

**Fanning the Flame: Investigating
Guided Inquiry-Based Learning in
the Secondary Science Classroom**
by Christopher Sandoval

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Master of Education (Research)

under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr Matthew
Kearney and Dr Tracey-Ann Palmer

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

March 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Christopher Sandoval declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Education, Research, in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 20/03/2021

Acknowledgment

Thank you to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr Matthew Kearney for his clear guidance and thoughtfulness, this thesis would not have been completed without his support. To Professor Peter Aubusson for starting this journey with me, his deep insights and wise words. My thanks to Associate Professor Wan Ng for working with me on the transition from ideas to actions. Thank you to Dr Tracey-Ann Palmer for helping this thesis get through its final stages. A big thank you to Associate Professor Dr Nick Hopwood for his perpetual grace and infectious positivity. As well as a thank you to the word wizard George Harb for helping my thoughts become more coherent.

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Fanning the Flame.....	1
1.2 The Innovation Nation	2
1.3 International Science Enrolment Trends.....	3
1.4 Australian Science Enrolment Trends.....	5
1.5 Increasing Student Participation and Engagement in Science	6
1.6 Introduction to this Study.....	9
1.7 Structure of this Thesis	11
1.8 Summary	11
Chapter 2 Literature Review	12
2.1 Introduction.....	12
2.2 Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) in Science Education.....	12
2.3 IBL Models in Science Education	14
2.4 The Effect of IBL Implementation in Science Education	19
2.5 Factors Influencing the Implementation of IBL in Science Education.....	21
2.6 Factors Influencing the Implementation of Guided IBL.....	34
2.7 Frequency of Guided IBL Use	34
2.8 Gaps in Literature	35
2.9 Summary	39
Chapter 3 Methodology	40
3.1 Introduction.....	40
3.2 Study Design.....	40
3.3 Design of Survey Instrument	41
3.4 Study Participants	45
3.4.1 Sampling Procedure	45
3.4.2 Participant Demographics	47
3.5 Procedure	49
3.6 Analysis of Data.....	50
3.7 Summary	52
Chapter 4 Findings.....	53

4.1 Introduction.....	53
4.2 Frequency of Use of Guided IBL.....	55
4.3 Teacher Developmental Factors.....	56
4.3.1 Participant Perceptions in Regard to Teacher Developmental Factors	56
4.3.2 Professional Development in Guided IBL	60
4.3.3 Definition, Models and Examples of Guided IBL	61
4.3.4 Teachers' Past Experiences in Using Guided IBL	63
4.3.5 Preservice Training in Guided IBL and Industry or Science Research Experience....	66
4.4 Teacher Attribute Factors.....	69
4.4.1 Participant perceptions in regard to teacher attribute factors	70
4.4.2 Teachers' Personal Beliefs Toward IBL	72
4.4.3 Teachers' Knowledge of IBL.....	75
4.4.4 Teacher Confidence in Using Guided IBL.....	77
4.5 Student Factors.....	78
4.5.1 Participant Perceptions in Regard to Student Factors	79
4.5.2 Student and Parent Expectations.....	81
4.5.3 Student Behaviour and Motivation	83
4.5.4 Student Prior Knowledge and Skills	85
4.6 Other Educational Factors.....	87
4.6.1 Participant Perceptions in Regard to Other Educational Factors	87
4.6.2 Textbooks and Teaching Resources.....	91
4.6.3 Laboratory Resources and Equipment	92
4.6.4 Classroom and Instructional Time	94
4.6.5 NESAsyllabi and Requirements	97
4.6.6 External State Wide Assessments	99
4.6.7 Programming and Planning Time	102
4.6.8 Internal School Assessments.....	104
4.6.9 Whole School Programs and Curriculum	106
4.6.10 Science Teaching Colleagues, Supervisors and Senior Executive.....	108
4.7 What are the Most Important Factors for Guided IBL Implementation?.....	110
4.8 Summary	116
Chapter 5 Discussion and Conclusion	119
5.1 Introduction.....	119
5.2 Summary of Research Findings.....	119
5.2.1 Enablers of Guided IBL Implementation.....	119

5.2.2 Barriers to Guided IBL Implementation	120
5.3 Factors that Fan the Flame	121
5.4 Significance.....	124
5.5 Implications of the study.....	131
5.6 Limitations of the Study.....	135
5.7 Further Research	136
5.8 Conclusion	138
References.....	141
Appendix: Survey used in this study.....	153

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Guided Scientific Inquiry Continuum (Furtak, 2006, p.455).....	15
Figure 2.2 Summary of Factors from the Literature that Affect Implementation of all Types of IBL Implementation in Secondary School Science.	33
Figure 2.3 Summary of Study	38
Figure 3.1 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 1 “How many years have you been teaching science?” (n=39).....	47
Figure 3.2 Distribution of Responses to Item 2 “What type and level of science have you taught in the last 5 years?” (n=39)	48
Figure 3.3 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 3 “What position do you currently hold in your school?” (n=39)	49
Figure 4.1 Reproduction of Figure 2.2, Summary of Factors That Impact IBL Implementation in Secondary School Science	54
Figure 4.2 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 4 “On average how often do you use this type of guided IBL, intentionally or unintentionally, with each of your classes?”	55
Figure 4.3 Mean Value of Responses (and Standard Deviations) for Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by...”	58
Figure 4.4 Mean Value (and Standard Deviation) of Responses for Item 8 “In my experience barriers to guided IBL include ...”	59
Figure 4.5 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by professional development in guided IBL.” (n=37)	60
Figure 4.6 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include a lack of professional development in guided IBL.” (n=36).....	61
Figure 4.7 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by clear models, definitions and examples of guided IBL.” (n=37).....	62
Figure 4.8 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include unclear models, definitions and examples of guided IBL.” (n=36).....	62
Figure 4.9 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “Guided IBL is enabled by a teacher’s past experiences in using guided IBL.” (n=37)	64
Figure 4.10 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “In my experience barriers to guided IBL include negative past experiences in using guided IBL.” (n=36).....	65
Figure 4.11 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by tertiary initial teacher education degree programs.” (n = 37).....	66
Figure 4.12 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include tertiary initial teacher education degree programs.” (n=36)	67
Figure 4.13 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by industry or science research experiences.” (n=37).....	68
Figure 4.14 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include industry or science research experiences.” (n=36).....	68
Figure 4.15 Mean Value of Responses (and Standard Deviations) for Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by...”	71
Figure 4.16 Mean Value (and Standard Deviation) of Responses for Item 8 “In my experience barriers to guided IBL include ...”	72
Figure 4.17 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by a teacher’s positive personal beliefs toward guided IBL” (n=37)	73

Figure 4.18 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include a teacher’s negative personal beliefs toward guided IBL.” (n=36).....	73
Figure 4.19 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by a teacher’s knowledge of guided IBL” (n=37)	75
Figure 4.20 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include a teacher’s knowledge of guided IBL” (n=36).....	76
Figure 4.21 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by the confidence of a teacher toward using guided IBL” (n = 37).....	77
Figure 4.22 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include a lack of confidence in a teacher toward using guided IBL” (n = 36)	78
Figure 4.23 Mean Value of Responses (and Standard Deviations) for Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by...”	80
Figure 4.24 Mean Value (and Standard Deviation) of Responses for Item 8 “In my experience barriers to guided IBL include ...”	81
Figure 4.25 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by student and parent expectations” (n = 37)	82
Figure 4.26 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include student and parent expectations” (n=37).....	83
Figure 4.27 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by student motivation and behaviour” (n=37).....	84
Figure 4.28 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include student motivation and behaviour" (n=37).....	84
Figure 4.29 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by a student’s prior knowledge and skills” (n=37)	86
Figure 4.30 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include a deficit in student prior knowledge and skills” (n=36).....	86
Figure 4.31 Mean Value of Responses (and Standard Deviations) for Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by...”	89
Figure 4.32 Mean Value (and Standard Deviation) of Responses for Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include ...”	90
Figure 4.33 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by textbooks and teaching resources” (n = 37)	91
Figure 4.34 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include available textbooks and teaching resources” (n = 36).....	92
Figure 4.35 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by available laboratories and equipment” (n=37)	93
Figure 4.36 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include available laboratories and equipment” (n = 36)	93
Figure 4.37 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by available instructional and classroom time” (n=37).....	95
Figure 4.38 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include available instructional and classroom time” (n=36).....	95
Figure 4.39 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by NESA syllabi and requirements” (n=37).....	97
Figure 4.40 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include NESA syllabi and requirements” (n=36)	98

Figure 4.41 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by external state wide assessments (HSC, NAPLAN)” (n=37).....	100
Figure 4.42 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include external state wide assessments (HSC, NAPLAN)” (n=36).....	100
Figure 4.43 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by available programming and planning time” (n=37).....	102
Figure 4.44 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include available programming and planning time” (n=36).....	103
Figure 4.45 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by internal school assessments” (n=37).....	105
Figure 4.46 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include internal school assessments” (n=36).....	105
Figure 4.47 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by the whole school curriculum, programs and expectations” (n=37).....	106
Figure 4.48 Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 “in my experience barriers to guided IBL include the whole school curriculum, programs and expectations” (n=36).....	107
Figure 4.49 Average Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 5 in Relation to Science Teaching Colleagues, Supervisors and School Senior Executive (n=37).....	108
Figure 4.50 Average Percentage Distribution of Responses to Item 8 in Relation to Science Teaching Colleagues, Supervisors and School Senior Executive (n=36).....	109
Figure 4.51 Mean Values of Responses to Survey Item 5 “guided IBL is enabled by...”	112
Figure 4.52 Mean Values of Responses to Survey Item 8 “In my experience barriers to guided IBL include ...”.....	113
Figure 5.1 Framework Emerging from this Study Showing Teacher Perceptions of Factors that Affect Implementation of Guided IBL in Secondary School Science	122
Figure 5.2 Example of a Guided IBL Implementation Cycle, Informed by this Study	134

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Modified Version of the Four-Level Model of Inquiry (Bell et al., 2005).....	17
Table 2.2	Alternative Version of Levels of Inquiry (Blanchard et al., 2010)	18
Table 2.3	Levels of Openness in Inquiry Teaching (Jiang & McComas, 2015)	18
Table 2.4	Factors from the Literature Linked to a Positive Effect on IBL Implementation	28
Table 2.5	Factors from the Literature Linked to a Negative Effect on IBL Implementation.....	29
Table 4.1	Item Eleven Response Themes and Count	114
Table 4.2	Summary of Participant Perceptions in Regard to Enablers and Barriers of Guided IBL Implementation.....	117
Table 5.1	Factors Affecting (Generic) IBL and Guided IBL Implementation.....	126
Table 5.2	Guiding Questions for Consideration when Embarking on and Evaluating Guided IBL Implementation.....	133

Abstract

There is growing concern regarding school students developing increasingly negative attitudes to science during their secondary school experiences and disengaging with senior secondary and tertiary science subjects. The implementation of guided inquiry-based learning (IBL) to deliver science curriculum is believed to be an effective method to increase attitude, engagement and participation in science. In this study, guided IBL is defined as a level of science inquiry in which students investigate scientific questions given to them by teachers, using a procedure of their own design to collect data that they analyse to create their own answers. This study investigated the frequency of use of guided IBL in science classrooms and teacher perceptions about factors that affect the implementation of guided IBL pedagogy in the delivery of the NSW science curriculum. Thirty nine participants volunteered to complete an online survey. The survey consisted of both open and closed questions and data was analysed using descriptive analysis. Findings indicate that guided IBL may currently be used more often than expected with more than half of the participants reporting that they utilise guided IBL at least once per topic per class. Participants indicate that many factors enable guided IBL including teacher professional development, teachers' positive personal beliefs toward guided IBL and available laboratory resources and equipment. And surprisingly, despite the language of inquiry permeating the new NSW science syllabuses for the Australian curriculum, these new syllabuses as well as preparation for external exams are perceived as barriers to guided IBL implementation.