

**A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF MEMBRANE
TARGETING ANTIMICROBIAL COMPOUNDS
ON IONIC TRANSPORT ACROSS LIPID
BILAYER MEMBRANES**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**University of Technology Sydney
School of Life Sciences
Faculty of Science**

Thomas Berry

2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Thomas Berry declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Life Sciences of the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

	Production Note:	
Signature:	Signature removed prior to publication.	Date: 25/04/2021

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank my principal supervisor, Dr Charles Crandfield, for his motivation and patience towards me in my development as a researcher, commencing with him allowing me to join his research team as an intern and then seeing my potential to go further. I have appreciated his constant guidance, especially in the final stages of writing this thesis.

Second, thank you to my co-supervisor, Adjunct Professor Dr Bruce Cornell, for his support, especially during the early parts of my PhD which were spent at his lab, Surgical Diagnostics, Pty, Ltd.

I would like to express gratitude towards the people who have helped with developing my understanding of different techniques and completing the necessary experiments I have performed for this study. Thank you to Maryam Parviz for her help with the Dynamic light scattering experiments, to Rufaka Hossain for her help with the Differential scanning calorimetry experiments and to Stephen Holt and Anton LeBrun from ANSTO for their assistance with the Neutron reflectometry experiments. Additional thanks go to Stephen Holt for his help with analysing and editing the results and methods chapters of the Neutron reflectometry experiments.

A special thank you to Dr Naresh Kumar and Dr Mark Willcox from the University of New South Wales for providing the melimine peptides that were used throughout this study. Through their own research of the peptides, I was able to glean substantial insights allowing me to further understand the peptides for this study. I would also like to thank Dr Naresh Kumar and Dr Mark Willcox, along with their research groups, for allowing me to participate with them in additional research leading to the publication of a number of research articles.

I would like to thank Evelyne Deplazes, Alvaro Garcia and Paul Duckworth for the insights they provided during the many discussions of my results.

Lastly, thank you to my parents and family and friends who have supported me behind the scenes in various ways whilst undertaking this work. Their mental and emotional support has been significant in helping me to complete this research study.

Publications arising from this thesis

Berry, T., Dutta, D., Chen, R., Leong, A., Wang, H., Donald, W., Parviz, M., Cornell, B., Willcox, M., Kumar, N., Cranfield, C. G., *Lipid Membrane Interactions of the Cationic Antimicrobial Peptide Chimeras Melimine and Cys-Melimine*. *Langmuir*, 2018. 34(38): p. 11586-11592.

Alghalayini, A., Garcia, A., **Berry, T.**, Cranfield, C. G., *The Use of Tethered Bilayer Lipid Membranes to Identify the Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Peptide Interactions with Lipid Bilayers*. *Antibiotics*. 2019. 8(1): p. 12.

Other publications

Nizalapur, S., Kimyon, O., Yee, E., Ho, K., **Berry, T.**, Manefield, M., Cranfield, C. G., Willcox, M., Black, D., Kumar, N., Amphipathic guanidine-embedded glyoxamide-based peptidomimetics as novel antibacterial agents and biofilm disruptors. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*. 2017. 15(9): p. 2033-2051.

Kuppusamy, R., Yasir, M., **Berry, T.**, Cranfield, C. G., Nizalapur, S., Yee, E., Kimyon, O., Taunk, A., Ho, K., Cornell, B., Manefield, M., Willcox, M., Black, D., Kumar, N., Design and synthesis of short amphiphilic cationic peptidomimetics based on biphenyl backbone as antibacterial agents. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 2017. 143: p. 1702-1722.

Yu, T. T., Nizalapur, S., Ho, K., Yee, E., **Berry, T.**, Cranfield, C. G., Willcox, M., Black, D., Kumar, N., Design, Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of N-Sulfonylphenyl glyoxamide-Based Antimicrobial Peptide Mimics as Novel Antimicrobial Agents. *ChemistrySelect*. 2017. 2(12): p. 3452-3461.

Nizalapur, S., Ho, K., Kimyon, O., Yee, E., **Berry, T.**, Manefield, M., Cranfield, C. G., Willcox, M., Black, D., Kumar, N., Synthesis and biological evaluation of N-naphthoyl-phenylglyoxamide-based small molecular antimicrobial peptide mimics as novel antimicrobial agents and biofilm inhibitors. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*. 2016. 14(14): p. 3623-3637.

Cranfield, C. G., **Berry, T.**, Holt, S., Hossain, K. R., Le Brun, A., Carne, S., Al Khamici, H., Coster, H., Valenzuela, S., Cornell, B., Evidence of the Key Role of H₃O⁺ in Phospholipid Membrane Morphology. *Langmuir*. 2016. 32(41): p. 10725-10734.

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	3
Publications arising from this thesis	4
Other publications	4
List of Abbreviations	11
List of Figures and Tables.....	14
Abstract	25
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Antimicrobial Peptides.....	1
1.1.1 Structure of peptide antimicrobials.....	1
1.2 Melimine and its derivatives	5
1.2.1 Melimine	5
1.2.2 Cys-melimine.....	6
1.2.3 Mel4.....	7
1.2.4 Cys-mel4.....	7
1.2.5 MelAlanine	7
1.2.6 Summary of melimine and its derivatives	8
1.3 Cell membrane structures and peptide interactions.....	9
1.3.1 Lipid types	9
1.3.2 Membrane structure	11

1.3.2.1	Gram-positive.....	11
1.3.3	Interactions of peptides with lipid membranes (modes of action).....	12
1.4	Understanding Lipid Membrane Morphology	19
1.4.1	Methods of Researching the Peptide-Lipid Interactions of Lipid Bilayers	21
1.4.2	Measuring bilayer properties	21
1.5	Hypotheses and Aims.....	34
2	Methods	36
2.1	Peptide synthesis	36
2.2	tBLMs.....	36
2.2.1	Cartridge assembly.....	36
2.2.2	Membrane deposition.....	38
2.2.3	Types of lipids used	39
2.3	Hydropathy Modeling	42
2.4	AC impedance	43
2.4.1	Swept frequency impedance	43
2.4.2	Testing pH effects on membranes.....	47
2.5	Dynamic light scattering (DLS)	48
2.6	Differential scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	50
2.6.1	DSC data acquisition.....	50
2.6.2	DSC Data Fitting.....	51

2.7	Neutron Reflectometry	53
2.7.1	Membrane measurement with PLATYPUS.....	53
2.7.2	Software	57
2.8	Activation energy	60
2.9	Fluorescence Membrane dipole measures.....	62
2.9.1	Extrusion of liposomes	62
2.9.2	Dipole potential measurements.....	62
2.9.3	Ratio of intensities analysis	63
3	Results	64
3.1	Melimine modelling	64
3.1.1	Melimine	64
3.1.2	Cys-melimine.....	67
3.1.3	Mel4	70
3.1.4	Cys-mel4.....	72
3.1.5	MelAlanine	74
3.2	Fluoroscopy with RH-421 dye	76
3.2.1	Melimine	76
3.2.2	Cys-melimine.....	80
3.2.3	Mel4	81
3.2.4	Cys-mel4.....	82

3.2.5	MelAlanine	83
3.3	Dynamic light scattering (DLS)	85
3.3.1	Fusogenic properties of melimine and its derivatives.....	85
3.4	Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS).....	88
3.4.1	Melimine	88
3.4.2	Cys-melimine.....	91
3.4.3	Mel4	95
3.4.4	Cys-mel4.....	97
3.4.5	MelAlanine	100
3.4.6	Membranes with bacterial lipids.....	102
3.4.7	Melimine with changes in pH.....	108
3.5	EIS - Arrhenius plots.....	112
3.5.1	Melimine	112
3.5.2	Cys-melimine.....	113
3.5.3	Mel4	114
3.5.4	Cys-mel4.....	115
3.5.5	MelAlanine	116
3.6	Neutron Reflectometry.....	119
3.6.1	Melimine	119
3.6.2	Cys-melimine and mel4	121

3.6.3	Cys-mel4 and melAlanine.....	122
3.7	Differential scanning calorimetry.....	125
3.7.1	Melimine	125
3.7.2	Cys-melimine.....	127
3.7.3	Mel4.....	129
3.7.4	Cys-mel4.....	131
3.7.5	MelAlanine	133
3.7.6	Summary	136
4	Discussion.....	137
4.1	Melimine and its derivatives: membrane interactions.....	139
4.1.1	Impact of the peptide structure	139
4.1.2	Fusogenic properties	140
4.1.3	Fluorescent dipole measurements.....	141
4.1.4	pH-induced membrane response.....	143
4.1.5	Lipid phase transition disruption	143
4.1.6	Ion traversal energy barrier of membranes	145
4.2	Model for Membrane Disruption	147
4.2.1	Membrane penetration	147
4.2.2	Peptide charge.....	148
4.2.3	Summary	148

4.3	Antimicrobial properties	149
5	Conclusions	150
	References.....	152

List of Abbreviations

α -helices	alpha helices
β -sheet	beta sheets
AC	alternating current
AMP's	antimicrobial peptides
ANOVA	analysis of variance
ANSTO	Australian nuclear science and technology organisation
BLMs	black lipid membranes
CholPEG	cholesterol-pentaethyleneglycol
C_m	capacitance
CPP	critical packing parameter
C_s	counter electrode capacitor
C_{th}	tethering electrode capacitor
DLP	double-length reservoir half-membrane spanning diphytanyl ethylene glycol tethers
DLS	dynamic light scattering
DMPC	1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DOPC	1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
DPEPC	diphytanyldietherphosphatidylcholine
DPTL	2,3-di-O-phytanyl-sn-glycerol-1-tetraethylene glycol- DL-a-lipoic acid ester lipid

DSC	differential scanning calorimetry
EIS	electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
F _{minP}	frequency of the minimum phase
GDPE	glyceroldiphytanylether
G _m	conductance
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
KCl	potassium chloride
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
Lyso PC	1-oleoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
MBC	minimum bactericidal concentration
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration
NaCl	sodium chloride
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
OPEP	optimized potential for efficient structure prediction
PBS	phosphate-buffered saline
PC	phosphatidylcholine
PEG	poly(ethyleneglycol)
P _{minP}	phase of the minimum phase
POPC	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
POPG	1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol

Q	scattering vector
QCM-D	quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation
SA	structural alphabet
SEM	standard error of the mean
SLB	supported lipid bilayer
SLD	scattering length density
spacers	benzyl-disulfide-tetra-ethyleneglycol-OH
SPR	surface plasmon resonance
SUVs	small unilamellar vesicles
tBLMs	tethered bilayer lipid membranes
tethers	(tetra-ethyleneglycol) _{n=2} C20-phytanyl

Amino acids

Alanine (A)	Leucine (L)
Arginine (R)	Lysine (K)
Asparagine (N)	Proline (P)
Cysteine (C)	Serine (S)
Glutamine (Q)	Threonine (T)
Glycine (G)	Tryptophan (W)
Isoleucine (I)	Valine (V)

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1.1.1.1: Structures of α -helical and β -sheet peptides. (Figure from Whitman, D. 2013) [15].....	2
Figure 1.1.1.3: Thio-ether bridge linking two functional groups. This bridge forms a connection between two residues in a peptide, turning the peptide into a ring-like structure. R1 and R2 represent two different amino acids within the peptide sequence.	4
Figure 1.1.1.4: Peptide wheel of a cyclotide showing the disulphide of the cysteine functional groups. (Figure Ireland, D et al. 2006) [28].....	4
Table 1.2: Comparison of the properties of melimine and its derivatives.	8
Figure 1.3.1.1: The headgroups of lipids containing phosphate.	10
Figure 1.3.1.2: The headgroups of lipids that do not contain phosphate moieties.	10
Figure 1.3.3: Differences between the outer membrane structures between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. A Gram-positive bacteria, seen here with the plasma membrane and peptidoglycan barrier. B Gram-negative bacteria with additional lipopolysaccharide outer membrane. (Figure from Martínez-Carmona et al. 2018) [62].....	12
Figure 1.3.2.1: Barrel stave model. (Figure from Alghalayini et al. 2019) [67] Peptides insert into the membrane-like the wooden staves of a barrel.	13
Figure 1.3.2.2: Carpet model. (Figure from Alghalayini et al. 2019) [67] Peptides are accumulating on the surface of the membrane before acting in a detergent-like manner.	14
Figure 1.3.2.3: Two theories of the interdigitated peptide toroidal pore model. a) Combination of lipids and peptides within the bilayer, forming a toroidal pore. (Figure from Alghalayini et al. 2019) [67] b) Expanding toroidal pore through increasing radii and an increasing number of lipids in the pore. (Figure from Alghalayini et al. 2019) [67]	16
Figure 1.3.2.4: The critical packing parameter (CPP) model. As peptides interact and insert into the lipid bilayer, the CPP of the lipids is influenced, causing a shift of the lipids into or out of	

the toroidal pores leading to changes in the radius of the pores. (Figure from Alghalayini et al. 2019) [67]..... 17

Figure 1.4: Representation of the critical packing parameter affecting lipid shape and bilayer formation. A Cylindrical lipid shape leading to a lipid bilayer. B Cone-like lipid structure leading to micelle formation. C Inverted cone-like lipid structure leading to hexagonal reverse micelle formation.20

Figure 1.4.2.1: Patch clamping. An extracellular pipette is used to create a seal around a section of a cell to measure the cell's resistance. (Figure used with permission from <https://www.ipmc.cnrs.fr/~duprat/neurophysiology/patch.htm>, © Franck Aguila, CNRS [94])23

Figure 1.4.2.2: Black lipid membrane. The membrane seen here is suspended between two substrates. The residual solvent can also be seen within the newly formed membrane. (Image from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Model_lipid_bilayer [95]).....23

Figure 1.4.2.1.1: Representation of a Langmuir trough. Lipids are afloat on the surface of the water in the trough, with their hydrophilic headgroups partially submerged. The hydrophobic tails, on the other hand, are extended upward away from the water's surface. By applying pressure to the lipids, a monolayer can be formed (Figure from Ndeye Rokhaya et al. 2013) [97].....25

Figure 1.4.2.1.2: Light microscope image of the membrane formed by Tamm and McConnell. (Figure from Tamm and McConnell, 1985) [96]. In this image, the predominantly white area shows the lipid membrane and the dark circles scattered throughout show holes in the membrane where there are no lipids present.....26

Figure 1.4.2.1.3: Artistic representation of the structure of a tBLM. (Figure adapted from Cranfield et al. 2015) [101] This representation depicts a lipid bilayer formed around the ends of tethering molecules. The structure of the tethering molecules is phytanyl-terminated ethylene glycol chains, which secure the bilayer in place. One end extends into the bilayer while the other end is secured to a gold substrate via disulphide bonds. Between the tethering molecules are spacer molecules consisting of benzyl-disulfide-tetra-ethyleneglycol-OH, which provide lateral spacing between the tethering molecules.28

Figure 1.4.2.1.4: Image by Deng *et al.*, 2008, [107], showing the formation of a supported lipid bilayer (SLB) forming around cholesterol on a glass substrate with lipids from small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs).30

Figure 2.2.1.1: Structures of the tethering and the spacer molecules. The two sulphur moieties on each compound provide the mechanism of attachment to the gold surface via sulphur-gold coordination. The benzene ring attached to the sulphur atoms provides lateral spacing between each compound. The hydrophobic phytanyl group (highlighted in red) is submerged within the lipid bilayer, tethering the membrane in place.....37

Figure 2.2.1.2: A, An assembled tBLM cartridge from both top and bottom view. Here, the gold electrodes can be seen. B, A cross-sectional cartoon view of one well within the tBLM cartridge. Here, yellow shows the location of the gold electrodes. Tether molecules adhere to the bottom gold electrode, with the lipid bilayer forming on these tethers between the two gold layers. Any added solutions flow through the cartridge from left to right in this depiction....38

Figure 2.2.3.1: Structures of the lipids used in forming many of the tBLMs in this study. This includes diphytanyldietherphosphatidylcholine (DPEPC) and glyceroldiphytanylether (GDPE).40

Figure 2.2.3.2: Structures of other lipids used in forming membranes within tBLMs of this study. This includes 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC), 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol (POPG) and Lipid A.41

Figure 2.4.1.1: Depiction of a tBLM and an example of an equivalent circuit. (Figure from Cranfield, C.G. et al., 2014) [135] The gold and the membrane are represented by capacitors, with the membrane containing an additional resistive element.44

Figure 2.4.1.2: The constant phase element seen with the two arrow symbols is connected in series to the resistor/capacitor network and a resistor representing the electrolyte solution. ...44

Figure 2.4.1.3: Both A and B represent typical Bode plots. A depicts a characteristic impedance versus frequency plot with a log-log scale. Changes in the slope and of these plots allow for the identification of resistive or capacitive elements across a tBLM architecture. The resistive elements have an ideal slope of '0', and capacitive elements have an ideal slope of '-1' [137,

138]. Changes in the slope and magnitude of the impedance plot at each frequency correlate with changes in different parts of the membrane and the two gold electrodes. These changes can be modelled using an equivalent circuit (e.g. Figure 2.4.1.1). B shows a typical phase versus frequency plot on a linear-log scale. This type of plot denotes the admittance of the system and identifies the capacitive (90° phase) and resistive (0° phase) elements of the system through comparing the phase relationship between the excitation signal and the measured response [139]. Thus, the plot allows for the identification of the membrane seen through a low phase angle due to the membrane being a bypass resistor. If a change occurs in the region of the minimum phase (depicted by a cross on the plotted curve above), a change has occurred in either the membrane conductance or capacitance and/or the tethering electrode capacitance. Horizontal shifts to the phase plot in the region of the cross denote a change in the frequency of the minimum phase (F_{minP}); this portrays changes in G_m and/or C_m . A vertical shift indicates a change in the phase of the minimum phase (P_{minP}), describing changes in the C_{th} and/or C_m (Figure from Cranfield et al., 2015) [140].46

Figure 2.5: Structure of a 1-oleoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (Lyso PC) lipid.48

Figure 2.6.1: Structure of 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) lipid.....50

Figure 2.7.1.1: Setup of the Platypus time-of-flight neutron reflectometer. The incident beam of neutrons enters from the right, passing through the four disc choppers before reaching the sample stage and ended up at the detector. Figure from James, M. et al. [147]53

Figure 2.7.1.2: Comparison of DOPC. A, DOPC lipid in its normal hydrogenated state. B, The hydrogens have been replaced with deuterium.56

Figure 2.7.2: Schematic of a cross-sectional area of a tBLM, showing the separation of the layers used for the modelling of neutron scattering data for each of the lipid bilayers.....59

Figure 3.1.1.1: Local structure prediction profile for melimine. Here, the SA fragments of four amino acid residues in length showed the predictions for the structural conformations. The colours represent the three different conformations, helical conformation (red), random coil conformation (blue) and an extended (non-specific) conformation (green). Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].65

Figure 3.1.1.2: Example of the model visualisation of melimine (one of 10 model outputs) A, a cartoon version of the model depicting α -helical structures. B, ball and stick model showing side amino acid chains. Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	66
Figure 3.1.1.3: Depiction of the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of melimine [166]. The peptide has more hydrophobic residues at the N-terminus and more hydrophilic residues at the C-terminus.	67
Figure 3.1.2.1: Local structure prediction profile for cys-melimine. The colours represent the three different conformations, helical conformation (red), random coil conformation (blue) and an extended (non-specific) conformation (green). Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	68
Figure 3.1.2.2: Example of the model visualisation of cys-melimine (one of 10 model outputs) A, a cartoon version of the model depicting α -helical structures. B, ball and stick model showing side amino acid chains. Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	69
Figure 3.1.2.3: Depiction of the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of cys-melimine [166].	69
Figure 3.1.3.1: Local structure prediction profile for mel4. The colours represent the three different conformations, helical conformation (red), random coil conformation (blue) and an extended (non-specific) conformation (green). Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	70
Figure 3.1.3.2: Example of the model visualisation of mel4 (one of 10 model outputs) A, a cartoon version of the model depicting α -helical structure. B, ball and stick model showing side amino acid chains. Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	71
Figure 3.1.3.3: Depiction of the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of mel4 [166].	71
Figure 3.1.4.1: Local structure prediction profile for cys-mel4. The colours represent the three different conformations, helical conformation (red), random coil conformation (blue) and an	

extended (non-specific) conformation (green). Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	72
Figure 3.1.4.2: Example of the model visualisation of cys-mel4 (one of 10 model outputs) A, a cartoon version of the model depicting α -helical structure. B, ball and stick model showing side amino acid chains. Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].	73
Figure 3.1.4.3: Depiction of the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of cys-mel4 [166].	73
Figure 3.1.5.1: Local structure prediction profile for melAlanine. The colours represent the three different conformations, helical conformation (red), random coil conformation (blue) and an extended (non-specific) conformation (green). Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].....	74
Figure 3.1.5.2: Example of the model visualisation of melAlanine (one of 10 model outputs) A, a cartoon version of the model depicting α -helical structures. B, ball and stick model showing side amino acid chains. Image collected using PEP-FOLD 2.0 software package [132, 159-162].....	75
Figure 3.1.5.3: Depiction of the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of melAlanine [166].	75
Figure 3.2.1.1: Intensity profile of melimine and PBS at an equivalent volume. Average of five scans at a concentration of 10 μ M melimine. Dotted lines show the corresponding intensities at 440 nm and 540 nm, at which where the ratios were calculated.	77
Figure 3.2.1.2: Comparison of the intensity ratios between the PBS control and melimine at increasing concentrations. Average of five scans. Error bars denote the standard deviation..	78
Figure 3.2.1.3: Comparison of the change in the RH-421 fluorescence of melimine and its derivatives at 10 μ M against a PBS control at equivalent volume. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). One-way ANOVA was conducted determining the statistical difference in terms of a P-value. (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).	79
Figure 3.2.2: Comparison of the intensity ratios between the PBS control and cys-melimine. Average of five scans. Error bars denote the standard deviation.....	80

Figure 3.2.3: Comparison of the intensity ratios between the PBS control and mel4. Average of five scans. Error bars denote the standard deviation.81

Figure 3.2.4: Comparison of the intensity ratios between the PBS control and mel4. Average of five scans. Error bars denote the standard deviation.82

Figure 3.2.5: Comparison of the intensity ratios between the PBS control and mel4. Average of five scans. Error bars denote the standard deviation.83

Table 3.2: Summary of the ANOVA analysis comparing each of the peptides to the PBS control.84

Figure 3.3.1.1: Comparison of the size of the LysoPC lipid spheroids. The average diameters of the lipid spheroids are shown after the addition of each peptide in comparison to the diameter of lipid spheroids with no peptide. The error bars denote the standard deviation from n=15 measurements.....86

Figure 3.3.1.2: An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was undertaken on this data set, performed using Prism 7.03 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) [****P-value <0.0001), ***P-value <0.001] Error bars denote the standard deviation from n = 15 measurements.....87

Table 3.4: The minimum inhibitory concentrations and the minimum bactericidal concentrations for each peptide derivative used in this study. Various strains of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were used. (Table from Berry et al. [40]).88

Figure 3.4.1: EIS plots for melimine in diphytanyl lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of melimine (n = 3) showing a fit of the data points; the error bars denote the standard error of the mean (SEM) for each measurement. B, conductance response in diphytanyl lipid tBLM to the peptide melimine in diphytanyl lipid tBLM (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM. C, capacitance response in diphytanyl lipids to the peptide melimine (n=3). The error bars denote the SEM.90

Figure 3.4.2.1: EIS plots for cys-melimine in diphytanyl lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot before and after the addition of cys-melimine (n = 3), showing a fit of the data points. The error bars denote the SEM. B, conductance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide cys-melimine (n = 3).

The error bars denote the SEM. C, capacitance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide cys-melimine (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM.92

Figure 3.4.2.2: EIS plots for cys-melimine in negatively charged tBLMs. A, Bode plot of 10% POPG tBLMs before and after the addition of cys-melimine, showing a fit of the data points. The error bars denote the SEM. B, Conductance response of varying concentrations of negatively charged POPG lipids to the peptide cys-melimine. C, Capacitance response of varying concentrations of negatively charged POPG lipids to the peptide cys-melimine. The 0% POPG and 20% POPG profiles show overlapping data points.94

Figure 3.4.3.1: EIS plots for mel4 in diphytanyl lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of mel4 (n = 3), showing a fit of the data points. The error bars denote the SEM. B, Conductance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide mel4 (n=3). The error bars denote the SEM. C, Capacitance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide mel4 (n=3). The error bars denote the SEM.96

Figure 3.4.3.2: Conductance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide mel4 (n = 3) repeated at a higher concentration of 30 μ M. The error bars denote the SEM.97

Figure 3.4.4: EIS plots for cys-mel4 in diphytanyl lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of cys-mel4 (n = 3), showing a fit of the data points. The error bars denote the SEM, B, Conductance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide cys-mel4 (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM. C, Capacitance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide cys-mel4 (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM.99

Figure 3.4.5: EIS plots for melAlanine in diphytanyl lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of melimine (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM. B, Conductance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide melAlanine (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM. C, Capacitance response of diphytanyl lipids to the peptide melAlanine (n = 3). The error bars denote the SEM. 101

Figure 3.4.6.1.1: EIS plots for cys-melimine in *E.coli* lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of cys-melimine (n = 3), showing a fit of the data points. The error bars denote the SEM B, Conductance response of *E.coli* lipids to the peptide cys-melimine (n = 3). The

error bars denote the SEM. C, Capacitance response of <i>E.coli</i> lipids to the peptide cys-melimine (n=3). The error bars denote the SEM.	103
Figure 3.4.6.1.2: EIS plots for melimine in <i>E.coli</i> lipid tBLMs. A, Bode plot of before and after the addition of melimine (n = 3),.....	104
Figure 3.4.6.2.1: EIS plots for lipopolysaccharide tBLMs A, Baseline conductance of four lipopolysaccharide membranes. The variation across each well showed no repeatability. B, Bode plot of membrane 4 depicts a phase minima at high frequencies, with a fitted data curve.	106
Figure 3.4.6.2.2: A, Conductance response of Lipid A membranes to the peptide melimine. B, Capacitance response of Lipid A membranes to the peptide melimine.....	108
Figure 3.4.7.1: Conductance response of melimine at pH ~ 5,7,9 in zwitterionic membranes (n = 2). The error bars denote the SEM.	109
Figure 3.4.7.2: Capacitance response of melimine at pH ~ 5,7,9 in zwitterionic membranes (n = 2). The error bars denote the SEM.	110
Figure 3.4.7.3: Conductance response of melimine at pH ~ 5,7,9 in negatively charged membranes (n = 2).	111
Figure 3.4.7.4: Capacitance response of melimine at pH ~ 5,7,9 in negatively charged membranes (n = 2). The error bars denote the SEM.....	111
Figure 3.5.1: Arrhenius plot comparing diphytanyl membranes with and without 10 μ M melimine. Measurements were completed in parallel, with n=3 for both sets of measurements. The error bars denote the SEM.	113
Figure 3.5.2: Arrhenius plot comparing diphytanyl membranes with and without 10 μ M cys-melimine. Measurements were completed in parallel, with n=3 for both sets of measurements. The error bars denote the SEM.	114

Figure 3.5.3: Arrhenius plot comparing diphytanyl membranes with and without 10 μM mel4. Measurements were completed in parallel, $n=3$ for both sets of measurements. The error bars denote the SEM.....	115
Figure 3.5.4: Arrhenius plot comparing diphytanyl membranes with and without 10 μM cys-mel4 comparing the natural log conductance versus the reciprocal of absolute temperature. Measurements were completed in parallel, $n=3$ for both sets of measurements. The error bars denote the SEM.....	116
Figure 3.5.5: Arrhenius plot comparing diphytanyl membranes with and without 10 μM melAlanine. Measurements were completed in parallel, $n=3$ for both sets of measurements. The error bars denote the SEM.	117
Table 3.5: Percentage change of the activation energies of ion traversal after the addition of melimine and its derivatives.	118
Figure 3.6.1: Reflectivity data profiles showing the different contrast scans of melimine in D_2O and H_2O for both up spin and down spin neutron measurements. Reflectivity has been plotted against Q , the scattering vector, defined in Section 2.7.1.....	120
Figure 3.6.2.1: Reflectivity data profiles showing the different contrast scans of cys-melimine in D_2O and H_2O for both up spin and down spin neutron measurements. Reflectivity has been plotted against Q , the scattering vector.....	121
Figure 3.6.2.2: Reflectivity data profiles showing the different contrast scans of mel4 in D_2O and H_2O for both up-spin and down-spin neutron measurements. Reflectivity has been plotted against Q , the scattering vector.....	122
Figure 3.6.3.2: Reflectivity data profiles showing the different contrast scans of melAlanine in D_2O , H_2O and GMW contrast measurements. Reflectivity has been plotted against Q , the scattering vector.....	124
Figure 3.7.1.1: Thermogram of DMPC vesicles, showing an increase in the heat capacity coinciding with the pre-transition and the main phase transition.	125

Figure 3.7.1.2: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M melimine.	126
Figure 3.7.1.3: Thermogram of the pre-transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M melimine.....	127
Figure 3.7.2.1: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M cys-melimine.....	128
Figure 3.7.2.2: Thermogram of the pre-transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M cys-melimine.....	129
Figure 3.7.3.1: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M mel4.	130
Figure 3.7.3.2: Thermogram of the pre-transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M mel4.....	131
Figure 3.7.4.1: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M cys-mel4.....	132
Figure 3.7.4.2: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M cys-mel.....	133
Figure 3.7.5.1: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M melAlanine.....	134
Figure 3.7.5.2: Thermogram of the main phase transition of DMPC lipid vesicles with and without 10 μ M melAlanine.....	135
Table 3.7: Summary of the enthalpies and transition temperatures of melimine and its derivatives.....	136
Table 4.1: Summary of the results in response to each peptide at 10 μ M. Green boxes indicate a marked difference compared to a no-peptide control. Red boxes indicate little or no difference compared to control.	138

Abstract

The novel synthetic cationic peptide *melimine* is a chimera of two natural peptides, *melittin* and *protamine*. This peptide has a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria while having no toxicity in mammalian cells. Melimine was initially synthesised as a coating for contact lenses as a way of reducing keratitis, inflammation of the cornea. However, its antibacterial effects also have further potential use as an antimicrobial coating for other biomaterial surfaces.

This peptide has been studied along with four peptide derivatives to determine the effects that peptide hydrophobicity, charge and size have on the peptides' antibacterial properties through studying their peptide-membrane interactions. Melimine has previously been shown to reduce the integrity of membranes, observed through the leakage of dye from bacterial membranes. The membrane-peptide interactions were compared using advanced lipid membrane biophysical techniques, including in-silico structural modelling, fluorescent membrane dipole measures, differential scanning calorimetry, neutron reflectometry, dynamic light scattering and electrical impedance spectroscopy and Arrhenius measures of their interactions with tethered bilayer lipid membranes (tBLMs).

Through analysing the results obtained from these biophysical techniques, the peptide-membrane interactions of melimine and its derivatives were compared against known modes lipid membrane interactions of antimicrobial compounds. These interactions included the barrel-stave model, carpet model, interdigitated toroidal pore model, critical packing parameter model and other surfactant-like properties. The five peptides showed minimal peptide-membrane interactions and an inability to span a lipid bilayer, leading to a postulation that these five peptides do not conform to having one of these aforementioned modes of action in killing bacteria.

The limited peptide-membrane interactions of the five cationic peptides contrast with their known antibacterial activity against bacteria. This suggests that melimine and its derivatives may interact with other components of bacterial cell membranes; this could include extracellular components, such as porin channels. This research highlights that the assumption that cationic peptides adhere to established membrane disruption models for their antimicrobial

activity requires reconsideration. This study emphasises the need for an alternative model of the antibacterial effects of cationic peptides such as melimine and its derivatives.