

Global Media Ethics and the Covid-19 Pandemic

Catriona Bonfiglioli ¹✉

Email catriona.bonfiglioli@uts.edu.au

¹ University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Abstract

This chapter presents an exploration of ethical issues arising in the news media coverage of the Covid-19 pandemic. This chapter is about key ethical challenges faced by journalists, the evolution of guidance provided for journalists beyond the scope of current codes of journalism ethics. The chapter discusses these issues through the life cycle of the news media drawing on ethics resources as needed. It argues for clearer guidance for journalists reporting health, reviews of journalists' codes of ethics to embed specific values and advice for covering health news, and enhanced employer support for staff and freelance journalists.

Keywords

Journalism ethics
Global media
Agenda setting
Framing
Social constructionism
Disinformation
Misinformation
Covid
Coronavirus
Pandemic

Introduction

The Covid-19 pandemic is a powerful new source of death and disease and a compelling news story. But reporting it is a huge challenge. Covering Covid-19 in an ethical way is such a great test because it requires us to resolve tensions between informing the public in ways which enhance health and avoid fuelling unwarranted fears and harmful stigma.¹ The virus has struck in the middle of a dangerous “misinfodemic.”² Medical misinformation was being widely shared before the pandemic was declared³ and, as WHO Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, noted in February 2020: “We’re not just fighting an epidemic; we’re fighting an infodemic.”⁴ Misinformation poses a barrier to preventing the spread of the virus, promotes dangerous pseudo-remedies, and may reduce uptake of novel vaccines.⁵

The new media ecology undermines the business models that independent journalism has depended on and increases the risks of political and commercial influence.⁶ The public seems largely unaware of these threats to the news they need to make decisions,⁷ and uptake of news has risen as news outlets have innovated to respond to the pandemic and audiences’ preferences.⁸ News outlets’ business models took a further hit when advertisers cut spending and refused to have adverts viewed alongside Covid-19 news.⁹

Thus in a time of uncertain business models, folding papers and staff cuts,¹⁰ Covid-19 (SARS-CoV-2) has made health reporters of multitudes of journalists who never been involved in medical reporting and are not familiar with the additional ethical responsibilities of reporting health news ~~this role bears~~.¹¹ Coverage has soared in many countries¹² providing a vital source of information¹³ which is widely used¹⁴ by audiences, and it is clearer than ever that reporting health issues requires the highest ethical standards because of the powerful impact of health news on health choices and outcomes.¹⁵ While most health journalists appear to be committed to high ethical standards, the reality is that cutbacks have eroded health and science reporting teams.¹⁶

AQ1

In addition to wider social responsibilities to observe ethical norms,¹⁷ journalists are bound by charters and codes of ethics which enjoin them to uphold ethical principles such as truth, fairness, honesty, transparency, justice, respect, and non-maleficence. They are expected to be impartial, minimize harm, keep their word, verify information, and serve the public.¹⁸ In addition,

health and medical journalists have banded together to develop special codes and ethics training resources. These are especially relevant in times of crisis and disaster, and journalists should be familiar with ethical codes and newsroom codes before commencing reporting such situations. This helps explain why the Accountable Journalism project, for example, maintains a global database of journalism codes and calls on journalists to serve the public by being “accurate, independent, impartial, accountable, and show humanity.”¹⁹

This chapter sets out to explore questions about the ethical challenges faced by journalists reporting the pandemic through the life cycle of news. After scoping these aspects of the pandemic landscape, the discussion will focus on key issues arising while the conclusion offers thoughts for the future, noting that the evolution of a global media ethics may take a form such as the developing UNESCO code which may address the need for interventions in the wider communicative environment.²⁰

Gathering News

Gathering news in a time of crisis can be dangerous, and especially so in a time of global health emergency. The current pandemic poses significant threats to journalists’ lives and well-being including infection, violence, quarantine, excess workload, civil liberties crackdowns, duping, flak, and pressure to scoop un-verified news. At the same time, responsibility for their safety is shared between individuals, organizations, and governments.²¹ This is done to varying levels of quality and efficacy, and for this reason bodily safety tips have been provided by key journalists’ groups including the Global Investigative Journalism Network, the International Center for Journalists, and the Pan American Health Organization. For example, animals, farms, markets, and droppings are to be avoided, protective gear and washing are essential, and self-care should be taken after interviews with traumatized people. As responsible members of society, journalists are expected to get tested and self-isolate as advised, as is the case for all citizens. Codes of ethics may not specify self-care, but some news organizations are diligent in preparing and debriefing journalists,²² and the Dart Center based at Columbia University in New York has pioneered evaluating and developing support for journalists reporting on disasters and traumatic events. However, access, cost, and types of training are ongoing issues.²³ In Australia, Dart Centre Asia Pacific in Melbourne provides

a regional hub for media professionals reporting on trauma, and the government-funded “*Mindframe*” initiative supports and guides reporting of mental illness and suicide.²⁴

While governments are exhorted to provide accurate information and protect journalists from harm,²⁵ some have stymied freedom of information²⁶ or joined the “war” on journalism which manifests as violence, legal attacks, and flak.²⁷ For each government with communal “We’re all in this together” messages, others are detaining journalists who offer critiques of their pandemic response.²⁸ The International Press Institute (IPI) and Reporters without Borders (RSF) launched new programs to monitor press freedom with disturbing findings.²⁹ In the USA, journalists reporting the White House and then US President Donald Trump ran the risk of exclusion and flak attacks branding their work as “fake news.”³⁰ and Brazil’s Jair Bolsonaro has downplayed the coronavirus risks and accused the media of hysteria.³¹

Wild variations in the uptake of public health advice and even attacks on mask wearers complicate journalists’ efforts to protect themselves and sources. Codes of ethics and principles of autonomy, non-maleficence, and justice make it clear that vulnerable sources should not be exploited. Respect for persons dictates journalists should take care with all sources whether Covid-19 positive or not. The ethics of naming people as Covid-19 positive is a major ethical issue, discussed below, while, at a practical level, video and telephone services open up safer interviewing methods for journalists.

The pandemic has also shown that major ethical issues for journalists can arise in the case of “whistle-blowers,” as governments and organizations may retaliate in deeply damaging ways against both source and journalist.³² In China, Dr Li Wenliang, who “blew the whistle” on Covid-19, was reprimanded by the police for making “false statements.” Dr Li later died of Covid-19.³³ Elsewhere, there have been too many undignified attacks on experts, most notably President Trump’s repeated denigration of Dr Anthony Fauci and Trump’s televised ambushing of Dr Debbie Birx with a dangerous suggestion to use disinfectant as an ingestible preventive agent.³⁴ The latter was followed by a flurry of safety warnings and an attack on the press for reporting President Trump’s suggestion.³⁵ Despite the associated health risks, elite sources may still command in-person interviews and press conferences during a pandemic.

However, while some elites have taken steps to isolate after testing positive, others have not done so before unmasking in front of journalists: most notably, President Trump and Vice-President Mike Pence in the USA and Bolsonaro in Brazil. Elite sources pose additional hazards because of the tension between their high news value, bearing witness to their activities as potentates, and the difficulty facing journalists trying to adhere to telling the truth while reporting anti-health messages and lies.

Accessing sources in a pandemic requires journalists to adapt to remote and socially distanced meetings with key sources of information,³⁶ and to rigorously evaluate the expertise, honesty, and motivations of those seeking attention. They also need to confront a situation in which legal devices such as Freedom of Information requests grind to a halt.³⁷ For digital interviews, additional efforts to verify the identity of sources may be needed to ensure accuracy and honesty. Some sources have made themselves much more available, and in many places there have been regular, often daily, press briefings from presidents, premiers, and health officials.³⁸ But elsewhere, Covid-19 information has been quarantined, journalists detained, and foreign journalists expelled.³⁹ Influential sources propagating misinformation pose a particular risk which will be discussed later in this chapter.

While journalists are enjoined to cite their sources and be cautious about quoting sources who seek anonymity, few codes of ethics explicitly discuss source choice or evaluation despite these practices underpinning truthfulness and accuracy. However, the Covid-19 pandemic has generated numerous **news reporting sets of** guidelines, **and most of which** advise journalists to seek **out** a range of reliable, truthful, scientific, expert sources, **and as well as** to evaluate expertise, plan interviews, and take care **with when citing** research **which is** not yet peer-reviewed.⁴⁰ Journalists should be aware of their power,⁴¹ be precise about what expertise a source has, give preference to experts who provide unbiased information based on evidence, and verify such sources.⁴² Reporters should evaluate the motives of “bandwagoners” pushing unfounded strategies such as “herd immunity” **by infection** or undermining public health measures such as lockdowns.⁴³ In this regard, Alfred Hermida has provided a clear framework for evaluating sources’ capacity to provide information of a reliable standard.⁴⁴ In regard to **sources** who do not claim any special expertise or knowledge, Peter and Zerback have shown how lay sources can usefully be

categorized as eyewitnesses, case study exemplars, and vox populi.⁴⁵ Vox populi provide opinions of the ordinary citizen and are “replaceable”⁴⁶ by others and are not necessarily vulnerable sources, although they may lack media savvy and thus have the potential to embarrass themselves.

AQ2

Case study exemplars are frequently used by journalists to illustrate their reports, and these have been commonly used in reporting the pandemic. Such exemplars have specific conditions or experiences⁴⁷ that are valuable to the reporter’s story. Thus, reporters may need to reflect on whether those involved are vulnerable, particularly if they have had Covid-19. Eyewitnesses may be highly valued and not easily replaced⁴⁸ and thus pursued more energetically, especially if few or no others witnessed a particular event or incident. Vulnerability is an issue here as “ordinary” people are often thrust into extraordinary circumstances, and generally are not media savvy. Add in membership of a vulnerable section of society such as “migrant,” “refugee,” “non-English speaking” or “inmate,” and extra care is needed to avoid exploitation. Journalists may perceive that people who catch Covid-19 are victims and thus objects of sympathy, but in doing so risk portraying them as “carriers” who pose a risk to other people, and thus their vulnerability should be considered and their consent sought.⁴⁹

Verification in an Infodemic

Quackery and snake oil merchants have a long history. However, today the internet offers such perfect digital disguises and incentives to lie to audiences that misinformation and disinformation pose a more substantial threat to journalists and their audiences⁵⁰ than was generally the case in the past. Misinformation has long been identified as a threat to objective and reliable journalism, democratic processes, and values which underpin health, science, education and politics, and scrutiny of elites.⁵¹ The situation has been further complicated by the rise of social media and search platforms developing human and algorithmic responses, the founding of fact-checking organizations, and the forging of an international network of fact-checkers.⁵² Infectious diseases have long been a key target of mischief makers, but seldom has the threat been as globally extensive as through the dissemination of anti-vaccination messages⁵³ during the current pandemic. Rather than backing off while nations tackle the

pandemic, a frenzy of money-grabbing, ethics-free opportunists is having a field day. Numerous examples of misinformation are circulating despite the fact-checkers' best efforts; these include roping in Covid-19 to the 5G scares, and such false ideas as suggesting that ingesting hot water or alcohol or garlic preparations can prevent infection.⁵⁴ The deadly implications of such activities were clearly demonstrated by the fate of hundreds of people in Iran early in the pandemic.

Verification is a key element of journalism, and accuracy and truthfulness demand it.⁵⁵ However, debunking “fake news” is problematic, especially when such practices involve re-stating false claims. Stroud highlights the role platforms have in the “ethical morass of the disinformation ecosystem” and notes there are disputes over whether media literacy training will enhance resistance to duping by “fake news.”⁵⁶ But while a key ethical concern is thus to eliminate these harms, defining misinformation is complex and labeling it as such may have unintended consequences.⁵⁷ Journalists are urged to be wary of unverified rumors, bust myths, and avoid spreading misinformation,⁵⁸ but the obstacles to doing so are considerable.

Although techniques for verification are increasingly being taught to journalists and journalism students, there is no standardized approach, and newsrooms may lack protocols for verifying social media content.⁵⁹ An added challenge is the necessity of conducting interviews via video and phone, therefore reducing the chances of evaluating source identity and credibility. Journalists must find and publish information, but to maintain trust and credibility they have a responsibility not to spread misinformation, particularly information which may shape individual health decisions. The ethical requirement to be fair and accurate and verify material is a constant, but the barriers to meeting these requirements have never been higher.

Although most health and medical writers have a working familiarity with medical terms for common diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, and obesity, Covid-19 requires them to become familiar with what is virtually a whole new vocabulary. The phrase “public health” has traditionally been understood to mean health services, hospitals, and doctors, but with the advent of Covid-19 journalists have had to extend their understanding of “public health” to include hygiene, “social” distancing, isolation, quarantine, deep-

cleaning, calculating transmission rates, and—most important of all—testing and contact tracing. In addition, they have had to develop an understanding of what it takes to “flatten the curve” when no vaccine is available. Indeed, it is likely that, because of the pandemic, many have now grasped what could be described as the prevention paradox: successful disease suppression allows naysayers to question what all the fuss was about and demand that lockdowns end, borders open, and business as usual be reinstated as fast as possible. Many journalists have also had to develop an understanding of such concepts as “herd immunity,” which has been promoted in some countries as a strategy to deal with the pandemic. They have also had to develop an understanding of the limitations of such concepts. For example, in the absence of a vaccine, herd immunity can only develop if exposure to the disease generates substantial and enduring immune responses. But, unfortunately, Covid-19 is not obliging and the human cost of such a strategy would be immense.⁶⁰

Reporting in a Pandemic

In the face of a novel virus with no known cure or vaccine, anxiety and distress are natural emotions. In such a situation, journalists have an obligation to balance reporting which might precipitate fear with discourses of hope.⁶¹ In February 2020, Wahl-Jorgensen warned of the contagious nature of fear.⁶² Her analysis found 11% of the coverage analyzed used the language of fear,⁶³ meaning the use of terms such as “killer virus.” In Australia, a preliminary analysis found that, as the level of coverage rose, the use of “fear” language fell from 29%, in January 2020, to 9.4%, in April 2020.⁶⁴ Elsewhere, coverage was seen as spreading fear⁶⁵ and provoking panic buying,⁶⁶ which resulted in the production of tipsheets for those covering Covid which are designed to counter fear mongering and include advice about reflecting on personal fears.⁶⁷ More optimistic reports have focused on the prospect of developing a vaccine for Covid-19, but—depending on how it is presented—such news can still generate fear by, for example, overshadowing news of the many lives being saved by more humble public health approaches of quarantine, distancing, hygiene, testing, and contact tracing.⁶⁸

AQ3

While Covid-19 is not fatal for most people, even a small percentage of fatalities across much of the population makes for a high death toll and its after-

effects can be serious for a significant section of the population. At the time of writing, the pandemic poses an ongoing threat to the global population, particularly communities without benefit of clear guidance, public health and hospital capacity, effective public health action, and quality news coverage. In these circumstances, it is clear that journalists need to resist any temptation to elicit “worst-case” scenarios from interviewees even when the sources are keen to speculate.⁶⁹ Ideally, reporters and editors should work together to resist “clickbait” headlines⁷⁰ and keep reporting tightly focused on specifics of risks and solutions.⁷¹

There are also ethical issues surrounding the publication of the identities of individuals with Covid-19 without their consent. Such publication is a clear invasion of privacy with immense power to generate stigma and related harms. While prevention of Covid-19 requires openness and health-seeking behavior, such publication can result in stigma—and fear of being stigmatized can lead to concealment and health care avoidance.⁷² This helps explain why the WHO has cautioned reporters about focusing on individual behavior and seeking “patient zero.”

Whether focused on individuals or communities, infection-linked stigma has a long history from leprosy to bubonic plague, from TB to HIV.⁷³ Stigmatizing and judgmental news portrayals of illness are nothing new, as many controversies aroused in the past by, for example, coverage of HIV indicate. Lupton’s analysis of HIV news found people with HIV were judged as “innocent” or “guilty” according to the extent to which they were seen to pose a risk to others as “carriers.”⁷⁴ Naming and “shaming” individuals with an infection breaches their medical privacy, makes them vulnerable to attack, and may damage their mental well-being. The price is not confined to individuals: stigmatizing groups of people with particular diseases, as the WHO notes, can also provoke people to hide their illness, avoid prompt healthcare, and be discouraged from healthy practices.⁷⁵

Stigmatization is driven by fear of infection, fear of the unknown, job loss, quarantine, and the ease of blaming others.⁷⁶ Stigma is exacerbated by “misinfodemics,” social media, lack of knowledge, social inequality, beliefs, and poor regulations. It’s compounded by gender, ethnicity, chronic disease, smoking, and working in care homes, health care settings, and affected regions.

Stigma drives concealment and affects reputation, status, jobs, self-image, social life, friendships, and health treatment.⁷⁷ It is not helped by “exaggerated media presentations of Covid-19 focusing mainly on negative aspects.”⁷⁸ Impacts include mental illness, increased sickness and mortality, depression and anxiety, suicide, poverty, prolonged transmission, workplace issues including concealment.⁷⁹ The study found clients and relatives experienced discrimination such as the refusal of housing, abuse, gossip, and impacts on health care including testing uptake, timely access to care, and adherence to treatment. Health-care workers were made unwelcome.⁸⁰

Despite the manifest harm that can be caused by stigmatizing coverage, the case for not publishing identities of people infected with Covid-19 was not at the forefront of advice provided to most health and medical journalists in the early stages of the pandemic. However, by mid-March 2020, key experts were advising journalists to check newsroom policy before publishing a name, avoid repeating other reporters’ case identifications, obtain an individual’s consent, or confirm with public health officials.⁸¹ The Global Investigative Journalism Network (GIJN) called for reporters to seek consent and avoid naming locations to protect sufferers’ families and avoid spreading panic, stating that “They may not want to be identified and discuss infections.”⁸²

Since then, a range of informed sources have urged reporters to refrain from identifying people with Covid-19 as this might promote trolling, public shaming, assaults such as spitting and stigma, and to reconsider use of photos identifying people who are ill.⁸³ This advice aligns with the Australian Media Entertainment and Arts Alliance (MEAA) code of ethics which requires journalists not to exploit the vulnerability of sources and to “Respect private grief and personal privacy” and be aware they “have the right to resist compulsion to intrude.”⁸⁴ According to the Australian Press Council, reporters should avoid causing or adding to distress, prejudice, or risk to health unless “sufficiently” in the public interest.⁸⁵ Reporting on political leaders and celebrities is different from showing the surgery of a doctor with Covid-19 who had followed advice⁸⁶ or publishing volunteered personal stories.⁸⁷

In the early stages of the Covid-19 pandemic, the risk of being stigmatized was greatest for people of Asian descent and for international travelers, but was later also directed at immigrants, health-care workers, and people recovering from

Covid-19.⁸⁸ Racist incidents linked to Covid-19 have been reported in many countries, including Australia, where negative media coverage of Asians was held to blame.⁸⁹ Rumors of Asian people stockpiling supplies were widely reported but were later shown to be false.⁹⁰ The news media were accused of spreading anti-Chinese sentiment through “sensationalist headlines, racially charged imagery, and one-sided reporting around the issues concerning the pandemic and the growing influence of China.”⁹¹ Following one study of such reports, Chiu and colleagues from the Per Capita thinktank called on the Australian Human Rights Commission and the Australian Press Council to develop guidelines for media professionals on how to remove unconscious bias, which can fuel more general bias, and stated that online platforms should warn sharers about false information.⁹²

Race and Stigma

Ransing and colleagues urge journalists to “Avoid stigmatizing language (e.g. “foreign virus” or country specific virus, “coronized people”) in public health messaging, media, and social media.”⁹³ Yet although Covid-19 is widely believed to have jumped from animals to humans in Wuhan, China, this has been disputed in a flurry of conspiracy theories supported by influential people who should know better. US leaders and some news outlets blamed China, and Chinese actors raised the rumor of infection springing from US military personnel visiting Wuhan. Then US President Trump continued to brand it a “Chinese virus,” a White House staffer reportedly called the virus “Kungflu,” Secretary of State Mike Pompeo dubbed it a “Wuhan virus,” and the USA called for an investigation in the research labs in Wuhan.⁹⁴ This branding led to the failure of the G7 members to agree on a joint statement.⁹⁵ Racist rhetoric flew far and wide, landing in newspapers with unfortunate effects and making no contribution to fighting the virus. Breathless reporting linked Chinese scientists to bats to coronavirus to Australian research facilities, arguably steering just wide of the conspiracy theories but still contributing to blame framing of China as responsible. Genomic studies demonstrate Covid-19 is not a purposefully manipulated virus⁹⁶ and scientists banded together⁹⁶ to offer their support to hard-working scientists in China.⁹⁷ Reporters were urged to be accurate and avoid the temptation to propagate conspiracy theories about the virus as a Chinese bio-weapon or the US military.⁹⁸ The war of words between China and the US was accompanied by reciprocal tightening of journalists’ visa conditions.⁹⁹

Asian Australians are not alone in feeling a sense of victimization: three young Queensland women accused of breaching quarantine were named, pictured, and framed as criminals in prominent news coverage. This treatment was criticized for its racist elements,¹⁰⁰ including by the Queensland Human Rights Commissioner Scott McDougall.¹⁰¹ The APC enjoins media not to cause or contribute “materially” to “substantial offence, distress or prejudice, or a substantial risk to health or safety, unless doing so is sufficiently in the public interest.”¹⁰² Yet the young women were named by the Australian Broadcasting Corporation (ABC), Special Broadcasting Service (SBS), *The Age*, *Herald Sun* and *The Sydney Morning Herald*, *Brisbane Times*, *Daily Mail*, and commercial TV outlets.¹⁰³

Some news reports about the women used “othering” language such as “enemies of the state,” “border brats,” and “missing link”¹⁰⁴ and presented them as criminals even before they faced court. Unsurprisingly, the public pillorying of the women was followed by online abuse and an uptick in racist abuse against Australians of African heritage in the Brisbane area.¹⁰⁵ There was little consistency, as most news media reports on other people breaching quarantine restrictions did not name the alleged offenders.¹⁰⁶ McDougall is reported as saying: “we cannot allow this to create a second wave of Covid-related racial hostility,” noting that the first wave of blame focused on migrant communities in the state of Victoria.¹⁰⁷ While the Queensland Police denied they had released the names of the young women to the media, *Courier Mail* editor Chris Jones reportedly justified the reports saying people being exposed to a virus had a “right to know.”¹⁰⁸

The Global Investigative Journalism Network calls for informed consent always to be granted before affected individuals are publicly named, noting that traumatized victims “may not want to be identified and discuss infections. Even naming where the victim lives can spread panic in that community, leaving the victim’s family even more insecure.”¹⁰⁹ In this case, the third woman to be named expressed a clear preference not to be identified by telling media she wanted “everything to be confidential. I don’t want to be in the media.”¹¹⁰ Reporting people as problems, rather than presenting them as people with problems, positions them as “other,” fails to give them voice and deprives them of the chance to be listened to.¹¹¹ Although on this occasion one woman’s

brother was interviewed, it was well after the negative news had spread far and wide. Was there a public interest? Identifying the location of active cases is good public health practice as it helps motivate possible contacts to get tested, but is it necessary to name positive individuals and publish their photographs in order to achieve this public health goal? Clearly, the answer is “no.”

Unsurprisingly, Human Rights Commissioner Scott McDougall is reported as saying the naming did nothing to prevent the spread of Covid-19.¹¹²

Listening

That audiences may be put off by “the perception that news does not help people live the lives they want to live”¹¹³ underlines the importance of service journalism and “news you can use.” The value of such service journalism was demonstrated by the results of a 2020 Egyptian survey of news users which found that early in the pandemic the top three motivations for seeking news were fear of infection, a desire to learn about symptoms, and a desire to learn about possible preventive measures.¹¹⁴ A majority of those surveyed considered they had an active role in educating and preventing the spread.¹¹⁵

Crucially, new engagement practices are developing stronger ties between journalists and their communities, often facilitating closer relationships with citizens¹¹⁶ and, in the process, highlighting the need for journalists to be active listeners.¹¹⁷ Citizen contributions to media have a long history¹¹⁸ but the scale and sophistication of “user generated content,” which can be integrated into works of journalism, particularly in crises,¹¹⁹ or actually constitute acts of journalism, has accelerated in the internet era. Twitter was quickly embraced as the place for breaking news,¹²⁰ rapidly joined by a growing range of online media. Although the pandemic has placed a physical barrier between journalists and communities, the expertise to source and interview people using digital media is firmly embedded in journalism. For many journalists, such channels provide vital new opportunities for collaborative journalism such as crowd-sourcing, fact-checking, and document analysis.¹²¹

Many journalists **today** depend on **how** audiences **use their** “consecrating” powers **on social media** to endorse, reject, share, and comment **on news articles**, **and so** asking citizens what questions they want answered makes **both** professional and business sense.¹²² Numerous outfits such as Solutions

Journalism Network, Hearken, NPR, New York Times, WMHT, Current, GroundSource, ABC (Australia), Groundup, Global Voices, and The Op Ed Project are using social media, machine learning, and SMS to bring citizens into the making of journalism.¹²³ Collaborative fact-checking and forging community links are seen in Die Zeit's "Deutschland Sprichts" and First Draft News's Crosscheck in France.¹²⁴ These practices create opportunities to reach different communities in relevant community languages, as has been the case, for example, with initiatives by Australia's Special Broadcasting Service and the USA's LAist.¹²⁵ Such initiatives hold out the promise that it will be possible in the near future for news media to connect with marginalized voices across the globe.¹²⁶

AQ4

Conclusion

In an age of mass social media where journalism competes for attention with an avalanche of addictive, uninformed, and actively misleading information, the intersection of journalism ethics and global media ethics¹²⁷ requires serious attention. The pandemic makes it all too clear that it is time for a new international code of responsible health communication to be developed and applied to all those who assert their rights to communicate about health. Such a code would fall within Ward's 2018 framework of a global media ethics which serves as ethics for everyone and, more specifically, within Ward's "communicative ethics beyond journalism."¹²⁸ Ward argues for ethical codes to become living documents with practitioners and publics collaborating to weave principles, practices, and practical advice together.¹²⁹ This is not a sole voice but, rather, a view which is increasingly being taken up elsewhere. For example, in Pakistan, Ittefaq and colleagues have urged the local media to promote authentic sources, the government to engage with platforms to remove harmful and misleading content, and new campaigns be launched to boost media health literacy.¹³⁰ Honest and timely communication with the public on matters of vital public health interest should be underpinned by a new era of ethical training and education in critical media health literacy.¹³¹ Ideally, this would be underpinned by funding participatory, collaborative, community-centered research to develop communication ethics from the ground up, informed by citizen journalism initiatives.¹³²

Scaffolding of citizens' ability to resist and reject misinformation should form a central part of the response to Ward's 2018 call for "media and ethics literacy" to be developed at the interface between publics and practitioners, educators, and researchers.¹³³ Re-invigorated mathematics and scientific teaching at school may be required to underpin citizens' capacity to receive and understand health information. These reforms need to be accompanied by effective platform accountability for anti-health messages, withholding platform income from deceivers, and rapid take-down of misleading information. Nations whose governments balk at accurate reporting of cases, testing, and fatalities must be held to a higher standard. Citizens deserve no less.

Codes of ethics may need to be refined to provide much clearer guidance about the special challenges of health journalism, especially the need to protect privacy of health information. The challenge to ethical practice troubles journalists—8% in one survey indicated they considered their ethics had been compromised¹³⁴—and in many countries there has been a lack of Covid-specific guidelines for journalists from employers.

Covid-19 will be with us for some time to come. We can only hope it becomes less deadly as the virus attenuates through evolution [and vaccination spreads](#). But there is no reason to wait for reform in education in health media literacy for young and old, in how to be a responsible and ethical communicator, or in empowering citizens to resist misinformation and contribute to ethical communication codes for all. Now is the time to respond to audiences' desire for a higher proportion of positive news, collaborative journalism, and non-pandemic stories. Now is the time to revise journalistic codes of ethics to account for the power of the pandemic.

Limitations

At the time of writing, the Covid-19 pandemic is still new and some references are pre-prints and may be subject to retraction or editing. This chapter does not claim to be exhaustive and selections of examples are informed by the Australian setting of the author. Many stories of individual nations, events, and journalists cannot be included. The chapter does not provide the space to discuss the joy of reporting on iso-creativity.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the following for practical support, useful references, and collegial encouragement: Ian Richards, Marie McKenzie, JERAA, Lisa Edser, Andrew Hystek-Dunk, and colleagues at UTS, especially those in the library.

References

Abbas AH (2020) Politicizing the pandemic: a schemata analysis of COVID-19 news in two selected newspapers. *Int J Semiot Law-Revue internationale de Sémiotique juridique* 3(July):1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-020-09745-2>

Accountable Journalism (2020) Codes of ethics. Ethical Journalism Network, Missouri School of Journalism. <https://accountablejournalism.org/ethics-codes>

Andersen K, Rambaut A, Lipkin I, Holmes E, Garry R (2003) The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Med* 26(4):450–452

Asian Australian Alliance, Osmond Chiu, Peter Chuang (2020) Coronavirus racism incident report: reporting racism against Asians in Australia rising due to the COVID-19 Coronavirus pandemic. <https://asianaustalianalliance.net/covid-19-coronavirus-racism-incident-report/covid-19-racism-incident-report-preliminary-report/>. October 30 2020

Australian Press Council. Statement of general principles. https://www.presscouncil.org.au/uploads/52321/ufiles/GENERAL_PRINCIPLES_-_July_14.pdf

Bernadas J, Ilagan K (2020) Journalism, public health, and COVID-19: some preliminary insights from the Philippines. *Media Int Aust* 177(1):132–138

Bonfiglioli C (2020) Responsible journalism in a time of coronavirus. <https://jraa.org.au/responsible-journalism-in-a-time-of-coronavirus/>. April 24, 2020

Bonfiglioli C, Cullen T (2017) Health journalism – evolution and innovation in the digital age. *Aust J Rev* 39(2):13–22

Brennen S, Simon F, Howard P, Nielsen RK (2020) Types, sources, and claims of COVID-19 misinformation. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, Oxford. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/types-sources-and-claims-covid-19-misinformation>

Broad W, Levin D (2020) Trump muses about light as remedy, but also disinfectant, which is dangerous. *New York Times*, April 24, 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/24/health/sunlight-coronavirus-trump.html>

Calisher C, Carroll D, Colwell R, Corley R, Daszak P, Drosten C, Enjuanes L, Farrar J, Field H, Golding J (2020) Statement in support of the scientists, public health professionals, and medical professionals of china combatting COVID-19. *The Lancet* 395(10226):e42–e43

Cameron D, McKay J, Marszalek J (2020a) Hotspot Trio ‘Lied to cross border’. *Courier Mail*, August 5, 2020

Cameron D, McKay J, Ransley E (2020b) Hunt for missing link between Logan, Brisbane Clusters. *Courier Mail*, August 25, 2020, online

Chain B (2020) Third ‘Border Jumper’ who sparked fears of a second wave of COVID-19 in Queensland is granted bail and will face court along with her two friends who ‘Lied their way into the State from Victoria’ before testing positive. *Daily Mail Australia*, October 21, 2020, online

Chowdhury M (2020) Tips for journalists covering COVID-19. *Global Investigative Journalism Network*, April, 2020. <https://gijn.org/2020/03/10/tips-for-journalists-covering-covid-19/>

Christensen T, Lægreid P (2020) The coronavirus crisis – crisis communication, meaning-making, and reputation management. *Int Publ Manag J* 23(5):713–729

Davis S (2017) Citizen health journalism: negotiating between political engagement and professional identity in a media training program for healthcare workers. *J Pract* 11(2-3):319–335

Dawson N, Molitorisz S, RizoIU M-A, Fray P (2020) Layoffs, inequity and COVID-19: a longitudinal study of the journalism jobs crisis in Australia from 2012 to 2020, August, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.12459>

Dobbie M (2020) The war on journalism: the MEAA report into the state of press freedom in Australia in 2020. Media Entertainment & Arts Alliance, Sydney. <https://www.meaa.org/download/2020-press-freedom-report/>

Farhall K, Carson A, Wright S, Gibbons A, Lukamto W (2019) Political elites' use of fake news discourse across communications platforms. *Int J Commun* 23:13

Fowler A (2015) The war on journalism. Random House, Sydney

Griffen-Foley B (2004) From tit-bits to big brother: a century of audience participation in the media. *Media Cult Soc* 26(4):533

Gyenes N, Xiao MA (2018) How misinfodemics spread disease. *The Atlantic*, September, 2018. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2018/08/how-misinfodemics-spread-disease/568921/>

Hermida A (2015) Filtering fact from fiction: a verification framework for social media. In: Zion L, Craig D (eds) *Ethics for digital journalists: emerging best practices*. Routledge, Abingdon, pp 59–73

Hooker C, King C, Leask J (2012) Journalists' views about reporting avian Influenza and a potential pandemic: a qualitative study. *Influenza Other Respir Virus* 6(3):224–229

Ittefaq M, Hussain SA, Fatima M (2020) COVID-19 and social-politics of medical misinformation on social media in Pakistan. *Media Asia* 47(1-2):75–80

Jaworsky B, Qiaoan R (2020) The politics of blaming: the narrative battle between China and the US over COVID-19. *J Chin Polit Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11366-020-09690-8>

Jones D, Helmreich S (2020) A history of herd immunity. *The Lancet*

396(10254):810–811

Kasprak A (2020) The origins and scientific failings of the COVID-19 ‘Bioweapon’ conspiracy theory, April 23, 2020. <https://www.snopes.com/news/2020/04/01/covid-19-bioweapon/>

Khairy L (2020) Applying the four models of science journalism to the publics’ interaction with Coronavirus news. *Arab Media Soc* 28(Summer/Fall):6

Kovach B, Rosenstiel T (2014) *The elements of journalism: what newspeople should know and the public should expect*. Random House, New York

Luce A, Jackson D, Thorsen E (2017) Citizen journalism at the margins. *J Pract* 11(2-3):266–284

Lupton D (1999) Archetypes of infection: people with HIV/AIDS in the Australian Press in the Mid-1990s. *Sociol Health Illn* 21(1):37–53

McBride K (2020) When should you name COVID-19 patients and other ethical decisions U.S. newsrooms will face this week. 2020. <https://www.poynter.org/ethics-trust/2020/when-should-you-name-covid-19-patients-and-other-ethical-decisions-u-s-newsrooms-will-face-this-week/>

Media Entertainment & Arts Alliance. The MEAA journalist code of ethics. <https://www.meaa.org/meaa-media/code-of-ethics/>

Mertz R, Champion D, Serrie J (2020) Global journalist: from Ebola to COVID. <https://mospace.umsystem.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10355/73157/GJ-2020-05-03-transcript.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y>

Mihailidis P, Gamwell A Designing engagement in local news: using FOIA requests to create inclusive participatory journalism practices. *J Pract*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2020.1819381>

Mohanty M (June 2020) Media coverage of COVID-19: an analysis of four national newspapers in India. *Alochana Chakra J* 9(6):290–302

Muller D (2020a) Coronavirus is a huge story, so journalists must apply the highest ethical standards in how they tell it. *The Conversation*, March 12, 2020. <https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-is-a-huge-story-so-journalists-must-apply-the-highest-ethical-standards-in-how-they-tell-it-133347>

Muller D (2020b) Naming and shaming two young women shows the only ‘Enemies of the State’ are the media. *The Conversation*, July 31, 2020. <https://theconversation.com/naming-and-shaming-two-young-women-shows-the-only-enemies-of-the-state-are-the-media-143685>

Newman N (2011) Mainstream media and the distribution of news in the age of social discovery. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, September, 2011. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2017-11/Mainstream%20media%20and%20the%20distribution%20of%20news%20in%20the%20age%20of%20social%20discovery.pdf>

Nielsen RK, Selva M (2019) More important, but less robust? Five things everybody needs to know about the future of journalism. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism Oxford. 2019. https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2019-01/Nielsen_and_Selva_FINAL_0.pdf

Nielsen K, Rasmus RF, Newman N, Scott Brennen J, Howard P (2020) Navigating the ‘Infodemic’: how people in six countries access and rate news and information about Coronavirus. In: *Misinformation, science, and media*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, Oxford

Nwakpu E, Ezema V, Ogbodo J (2020) Nigeria media framing of Coronavirus pandemic and audience response. *Health Promot Perspect* 10(3):192–199

Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2020) COVID-19: governments must promote and protect access to and free flow of information during pandemic – international experts. OHCHR, March 19, 2020. <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=25729&LangID=E>

Ogbodo J, Onwe E, Chukwu J, Nwasum C, Nwakpu E, Nwankwo S, Nwamini S, Elem S, Ogbaeja N (2020) Communicating health crisis: a content analysis of global media framing of COVID-19. *Health Promot* 10(3):257–269

Pan American Health Organization (2020) COVID-19 An Informative Guide. Advice for Journalists, Washington, DC. https://iris.paho.org/bitstream/handle/10665.2/52392/PAHOCMUPACOV1920003_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Park S, Fisher C, Young J, Lee, McGuinness K (2020) COVID-19: Australian news and misinformation. University of Canberra News & Media Research Centre, Canberra, July, 2020

Peter C, Zerback T (2020) Ordinary citizens in the news: a conceptual framework. *J Stud* 21(8):1003–1016

Philips T (2020) Brazil's Jair Bolsonaro says Coronavirus crisis is a media trick. *The Guardian*, March 23, 2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/23/brazils-jair-bolsonaro-says-coronavirus-crisis-is-a-media-trick>

Posetti J, Bell E, Brown P (2020) Journalism & the pandemic: a global snapshot of impacts. International Center for Journalists and Tow Center for Digital Journalism, Washington, DC/New York

Pullicino L (2020) COVID-19: the impact on news media. In: Calleya S (ed) *Towards a post pandemic Euro-Mediterranean strategy*. Mediterranean Academy of Diplomatic Study, Malta, pp 79–98

Radcliffe D (2020) The publisher's guide to navigating COVID-19. What's new in publishing insight report. University of Oregon, Eugene

Rajkhowa A (2020) COVID-19 dissensus in Australia negotiating uncertainty in public health communication and media commentary on a pandemic. *Pac J Rev Te Koakoa* 26(1):253–263. <https://doi.org/10.24135/pjr.v26i1.1091>

Ransing R, Ramalho R, de Filippis R, Ojeahere M, Karaliuniene R, Orsolini L, da Costa M, Ullah I, Grandinetti P, Bytyçi DG et al (2020) Infectious disease outbreak related stigma and discrimination during the COVID-19 pandemic: drivers, facilitators, manifestations, and outcomes across the world. *Brain Behav Immun* 89:555–558

Schwitzer G, Mudur G, Henry D, Wilson A, Goozner M, Simbra M, Sweet M, Baverstock KA (2005) What are the roles and responsibilities of the media in disseminating health information? *Publ Libr Sci Med* 2(7):0576–0582

Shahriar A, Junaid GM (2020) The discourse of fear around health and economy in Pakistani English newspaper articles on Covid-19. *Linguist Lit Rev* 6(2):1–10

Shapiro B, Newman E, Slaughter A (2017) *Journalists and safety training: experiences and opinions*. Dart Center for Journalism and Trauma, New York

Sherwell P (2020) Virus mutates into US import as Beijing tries to shift blame. *The Sunday Times*, March 15, 2020

Shields B (2020) After 10 days of hell, take it from me: you don't want to catch this virus. *Sydney Morning Herald*, April 16, 2020. <https://www.smh.com.au/world/europe/after-10-days-of-hell-take-it-from-me-youdont-want-to-catch-this-virus-20200415-p54juk.html>

Simons M (2020) One hundred days of Andrews' Press conferences: what do they tell us about journalism? *The Age*, October 11, 2020

Skehan J, Burns LS, Hazell T (2009) The response ability project: integrating the reporting of suicide and mental illness into journalism curricula. *J Mass Commun Educ* 64(2):191–204

Smee B, Meade A (2020) Naming Brisbane women risks 'a second wave of Covid-related racial hostility': commission. *The Guardian*, July 30, 2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2020/jul/30/naming-brisbane-women-risks-a-second-wave-of-covid-related-racial-hostility-commission>

Spinner J (2020) Covering COVID-19: a health check for science journalism. *Gateway J Rev* 49(357):4–6

Stroud S (2019) Pragmatist media ethics and the challenges of fake news. *J Media Ethics* 34(4):178–192

Tardáguila C (2020) Three falsehoods about COVID-19 that must be killed, and how you can help. Poynter, April 16, 2020. <https://www.poynter.org/fact-checking/2020/three-falsehoods-about-covid-19-that-must-be-killed-and-how-you-can-help/>

Tompkins AI (2020) How newsrooms can tone down their coronavirus coverage while still reporting responsibly. Poynter, March 5, 2020. <https://www.poynter.org/reporting-editing/2020/how-newsrooms-can-tone-down-their-coronavirus-coverage-while-still-reporting-responsibly/>

Tyler M, Liu T (2020) Great power blame game: the ongoing war of words over COVID-19. In: Mirchandani M, Suri S, Warjri LB (eds) *The viral world*. Wiley Blackwell, Durham, pp 62–71

Wahl-Jorgensen K (2020) Coronavirus: how media coverage of epidemics often stokes fear and panic. *The Conversation*, February 15, 2020

Wahl-Jorgensen K, Mitchell L, O'Donnell A, Allen G, Hill M, Sambrook R, Poortinga W, Martinez CJ, Walsh M, Kinnear S et al (2020) *Advice for journalists covering Covid-19: Welsh NHS confederation*. Cardiff University, Cardiff

Wahlquist C, AAP (2020) Doctor who had Coronavirus demands apology from Victorian Health Minister over 'Inaccuracies'. *The Guardian*, March 8, 2020

Walker A (2019) Preparing students for the fight against false information with visual verification and open source reporting. *J Mass Commun Educ* 74(2):227–239

Walsh-Childers K (2016) *Mass media and health: examining media impact on individuals and the health environment*. Routledge, London

Ward SJA (2018) *Disrupting journalism ethics: radical change on the frontier of digital media*. Routledge, London

Ward SJ, Wasserman H (2015) Open ethics: towards a global media ethics of listening. *Journalism Studies* 16(6):834–849

Wardle C, Derakhshan H (2017) Information disorder: toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policymaking. Council of Europe, Brussels

Waszak P, Kasprzycka-Waszak W, Kubanek A (2018) The spread of medical fake news in social media—the pilot quantitative study. *Health Policy Technol* 7(2):115–118

Wharf Higgins J, Begoray D (2012) Exploring the borderlands between media and health: conceptualizing ‘Critical media health literacy’. *Journal of Media Literacy Education* 4(2):138–148

Wilson A, Bonevski B, Jones A, Henry D (2009) Media reporting of health interventions: signs of improvement, but major problems persist. *PLoS One* 4(3):e4831

World Health Organization (2020) Social stigma associated with COVID-19: a guide to preventing and addressing social stigma. <https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf>

Zarocostas J (2020) How to fight an infodemic. *World Rep* 395(10225):676

Zhu J (2020) Is it ethical to be a ‘Whistleblower’ during COVID-19 pandemic? Ethical challenges confronted by health care workers in China. *J Adv Nurs* 76(8):1873–1875

¹ Muller, *Coronavirus is a Huge Story, so Journalists Must Apply the Highest Ethical Standards in How They Tell It*. Wahl-Jorgensen, *Coronavirus: How Media Coverage of Epidemics Often Stokes Fear and Panic*.

² Wardle and Derakhshan, *Information Disorder: Toward an Interdisciplinary Framework for Research and Policymaking*, 9.

³ Waszak, Kasprzycka-Waszak and Kubanek, *The Spread of Medical Fake News in Social Media*.

⁴ Zarocostas, *How to Fight an Infodemic*, 676.

⁵ Ittefaq, Hussain, and Fatima, *COVID-19 and Social-politics of Medical Misinformation on Social Media in Pakistan*.

- 6 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19.”
- 7 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust? Five Things Everybody Needs to Know about the Future of Journalism*.
- 8 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19.”
- 9 Pullicino, *COVID-19: The Impact on News Media*
- 10 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19.”
- 11 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust?*
- 12 Mohanty, *Media Coverage of COVID-19: An Analysis of Four National Newspapers in India*. Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*.
- 13 Nwakpu, Ezema and Ogbodo, *Nigeria Media Framing of Coronavirus Pandemic and Audience Response*.
- 14 Nielsen et al., *Navigating the ‘Infodemic’: How People in Six Countries Access and Rate News and Information About Coronavirus*.
- 15 Walsh-Childers, *Mass Media and Health: Examining Media Impact on Individuals and the Health Environment*.
- 16 Bonfiglioli & Cullen, *Health Journalism – Evolution and Innovation in the Digital Age*; Spinner, *Covering COVID-19: A Health Check for Science Journalism*.
- 17 Ward, *What is Global Media Ethics?* Chapter 1, this volume.
- 18 Ibid.
- 19 *Accountable Journalism, Codes of Ethics*.
- 20 Ward, *What is Global Media Ethics?*
- 21 Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, *COVID-19: Governments Must Promote and Protect Access To and Free Flow of Information During Pandemic*.
- 22 Shapiro, Newman & Slaughter, *Journalists and Safety Training: Experiences and Opinions*.
- 23 Ibid.
- 24 Skehan, Burns and Hazell, *The Response Ability Project: Integrating the Reporting of Suicide and Mental Illness into Journalism Curricula*.
- 25 Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.
- 26 Pullicino, *COVID-19: The Impact on News Media*.
- 27 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust?* Dobbie, *The War on Journalism*.
- 28 Pullicino, *COVID-19: The Impact on News Media*.
- 29 Ibid.
- 30 Farhall et al., *Political Elites’ Use of Fake News Discourse Across Communications Platforms*.
- 31 Philips, “Brazil’s Jair Bolsonaro says Coronavirus Crisis is a Media Trick.”

- ³² Dobbie, *The War on Journalism*.
- ³³ Zhu, *Is it Ethical to be a ‘Whistleblower’ During COVID-19 Pandemic?*
- ³⁴ Broad and Levin, ‘Trump Muses about Light as Remedy, but also Disinfectant, which is Dangerous.’
- ³⁵ Ibid.
- ³⁶ Bernadas and Ilagan, *Journalism, Public Health, and COVID-19: Some Preliminary Insights from the Philippines*.
- ³⁷ Pullicino, *COVID-19: The Impact on News Media*.
- ³⁸ Christensen and Laegreid, *The Coronavirus Crisis—Crisis Communication, Meaning-making, and Reputation Management*; Rajkhowa, *COVID-19 Dissensus in Australia: Negotiating Uncertainty in Public Health Communication and Media Commentary on a Pandemic*; Simons, *One Hundred Days of Andrews’ Press Conferences: What Do They Tell Us About Journalism?*
- ³⁹ Pullicino, *COVID-19: The Impact on News Media*.
- ⁴⁰ Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*; Chowdhury, *Tips for Journalists Covering COVID-19*; Pan American Health Organization, *COVID-19 An Informative Guide. Advice for Journalists*.
- ⁴¹ Brennen et al., *Types, Sources, and Claims of COVID-19 Misinformation*.
- ⁴² Chowdhury, *Tips for Journalists Covering COVID-19*; Pan American Health Organization, *COVID-19 An Informative Guide Advice for journalists*; Mertz, Champion, and Serrie, *Global Journalist: From Ebola to COVID*; Wahl-Jorgensen et al., *Advice for Journalists Covering Covid-19*.
- ⁴³ Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*.
- ⁴⁴ Hermida, *Filtering Fact from Fiction: A Verification Framework for Social Media*.
- ⁴⁵ Peter and Zerback, ‘Ordinary Citizens in the News: A Conceptual Framework.’
- ⁴⁶ Ibid.
- ⁴⁷ Ibid.
- ⁴⁸ Ibid.
- ⁴⁹ Lupton, *Archetypes of Infection: People with HIV/AIDS in the Australian Press in the Mid-1990s*.
- ⁵⁰ Wardle and Derakhshan, 9.
- ⁵¹ Stroud, ‘Pragmatist Media Ethics and the Challenges of Fake News.’
- ⁵² Brennen et al., *Types, Sources, and Claims of COVID-19 Misinformation*.
- ⁵³ Waszak, Kasprzycka-Waszak, and Kubanek, ‘The Spread of Medical Fake News in Social Media—the Pilot Quantitative Study.’

- ⁵⁴ Tardáguila, “Three Falsehoods About COVID-19 That Must Be Killed, And How You Can Help.”
- ⁵⁵ Kovach and Rosenstiel, *The Elements of Journalism: What Newspeople Should Know and the Public Should Expect*.
- ⁵⁶ Stroud, “Pragmatist Media Ethics and the Challenges of Fake News.”
- ⁵⁷ Ibid.
- ⁵⁸ Pan American Health Organization.
- ⁵⁹ Walker, “Preparing Students for the Fight Against False Information With Visual Verification and Open Source Reporting.”
- ⁶⁰ Jones and Helmreich, “A History of Herd Immunity.”
- ⁶¹ Kovach and Rosenstiel, *The Elements of Journalism*.
- ⁶² Wahl-Jorgensen, “Coronavirus: How Media Coverage of Epidemics often Stokes Fear and Panic.”
- ⁶³ Ibid.
- ⁶⁴ Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*.
- ⁶⁵ Ogbodo, et al, *Communicating Health Crisis: a Content Analysis of Global Media Framing of COVID-19*.
- ⁶⁶ Park et al., *COVID-19: Australian News and Misinformation*.
- ⁶⁷ Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*; Pan American Health Organization.
- ⁶⁸ World Health Organization.
- ⁶⁹ Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*.
- ⁷⁰ Tompkins, “How Newsrooms can Tone Down their Coronavirus Coverage while still Reporting Responsibly.”
- ⁷¹ Shahriar and Junaid, “*The Discourse of Fear around Health and Economy in Pakistani English Newspaper Articles on Covid-19*.”
- ⁷² World Health Organization.
- ⁷³ Ransing et al., “Infectious Disease Outbreak Related Stigma and Discrimination During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Drivers, Facilitators, Manifestations and Outcomes across the World.”
- ⁷⁴ Lupton, 49.
- ⁷⁵ World Health Organization.
- ⁷⁶ Ibid.
- ⁷⁷ Ransing et al, “Infectious Disease Outbreak Related Stigma and Discrimination During the COVID-19 Pandemic, 556.
- ⁷⁸ Ibid.

- 79 Ibid.
- 80 Ibid.
- 81 Wahl-Jorgensen et al, *Advice for Journalists Covering Covid-19*.
- 82 Chowdhury, *Tips for Journalists Covering COVID-19*.
- 83 Bonfiglioli, *Responsible Journalism in a Time of Coronavirus*; McBride, “When Should You Name COVID-19 Patients and Other Ethical Decisions U.S. Newsrooms Will Face This Week.”
- 84 Media Entertainment & Arts Alliance, *The MEAA Journalists’ Code of Ethics*.
- 85 Australian Press Council, “*Statement of Principles*.”
- 86 Muller, *Coronavirus is a Huge Story*; Wahlquist, *Doctor Who Had Coronavirus Demands Apology from Victorian Health Minister over ‘Inaccuracies’*.
- 87 Shields, “After 10 Days of Hell, Take It From Me: You Don’t Want To Catch This Virus.”
- 88 Ransing, “Infectious Disease Outbreak.”
- 89 Chiu and Chuang, “COVID-19 Coronavirus Racism Incident Report: Reporting Racism Against Asians in Australia Rising Due to the COVID-19 Coronavirus Pandemic.”
- 90 Ibid.
- 91 Ibid.
- 92 Ibid.
- 93 Ransing, “Infectious Disease Outbreak.”
- 94 Abbas, “Politicizing the Pandemic: A Schemata Analysis of COVID-19 News in Two Selected Newspapers.”
- 95 Tyler and Liu, “Great Power Blame Game: The Ongoing War of Words Over COVID-19.”
- 96 Andersen, et al., “The Proximal Origin of SARS-CoV-2.”
- 97 Calisher, et al., “Statement in Support of the Scientists, Public Health Professionals, and Medical Professionals of China Combatting COVID-19.”
- 98 Sherwell, “Virus Mutates into US Import as Beijing Tries to Shift Blame.”
- 99 Jaworsky and Qiaoan, “The Politics of Blaming: the Narrative Battle between China and the US over COVID-19.”
- 100 Muller, *Coronavirus is a Huge Story*.
- 101 Smee and Meade, “Naming Brisbane Women Risks ‘a Second Wave of Covid-related Racial Hostility’: Commission.”
- 102 Australian Press Council, “Statement of General Principles.”
- 103 Smee and Meade, “Naming Brisbane Women Risks ‘a Second Wave of Covid-related Racial Hostility’: Commission.”
- 104 Cameron, McKay and Ransley, “Hunt for Missing Link between Logan, Brisbane Clusters.”

- 105 Smee and Meade, “Naming Brisbane Women Risks ‘a Second Wave of Covid-related Racial Hostility’: Commission.”
- 106 Muller; Cameron, McKay, and Marszalek, “Hotspot Trio lied to Cross Border.”
- 107 Smee and Meade, “Naming Brisbane Women Risks ‘a Second Wave of Covid-related Racial Hostility’: Commission.”
- 108 Ibid.
- 109 Chowdhury, *Tips for Journalists Covering COVID-19*.
- 110 Chain, “Third ‘border jumper’ who Sparked Fears of a Second Wave of COVID-19 in Queensland is Granted Bail and will face Court along with her Two Friends who ‘lied their way into the state from Victoria’ before Testing Positive.”
- 111 Ward and Wasserman, “Open Ethics: Towards a Global Media Ethics of Listening.”
- 112 Smee and Meade, “Naming Brisbane Women Risks ‘a Second Wave of Covid-related Racial Hostility: Commission.”
- 113 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust?* 17.
- 114 Khairy, “Applying the Four Models of Science Journalism to the Publics’ Interaction with Coronavirus News,” 82.
- 115 Ibid.
- 116 Kovach and Rosenstiel, *The Elements of Journalism*.
- 117 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19,” 38.
- 118 Griffen-Foley, “From Tit-Bits to Big Brother: A Century of Audience Participation in the Media,” 533.
- 119 Newman, *Mainstream Media and the Distribution of News in the Age of Social Discovery*.
- 120 Ibid.
- 121 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust?* 17.
- 122 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19,” 40.
- 123 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19.” Ward and Wasserman, “Open Ethics,” 840.
- 124 Nielsen and Selva, *More Important, but Less Robust?* 17.
- 125 Radcliffe, “The Publisher’s Guide to Navigating COVID-19.”
- 126 Ward and Wasserman, “Open Ethics.”
- 127 Ward, *Disrupting Journalism Ethics: Radical Change on the Frontier of Digital Media*.
- 128 Ward, *Disrupting Journalism Ethics: Radical Change on the Frontier of Digital Media*, 89.
- 129 Ward, *Disrupting Journalism Ethics: Radical Change on the Frontier of Digital Media*, 93
- 130 Ittefaq, Hussain, and Fatima, *COVID-19 and Social-politics of Medical Misinformation on Social Media in Pakistan*.

¹³¹ Wharf Higgins and Begoray, “Exploring the Borderlands between Media and Health: Conceptualizing Critical Media Health Literacy.”

¹³² Luce, Jackson, and Thorsen, “Citizen Journalism at the Margins,” Davis, “Citizen Health Journalism: Negotiating Between Political Engagement and Professional Identity in a Media Training Program for Healthcare Workers;” Mihailidis and Gamwell, “Designing Engagement in Local News: Using FOIA Requests to Create Inclusive Participatory Journalism Practices.”

¹³³ Ward, *Disrupting Journalism Ethics*.

¹³⁴ Posetti, Bell, and Brown, *Journalism & the Pandemic: A Global Snapshot of Impacts*.