



# **Assessment of Residual Load Capacity of ASR Affected Reinforced Concrete Structures**

**by Jinsong Cao**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

under the supervision of

Principal Supervisor: Dr Nadarajah Gowripalan  
Co-Supervisor: A/Prof. Shami Nejadi  
Co-Supervisor: Prof. Vute Sirivivatnanon

University of Technology Sydney  
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

April 2021

# Certificate of Original Authorship

I, *Jinsong Cao*, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 12/04/2021

# Acknowledgements

This PhD journey is like running a Marathon, it will not be possible without the support, training and patience provided by my supervisors, Dr Nadarajah Gowripalan, Dr. Shami Nejadi and Prof. Vute Sirivivatnanon. I feel so lucky to have all of you as my supervisors and I am grateful to you for providing me this precious opportunity to enrich the experience of my life, to broaden my horizon about my research, and more importantly, to see the big picture and overcome challenges during this journey. I am deeply grateful for your generosity, your invaluable guidance and continuous support.

I would also like to sincerely thank all staffs at UTS Tech Lab, especially Mr. Rami Haddad, Mr. Mulugheta Hailu, Ms Ann Yan and Mr. Peter Brown, Mr. Peter Winnacott, Mr. Scott Graham, the technical assistance, the facilities, equipment, and the team work you provided were really helpful for my experimental work.

Particularly, I would like to thank Dr Marie Joshua Tapas for generously providing support in performing SEM analysis and for confirming ASR products. Likewise, I would like to thank Ms Vu Tran Huyen and Mr. Thuc Nhu Nguyen for generously helping cast the three-meter long beams. I will never forget the scene when we were working together.

I am also thankful to all the friends I met. You are the ones that make my PhD journey full of laughter, happiness and beauty. I am the lucky one to see the spring, the summer, the autumn and the winter with you.

I am extremely grateful to my mother, for her love and encouragement.

I would like to thank the Australian Research Council Research Hub for Nanoscience Based Construction Materials Manufacturing (ARC NanoComm Hub) and Cement, Concrete & Aggregates Australia (CCAA) for providing financial support for the project.

# List of Publications

- Cao, J., Gowripalan, N., Sirivivatnanon, V. & South, W. 2020, ‘Accelerated test for assessing the potential risk of alkali-silica reaction in concrete using an autoclave’, Construction and Building Materials, vol. 271, p. 121871.
- Gowripalan, N., Cao, J., Sirivivatnanon, V. & South, W., 2021, ‘Comparison of the effect of ASR deterioration on the load carrying capacity of concrete structural elements in accelerated laboratory tests and in the field’, Accepted for 16th International Conference on Alkali Aggregate Reaction in Concrete, June 2021, Lisbon, Portugal.
- Cao, J., Gowripalan, N., Sirivivatnanon, V. & South, W., 2019, ‘Accelerated autoclave test for determining alkali silica reaction of concrete’, Concrete in Australia, Vol. 45, No. 2, pp.37-40.
- Cao, J., Gowripalan, N., Sirivivatnanon, V. and South, W., 2019, ‘Assessment of ASR expansions using an ultra-accelerated test’, In 29th Biennial Conference of the Concrete Institute of Australia. Accepted for oral presentation in Concrete 2019 “Concrete in Practice-Progress Through Knowledge”, September 8-11, 2019, Sydney, Australia.
- Gowripalan, N. and Cao, J., 2018, ‘Effect of alkali silica reaction on bond strength and load capacity of reinforced concrete structures’, Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> International fib Congress, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 3088-3099.

# Table of Contents

<b>Certificate of Original Authorship .....</b>	i
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	ii
<b>List of Publications .....</b>	iii
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	x
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	xvii
<b>Abstract .....</b>	xviii
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>Introduction .....</b>	1
1.1    Background .....	1
1.2    Research Scope of the Whole Project.....	3
1.3    Research Objectives of the Current Project .....	3
1.4    Research Contributions .....	4
1.5    Structure of the Thesis .....	4
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>Literature Review .....</b>	6
2.1    ASR Mechanism.....	6
2.2    Conditions for Deleterious ASR.....	9
2.3    ASR-Induced Concrete Expansion and Cracking .....	12
2.3.1    ASR Expansion .....	13
2.3.2    Cracking .....	19
2.4    Degradation of Mechanical Properties of ASR-Affected Concrete .....	22
2.5    Structural Effects of ASR.....	27
2.5.1    Bond Strength.....	27
2.5.2    Flexural Capacity.....	36
2.5.3    Shear Capacity.....	49

2.5.4	Long-term Behaviour.....	68
2.6	Secondary Effects of ASR - Corrosion of Reinforcement .....	71
2.7	Accelerated Test Methods for ASR.....	72
2.7.1	80 °C Accelerated Mortar Bar Test (AMBT) .....	72
2.7.2	38 °C Concrete Prism Test (CPT) .....	74
2.7.3	60 °C Accelerated Concrete Prism Test (ACPT) .....	75
2.7.4	Ultra-accelerated Autoclave Test Methods for ASR .....	77
2.8	Numerical modelling of ASR.....	87

### **Chapter 3**

<b>Accelerated Autoclave Test for Assessing Alkali-Silica Reaction of Concrete .....</b>	<b>90</b>	
3.1	Overview .....	90
3.2	130 °C Autoclave Test Program.....	92
3.2.1	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	92
3.2.2	Specimen Fabrication and Autoclave Test Procedure .....	94
3.2.3	Expansion of Concrete Prisms .....	95
3.2.4	Testing of Mechanical Properties .....	98
3.3	Results and Discussion .....	101
3.3.1	Expansion and cracking of specimens .....	101
3.3.2	Compressive Strength Testing Results .....	103
3.3.3	Flexural Strength Testing Results .....	105
3.3.4	Modulus of Elasticity Test on 90-day Non-reactive Cylinders.....	106
3.3.5	Compressive Strength Test on 90-day Non-reactive Cylinders .....	107
3.3.6	SEM Observation of 90-day Non-reactive Cylinders after Autoclaving	108
3.4	Summary .....	110

### **Chapter 4**

<b>Novel Accelerated Test Method for ASR by Using an Autoclave .....</b>	<b>111</b>	
4.1	Overview .....	111

4.2	Phase I Experimental Program – Mortar Specimens.....	113
4.2.1	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	113
4.2.2	Specimen Fabrication and Steam Warming Procedure .....	115
4.2.3	Expansion Measurements .....	117
4.3	Results and Discussion for Phase I Experiments .....	118
4.3.1	Expansion of Mortar Bars .....	118
4.3.2	Influence of Total Alkali Content (2.5%, 3.0%, 3.5%) .....	119
4.3.3	Influence of Temperature on Expansion (70 °C and 80 °C) .....	120
4.3.4	Microscopic Observation for Assessment of ASR.....	121
4.3.5	Summary of Phase I Experimental Program.....	125
4.4	Phase II Experimental Program – Concrete Specimens .....	126
4.4.1	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	126
4.4.2	Specimen Fabrication and Steam Warming Procedure .....	128
4.4.3	Expansion and Mass Change.....	131
4.4.4	Cracking of Specimens .....	134
4.4.5	Microscopic Observation .....	135
4.4.6	Mechanical Properties of Concrete under Accelerated ASR Test.....	138
4.5	Comparison of Expansion Results.....	141
4.5.1	Comparison of AMBT and 80 °C Autoclave Test Results .....	141
4.5.2	Comparison of CPT and 80 °C Autoclave Test Results .....	142
4.5.3	Summary .....	144
4.6	Concluding Remarks.....	144

## **Chapter 5**

<b>Flexural and Shear Behaviour of Small-scale Reinforced Concrete Beams Affected by ASR.....</b>	146	
5.1	Overview .....	146
5.2	Experimental Program .....	149

5.2.1	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	149
5.2.2	Specimen Fabrication .....	150
5.2.3	ASR Acceleration .....	153
5.2.4	Expansion and Mass Change Measurements .....	154
5.2.5	Testing of Mechanical Properties .....	155
5.2.6	Load Capacity Test under Four-Point Loading.....	157
5.3	Results and Discussion .....	160
5.3.1	Cracking of Specimens .....	160
5.3.2	Length Change of Concrete Prisms .....	162
5.3.3	Modulus of Elasticity.....	163
5.3.4	Compressive Strength.....	164
5.3.5	Splitting Tensile Strength.....	165
5.3.6	Load Capacity of Reinforced Concrete Beams .....	166
5.5	Summary .....	181

## **Chapter 6**

<b>Bond Behaviour Between Reinforcing Steel Bar and ASR Affected Concrete.....</b>	<b>183</b>	
6.1	Overview.....	183
6.1.1	Effect of ASR on Bond Characteristics .....	183
6.1.2	Pull-out Test.....	187
6.1.3	Bond-slip Model (CEB-FIP Model Code 1990) .....	190
6.2	Experimental Program .....	192
6.2.1	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	192
6.2.2	Specimen Fabrication .....	193
6.2.3	ASR Acceleration .....	197
6.2.4	Testing of Mechanical Properties .....	198
6.2.5	Pull-out Test.....	198
6.2.6	SEM Examination for Steel-Concrete Interface.....	200

6.3	Results and Discussion .....	200
6.3.1	Mechanical properties.....	200
6.3.2	Bond Strength.....	201
6.3.3	Bond Stress - Slip Relationship.....	202
6.3.4	Microstructural Investigation .....	207
6.4	Summary .....	208
<b>Chapter 7</b>		
<b>Fabrication and Monitoring of Large-scale ASR-affected Beams .....</b>		209
7.1	Overview.....	209
7.2	Specimen Fabrication and Conditioning.....	210
7.2.1	Specimen Design and Reinforcement Detailing .....	210
7.2.2	Materials and Mix Proportions.....	211
7.2.3	Concrete Mixing and Placement .....	213
7.2.4	Curing and Demolding of Beams .....	215
7.2.5	38 °C Climate Chamber Conditioning.....	218
7.3	Experimental Program .....	220
7.3.1	Expansion Measurements .....	220
7.3.2	ASR Acceleration .....	224
7.3.3	Testing of Mechanical Properties for Companion Cylinders.....	228
7.4	Results and Discussion .....	229
7.4.1	Match-cured Specimens .....	229
7.4.2	Visual Observations.....	234
7.4.3	Convex Curvature of Reinforced Concrete Beam Caused by ASR .....	248
7.4.4	Expansions .....	250
7.5	Summary .....	254
<b>Chapter 8</b>		
<b>Conclusions and Recommendations .....</b>		257

8.1	Overview .....	257
8.2	Conclusions .....	258
8.3	Recommendations for Future Work .....	260
<b>References</b>	.....	262
<b>Appendices</b>	.....	280
	Appendix A. Morphology of ASR Products.....	280
	Appendix B. Mechanical Property Test Results (2.5% Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>eq</sub> boosting) .....	291

## List of Figures

Figure 2. 1 Alkali-silica reaction process (adapted from Giannini (2012)) .....	6
Figure 2. 2 ASR expansion (Larive 1998) .....	16
Figure 2. 3 ASR induced expansion (Karthik, Mander & Hurlebaus 2016a) .....	18
Figure 2. 4 Macrocracking and microcracking due to ASR (Courtier 1990).....	19
Figure 2. 5 Cracking pattern of ASR affected reinforced concrete beam.....	21
Figure 2. 6 Experiment results of mechanical property degradation from literature.....	24
Figure 2. 7 Schematic diagram of stresses between ribs of a deformed reinforcement (Park & Paulay 1975).....	29
Figure 2. 8 Bond failure mechanism between ribs of deformed bar .....	30
Figure 2. 9 Schematic tensile stress ring in concrete (Tepfers 1979) .....	30
Figure 2. 10 Bond behaviour at different ASR damage levels.....	35
Figure 2. 11 Flexural load capacity of reactive and non-reactive beams tested by.....	38
Figure 2. 12 Flexural load capacity of reactive and non-reactive beams tested by .....	42
Figure 2. 13 Flexural load capacity of reactive and non-reactive beams tested by Monette, Gardner & Grattan-Bellew (2002).....	45
Figure 2. 14 Moment capacity of reactive and non-reactive beams tested by .....	47
Figure 2. 15 Flexural capacity change with the expansion .....	48
Figure 2. 16 Reinforcement detail and shear span of tested beams .....	52
Figure 2. 17 Shear strength ratio (ASR damaged beam / control beam) .....	53
Figure 2. 18 Punching shear versus expansion of slabs (Clark & Ng, 1989).....	56
Figure 2. 19 Shear strength ratio (ASR damaged beam / control beam) .....	58
Figure 2. 20 Size of the specimen and schematic test set-up .....	63
Figure 2. 21 Fluorescent impregnated beam observed under UV light .....	63
Figure 2. 22 Shear test results vs. Calculated shear capacity .....	64
Figure 2. 23 Shear resistance change with restrained and free expansion .....	66
Figure 2. 24 Shear capacity of ASR affected concrete structures .....	68
Figure 2. 25 FE model of an ASR affected gravity dam.....	69
Figure 2. 26 Long-term deterioration of ASR affected dam .....	70
Figure 2. 27 Relation between expansion and total alkali content, different types of cement .....	79
Figure 2. 28 Effect of the water-to-cement ratio on the expansion of mortar bars .....	80
Figure 2. 29 Impact of autoclaving temperature on mortar bar expansions.....	81

Figure 2. 30 Impact of autoclaving duration on mortar bar expansions .....	82
Figure 2. 31 Laval/CANMET autoclave mortar bar test procedure .....	83
Figure 2. 32 Expansions of different mixtures under various alkali contents and autoclaving durations at 133 °C (Giannini & Folliard 2013).....	85
Figure 2. 33 Rheological model by Ulm et al. 2000.....	87
 Figure 3. 1 Cracking of bridge beams due to ASR.....	90
Figure 3. 2 ASR affected bridge beams from a demolished bridge deck .....	91
Figure 3. 3 Test specimens in an autoclave.....	94
Figure 3. 4 Temperature-time and pressure-time relationships for one cycle of autoclaving .....	95
Figure 3. 5 Expansion of reactive aggregate B prisms with 3% Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>eq</sub> boosting after autoclaving at ages of 3, 7, and 28 days.....	96
Figure 3. 6 Expansion of reactive aggregate B prisms with 5% Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>eq</sub> boosting after autoclaving at ages of 3, 7, and 28 days.....	97
Figure 3. 7 Non-reactive concrete cylinders (90-day) in Zirbus LVSA 50/70 autoclave .....	101
Figure 3. 8 Average expansion (of 3 specimens made with aggregate B) at the ages of 3, 7 and 28 days .....	102
Figure 3. 9 Crack patterns of reactive aggregate B prisms with 3% Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>eq</sub> boosting after 3 cycles of autoclaving at ages of 3, 7, and 28 days .....	103
Figure 3. 10 Compressive strength of 28-day value and.....	104
Figure 3. 11 Flexural strength of 28-day value and.....	105
Figure 3. 12 Residual modulus of elasticity compared to the 90-day value .....	107
Figure 3. 13 Compressive strength of non-reactive concrete cylinders tested at the age of 90 days and after 1, 2, 3 cycles of 130 °C autoclaving .....	107
Figure 3. 14 Microscopic observation on samples of autoclaved specimens by SEM .	109
 Figure 4. 1 Grading curve of crushed aggregates .....	114
Figure 4. 2 Temperature and pressure in the autoclave chamber adopted for the multi-cycle accelerated test.....	117
Figure 4. 3 Expansion of specimens after steam warming in an autoclave .....	118
Figure 4. 4 Expansion – Alkali content relationship (80 °C autoclave steam warming) .....	119

Figure 4. 5 SEM-EDS map of ASR gel within an aggregate.....	122
Figure 4. 6 SEM-EDS map of ASR gel lining pore with sponge-like morphology .....	123
Figure 4. 7 SEM-EDS map of ASR gel at aggregate-cement paste interface .....	124
Figure 4. 8 Cumulated PSD of fine aggregates (Sydney sand) .....	126
Figure 4. 9 Cumulated PSD of coarse aggregates (dacite aggregate).....	127
Figure 4. 10 70L Pan mixer.....	129
Figure 4. 11 Zirbus LSVA 50/70 autoclave used for the test.....	130
Figure 4. 12 Specimens as placed in the autoclave .....	130
Figure 4. 13 Time-temperature cycles adopted in the autoclave chamber.....	131
Figure 4. 14 Expansion of concrete prisms after 3 cycles in the autoclave .....	132
Figure 4. 15 Mass change of concrete prisms after 3 cycles in the autoclave.....	133
Figure 4. 16 External cracks on cylinder after 3 cycles in the autoclave.....	134
Figure 4. 17 External map cracking on prism after 3 cycles in the autoclave.....	134
Figure 4. 18 Internal cracks and ASR gel in aggregate from concrete prism after 3 cycles in the autoclave observed using laser confocal scanning microscope .....	135
Figure 4. 19 SEM-EDS map of ASR gel formed in an aggregate.....	136
Figure 4. 20 SEM images showing ASR products with rosette-like morphology .....	137
Figure 4. 21 SEM images showing ASR products with network and plate-like morphology .....	137
Figure 4. 22 SEM images showing ASR products with crystalline morphology.....	138
Figure 4. 23 Influence of alkali loading on compressive strength of concrete cylinders tested at age of 3, 7 and 28 days .....	139
Figure 4. 24 Change in compressive strength and modulus of elasticity of concrete cylinders with 2.5% alkali boosting after 3 cycles in the autoclave .....	140
Figure 4. 25 Comparison of expansion results between 80°C autoclave treatment with alkali boosting and AMBT for dacite aggregate mortar bar .....	142
Figure 4. 26 Comparison of expansion results between 80°C autoclave treatment with 2.5% alkali boosting and CPT for dacite aggregate prism.....	143
Figure 5. 1 Detail of reinforcement of small-scale reinforced concrete beam .....	151
Figure 5. 2 Reinforcing steel bars in steel moulds.....	152
Figure 5. 3 Specimens cast for flexural and shear test.....	152
Figure 5. 4 Specimens as placed in the Zirbus LVSA 50/70 autoclave.....	154
Figure 5. 5 Length measurement using a comparator.....	155

Figure 5. 6 Modulus of elasticity test on 100 mm diameter 200 mm height cylinder..	156
Figure 5. 7 Splitting tensile strength test.....	157
Figure 5. 8 Schematic test set up for load capacity test (adapted from ASTM C78) ...	158
Figure 5. 9 2D-DIC imaging systems .....	158
Figure 5. 10 Testing of reinforced concrete beams under four-point loading with 2D-DIC system.....	160
Figure 5. 11 Crack pattern of cylinders after 3 cycles of autoclaving .....	161
Figure 5. 12 External cracks on reinforced beam after 3 cycles in the autoclave .....	161
Figure 5. 13 White exudation on surface of small-scale reinforced concrete beam after 2 <sup>nd</sup> cycle of autoclaving .....	162
Figure 5. 14 Length change of concrete prisms after 3 cycles in the autoclave.....	163
Figure 5. 15 Change in modulus of elasticity of concrete cylinders .....	164
Figure 5. 16 Change in compressive strength of cylinders .....	165
Figure 5. 17 Change in splitting tensile strength .....	166
Figure 5. 18 Load capacity test results of small-scale reinforced concrete beam with two N5 deformed bars .....	167
Figure 5. 19 Beam D7-1: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	169
Figure 5. 20 Beam D7-2: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	170
Figure 5. 21 Beam D7-3: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	171
Figure 5. 22 Beam D7-4: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	172
Figure 5. 23 Beam D7-5: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	173
Figure 5. 24 Load capacity test results of small-scale reinforced concrete beam with two N8 deformed bars .....	174
Figure 5. 25 Beam D4-1: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	175
Figure 5. 26 Beam D4-2: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve.....	176
Figure 5. 27 Beam D4-3 after 1 cycle of autoclaving: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve .....	177
Figure 5. 28 Beam D4-4 after 2 cycles of autoclaving: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve .....	178
Figure 5. 29 Beam D4-5 after 3 cycles of autoclaving: (a) Failure mode; (b) Load-displacement curve .....	179
Figure 6. 1 Change in bond strength with expansion (Haddad & Nymayr 2007).....	185
Figure 6. 2 Change in bond strength with restrained expansion (Li et al. 2020) .....	186

Figure 6. 3 ASR gel formation at steel-concrete interface and its effect on bond (Gardoni et al. 2013).....	187
Figure 6. 4 Schematic pull-out test diagram (Castel & Foster 2015) .....	188
Figure 6. 5 Influence of embedment length on bond stress distribution.....	190
Figure 6. 6 Bond stress – slip model (CEB-FIP Model Code 1990) .....	190
Figure 6. 7 Rib pattern of 8 mm diameter deformed bar .....	193
Figure 6. 8 Pull-out test specimen design (50 mm bond length specimen) .....	194
Figure 6. 9 Reinforcing steel bars with 80 mm long tubes .....	195
Figure 6. 10 Rebars sitting in steel moulds ready for concrete casting .....	195
Figure 6. 11 Pull-out Specimens and cylinders + prisms.....	196
Figure 6. 12 Stress-strain curve of reinforcing steel bar .....	198
Figure 6. 13 Schematic diagram of pull-out test set up .....	199
Figure 6. 14 Instrumentation and test set-up for the pull-out tests.....	200
Figure 6. 15 Bond stress – slip relationship .....	203
Figure 6. 16 Bond stress – slip relationship and failure mode (specimens with 50 mm bond length, tested after 1 <sup>st</sup> cycle of autoclaving at 80 °C) .....	204
Figure 6. 17 Bond stress – slip relationship and failure mode (specimens with 50 mm bond length, tested after 2 <sup>nd</sup> cycle of autoclaving at 80 °C).....	205
Figure 6. 18 Bond stress – slip relationship and failure mode (specimens with 50 mm bond length, tested after 3 <sup>rd</sup> cycle of autoclaving at 80 °C).....	206
Figure 6. 19 SEM image of ASR gel with cracked platy-crystal morphology .....	207
 Figure 7. 1 Reinforcement details of full-scale 3 m long reinforced concrete beam....	211
Figure 7. 2 Concrete mixing using a 70L pan mixer .....	213
Figure 7. 3 Slump test .....	214
Figure 7. 4 Concrete placement for plain concrete beam.....	214
Figure 7. 5 Concrete placement for reinforced concrete beam .....	215
Figure 7. 6 Moist curing of beams and companion specimens in the laboratory at a temperature of 23 ± 2 °C .....	216
Figure 7. 7 Demolding of R1 beam – reactive plain concrete beam .....	217
Figure 7. 8 Moving reinforced concrete beam into 38 °C climate chamber .....	219
Figure 7. 9 DEMEC points arrangement (R1 beam – reactive plain concrete beam) ..	221
Figure 7. 10 DEMEC points arrangement (R2 beam – reactive reinforced concrete beam) .....	222

Figure 7. 11 DEMEC points arrangement (R3 beam – reactive reinforced concrete beam) .....	222
Figure 7. 12 DEMEC points arrangement (R4 beam – reactive reinforced concrete beam) .....	223
Figure 7. 13 DEMEC points arrangement (NR1 beam, non-reactive reinforced concrete beam, flipped over) .....	223
Figure 7. 14 DEMEC points adhered on surface of cylinder and length measurement .....	224
Figure 7. 15 Water supplying conditions of plain concrete beam and reinforced concrete beams tested by Multon & Toutlemonde (2010) .....	225
Figure 7. 16 Schematic diagram of supplying water to the beams .....	226
Figure 7. 17 Water supply of R1 beam – plain concrete beam, simply supported.....	227
Figure 7. 18 Water supply of R3 beam – reinforced concrete beam .....	227
Figure 7. 19 Change in modulus of elasticity of cylinders with 1.25% alkali loading ..	230
Figure 7. 20 Change in compressive strength of cylinders with 1.25% alkali loading ..	232
Figure 7. 21 External cracks on cylinders after 1 year of immersing in water .....	234
Figure 7. 22 External cracks on cylinders at the age of 500 days, immersed in water and stored in 38 °C climate chamber, without autoclaving specimens .....	235
Figure 7. 23 External cracks on cylinders after 1 year of immersing in water and stored in 38 °C climate chamber (with 130 °C autoclaving for 5 hours at the age of 180-day) .....	236
Figure 7. 24 Comparison of cylinders at the age of more than 5 years cured in: (a) fog-room with 100%RH at 23 °C and (b) field exposure conditions (Karthik, Mander & Hurlebaus 2016b).....	237
Figure 7. 25 External cracks on prism (after 500 days of immersing in water .....	238
Figure 7. 26 R1 beam surface (photo taken on 03/05/2019 after the beam was moved into the 38 °C climate chamber).....	239
Figure 7. 27 Cracking on R1 beam surface .....	240
Figure 7. 28 Cracking on R1 beam surface .....	240
Figure 7. 29 Map cracking on R1 beam surface .....	241
Figure 7. 30 Cracking on R1 beam surface .....	241
Figure 7. 31 Map cracking on R1 beam surface .....	242
Figure 7. 32 Cracking on R1 beam surface .....	242
Figure 7. 33 Longitudinal cracking on R2 beam surface .....	244

Figure 7. 34 Longitudinal cracking on R2 beam surface .....	245
Figure 7. 35 Longitudinal cracking on R3 beam surface .....	246
Figure 7. 36 Longitudinal cracking on R3 beam surface .....	247
Figure 7. 37 (a) Schematic set-up of laser displacement sensor; (b) Deployment of laser sensor for R2 beam to measure the displacement of the beam .....	249
Figure 7. 38 Upward displacement of R2 beam .....	250
Figure 7. 39 Average free expansion of prisms and cylinders stored in 38 °C climate chamber with the 3 m long beams .....	251
Figure 7. 40 Average longitudinal and lateral expansion of R1 plain concrete beam stored in 38 °C climate chamber.....	252
Figure 7. 41 Average longitudinal and lateral expansion of R2 reinforced concrete beam stored in 38 °C climate chamber.....	252
Figure 7. 42 Average longitudinal and lateral expansion of R3 reinforced concrete beam stored in 38 °C climate chamber.....	253
Figure A. 1 SEM images showing ASR products with rosette-type morphology.....	280
Figure A. 2 SEM images showing ASR products with rosette-type morphology.....	281
Figure A. 3 SEM images showing ASR products with crystalline morphology.....	282
Figure A. 4 SEM images showing ASR products with crystalline morphology.....	283
Figure A. 5 SEM images showing ASR products with rosette-type morphology.....	284
Figure A. 6 SEM images showing ASR products with rosette-type morphology.....	285
Figure A. 7 SEM images showing ASR products with crystalline morphology.....	286
Figure A. 8 SEM images showing ASR products with network and plate-like morphology (sample from 80 °C accelerated autoclave test) .....	287
Figure A. 9 SEM images showing ASR products with plate-like morphology .....	288
Figure A. 10 SEM images showing ASR products with plate-like morphology .....	289
Figure A. 11 SEM images showing ASR products with crystalline and rosette-type morphology (observed from 38 °C CPT test sample).....	290
Figure B. 1 MOE test results (28-day).....	292
Figure B. 2 MOE test results (after 1 cycle of 80 °C autoclaving).....	292
Figure B. 3 MOE test results (after 2 cycles of 80 °C autoclaving) .....	293
Figure B. 4 MOE test results (after 3 cycles of 80 °C autoclaving) .....	293

## List of Tables

Table 2. 1 Some potentially reactive aggregate types (Blight & Alexander 2011).....	11
Table 2. 2 Retained mechanical property in percentage of 28-day values of unaffected concrete (ISE 1992) .....	23
Table 2. 3 Shear capacity of slabs tested by Bilodeau et al. (2016) .....	61
Table 2. 4 Summary of ultra-accelerated autoclave tests.....	78
Table 3. 1 Oxide composition of Portland cement used in the mixes .....	93
Table 3. 2 Concrete mix proportions .....	93
Table 3. 3 Comparison of mechanical properties between low-alkali.....	99
Table 3. 4 Modulus of elasticity of 90-day non-reactive cylinders .....	106
Table 4. 1 Chemical composition of general-purpose Portland cement (wt.%).....	114
Table 4. 2 Chemical composition of the aggregates (wt. %) .....	115
Table 4. 3 Mix proportions per cubic metre of concrete.....	127
Table 4. 4 Aggregate reactivity classification (ASTM C1778-20) .....	141
Table 5. 1 Mix proportions per cubic metre of concrete.....	150
Table 5. 2 Load capacity test results of N5 rebar beams .....	167
Table 5. 3 Load capacity test results of N8 rebar beams .....	174
Table 6. 1 ASR acceleration for pull-out test specimens .....	197
Table 6. 2 Average compressive strength, modulus of elasticity and splitting tensile strength at 28-day and after 1, 2 and 3 cycles of autoclaving .....	201
Table 6. 3 Pull-out test results .....	202
Table 7. 1 Full-scale 3 m long beams .....	210
Table 7. 2 Concrete mix proportion for 3 m long full-scale beams.....	212
Table 7. 3 Companion cylinders and prisms of 3 m long full-scale beams .....	228
Table 7. 4 Modulus of elasticity of cylinders with 1.25% alkali loading .....	230
Table 7. 5 Compressive strength of cylinders with 1.25% alkali loading .....	233
Table B. 1 Modulus of elasticity test results .....	291
Table B. 2 Compressive strength test results .....	294
Table B. 3 Splitting tensile strength test results .....	295

## **Abstract**

Alkali-silica reaction (ASR) in concrete is one of the most harmful long-term durability problems for concrete structures. Concerns about the potential risks of ASR to the affected structures led to considerable research in the past several decades. Conventionally, field load testing on actual structures, or large scale in-situ testing are employed to assess the residual load capacity of the ASR affected structures. Such methods, however, are labour intensive, time consuming and may cause unpredictable further damage to the structure. This study aims to investigate the residual load capacity and bond deterioration of ASR affected reinforced concrete structures through laboratory accelerated tests. Based on previous research on accelerated autoclave test for ASR, a novel multi-cycle accelerated test by adopting 80 °C steam warming, with 60-hour cycles and appropriate alkali loading is investigated. Results revealed that, for concrete with highly reactive dacite aggregate and 2.5% alkali boosting, an expansion of 0.18% was achieved after 3 cycles. The compressive strength showed an initial increase at low expansion levels followed by a reduction at higher expansion levels. Modulus of elasticity, however, systematically decreased with increasing expansion. Furthermore, the multi-cycle accelerated test was applied to study the flexural and shear behaviour of small-scale reinforced concrete beams. Results suggest that chemical prestressing induced by ASR expansion has beneficial effects on strength and stiffness of the affected structure at early stages. Effect of high ASR expansion levels on the long-term structural behaviour, however, needs further investigation.