Nano-synergy enables highly reversible storage of 9.2 wt% hydrogen at mild conditions with lithium borohydride
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ABSTRACT:
In this work, we report an effective synthetic strategy to obtain LiBH₄ featuring low-temperature and highly reversible hydrogen cycling. This is achieved by a unique nanocomposite structure where LiBH₄ nanoparticles of 5-10 nm on graphene are decorated by Ni nanocrystals of 2-4 nm. The prepared LiBH₄ nanocomposite reversibly desorbs and absorbs ~9.2 wt% hydrogen at 300 °C with a stable cyclability for up to 100 cycles, superior to all the literature results reported so far. The decisive factor affecting the hydrogen cycling is the reactivity of boron toward hydrogen. The formation of stable B₁₂H₁₂²⁻ cluster during hydrogen cycling has been successfully prevented. The synergetic effects of nanostructuring and nanocatalysis lead to efficient formation of BH₄⁻ during hydrogenation and elemental boron during dehydrogenation. This breakthrough sheds light on new strategies to explore borohydride family for practical hydrogen storage applications.
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1. Introduction

For hydrogen storage materials, hydrogen cycling, i.e., dehydrogenation and hydrogenation, under mild conditions is a prerequisite for practical applications [1,2]. Metal borohydrides (M(BH$_4$)$_x$) have been most widely studied for hydrogen cycling due to their high hydrogen capacities [3-5]. In general, hydrogen can be released from M(BH$_4$)$_x$ through two methods, viz., hydrolysis and thermolysis [6,7]. For hydrogen cycling, the low-cost and high-yield regeneration of the spent borohydrides is the key issue in the hydrolysis mode [8-10], while the thermolysis typically requires high pressure and/or high temperature, which results in reduced net energy output [11-13]. For example, LiBH$_4$ has been regarded as one of the most promising hydrogen storage media due to its high gravimetric (18.5 wt%) and volumetric (121 kg m$^{-3}$) hydrogen densities. However, over 400 °C is usually needed for dehydrogenation and > 350 bar H$_2$ is required for rehydrogenation [7]. In general, borohydrides suffer from two problems due to the unique boron chemistry. One is the formation of diborane gas (B$_2$H$_6$) during dehydrogenation, whose evolution means a loss of boron and consequently poor recyclability [14]. The other is the formation of Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ intermediate which has high thermal stability and acts as a ‘boron sink’ preventing the re-formation of LiBH$_4$ [15]. It is therefore critical to 1) keep the boron in the system by suppressing the formation of B$_2$H$_6$, and 2) maintain the reactivity of boron by avoiding the formation of B$_{12}$H$_{12}^2$.

Various strategies have been explored to improve hydrogen storage properties of metal borohydrides, such as anion/cation mixing, introducing catalysts, fabricating reactive composites, and nanostructuring [16-21]. Considerable amounts of research has proven that nanostructured metal borohydrides offer faster desorption rates and lower desorption temperatures compared to their bulk counterparts, thanks to the
increased specific surface area, abundant grain boundaries/defects and shortened mass transport path [22,23]. The 20-50 nm-sized LiBH₄ prepared by a solvent evaporation process started releasing H₂ even from ~32 °C and about 3.5 wt% H was released when heating to 265 °C, which is nearly 5-fold higher than that released from bulk LiBH₄ [24]. More importantly, nanostructuring can effectively suppress the formation of B₂H₆, which favors the reversibility [25]. Recently, nanoconfinement was widely used to prepare particles smaller than 20 nm by depositing hydrides on a support or infiltrating into nanoporous scaffold such as carbon materials, ordered mesoporous oxides, zeolites, and metal-organic frameworks [26-31]. Combining nanoconfinement and nano Ni catalyst further enhanced the overall performance under mild conditions [32,33]. Unfortunately, the support compromises the practical gravimetric hydrogen capacity of the system because of low loading of LiBH₄ (50% or less) [26-31]. Low-weight graphene with large surface area proves effective in encapsulating hydrides, enabling high loading of nanomaterials and superior catalytic activity [22]. Xia et al. prepared 2-nm thick LiBH₄-decorated graphene sheets (LiBH₄@G) with a loading as high as 69.1 wt%, and the dehydrogenation peak temperature was reduced by 124 °C to 346 °C [34]. However, the preparation involved multiple steps and employed highly toxic and flammable B₂H₆, and hydrogen capacity rapidly faded from 9.4 wt% to 7.5 wt% in only 5 cycles, possibly due to the formation of Li₂B₁₂H₁₂. The presence of Li₂B₁₂H₁₂ has also been observed in various nanocomposites, which is believed to be the key factor for the poor hydrogen cycling [35-37]. Previous reports have demonstrated that nano Ni could work as an effective catalyst to facilitate the regeneration of BH₄⁻ by retarding the formation of B₁₂H₁₂²⁻ [32,33]. So far, it has been challenging to simultaneously achieve high hydrogen capacity, low operating temperatures, and good reversibility.
In this work, we demonstrate success in achieving high hydrogen cycling stability and high capacity at remarkably low temperatures at the same time. The key innovation lies in keeping the boron active in the system by nanostructuring and nanocatalysis. A unique nanocomposite consisting of Ni nanocrystal-decorated LiBH$_4$ nanoparticles of <10 nm anchored on graphene was fabricated by a facile one-pot solvothermal synthesis. The catalysis by nanosized Ni and support by graphene play key roles in suppressing the formation of B$_2$H$_6$ and B$_{12}$H$_{12}^2$ and thus contribute to the outstanding hydrogen cycling performance. Our strategy is of general applicability for the preparation of catalyst-decorated nanostructured metal borohydrides, which brings an important step towards practical utilization of borohydrides as high-capacity hydrogen carriers.

2. Methods

2.1 Materials synthesis

Commercial chemicals including n-butyl lithium (C$_4$H$_9$Li, n-BuLi, 2.0 M in cyclohexane, Sigma-Aldrich), (C$_2$H$_5$)$_3$NBH$_3$ (97%, Aladdin), nickelocene (C$_{10}$H$_{10}$Ni, Cp$_2$Ni, 98%, Aladdin), n-hexane (97.5%, SuperDry, Acros Organics) and graphene (>95%, Aladdin) were purchased and used as received. The Ni nanocrystal-decorated LiBH$_4$ nanoparticles anchored on graphene were synthesized by a one-pot solvothermal strategy. In a typical procedure, 2 mL C$_4$H$_9$Li solution (2 M in cyclohexane), 460 mg C$_6$H$_{18}$BN, 48 mg Cp$_2$Ni and 22 mg graphene were first dispersed in 80 mL n-hexane with sonication for 2 h. The mixture solution was then transferred into a custom-designed stainless steel autoclave with a volume of 150 mL. The autoclave was then filled with 50 bar H$_2$ and the solvothermal reaction was conducted at 100 °C for 24 h with a constant-rate stirring. Subsequently, the solid
product was centrifuged and ultrasonically washed twice with n-hexane to remove by-products. Finally, the resultant powders were dried at 90 °C through dynamic vacuum to remove triethylamine.

2.2 Materials characterization

The phase structure was characterized using an X'Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Rigaku, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm, 40 kV and 15 mA). The XRD data were collected in the 2θ range of 10–90° with 0.05° step increments at room temperature. The sample was sealed in a custom-designed holder with a window covered by Scotch tape for transmission of X-ray but impermeable to air and moisture. FTIR analysis was employed to identify the B-H vibrations by using a Bruker Tensor 27 unit. Pellets were prepared by first mixing dry KBr with samples at a weight ratio of 300:1 and then cold pressing under 10 MPa. The spectra were created after 16 scans on average in transmission mode with 4 cm\(^{-1}\) of resolution. Raman spectra were recorded using a confocal Raman microscope (Via-Renishaw plc, UK) at a laser excitation wavelength of 532 nm. A scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi, S-4800) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, Titan G\(^2\) 60-300FEI, 80 kV) were used to observe the morphology and microstructure of the samples. For SEM measurements, the powders were dispersed on electrically conducting adhesive tapes in a glove box and rapidly transferred into the SEM chamber under protective Ar. For TEM examination, the powders were dispersed on Cu grids which were then loaded in a double tilt vacuum transfer holder (Gatan 648, USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were carried out using a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD spectrometer. The powders were first pressed into a pellet and then mounted on a sample holder inside an Ar-filled glove box. The sample holder was then transferred
from the glove box to the XPS facility in a special container to avoid air exposure. The XPS data were recorded using a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source with a base pressure of $6.8 \times 10^{-9}$ Torr at 25 °C. All binding energies were calibrated using contaminant carbon (C 1s = 284.6 eV). Solid-state $^{11}$B and $^7$Li magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS NMR) analysis was performed on a Bruker AVANCE 400 III HD spectrometer equipped with a 3.2 mm MAS NMR probe. The powders were packed into a 3.2 mm ZrO$_2$ rotor and sealed with a tightly fitted Kel-F cap inside an Ar-filled glove box. The operating frequencies for $^{11}$B and $^7$Li were 128.38 and 116.6 MHz, and the NMR shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm) referenced to BF$_3$OEt$_2$ and LiClO$_4$, respectively. Elemental analysis was performed with an Elemen Tar Vario EL3 Elemental Analyser.

2.3 Property measurements

The dehydrogenation behavior was qualitatively evaluated using a home-built temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) system attached to a mass spectrometer (Hiden QIC-20, England). Pure Ar with a flow rate of 40 mL min$^{-1}$ was used as a carrier gas. For each test, the sample was heated from room temperature to 600 °C at 2 °C min$^{-1}$. A home-made Sieverts-type apparatus was used for the quantitative measurement of dehydrogenation/hydrogenation under isothermal or non-isothermal conditions. The sample amount for each measurement was approximately 70 mg. The non-isothermal volumetric hydrogen release was conducted under primary vacuum ($\sim 10^{-3}$ Torr) with a temperature ramping at 2 °C min$^{-1}$, whereas hydrogenation was carried out at a heating rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ under 100 bar H$_2$. The isothermal measurements were conducted by rapidly heating (10 °C min$^{-1}$) the sample to a preset temperature and then dwelling at the temperature during the entire test. The hydrogen capacity was calculated by taking into account the weight of the whole composite.
Thermogravimetric analysis (TG) measurements were carried out using a NETZSCH TG 209 F3 instrument with a Ar flow of 60 mL min\(^{-1}\) in the glove box, and the heating rates were identical to the volumetric experiments for non-isothermal and isothermal dehydrogenation, respectively. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurement was performed on a NETZSCH DSC 200F3 unit. Approximately 2 mg of sample was placed in an Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) crucible and heated from 30 °C to 600 °C at 2 °C min\(^{-1}\). The melting behaviour of samples was measured with a Mettler Toledo MP50 melting point apparatus. Samples were compacted into the quartz capillary and sealed with the scotch tape before the measurements. The sample temperature and melting process of the sample was recorded at the constant heating rate of 2 °C min\(^{-1}\).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Preparation and structural characterization of LiBH\(_4\) nanocomposite

Fig. 1 schematically illustrates the one-pot solvothermal process to prepare Ni nanocrystal-decorated LiBH\(_4\) nanoparticles anchored on graphene. In a typical procedure, \(n\)-butyllithium (\(n\)-BuLi), triethylamine borane ((C\(_2\)H\(_5\))\(_3\)NBH\(_3\)), nickelocene (C\(_{10}\)H\(_{10}\)Ni, Cp\(_2\)Ni for short) and graphene were first dispersed in n-hexane with sonication for 2 h. After that, the mixture solution was transferred into a custom-designed stainless steel autoclave and a solvothermal reaction was conducted under 50 bar H\(_2\) at 100 °C for 24 h with a continuous stirring. During the solvothermal reaction, \(n\)-BuLi was converted to LiH by hydriding (reaction 1) [34], which subsequently reacted with C\(_6\)H\(_{15}\)NBH\(_3\) yielding LiBH\(_4\)-C\(_6\)H\(_{15}\)N (reaction 2) [38]. In parallel, metallic Ni was generated from the hydrogenation of Cp\(_2\)Ni (reaction 3) [39]. All these reactions are known to take place with high yields. After filtering, washing with n-hexane and heating at 90 °C under dynamic vacuuming to remove solvent and
C₆H₁₅N groups (reaction 4), the resultant solid products were collected and characterized.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Li-C}_4\text{H}_{9} + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{LiH} + \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10} \quad (1) \\
\text{LiH} + \text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{NBH}_3 & \rightarrow \text{LiBH}_4 \cdot \text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{N} \quad (2) \\
(\text{C}_3\text{H}_5)_2\text{Ni} + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Ni} + 2\text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \quad (3) \\
\text{LiBH}_4 \cdot \text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{heating under dynamic vacuuming}} \text{LiBH}_4 + \text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{N} \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

**Fig. 1.** Schematic illustration of the preparation of nano-LiBH₄/Ni@G composite.

Structural and morphological characterization results of the product are shown in **Fig. 2.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern consists of the characteristic reflections of LiBH₄. The weak peak intensities indicate the very small crystallite sizes (**Fig. 2a**). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrum further confirms the formation of LiBH₄ since the typical absorbances of B-H in LiBH₄ are highly...
discernable at 1122, 2221, 2291 and 2380 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2b) [33]. IR absorbances related to C-H (at 3300-2700 cm⁻¹) and N-H (at 3000-3500 cm⁻¹) are absent in the IR spectra, proving the success in removing all organic molecules from the prepared LiBH₄. Raman analysis reveals the typical D-band and G-band of graphene (Fig. 2c). High-resolution Ni 2p X-ray photoelectron spectrum (XPS) shows two peaks at 870.1 and 852.7 eV (Fig. 2d), which can be assigned to the 2p₁/₂-2p₃/₂ spin-orbit doublet of metallic Ni(0) [40]. Moreover, the N signal is invisible in the XPS survey spectrum (Fig. S1), indicating the complete removal of C₆H₁₅N. Mass spectroscopy (MS) measurement also confirms the absence of C₆H₁₅N and C₆H₁₄ in the obtained LiBH₄ powders (Fig. S2). These results unambiguously prove that the resultant product is composed of LiBH₄, graphene and metallic Ni.

**Fig. 2.** XRD patterns (a) and FTIR spectra (b) of pristine LiBH₄ and nano-
LiBH₄/10Ni@20G; Raman spectra (c); high resolution Ni 2p XPS spectra (d); SEM images (e,f), TEM image (g), and EDS mapping images (h) of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G. The insert in (g) is the SAED pattern.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) observation reveals the typical graphene wrinkles on which a large amount of nanoparticles stay (Fig. 2e-g) while the commercial graphene displays a clean surface (Fig. S3). The SAED pattern in Fig. 2g indicates the polycrystalline characteristics of the resultant LiBH₄ and Ni nanoparticles. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping proves that nickel is distributed alongside boron (Fig. 2h), indicating a close physical contact between Ni and LiBH₄. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images show two kinds of nanoparticles in grey and black with particle sizes being 5-10 nm and 2-4 nm, respectively (Fig. 3a). The grey nanoparticles were measured to have an interplanar spacing of 0.372 nm, which is associated with the (011) planes of LiBH₄ (Fig. 3b and c). The black nanoparticles display fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.204 nm, which can be assigned to the (111) lattice spacing of metallic Ni (Fig. 3d) [41]. Moreover, the HRTEM images also manifest the close contact and even overlapping between Ni nanoparticles and the LiBH₄ particles. Thus, we obtained a unique composite consisting of Ni nanocrystal-decorated LiBH₄ nanoparticles anchored on graphene. The corresponding yield of LiBH₄ was determined to be approximately 96.8%.
Fig. 3. HRTEM images of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G composites (a-c) and Ni nanoparticles (d).

3.2 Formation of LiBH₄ nanoparticles with graphene

To understand the formation of LiBH₄ nanoparticles, the relationship between the graphene content and the particle sizes of resultant LiBH₄ was further studied (Fig. 4). The results indicate that the presence of graphene is particularly important for obtaining nano-sized LiBH₄. As shown in Fig. 4a-c, without graphene, large agglomerations of LiBH₄ were observed using the same preparation procedure. For the 20 wt% graphene-containing sample, the particle sizes of LiBH₄ are below 30 nm with good dispersion (Fig. 4m-o), which were further reduced to below 10 nm after increasing the graphene to 40 wt%. This could be attributed to the large amounts of nucleation sites present on the graphene surface that prevent the agglomeration and growth of LiBH₄ nanoparticles [34], consistent with the observation in the graphene-
supported MgH$_2$ system [42]. Furthermore, the Ni particles seem to also play a role in suppressing the growth of LiBH$_4$ nanoparticles, as the particle sizes of LiBH$_4$ in nano-LiBH$_4$/10Ni@20G (with 20 wt% graphene, Fig. 3c) are remarkably smaller than those in Ni-free LiBH$_4$@20G (Fig. 4i). Considering the close contact between the ultra-small Ni particles and LiBH$_4$ nanoparticles (Fig. 3c and d), the growth and coalescence of LiBH$_4$ particles would be retarded since they need to overcome the adhesion between Ni and graphene, which is a crucial factor favoring hydrogen cycling of LiBH$_4$ as discussed later.

**Fig. 4.** SEM (a, b, d, e, g, h, j, k, m, n) and TEM (c, f, i, l, o) images of prepared nano-LiBH$_4$ with different graphene contents. (a-c) without graphene, (d-f) 10 wt% G, (g-i) 20 wt% G, (j-l) 30 wt% G and (m-o) 40 wt% G.
3.3 Composition optimization of LiBH₄ nanocomposite

To optimize hydrogen capacity and operation temperature, we synthesized two series of samples with different amounts of graphene and metallic Ni. As shown in Fig. S4, compared with pristine LiBH₄, LiBH₄/graphene nanocomposites feature much reduced dehydrogenation temperatures and also lowered hydrogen capacities since graphene does not hold H₂. Balancing the temperatures and capacities, the sample with 70 wt% LiBH₄ and 30 wt% graphene exhibits the best overall performance. The in situ introduction of metallic Ni further reduces the dehydrogenation temperature (Fig. S5), similar to the previous report in the CeH₂.73-MgH₂-Ni nanocomposites [43]. Replacing 10 wt% graphene with metallic Ni reduced the onset temperature of dehydrogenation by >40 °C. Considering the practical requirement of dehydrogenation temperatures (as low as possible) and hydrogen capacities (as high as possible), among all the LiBH₄/graphene/metallic Ni composites, the sample with approximately 70:20:10 for LiBH₄:graphene:Ni (denoted as nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G) delivered the best overall performance.

3.4 Hydrogen storage properties of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G

The nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G composite displays remarkable hydrogen cycling performance, outperforming all the literature results reported so far. The temperature-programmed desorption - mass spectroscopy (TPD-MS) curve displays the liberation of hydrogen without B₂H₆ impurity with temperatures (Fig. 5a and Fig. S6). Dehydrogenation started from 130 °C and peaked around 285 °C, which are 175 and 192 °C lower than those of the pristine LiBH₄ (Fig. 5a). Volumetric measurements determined that nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G released ~11.6 wt% hydrogen (in overall composite mass) when heated to 600 °C, with an onset temperature of 130 °C and acceleration from 175 °C, significantly lower than those of pristine LiBH₄ and Ni-free
nano-LiBH₄/G (Fig. 5b). The sluggish hydrogen release above 315 °C was possibly related to the decomposition of in-situ formed LiH, which is known to form during the dehydrogenation of LiBH₄ [13]. This hypothesis is supported by the appearance of XPS 1s peak of metallic Li in the powders after dehydrogenation at 315 °C (Fig. S7). We found that if the dehydrogenation was conducted at temperatures beyond 300 °C, the subsequent hydrogenation performance became inferior (Fig. S8a and b). This is possibly due to the aggregation of molten metallic Li generated from the dehydrogenation of in-situ formed LiH, which leads to reduced reactivity of Li. As a result, the maximum desorption temperature was chosen to be 300 °C and a reversible hydrogen capacity of 9.2 wt% was obtained (Fig. S8c and d). Such dehydrogenation performance outperforms most materials-based hydrogen carriers taking into account dehydrogenation capacity and temperature (Fig. S9). Different from pristine LiBH₄, B₂H₆ gas was not detected during dehydrogenation (Fig. S6), which is beneficial for reversible hydrogen cycling by keeping the atomic ratio of B:Li at 1:1 to ensure full regeneration.
Fig. 5. TPD-MS (a), volumetric hydrogen release (b), non-isothermal hydrogenation (c), PCI (d), isothermal dehydrogenation (e) and isothermal hydrogenation (f) curves of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G and pristine LiBH₄.

The nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G also demonstrates exceptional hydrogenation performance under 100 bar H₂. As shown in Fig. 5c, hydrogenation started from 125 °C, which is 200 °C lower than that of dehydrogenated pristine LiBH₄. Upon heating to 300 °C, hydrogen uptake amounts to 9.2 wt%, showing a good reversibility for hydrogen storage. This is superior to all presently known LiBH₄-based hydrogen storage systems (Fig. S9 and Table S1). The significantly improved hydrogen storage reversibility was also confirmed by pressure-composition-isotherm (PCI) measurements carried out at 300 °C (Fig. 5d), a temperature much lower than reported [44]. More importantly, the desorption equilibrium pressure was determined to be 1.14 atm at 300 °C (Fig. 5d). In contrast, the pristine LiBH₄ requires 370 °C to reach 1 atm of desorption equilibrium pressure [44]. This indicates that thermodynamic stability was effectively lowered possibly due to the largely reduced particle size of LiBH₄ with the presence of graphene and Ni [45].

Further isothermal measurements in a closed system prove significantly improved kinetics in hydrogen cycling at lower temperatures (Fig. 5e and f). At 300 °C, approximately 9.2 wt% of hydrogen was released within 175 min, with an average dehydrogenation rate of 0.053 wt% min⁻¹ (Fig. 5e). In contrast, no hydrogen release was detected for the pristine LiBH₄ under an identical condition. In TGA mode (Fig. S10) where a constant flow of pure Ar is used as a carrier gas, the amount of hydrogen release increased to 10 wt% within 75 min due to the absence of equilibrium pressure limitation. Even at 200 °C, the nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G released
7.5 wt% hydrogen within 600 min, superior to the previous reports (Table S1). In the hydrogenation step, the dehydrogenated nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G sample absorbed 9.2 wt% of hydrogen within 200 min under 100 bar H₂ and 300 °C (Fig. 5f), whereas only 2.3 wt% of hydrogen was recharged into the dehydrogenated pristine LiBH₄ even at 350 °C for 1200 min (Fig. S11).

3.5 Dehydrogenation thermodynamics and kinetics of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G

The dehydrogenation thermodynamics and kinetics of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G were further characterized in terms of desorption heat and the apparent activation energy, respectively. A phase transformation was observed at around 110 °C, which is similar to the literature [46]. However, the endothermic peak corresponding to hydrogen desorption shifted considerably toward lower temperatures (Fig. 6a). Unlike pristine LiBH₄, melting was not detected for nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G during dehydrogenation since the morphology remained the same as temperatures increased (Fig. S12). In contrast to an increase in the light transmittance due to the melting of LiBH₄ forming a liquid, nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G displayed decreased light transmittance caused by the formation of B due to the dehydrogenation of LiBH₄ (Fig. S13). The suppression of melting is of significance in maintaining highly dispersed nanoparticles that contribute to high hydrogen cycling performance. The enthalpy change of hydrogen desorption from nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G was calculated to be approximately 62.1 kJ mol⁻¹-H₂, about 10% lower than that of pristine LiBH₄ (69 kJ mol⁻¹-H₂), a remarkable change in the thermodynamics. The apparent activation energy was estimated as 106 kJ mol⁻¹ using the Kissinger’s approach (Fig. 6b), ~73 kJ mol⁻¹ lower than that of pristine LiBH₄ [35]. Thus, nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G features much enhanced thermodynamics and kinetics and consequently significantly improved hydrogen cycling compared with neat LiBH₄.
**Fig. 6.** DSC curves (a), TPD-MS curves and corresponding Kissinger’s plots (b), hydrogen cycling stability (c), solid state $^{11}$B NMR spectra (d), TEM image (e), HRTEM image (f), and EDS mapping (g) images of nano-LiBH$_{4}$/10Ni@20G after 70 cycles. The peaks marked with * in (d) indicate the spinning sidebands and the insert in (e) is the SAED pattern.

The hydrogen cycling stability is critical for practical applications. Fig. 6c shows the isothermal dehydrogenation capacity of nano-LiBH$_{4}$/10Ni@20G as a function of cycle at 300 °C. After 100 cycles, the hydrogen capacity is around 8.5 wt%, corresponding to 92.4% of capacity retention, which is superior to all the reported LiBH$_{4}$-based hydrogen storage systems (Table S1). Furthermore, the dehydrogenation
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kinetics remains nearly unchanged upon cycling (Fig. S14). Detailed studies were carried out to understand the underlying reason for the remarkable cycling stability and kinetics. As shown in Fig. S15-17, XRD, FTIR and NMR results indicate that with increasing temperature, the characteristic signals of LiBH$_4$ gradually weakened and eventually disappeared at 375 °C, indicating a complete decomposition of LiBH$_4$ with the release of hydrogen. Here, it should be mentioned that 375 °C is higher than the 300 °C used for isothermal hydrogen cycling. This is because due to slow kinetics, under a 2 °C/min ramping rate, LiBH$_4$ does not decompose quickly enough; while dwelling at 300 °C for more than 150 mins leads to a complete dehydrogenation. In general, borohydrides are known to release B$_2$H$_6$ to different degrees during thermal decomposition [7]. Our results also confirm this phenomenon for pristine LiBH$_4$ (Fig. S6). For nano-LiBH$_4$/10Ni@20G, however, only H$_2$ was observed in the MS spectra without B$_2$H$_6$. This is consistent with reports that the presence of nanosized metal catalyst can suppress the formation of B$_2$H$_6$ molecules, and therefore contribute to hydrogen cycling [16-21].

Moreover, Li$_2$B$_{12}$H$_{12}$ was not detected for nano-LiBH$_4$/10Ni@20G during hydrogen cycling, even after 70 cycles (Fig. 6d). In sharp contrast, the formation of B$_{12}$H$_{12}^{2-}$ was observed during hydrogenation of both LiBH$_4$@20G and pristine LiBH$_4$, which have displayed far inferior hydrogen cycling performance (Fig. S18) compared with nano-LiBH$_4$/10Ni@20G. It is well known that B$_{12}$H$_{12}^{2-}$ is highly stable and prevents B from forming BH$_4^-$ [47]. To achieve effective hydrogen cycling performance under mild conditions, it is important to keep boron active, i.e., preventing boron from dropping into the B$_{12}$H$_{12}^{2-}$ ‘sink’. The solvothermal method adopted in this work leads to in-situ formation of Ni nanoparticles which have close physical contacts with nanosized LiBH$_4$ powders anchored on graphene, achieving
nanostructuring and nanocatalysis simultaneously. Through a combination of nanostructuring and nanocatalysis, the dehydrogenation temperature of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G was remarkably reduced and the release of hydrogen completed at around 300 °C, which is lower than the temperature required to generate B₂H₆ (Fig. S6a). This reasonably explains the absence of B₂H₆ in Fig. S6b. Moreover, the absence of B₂H₆ is also critical in preventing the formation of Li₂B₁₂H₁₂ which originates from the reaction between B₂H₆ and LiBH₄ at elevated temperatures [24,47]. As a result, the formation of both highly volatile B₂H₆ and highly stable B₁₂H₁₂⁺ are effectively suppressed in nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G, leading to unparalleled hydrogen cycling performance.

The outstanding stability of Ni also contributes to the exceptional hydrogen cycling. After 70 cycles, TEM images reveal no appreciable agglomeration of the Ni nanoparticles (Fig. 6e and f) and the EDS mapping (Fig. 6g) indicates that nanosized Ni particles still stick to LiBH₄ particles, both of which are beneficial for cycling stability. High-resolution Ni 2p XPS spectra (Fig. 7a) indicate that the chemical state of Ni remains unchanged below 375 °C, indicating its catalytic role during dehydrogenation and hydrogenation. XPS spectra also reveal that Ni and B did not form chemical bond unless the hydrogen cycling temperature went above 375 °C (Fig. 7). Thus, with synergy of nanostructuring induced by graphene support and nanocatalysis originated from in-situ formed Ni nanocrystals with close physical contacts with LiBH₄ nanoparticles, a facile interconversion between elemental B and BH₄⁻ has been achieved in our work by preventing the liberation of B₂H₆ and the formation of B₁₂H₁₂⁺, which enables highly reversible hydrogen cycling at remarkably lowered temperatures.
Fig. 7 High-resolution Ni 2p (a) and B 1s (b) XPS spectra of nano-LiBH₄/10Ni@20G at different dehydrogenation temperatures.

4. Conclusion

We have developed an effective strategy to achieve highly reversible hydrogen cycling for LiBH₄ at lower temperatures. The key to the outstanding performance is to keep boron within the system (not forming volatile B₂H₆) and to maintain its reactivity (not forming thermally stable B₁₂H₁₂²⁻). This superior performance is delivered by a unique nanocomposite where on graphene LiBH₄ nanoparticles of 5-10 nm are decorated by Ni nanocrystals of 2-4 nm, which was successfully synthesized by a novel one-pot solvothermal process. Both graphene and Ni nanocrystals restrict the growth of LiBH₄ nanoparticles during preparation process. The close contact among Ni, graphene, and LiBH₄ exerts highly effective catalytic effects on both dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation. The synergy of nanostructuring and
nanocatalysis remarkably reduces the operation temperatures and effectively suppresses the formation of volatile $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6$ and stable $\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}^2$. This breakthrough sheds light on how to improve the hydrogen cycling performance of borohydrides. In view of the large family of borohydrides, this research may help bring them one step closer to practical hydrogen storage applications.
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