

**DEVELOPMENT OF THIN-FILM
COMPOSITE MEMBRANES
INCORPORATED WITH GRAPHENE
OXIDE AND DERIVATIVES FOR
FORWARD OSMOSIS PROCESSES**

by Nawshad Akther

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Prof. Hokyong Shon and Dr.
Sherub Phuntsho

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

September 2020

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, **Nawshad Akther**, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of **Doctor of Philosophy**, in the **School of Civil and Environmental Engineering/ Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology** at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature of Student: Signature removed prior to publication.

(Nawshad Akther)

Date: 4th September 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated wholeheartedly to:

My beloved parents, Zinnat and Jahangir,
who have always supported me, showed me the power of education and been the source
of my inspiration,

and

My husband, Ashique,
for having my back, motivating me and sharing the path with me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to those who have supported me along this long but fulfilling journey towards the completion of my PhD thesis.

Foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my principal supervisor, Prof. Hokyong Shon, for his great mentorship, professional advice, encouragement, endless support and bringing out the best in me throughout my PhD study. I would also like to convey my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr. Sherub Phuntsho, for his insightful comments and valuable feedback during my study. Their consistent guidance and support throughout my PhD study have been invaluable, motivated me to grow as a researcher and give my best towards the completion of this thesis.

Besides my supervisors, I would like to acknowledge the support I received from the external collaborators who provided me with the opportunity to work with them. I would like to thank Prof. Hideto Matsuyama and Prof. Tomohisa Yoshioka for inviting me to work as a Visiting Researcher at Kobe University and use their laboratories and research facilities at the Research Center for Membrane and Film Technology. I further acknowledge Prof. Noredine Ghaffour at King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Prof. Yuan Chen at The University of Sydney, A/Prof. Tae-Hyun Bae at Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) and Claus Helix-Nielsen at the Technical University of Denmark for their collaboration and support in my research work. I also appreciate Shengyao Wang and Yuki Kawabata at Kobe University, Ziwen Yuan at The University of Sydney, Victoria Sanahuja-Embuena and Radosław Górecki at the Technical University of Denmark for their contribution to my collaboration research projects.

Moreover, I am grateful to Dr. Sungil Lim for developing my membrane fabrication skills and Dr. Md. Johir and Dr. Niren Pathak for their assistance in the laboratory. My heartfelt appreciation goes to my friends in UTS and everyone in the NanoMemPro group, especially Van Huy Tran, Minwei Yao, Syed Muztuza Ali, Pema Dorji and Mukit Hossain for making my time enjoyable and helping me keep up my momentum during the entire course of my PhD journey.

I want to acknowledge the full financial support that I received from the Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) to complete my PhD study.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents and my father-in-law for making me recognize the importance of education and hard work, making my academic journey a success, motivating me to pursue my dreams and be independent. I want to express my deep appreciation for the continuous support and encouragement that I have received from my brothers, Javed and Abed. I am grateful to my husband, Ashique, for believing in my ability to succeed and fulfil my dreams and for his enduring optimism despite the challenges we confronted during my PhD journey. Without his support, cooperation and motivation, it would have been difficult to accomplish my research goals.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

This list includes journal articles that have been prepared during the PhD candidature, which are either *part of or **not part of the thesis.

1. **Akther, N., Lim, S., Tran, V.H., Phuntsho, S., Yang, Y., Bae, T.-H., Ghaffour, N. & Shon, H.K. 2019, 'The effect of Schiff base network on the separation performance of thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes', *Separation and Purification Technology*, vol. 217, pp. 284-93.
2. *Akther, N., Phuntsho, S., Chen, Y., Ghaffour, N. & Shon, H.K. 2019, 'Recent advances in nanomaterial-modified polyamide thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis processes', *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 584, pp. 20-45.
(Chapter 2)
3. **Yao, M., Ren, J., Akther, N., Woo, Y.C., Tijing, L.D., Kim, S.-H. & Shon, H.K. 2019, 'Improving membrane distillation performance: Morphology optimization of hollow fiber membranes with selected non-solvent in dope solution', *Chemosphere*, vol. 230, pp. 117-26.
4. **Tran, V.H., Lim, S., Han, D.S., Pathak, N., Akther, N., Phuntsho, S., Park, H. & Shon, H.K. 2019, 'Efficient fouling control using outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film composite membranes for osmotic membrane bioreactor applications', *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 282, pp. 9-17.
5. **Lim, S., Tran, V.H., Akther, N., Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. 2019, 'Defect-free outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis applications', *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 586, pp. 281-91.
6. *Akther, N., Yuan, Z., Chen, Y., Lim, S., Phuntsho, S., Ghaffour, N., Matsuyama, H. & Shon, H.K. 2020, 'Influence of graphene oxide lateral size on the properties

and performances of forward osmosis membrane’, *Desalination*, vol. 484, p. 114421.

(Chapter 5)

7. **Lim, S., Park, K.H., Tran, V.H., Akther, N., Phuntsho, S., Choi, J.Y. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Size-controlled graphene oxide for highly permeable and fouling-resistant outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis’, *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 609, p. 118171.
8. *Akther, N., Ali, S.M., Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Surface modification of thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes with polyvinyl alcohol–graphene oxide composite hydrogels for anti-fouling properties’, *Desalination*, vol. 491, p. 114591. **(Chapter 4)**
9. **Lim, S., Akther, N., Tran, V.H., Bae, T.-H., Phuntsho, S., Merenda, A., Dumée, L.F. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Covalent organic framework incorporated outer-selective hollow fiber thin-film nanocomposite membranes for osmotically driven desalination’, *Desalination*, vol. 485, p. 114161.
10. **Shen, Q., Lin, Y., Kawabata, Y., Jia, Y., Zhang, P., Akther, N., Guan, K., Yoshioka, T., Shon, H.K., Matsuyama, H. 2020, ‘Engineering heterostructured thin-film nanocomposite membrane with functionalized graphene oxide quantum dots (GOQD) for highly efficient reverse osmosis’, *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, vol. 12, no. 34, pp. 38662-73.
11. **Daer, S †, Akther, N. †, Wei, Q., Shon, H.K. & Hasan, S.W. 2020, ‘Influence of silica nanoparticles on the desalination performance of forward osmosis polybenzimidazole membranes’, *Desalination*, vol. 491, p. 114441.
12. **Akther, N., Lin, Y., Matsuyama, H., Phuntsho, S., Ghaffour, N. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘In situ coating of ultrathin silica layer on polyamide thin-film composite

forward osmosis membranes for enhanced separation and anti-fouling properties’, accepted in *Journal of Membrane Science*.

13. ***Akther, N.**, Sanahuja-Embuela, V., Górecki, R., Phuntsho, S., Helix-Nielsen, C. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Employing the synergistic effect between aquaporin nanostructures and graphene oxide for enhanced separation performance of thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes’, *Desalination*, vol. 498, p. 114795 (**Chapter 6**)
14. ***Akther, N.**, Kawabata, Y., Lim, S., Yoshioka, T., Matsuyama, H., Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Effect of graphene oxide quantum dots on the interfacial polymerization of a thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane: An experimental and molecular dynamics study’, *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 630, p. 119309 (**Chapter 7**)

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

Some parts of this study have been presented in conferences as listed below:

1. **Akther, N.**, Lim, S., Tran, V.H., Phuntsho, S., Bae, T.-H, Ghaffour, N. & Shon, H.K. ‘Covalent organic frameworks-based thin-film nanocomposite membranes for forward osmosis processes’, The 11th conference on the Aseanian Membrane Society, AMS11, July 3–6, Brisbane, Australia, 2018.
2. Lim, S., Tran, V.H., **Akther, N.**, Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. ‘Preparation of outer-selective thin-film composite hollow fiber membranes with high performance and fouling resistance for forward osmosis applications’, The 11th conference on the Aseanian Membrane Society, AMS11, July 3–6, Brisbane, Australia, 2018.
3. Shon, H.K. & **Akther, N.** ‘Carbon-based nanomaterial incorporated FO membranes’, International Workshop for Membrane at Kobe University in 2019 (iWMK2019), November 18-19, Kobe, Japan, 2019.
4. **Akther, N.**, Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. ‘Influence of graphene oxide lateral size on the properties and performances of forward osmosis membrane’, 4th International Conference on Desalination using Membrane Technology (MEMDES), December 1-4, Perth, Australia, 2019.
5. **Akther, N.**, Sanahuja-Embuela, V., Górecki, R., Phuntsho, S., Helix-Nielsen, C. & Shon, H.K. ‘Employing the synergistic effect between aquaporin nanostructures and graphene oxide for enhanced separation performance of thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes’, Membrane Society of Australasia (MSA) Annual General Meeting and Conference, November 23-24, Clayton, Victoria, 2020.

PREFACE

This doctoral thesis is prepared in a “Thesis by compilation” format according to the “Graduate Research Candidature Management, Thesis Preparation and Submission Procedures, 2019” of the University of Technology Sydney. It comprises of the articles that have been published or submitted for publication.

This thesis contains one published review paper in Chapter 2 and four original research articles in Chapters 4 through 7, three of which are published and one accepted for publication. The authorship of these works has been decided after discussing with the supervisory team.

Chapter 2 comprises of the following article:

Akther, N., Phuntsho, S., Chen, Y., Ghaffour, N. & Shon, H.K. 2019, ‘Recent advances in nanomaterial-modified polyamide thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis processes’, *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 584, pp. 20-45.

Chapter 4 includes the following article:

Akther, N., Ali, S.M., Phuntsho, S. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Surface modification of thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes with polyvinyl alcohol–graphene oxide composite hydrogels for anti-fouling properties’, *Desalination*, vol. 491, p. 114591.

Chapter 5 is based on the following technical paper:

Akther, N., Yuan, Z., Chen, Y., Lim, S., Phuntsho, S., Ghaffour, N., Matsuyama, H. & Shon, H.K. 2020, ‘Influence of graphene oxide lateral size on the properties and performances of forward osmosis membrane’, *Desalination*, vol. 484, p. 114421.

Chapter 6 is published in *Desalination*:

Akther, N., Sanahuja-Embuela, V., Górecki, R., Phuntsho, S., Helix-Nielsen, C. & Shon, H.K. 2021, 'Employing the synergistic effect between aquaporin nanostructures and graphene oxide for enhanced separation performance of thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes', *Desalination*, vol. 498, p. 114795.

Chapter 7 is published in *Journal of Membrane Science*:

Akther, N., Kawabata, Y., Lim, S., Yoshioka, T., Phuntsho, S., Matsuyama, H. & Shon, H.K. 2021, 'Effect of graphene oxide quantum dots on the interfacial polymerization of a thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane: An experimental and molecular dynamics study', *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 630, p. 119309.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAPTS	1-(2-amino-ethyl)-3-aminopropyl] trimethoxysilane
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
Ag	Silver
AgNP	Silver nanoparticles
AL-DS	Active layer oriented towards draw solution
AL-FS	Active layer oriented towards feed solution
Al ₂ O ₃	Aluminium oxide
AQN	Aquaporin Z reconstituting nanostructures
AqpZ	Aquaporin Z
ASP	Alternate soaking process
BP	Bucky paper
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
CFV	Cross-flow velocity
CN	Graphitic carbon nitride
CNT	Carbon nanotube
CTA	Cellulose triacetate
DA	Dopamine
DI	De-ionized water
DS	Draw solution
ECP	External concentration polarisation
Fe ₃ O ₄	Iron (III) oxide
FO	Forward osmosis
FRR	flux recovery ratio

FS	Feed solution
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GA	Glutaraldehyde
GO	Graphene oxide
GQD	Graphene/ graphene oxide/ carbon quantum dot
HA	Humic acid
HF	Hollow fiber
HTI	Hydration technologies Inc.
HNT	Halloysite nanotube
ICP	Internal concentration polarisation
INT	Imogolite nanotube
IEP	Isoelectric point
IP	Interfacial polymerization
ISHF	Inner-selective hollow fiber
LbL	Layer-by-layer
LDH	Layered double hydroxide
MD	Molecular dynamics/ Membrane distillation
MOF	Metal-organic framework
MPD	1,2-phenylenediamine
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NF	Nanofiltration
NIPS	Non-solvent induced phase separation
NMP	1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone
NP	Nanoparticles
OMBR	Osmotic membrane bioreactor
OSHF	Outer-selective hollow fiber
PA	Polyamide

PAN	Polyacrylonitrile
pDA	Polydopamine
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PEG-PPG-PEG	Poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(propylene glycol)-block-poly(ethylene glycol)
PES	Poly(ethersulfone)
PEI	Polyethylenimine
PET	Polyester
PI	Phase inversion
PMA	Poly(ether monoamine)
PRO	Pressure-retarded osmosis
PLL	Poly L-Lysine
PMR	Photocatalytic membrane reactor
PSf	Polysulfone
PV	Pervaporation
PVA	Polyvinyl alcohol
PVDF	Polyvinylidene fluoride
PVP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
rGO	Reduced graphene oxide
RO	Reverse osmosis
SA	Sodium alginate
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SiO ₂	Silica
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TEA	Triethylamine
TFC	Thin-film composite

TFC-P	PVA hydrogel-coated TFC membrane
TFC-PGO	PVA-GO hydrogel-coated TFC membrane
TFN	Thin-film nanocomposite
TiO ₂	Titanium oxide
TMC	Trimesoyl chloride
TNT	Titanate nanotubes
TSB	Tryptic soy broth
UF	Ultrafiltration
UiO-66	Zirconium (IV) carboxylate metal organic framework
VAIP	Vacuum-assisted interfacial polymerization
Zn ₂ GeO ₄	Zinc germinate
ZnO	Zinc oxide
ZSCSNP	ZnO-SiO ₂ core-shell nanoparticles

NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Meaning	Unit
ΔC	Concentration difference across the selective layer of the membrane	$\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
ΔC_{FS}	Change in the feed solution concentration after an interval of Δt	$\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
ΔP	Transmembrane pressure	Pa
Δt	Time interval	h
ΔV_{FS}	Change in the feed solution volume during the performance test	L
μ	Solution viscosity	$\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$
χ_{CL}	Theoretical cross-linking degree	%
A	Pure water permeability coefficient	$\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{bar}^{-1}$
A_m	Effective area of membrane	m^2
B	Solute permeability coefficient	$\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$
B/A	Intrinsic membrane selectivity	bar
C_{FS}	Bulk feed solution concentration	$\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
C_{DS}	Bulk draw solution concentration	$\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
D	Diffusion coefficient	$\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$
F	Osmotic pressure across the membrane	Pa
J_s	Reverse solute flux	$\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (gMH)
J_w	Water flux	$\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (LMH)
$J_{w,0}$	Baseline water flux	$\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$
$J_w/J_{w,0}$	Normalized flux	---
M	Molar concentration	$\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
MSD	Mean square displacement	---

MW_{CL}	Molecular weight of the cross-linking agent	$\text{g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
$MW_{PVA\ unit}$	Molecular weight of one PVA unit	$\text{g}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
N_{ion}	Ionization number in water	---
R	Overall hydraulic resistance against the water permeation	m^{-1}
R_a	Mean value of membrane surface roughness	nm
R_f	Foulant resistance	m^{-1}
R_g	Universal gas constant	$\text{Pa}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$
R_m	Membrane resistance	m^{-1}
R_{max}	Maximum value of membrane surface roughness	nm
R_q	Root mean square value of membrane surface roughness	nm
S	Structural parameter	μm
$SRSF (J_s/J_w)$	Specific reverse solute flux	$\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$
T	Solution temperature	K
V_{FS}	Feed solution volume	L
W_{CL}	Weight of cross-linking agent	g
W_{PVA}	Weight of PVA	g

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	vi
CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	ix
PREFACE	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
NOMENCLATURE.....	xvi
ABSTRACT	xxix
1. CHAPTER 1 Introduction.....	2
1.1 Research background.....	2
1.2 Research motivation	3
1.3 Research aim.....	5
1.4 Research objectives and scope.....	5
1.5 Thesis organization.....	7
2. CHAPTER 2 Literature review	13
Abstract	13
2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Nanomaterial-modified PA active layers.....	21
2.2.1 Zeolites and silica	25
2.2.2 Carbon nanotubes and graphene oxide.....	26
2.2.3 Other carbon-based nanomaterials	31
2.2.4 Titanate and halloysite nanotubes	32
2.2.5 Porous coordination polymers.....	35
2.2.6 Issues and challenges of nanomaterial-modified PA layers.....	37
2.3 Nanomaterial-coated PA layer surfaces.....	38
2.3.1 Carbon nanotubes and graphene oxide.....	39
2.3.2 Silver nanoparticles and nanocomposites.....	43
2.3.3 Membrane surface mineralization	46
2.3.4 Issues and challenges of nanomaterial-coated PA layer surfaces	49
2.4 Nanomaterial-modified substrates	50
2.4.1 Zeolites, silica and zinc oxide	55
2.4.2 Carbon nanotubes and graphene oxide.....	57
2.4.3 Titanium oxide	61

2.4.4	Other nanomaterials	62
2.4.5	Issues and challenges of nanomaterial-modified substrates	66
2.5	Nanomaterial interlayer	67
2.5.1	Carbon nanotubes and graphene oxide	68
2.5.2	Porous coordination polymers	70
2.5.3	Issues and challenges of nanomaterial interlayer	70
2.6	Implications and future perspectives	71
2.7	Conclusions.....	75
3.	CHAPTER 3 General experimental methods	78
3.1	Introduction.....	78
3.2	PA TFC FO membrane fabrication.....	78
3.2.1	TFC FO flat-sheet membranes preparation	78
3.2.1.1	Flat-sheet membrane substrate.....	78
3.2.1.2	PA selective layer	79
3.2.2	TFC FO OSHF membranes preparation.....	80
3.2.2.1	HF membrane substrate	80
3.2.2.2	OSHF modulation and PA selective layer formation	82
3.3	Membrane characterisation.....	83
3.3.1	Membrane cross-section and surface morphology	84
3.3.2	Membrane surface roughness	84
3.3.3	Membrane surface chemistry	85
3.3.4	Membrane hydrophilicity	85
3.3.5	Membrane surface charge.....	85
3.4	Membrane performance evaluation	86
3.4.1	FO experimental setup.....	86
3.4.2	FO membrane performance	87
3.4.3	Membrane intrinsic transport parameters	88
4.	CHAPTER 4 Surface modification of thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes with polyvinyl alcohol–graphene oxide composite hydrogels for antifouling properties	91
	Abstract	91
4.1	Introduction.....	92
4.2	Materials and methods	95
4.2.1	Chemicals	95

4.2.2	Hydrogel preparation and coating on PA TFC membrane.....	95
4.2.3	Membrane characterisation	97
4.2.4	Membrane performance evaluation.....	98
4.2.5	Membrane fouling test.....	99
4.2.6	Foulant resistance evaluation	99
4.2.7	Static bacterial adhesion test	100
4.3	Results and discussion	100
4.3.1	Membrane characterisation	100
4.3.2	Membrane performance evaluation.....	107
4.3.3	Membrane fouling study	111
4.3.4	Membrane foulant resistance.....	114
4.3.5	Bacterial anti-adhesion performance.....	115
4.4	Conclusions.....	118
4.5	Supporting Information.....	118
4.5.1	Membrane surface roughness	118
4.5.2	Foulant resistance evaluation	119
	CRedit authorship contribution statement	121
	Declaration of competing interest.....	122
	Acknowledgement.....	122
5.	CHAPTER 5 Influence of graphene oxide lateral size on the properties and performances of forward osmosis membrane	126
	Abstract	126
5.1	Introduction.....	127
5.2	Materials and methods	130
5.2.1	Chemicals	130
5.2.2	Graphene oxide preparation	130
5.2.3	TFC and TFN membrane fabrication	131
5.2.3.1	Membrane substrate.....	131
5.2.3.2	PA selective layer	131
5.2.4	Graphene oxide and membrane characterisation.....	132
5.2.5	Membrane performance evaluation.....	133
5.2.6	Membrane fouling test.....	134
5.3	Results and discussion	135
5.3.1	GO characterisation.....	135

5.3.2	Membrane characterisation	137
5.3.3	Membrane performance evaluation	144
5.3.4	Membrane fouling study	148
5.3.5	Benchmarking membrane performance	152
5.4	Conclusions.....	153
	CRedit authorship contribution statement	154
	Declaration of competing interest	154
	Acknowledgement.....	155
6.	CHAPTER 6 Employing the synergistic effect between aquaporin nanostructures and graphene oxide for enhanced separation performance of thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes.....	159
	Abstract	159
6.1	Introduction.....	160
6.2	Materials and methods	163
6.2.1	Chemicals	163
6.2.2	TFC and TFN membrane fabrication	164
6.2.3	Membrane characterisation	165
6.2.4	Membrane performance evaluation.....	166
6.3	Results and discussion	166
6.3.1	Membrane characterisation	166
6.3.2	Membrane performance evaluation.....	174
6.4	Conclusions.....	177
6.5	Supporting Information.....	178
6.5.1	Membrane surface roughness	178
	CRedit authorship contribution statement	179
	Declaration of competing interest	179
	Acknowledgement.....	179
7.	CHAPTER 7 Effect of graphene oxide quantum dots on the interfacial polymerization of a thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane: An experimental and molecular dynamics study	183
	Abstract	183
	Introduction	184
7.1	Materials and methods	188
7.1.1	Chemicals	188

7.1.2	HF membrane substrate development	189
7.1.3	OSHF TFC and TFN membrane development.....	189
7.1.4	Membrane characterisation	190
7.1.5	Membrane performance evaluation	191
7.1.6	Molecular dynamics simulation	191
7.1.6.1	Simulation cell of water-hexane interface	192
7.1.6.2	Simulation cell of water-PA interface	193
7.2	Results and discussion	195
7.2.1	Membrane characterisation	195
7.2.2	Membrane performance evaluation.....	199
7.2.3	Molecular dynamics simulation	203
7.2.3.1	Water-hexane interface simulation	203
7.2.3.2	Water-PA interface simulation	205
7.3	Conclusions.....	207
7.4	Supporting Information.....	208
7.4.1	Molecular dynamics simulation	208
	CRedit authorship contribution statement	209
	Declaration of competing interest	210
	Acknowledgement.....	210
8.	CHAPTER 8 Conclusions and recommendations.....	212
8.1	Conclusions.....	212
8.2	Recommendations.....	216
	References	218

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1 Thesis structure on the development of GO-modified PA TFC membranes for FO processes.	6
Fig. 2.1 Number of publications related to nanomaterial-modified PA TFC FO membranes (Retrieved from Web of Science on 14 th March 2019).	18
Fig. 2.2 Schematic illustrations demonstrating typical structures of nanomaterial-incorporated PA TFC membranes: a) TFN membrane, b) TFC membrane with nanomaterial-coated PA layer surface, c) TFC membrane with nanocomposite substrate and d) TFC membrane with nanomaterial interlayer.	20
Fig. 2.3 Typical steps involved in the fabrication of a TFN membrane through the IP process. Nanomaterials are uniformly-dispersed either in the MPD aqueous or TMC organic solution for the formation of nanocomposite PA layer.	22
Fig. 2.4 Schematic illustration of the interaction between amine-functionalized titanate nanotube and polyamide (adapted from (Emadzadeh et al. 2015)).	34
Fig. 2.5 Illustration of the steps involved in the modification of PA TFC membrane surface with silver-PEGylated dendrimer nanocomposite structure (Zhang, Qiu, et al. 2013).	44
Fig. 2.6 Key steps involved in a single cycle of the alternate soaking process (ASP) for the deposition and coating of barium sulfate on the PA TFC membrane surface (Jin et al. 2015).	47
Fig. 2.7 Typical steps involved in the preparation of a PA TFC membrane with the nanomaterial-incorporated substrate.	51
Fig. 2.8 Schematic of the co-casting technique used for fabrication of dual-layered GO-incorporated TFC FO membranes (Lim et al. 2017).	61
Fig. 2.9 View of the atomic structure of INTs. (a) Axial and (b) 3-D side view (adapted from (Pan et al. 2017)).	65
Fig. 2.10 Typical steps involved in the preparation of a PA TFC membrane incorporated with a nanomaterial interlayer.	68
Fig. 2.11 Schematic diagram representing the PA development process on porous substrates with and without a nanomaterial interlayer.	69
Fig. 2.12 Current problems and consequences of nanoparticle (NP) incorporation in the membranes, and some possible solutions to overcome those issues.	72
Fig. 3.1 Phase inversion technique by immersion precipitation.	79

Fig. 3.2 HF spinneret assembly used to prepare HF substrates via dry-jet wet PI technique.	81
Fig. 3.3 Representation of HF membrane substrate development using a conventional dry-jet wet spinneret assembly (Lim et al. 2019).....	81
Fig. 3.4 Schematic representation of the modified VAIP technique for the development of OSHF TFC FO membrane (Lim et al. 2019).....	83
Fig. 3.5 Schematic of the lab-scale FO experimental setup used for testing membrane performance.....	87
Fig. 4.1 ATR-FTIR spectra of the pristine and modified TFC membranes.....	102
Fig. 4.2 SEM images revealing the surface morphology of the (a) pristine TFC membrane; and PVA hydrogel coated TFC membranes with different GO loadings: (b) 0, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.02, and (e) 0.04 wt%.....	103
Fig. 4.3 AFM images revealing the surface roughness of the (a) pristine TFC membrane; and PVA hydrogel coated TFC membranes with different GO loadings: (b) 0, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.02, and (e) 0.04 wt%. Error bars for membrane surface roughness represent one standard error obtained from at least four membrane samples for each condition.	105
Fig. 4.4 Water contact angle measurements, and (b) zeta potentials as a function of pH for the pristine and modified TFC membrane surfaces. Error bars for water contact angle measurements represent one standard error obtained from at least seven measurements for each membrane sample.....	107
Fig. 4.5 FO performance of the pristine and modified TFC membranes. Operating conditions: FS, DI water; DS, 1 M NaCl; cross-flow velocity, $12.6 \text{ cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$; membrane orientation, AL-FS. Error bars represent one standard error obtained from at least three membrane samples for each condition.	110
Fig. 4.6 Normalised flux of the pristine and modified TFC membranes during the FO (a) baseline test, (b) fouling test and (c) after hydraulic cleaning. Operating conditions: Initial baseline water flux ($J_{w,0}$), $\sim 22 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$; DS, 0.5 M to 1 M NaCl; flow rate (baseline and fouling test), $0.5 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$; flow rate (physical cleaning), $0.7 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$; membrane orientation, AL-FS; foulants, 1 mM calcium chloride and 200 ppm SA.	113
Fig. 4.7 Foulant resistance of pristine and modified TFC membranes during the FO fouling test.....	115
Fig. 4.8 Static bacterial adhesion on (a) pristine and modified TFC membranes with different GO loadings: (b) 0, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.02, and (e) 0.04 wt%.	116

Fig. 4.9 Relative bacterial coverage on the pristine and modified TFC membrane surfaces. The bacterial adhesion on each membrane surface was normalised with respect to the pristine TFC membrane. Error bars represent one standard error obtained from at least three membrane samples for each condition.	117
Fig. 5.1 AFM images of GO flakes deposited on mica sheets (top) and height profiles of GO flakes (bottom) along the red lines represented on AFM images at different sonication times (A) 0 h, (B) 0.5 h, (C) 4 h, and (D) 8 h.	135
Fig. 5.2 Average GO flake area at various durations of sonication. The size distributions were obtained from AFM images by measuring the area of nearly 200 GO flakes for each sample using the ImageJ software. Inset plot: Histograms depicting the GO flake size distributions at various durations of tip sonication.	136
Fig. 5.3 FTIR spectra of TFC and GO-modified TFN membranes.	138
Fig. 5.4 SEM images of PA surface: (A) TFC, (B) MGO-0, (C) MGO-0.5 (D) MGO-4, and (E) MGO-8 membranes. The GO loading was fixed at 0.01 wt% for all the TFN membranes. The red borders present the smooth patches that form when GO flakes impede the IP reaction.	139
Fig. 5.5 SEM images of membrane cross-section, (A) TFC, (B) MGO-0, (C) MGO-0.5 (D) MGO-4, and (E) MGO-8 membranes. (F) PA layer height of the TFC and GO-modified TFN membranes. The GO loading was fixed at 0.01 wt% for all the TFN membranes.	140
Fig. 5.6 AFM images representing PA surface topography of (A) TFC, (B) MGO-0, (C) MGO-0.5 (D) MGO-4, and (E) MGO-8 membranes. (F) Surface roughness parameters of the developed membranes obtained by examining three arbitrarily chosen membrane areas (5 $\mu\text{m} \times 5 \mu\text{m}$).	142
Fig. 5.7 (A) Initial water contact angles and (B) surface charge measurements as a function of pH for fabricated TFC and GO-modified TFN membranes.	143
Fig. 5.8 FO performance of the developed TFC and GO-modified TFN membranes in AL-FS/AL-DS arrangements: (A) water flux and (B) <i>SRSF</i>	147
Fig. 5.9 Normalized flux of the TFC, MGO-0 and MGO-8 membranes throughout the FO fouling test. The normalized flux was attained by dividing the water flux obtained after every 5 minute interval by the initial water flux ($\sim 25 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\cdot\text{bar}^{-1}$). NaCl (0.75 M to 2 M) and synthetic wastewater (200 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ of sodium alginate and colloidal silica) were used as DS and FS, respectively.	151

Fig. 5.10 Normalized flux of the TFC, MGO-0 and MGO-8 membranes before and after hydraulic cleaning (Hydraulic cleaning conditions: FS and DS, DI water; membrane orientation, AL-FS; cross-flow velocity, 12.6 cm·s ⁻¹ ; physical rinsing duration, 1 h; temperature, 22 °C).	152
Fig. 6.1 FTIR spectra of the pristine and modified TFC membranes.	168
Fig. 6.2: AFM images representing the PA surface roughness of the prepared TFC and TFN membranes. Error bars represent one standard error obtained from roughness measurements of at least three membrane samples for each condition.	169
Fig. 6.3 SEM images demonstrating both the surface morphology of the PA layer (top) and cross-section of the prepared membranes (bottom): (a) pristine TFC, (b) TFN0, (c) TFN25, (d) TFN50 and (e) TFN75.	170
Fig. 6.4: PA layer height of the prepared pristine TFC and modified TFN membranes. Error bars represent one standard error obtained from at least three measurements for each membrane sample.....	172
Fig. 6.5: (a) Water contact angle and (b) zeta potential measurements of the pristine TFC and modified TFN membrane surfaces. Error bars for water contact angle measurements represent one standard error obtained from at least seven measurements for each membrane sample.....	174
Fig. 6.6: FO performance of the pristine TFC and modified TFN membranes. Operating conditions: membrane orientation, AL-FS; DS, 0.5 M NaCl; FS, DI water; cross-flow velocity, 12.6 cm·s ⁻¹ . Error bars represent one standard error obtained from the performance test results of at least three membrane samples for each condition.	176
Fig. 7.1 Schematic and simulation model of the water-hexane interface system. a) WH-GQD0, b) WH-GQD1 and c) WH-GQD3; blue: nitrogen atom, grey: carbon atom, white: hydrogen atom, red: oxygen atom and yellow: GQD.	193
Fig. 7.2 Schematic and simulation model of the water-PA interface system. a) WP-GQD0, b) WP-GQD1, c) WP-GQD3 and d) WP-GQD1S; blue: nitrogen atom, grey: carbon atom, white: hydrogen atom, red: oxygen atom and yellow: GQD.	194
Fig. 7.3 SEM images of PA layer surface: (a) TFC, (b) TFN2, (c) TFN5 (d) TFN10, (e) TFN25 and (f) TFN50 OSHF membranes.	196
Fig. 7.4 AFM images signifying PA surface roughness of (a) TFC, (b) TFN10 and (c) TFN50 OSHF membranes. The R_q values of membrane surface roughness were acquired by inspecting four arbitrarily selected membrane areas.....	197
Fig. 7.5 FTIR spectra of the TFC and TFN membranes.....	198

Fig. 7.6 Water contact angles of the OSHF PA TFC and TFN FO membranes.....	199
Fig. 7.7 FO performance of OSHF TFN membranes incorporated with GQD under AL-FS orientation with DI water as FS and 1 M NaCl as DS.....	201
Fig. 7.8 Snapshots of water-hexane interface system at 0 ns: (a, d), WH-GQD0, (b, e), WH-GQD1, (c, f), WH-GQD3; and at 4 ns: (g, j), WH-GQD0, (h, k), WH-GQD1, (i, l), WH-GQD3. Blue: nitrogen atom, grey: carbon atom, white: hydrogen atom, red: oxygen atom and yellow: GQD. In (a) to (c) and (g) to (i), water and hexane molecules are omitted to demonstrate GQD behaviour.	204
Fig. 7.9 Diffusivity of water, hexane, MPD and TMC molecules.....	205
Fig. 7.10 (a) Effect of GQD on water molecular density distribution at the water-PA interface and (b) diffusivity of water molecules at the water-PA interface.	207

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of the fabrication conditions and FO performance of porous nanomaterial-incorporated PA TFN membranes.	23
Table 2.2 Summary of the fabrication conditions and FO performance of non-porous nanomaterial-incorporated PA TFN membranes.	24
Table 2.3 Summary of the fabrication conditions and FO performance of PA TFC membranes with nanomaterial-modified surfaces.	40
Table 2.4 Summary of the fabrication conditions and FO performance of PA TFC membranes with porous nanomaterial-incorporated substrates.	52
Table 2.5 Summary of the fabrication conditions and FO performance of PA TFC membranes with non-porous nanomaterial-incorporated substrates.....	53
Table 3.1 Spinning conditions of HF membrane substrates.....	82
Table 3.2 A summary of the membrane characterisations and instruments employed in this study.	84
Table 4.1 Surface modification conditions of PA TFC FO membranes.	97
Table 4.2 Intrinsic transport parameters of the membranes.	110
Table 5.1 Separation parameters of the fabricated membranes determined using the algorithm established by Tiraferri et al. (2013).	148
Table 5.2 FO performance summary of the various GO-modified PA TFN flat-sheet in AL-FS orientation.	153
Table 6.1 AQN and GO compositions of the pristine TFC and modified TFN membranes.	165
Table 6.2 Intrinsic transport parameters of the membranes.	177
Table 7.1 Chemical compositions of OSHF TFC/TFN membranes.	190
Table 7.2 Intrinsic transport parameters of the pristine TFC and selected GQD-modified TFN membranes.....	202
Table 8.1 FO performance summary in AL-FS orientation of the various GO-modified PA TFN flat-sheet membranes prepared in this study.	216

ABSTRACT

Polyamide (PA) thin-film composite (TFC) membranes have attained much attention for separation processes like water treatment, wastewater reclamation and desalination due to their superior intrinsic properties, such as high salt rejection and water permeability compared to the first generation of cellulose-based membranes. Nonetheless, several problems like fouling and trade-off between membrane selectivity and water permeability hinder the progress of conventional PA TFC forward osmosis (FO) membranes for real applications. To overcome these issues, nanomaterials have been integrated into the TFC membranes. Nanomaterial-modified membranes have demonstrated significant improvement in their anti-fouling properties and performance. Besides, PA TFC membranes can be designed for targeted applications like heavy metal removal and osmotic membrane bioreactor by using specific nanomaterials to modify their physicochemical properties (porosity, surface charge, hydrophilicity, membrane structure and mechanical strength). However, poor compatibility between nanomaterial and polymer matrix can result in poor membrane stability and selectivity. Hence, it is important to improve the stability of nanocomposite membranes to enable their successful application. Therefore, this doctoral study aims to modify the TFC membranes using graphene oxide (GO) derivatives for FO processes. Different TFC membrane and GO modification techniques have been employed and extensively characterized to understand and possible interaction between GO and TFC membranes to overcome and explain the existing challenges.

Firstly, the PA layer of commercial TFC FO membrane was coated with glutaraldehyde cross-linked polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) hydrogel solution comprising of GO at various loadings to enhance their fouling resistance. Experimental results showed that the

PVA/GO coating improved the smoothness and hydrophilicity of the membrane surface. PVA hydrogel with an optimal GO loading of 0.02 wt% showed a 55% reduction in specific reverse solute flux (*SRSF*), only a marginal reduction in the water flux, and the best anti-fouling property with a 58% higher flux recovery compared to the pristine TFC membrane. The significant improvement in the selectivity of the modified membranes meant that the hydrogel coating could be used to seal PA defects. The addition of GO flakes in PVA hydrogel coating also improved the biofouling resistance of the modified membranes, which can be attributed to the biocidal activity of GO and the superior surface properties and morphology of the modified membranes arising from hydrophilic PVA coating.

Following the surface modification of commercial TFC FO membranes with PVA/GO hydrogel, the effect of GO flake lateral size on the PA layer formation, TFC membrane properties and performance was investigated. GO suspensions with an average flake size ranging from 0.01 to 1.06 μm^2 were prepared by varying the sonication duration. It was observed that the large GO flakes obstructed the reaction between m-phenylenediamine (MPD) and trimesoyl chloride (TMC) monomers during the interfacial polymerization (IP) process by creating impervious regions that deteriorated membrane performance by forming defective PA layer formation. Whereas, smaller GO flakes were distributed more uniformly in the PA layer, creating fewer defects and demonstrating better desalination performance and anti-fouling property than the thin-film nanocomposite (TFN) membranes modified with larger GO flakes. The *SRSF* and water flux of the GO-modified TFN membranes improved by over 60% and 50%, respectively, when the average GO flake size was reduced from 1.06 to 0.01 μm^2 due to the formation of a thinner and more uniform PA layer. Our findings showed that a smaller GO flake size could be beneficial for minimizing PA layer defects.

After establishing that large GO flakes increase PA defects and deteriorate membrane selectivity, Aquaporin Z (AqpZ) reconstituting nanostructures (AQN) were embedded in the PA layer of GO TFN membranes to enhance their FO separation performance. The effect of increasing GO loading while retaining the AQN at an optimal loading of 0.2 wt% in the PA layer was investigated. Experimental results showed that GO flakes increased membrane water flux but decreased selectivity; whereas, AQN increased membrane selectivity by reducing the PA defects created by the GO flakes. As a consequence of favourable synergies between GO and AQN, the GO/AQN-incorporated TFN membranes demonstrated significantly higher *SRSF* while retaining the water permeability compared to the GO-incorporated TFN membranes. The TFN50 membrane with 0.2 wt% AQN and 0.005 wt% GO loading showed almost 3 folds increase in water flux ($24.1 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) in comparison to the TFC membrane ($8.2 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), while retaining membrane selectivity ($0.37 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$). However, the TFN50 membrane demonstrated a 27% lower *SRSF* and a marginal increase in water flux than the TFNGO50 membrane embedded with 0.005 wt% GO and no AQN. It was concluded that the synergistic effect of GO and aquaporin facilitated enhancement in both the membrane permeability and selectivity.

Finally, graphene oxide quantum dots (GQD) were used for their small size ($< 5 \text{ nm}$) to improve the FO performance of outer-selective hollow fiber (OSHF) TFC membranes fabricated using the vacuum-assisted interfacial polymerization (VAIP) technique. Both experimental and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation proved that the GQD loading could influence both the IP process and the water transport across the PA layer. The TFN5 membrane with an optimal GQD loading of $5 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ demonstrated a 64% lower *SRSF* ($0.12 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) and 68% higher water flux ($30.9 \text{ L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$) compared to the TFC membrane due to its improved hydrophilicity and creation of more water channels inside the PA

layer after addition of GQDs. MD simulation was also employed at water-hexane and water-PA interface to investigate the effect of GQD loading on the IP reaction and membrane separation performance. The MD simulation results showed that a very high loading of GQDs could result in their aggregation at the water-hexane interface during the IP reaction and form a defective PA layer. It was also found that uniform dispersion of GQDs inside the PA layer can increase the water diffusivity inside the membrane leading to high water permeability, but too high GQD density can reduce the membrane water permeability by GQDs acting as barriers to water transport.

Overall, this study considered various strategies to improve the performance of GO-incorporated PA TFC and TFN membrane by investigating the factors that govern water transport across the membranes. The findings of this study could deliver strategies for future improvements in GO-based PA TFC membranes.