.....	157
Table 7.1 Details of test specimens.....	174

Table 7.2 Details of mix proportion for geopolymeric concrete.....	176
Table 7.3 Summary of mechanical properties results	181
Table 7.4 Models used to predict the ultimate strength and ultimate axial strain of confined specimens	200
Table 7.5 Statistical assessment of models for the ultimate conditions of confined specimens	205
Table 8.1 Details of CFRP-confined geopolymeric concrete	212
Table 8.2 Mix proportion for geopolymeric concrete.....	213
Table 8.3 Test results for CFRP-confined geopolymeric concrete	214

ABSTRACT

Geopolymeric recycled aggregate concrete (GRAC) is a type of geopolymeric concrete that recycled aggregate (RA) was utilized to replace the virgin aggregate. Thus, GRAC can provide the environmental benefits of both geopolymeric concrete and recycled aggregate concrete (RAC). Specifically, geopolymeric concrete offers a valuable method for recycling industrial by-products and reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with the production of ordinary Portland cement. As for the RAC, the merits consist of, but not limited to, the avoidance of natural resource extraction, reduced landfills of construction and demolition waste (C&DW), and diminished transportation of wastes. Accordingly, GRAC suggests a route with a high degree of environmental friendliness for concrete materials.

In this study, fly ash and ground granulated blast furnace slag combination based geopolymeric concrete incorporating C&DW based RA was developed and evaluated. Firstly, the engineering properties of GRAC were studied. Subsequently, quasi-static and dynamic compressive tests were conducted on GRAC, respectively, by using a high-force servo-hydraulic test system and a Ø80-mm split Hopkinson pressure bar apparatus. Special attention was devoted to the failure patterns, stress-strain curves, and energy absorption capacity. Moreover, the failure process and mechanism of GRAC under compression was investigated with the help of a digital image correlation system.

Existing studies have validated that external confinement by confining materials is an effective strategy to enhance the mechanical and long-term performance of RAC or even to qualify RAC with structural purposes. Therefore, carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) material was utilized in this study to provide external confinement for GRAC. Experimental studies on the mechanical behaviors of CFRP-confined GRAC under monotonic and cyclic compression were carried out. The failure model, stress-strain relationship, and axial-lateral strain relationship were investigated. Further, the results were compared with the predictions by existing models to evaluate these models' applicability to CFRP-confined GRAC.

Overall, this study could support the producers of C&DW to gain considerable interest by applying the C&DW-based RA into geopolymeric concrete and can benefit the stakeholders of geopolymeric material industries who seek more sustainability in their products.

