UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

Understanding deep learning through ultra-wide neural networks

by

Wei Huang

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

Doctor of Philosophy

Sydney, Australia

2021

Certificate of Authorship/Originality

I, Wei Huang, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of PhD, in the Faculty of Engineering and IT at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Austraian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: ____09/09/2021____

Acknowledgements

In the first place, I want express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, professor Richard Xu, for his unwavering support and invaluable instructions. Prof. Xu has brought me into the topics of non-parametric Bayesian and deep learning. He taught me a lot of machine learning knowledge by insisting on teaching every week. By revising the paper along with the discussion, he taught me how to write scientific papers. His profound knowledge and rigorous scientific research spirit deeply influenced the research path I would not have been able to carry out research projects without his valuable suggestions.

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to my co-supervisor Prof. Massimo Piccardi for helping with my candidature assessment, and I am greatly inspired by his warm support.

I am grateful to my collaborator Mr. Weitao Du who has been providing valuable support on mathematical techniques and proofs. We have been best friends since undergraduate students. We have spent plenty of time on discussion and collaborating. I have improved a lot in mathematical proofs. I sincerely appreciate my collaborator Mr. Yayong Li for his considerable discussion on the graph neural network. I am thankful for what I have learned from him during our collaborations.

I would like to offer my special thanks to all other members of our machine learning lab, especially, Mr. Chunrui Liu, Mr. Yunce Zhao, Mr. Ziyue Zhang, Mr. Xuan Liang, Mr. Chen Deng, Dr. Caoyuan Li, Miss Ying Li, Miss Sophie Yuan, Mr. Chris Markos, Dr. Jason Traish, Miss Ningkai Xiao, Miss Erica Huang, Mr. Haodong Chang, and Dr. Shawn Jiang.

My sincere thanks go to my friends for a happy time with them during my Ph.D. study. Those thanks especially go to Dr. Haihan Sun, Dr. Ye Shi, Miss Xuan Wang, Miss Ye Su, Mr. Feng Shan, Mr. Haiming Qian, Mr. Leijie Zhang, Dr. Hongwen Yu, Dr. Tianyu Yang, Dr. Wentian Zhang, Miss Maral Ansari, Mr. Li Wang and Miss Chen Wang.

Finally, I would like to express my forever thanks to my parents for their selfless love and eternal support. My parents will always be my strong backing.

ABSTRACT

Understanding deep learning through ultra-wide neural networks

by

Wei Huang

Deep learning has been responsible for a step-change in performance across machine learning, setting new benchmarks in a large number of applications. However, the existing accounts fail to resolve why deep learning can achieve such great success. There is an urgent need to address the deep learning theory caused by the demand of understanding the principles of deep learning. One promising theoretical tool is the infinitely-wide neural network. This thesis focuses on the expressive power and optimization property of deep neural networks through investigating ultra-wide networks with four main contributions.

We first use the mean-field theory to study the expressivity of deep dropout networks. The traditional mean-field analysis adopts the gradient independence assumption that weights used during feed-forward are drawn independently from the ones used in backpropagation, which is not how neural networks are trained in a real setting. By breaking the independence assumption in the mean-field theory, we perform theoretical computation on linear dropout networks and a series of experiments on dropout networks. Furthermore, we investigate the maximum trainable length for deep dropout networks through a series of experiments and provide a more precise empirical formula that describes the trainable length than the original work.

Secondly, we study the dynamics of fully-connected, wide, and nonlinear networks with orthogonal initialization via neural tangent kernel (NTK). Through a series of propositions and lemmas, we prove that two NTKs, one corresponding to Gaussian weights and one to orthogonal weights, are equal when the network width is infinite. This suggests that the orthogonal initialization cannot speed up training in the NTK regime. Last, with a thorough empirical investigation, we find that orthogonal initialization increases learning speeds in scenarios with a large learning rate or large depth.

The third contribution is characterizing the implicit bias effect of deep linear networks for binary classification using the logistic loss with a large learning rate. We claim that depending on the separation conditions of data, the loss will find a flatter minimum with a large learning rate. We rigorously prove this claim under the assumption of degenerate data by overcoming the difficulty of the non-constant Hessian of logistic loss and further characterize the behavior of loss and Hessian for non-separable data.

Finally, we demonstrate the trainability of deep Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) by studying the Gaussian Process Kernel (GPK) and Graph Neural Tangent Kernel (GNTK) of an infinitely-wide GCN, corresponding to the analysis on expressivity and trainability, respectively. We formulate the asymptotic behaviors of GNTK in the large depth, which enables us to reveal the dropping trainability of wide and deep GCNs at an exponential rate.

List of Publications

Journal Papers

J-1. Wei Huang and Ricahrd Yi Da Xu, "Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model Factorization for Context-aware Recommender Systems," 2019. Submitted to Pattern Recognition Letters.

Conference Papers

- C-1. Wei Huang, Richard Yi Da Xu, Weitao Du, Yutian Zeng, and Yunce Zhao, "Mean field theory for deep dropout networks: digging up gradient backpropagation deeply," ECAI 2020, 24th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- C-2. Wei Huang, Weitao Du, and Richard Yi Da Xu, "On the neural tangent kernel of deep networks with orthogonal initialization," 2020. Submitted to IJCAI 2021.
- C-3. Wei Huang, Weitao Du, Richard Yi Da Xu, and Chunrui Liu, "Implicit bias of deep linear networks in the large learning rate phase," 2020. Submitted to IJCAI 2021.
- C-4. Wei Huang, Yayong Li, Weitao Du, Richard Yi Da Xu, Jie Yin, and Ling Chen, "Wide Graph Neural Networks: Aggregation ProvablyLeads to Exponentially Trainability Loss," 2021. Submitted to ICML 2021.

Contents

	Certificate	ii
	Acknowledgments	iii
	Abstract	v
	List of Publications	vii
	List of Figures	xii
	Abbreviation	xx
1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.1.1 Machine learning	1
	1.1.2 Deep learning	2
	1.2 Motivation and Contribution	5
	1.3 Thesis Organization	9
2	Literature Review	11
	2.1 Mean-Field Theory	11
	2.2 Neural Tangent Kernel	13
	2.3 Implicit Bias in Deep Learning	14
3	Mean Field Theory for Deep Dropout Networks	17
	3.1 Introduction	17
	3.2 Background	18

	3.2.1	Feed Forward	19
	3.2.2	Back Propagation	22
3.3	Gradie	nt Backpropagation	23
	3.3.1	Breaking the gradient independence assumption	24
	3.3.2	Emergence of universality	27
3.4	Experi	ments	29
	3.4.1	Training speed	30
	3.4.2	Trainable length	31
3.5	Discuss	sion \ldots	33
3.6	Proof		34
	3.6.1	Derivation of \tilde{q}_{aa}^l on linear dropout networks with a single input	34
	3.6.2	Derivation of \tilde{q}_{ab}^{l} on linear dropout networks with a pair of inputs	39
4 O	rthogo	nally-Initialized Networks and the Neural Tan-	•
g€	ent Kei	rnel	45
4.1	Introdu	action	45
4.2	Prelim	naries	47
	4.2.1	Networks and Parameterization	47
	4.2.2	Dynamical Isometry and Orthogonal Initialization	48
	4.2.3	Neural Tangent Kernel	49
4.3	Theore	tical results	51
	4.3.1	An Orthogonally Initialized Network at Initialization	51
	4.3.2	The limit of the NTK at initialization	52

		4.3.3	Neural Tangent Kernel during training	. 55
	4.4	Numer	ical experiments	. 57
	4.5	Conclu	sion	. 61
	4.6	Proof		. 61
		4.6.1	NNGP at Initialization	. 62
		4.6.2	NTK at Initialization	. 70
		4.6.3	NTK during Training	. 76
5	Im	plicit	bias of deep linear networks in the large learning	g
	rat	te pha	se	79
	5.1	Introdu	uction	. 79
	5.2	Backgr	ound	. 81
		5.2.1	Setup	. 82
		5.2.2	Separation Conditions of Dataset	. 82
	5.3	Theore	etical results	. 84
		5.3.1	Convex Optimization	. 84
		5.3.2	Non-convex Optimization	. 86
	5.4	Experi	ments	. 91
	5.5	Discuss	sion	. 93
	5.6	Proof		. 94
6	W	ide gr	aph neural networks: aggregation provably lead	\mathbf{S}
	to	expor	nentially trainability loss	108
	6.1	Introdu	action	. 108
	6.2	Backgr	ound	. 111
		6.2.1	Mean Field Theory and Expressivity	. 111

		6.2.2	Neural Tangent Kernel and Trainability	. 112
		6.2.3	GCNs	. 113
	6.3	Theore	tical Results	. 114
		6.3.1	Expressivity of Infinitely Wide GCNs	. 114
		6.3.2	Trainability of Infinitely Wide GCNs	. 117
		6.3.3	Analysis on techniques to deepen GCNs	. 119
	6.4	Experi	ments	. 123
		6.4.1	Setup	. 123
		6.4.2	Convergence Rate of GPKs and GNTKs	. 123
		6.4.3	Trainability of Ultra-Wide GCNs	. 124
	6.5	Conclu	sion	. 125
	6.6	Proof		. 125
7	Co	onclusi	ions and Future Work	139
	7.1	Conclu	sions	. 139
	7.2	Future	Work	. 140
	Bi	bliogra	aphy	142

List of Figures

1.1	A typical fully-connected neural network	3
1.2	Double descent phenomenon in deep learning versus U-shaped curve	
	in traditional machine learning [1].	5

3.1	The iterative squared length mapping of Equation (3.2) and
	Equation (3.4) with different activations and dropout rates. (a) q_{aa}^l
	in linear network at $\sigma_w = 0.5$ and $\sigma_b = 1.5$. Theoretical results
	match well with the simulations within a standard error (shadow).
	Different color correspond to different dropout rates: $\rho = 1$ is red,
	$\rho=0.7$ is green, and $\rho=0.4$ is blue. (b) The iterative length map of
	q_{aa}^{l} in Tanh network at $\sigma_{w} = 2.5$ and $\sigma_{b} = 0.5$. (c) The iterative
	length map of c_{ab}^{l} in ReLU network at $\sigma_{w} = 0.9$ and $\sigma_{b} = 0.5$. Only
	intersection of network at $\rho = 1$ (red) is $c_{ab}^* = 1$, the others are
	$c_{ab}^* < 1.$ (d) The iterative length map of c_{ab}^l in Erf network at
	$\sigma_w = 0.9$ and $\sigma_b = 0.5$. Again, $c_{ab}^* = 1$ only holds at $\rho = 1$

- 3.2 Theoretical calculations versus network simulations for metric of gradient. (a) g_{aa}^l as a function of layer l, for a 200 layers random linear network with σ_w² = 0.5 and σ_b² = 0.1. (b) g_{ab}^l as a function of layer l. Theoretical calculations (solid lines) fail to predict empirical simulations (dashed lines). (c) g_{ab}^l as a function of layer l in the range of length l = 170 200. Theoretical calculations (solid lines) can predict empirical simulations (dashed lines) in the few last layers. (d) g_{ab}^l as a function of layer l. The solid lines are g_{ab}^l ∝ χ₁^{L-l} for different ρ. Theoretical calculations failed to predict empirical simulations (dashed lines).

- 3.5 Universal relationship between variance and mean of g_{aa}^l , g_{ab}^l , and \tilde{g}_{ab}^l , on the 200 layers, Tanh random dropout networks with $\rho = 0.9$. All the curves regarding different width collapse to a line. Different color represents a different network width. (a) V_{aa}^l as a function m_{aa}^l . (b) V_{ab}^l as a function of m_{ab}^l . (c) \tilde{V}_{ab}^l as a function of \tilde{m}_{ab}^l 26

- The training accuracy for neural networks as a function of the depth 3.7L and initial weight variance σ_w^2 from a high accuracy (bright yellow) to low accuracy (black). Comparison is made by plotting $12\xi_1$ (white solid line), $6\xi_2$ (green dashed line), and $12\xi_2$ (white dashed line). (a) 2000 training steps of $\rho = 1$ network with Gaussian weights on the MNIST using SGD. (b) 1000 training steps of $\rho = 1$ network with Gaussian weights on the MNIST using RMSProp. (c) 2000 training steps of $\rho = 1$ network with Orthogonal weights on the MNIST. (d) 3000 training steps of $\rho = 1$ network with Orthogonal weights on CIFAR10. (e) 3000 training steps of $\rho = 0.99$ network with Orthogonal weights on the MNIST. (f) 3000 training steps of $\rho = 0.98$ network with Orthogonal weights on the MNIST using SGD. (g) 10000 training steps of $\rho = 0.98$ network with Gaussian weights on the MNIST. (h) 3000 training steps of $\rho = 0.95$ network with Orthogonal weights on the MNIST using SGD. 30

- 4.4 Orthogonally initialized networks behave similarly to the networks with Gaussian initialization in the NTK regime. (a)(b) We adopt the network architecture of depth of L = 5, width of n = 800, activation of tanh function, with σ_w² = 2.0, and σ_b² = 0.1. The networks are trained by SGD with a learning rate η = 10⁻³ with T = 10⁵. (c)(d) The hyper-parameters are: depth of L = 9, width of n = 1600, activation of ReLU function, with σ_w² = 2.0, and σ_b² = 0.1. The networks are trained by PMSProp with a learning rate η = 10⁻⁵ with T = 1.2 × 10⁴ steps with a batch size of 10³ on MSE loss on MNIST. While the solid lines stand for Gaussian weights, dotted lines represent orthogonal initialization.

- 4.5 Learning dynamics measured by the optimization and generalization accuracy on train set and test set. The depth is L = 100 and width is n = 400. Black curves are the results of orthogonal initialization, and red curves are performances of Gaussian initialization. (a) The training speed of an orthogonally initialized network is faster than that of a Gaussian initialized network. (b) On the test set, the orthogonally initialized network not only trains with a higher speed but also ultimately converges to a better generalization performance.
- The steps τ as a function of learning rate η of two lines of networks 4.6on both train and test dataset. The results of orthogonal networks are marked by dotted lines while those of Gaussian initialization are plotted by solid lines. Networks with varying width, i.e. n = 400, 800, and 1600, on (a) train set and (b) test set; Networks with varying depth, i.e. L = 50, 100, and 200, on (c) train set and (d) test set. Different colors represent the corresponding width and depth. While curves of orthogonal initialization are lower than those of Gaussian initialization with a small learning step, the differences become more significant when we increase the learning rate. Besides, the greater the depth of the network, the more significant the difference in performance between orthogonal and Gaussian initialization. 59.

58

- 5.1 Dependence of dynamics of training loss on the learning rate for linear predictor, with (a,b) exponential loss and (c,d) logistic loss on Example 5.1 and 5.2. (a,c) The experimental learning curves are consistent with the theoretical prediction, and the critical learning rates are $\eta_{\text{critical}} = 1.66843$ and $\eta_{\text{critical}} = 8.485$ respectively. (b,d) For non-separable data, the dynamics of training loss regarding the learning rate for non-separable data are similar to those of a degenerate case. Hence the critical learning rates can be approximated by $\eta_{\text{critical}} = 0.895$ and $\eta_{\text{critical}} = 4.65$ respectively. 86
- 5.3 Top eigenvalue of NTK (λ_0) and Hessian (h_0) measured at t = 100as a function of the learning rate, with (a,b) exponential loss and (c,d) logistic loss on Example 5.3 and 5.4. The green dashed line $\eta = \eta_0$ represents the boundary between the lazy phase and catapult phase, while black dashed line $\eta = \eta_1$ separates the other two phases. The setting are: $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ and m = 100 for exponential loss, and the setting for logistic loss is $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ and m = 200. (a,c) The curves of maximum eigenvalue of NTK and Hessian coincide as predicted by the corollary 5.1. (b,d) For the non-separable data, the trend of the two eigenvalue curves is consistent with the change of learning rate. 90

- 5.4Test performance of deep linear networks with respect to different learning rate phases. The data size is of $n_{\text{train}} = 2048$ and $n_{\text{test}} = 512$. (a,b) A two-layer linear network without bias of $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$ and m = 500. (c,d) A three-layer linear network with a bias of $\sigma_w^2 = 0.5$, $\sigma_b^2 = 0.01$, and m = 500. (a,c) The test accuracy is measured at the time step t = 500 and t = 300 respectively. (b,d) The test accuracy is measured at the physical time step (red curve), after which it continues to evolve for a period of time at a small learning rate (purple): $t_{phy} = 50/\eta$ and extra time t = 500 at $\eta = 0.01$ for the decay case. Although the results in the catapult phase do not perform as well as the lazy phase when there is no decay, the best performance can be found in the catapult phase when adopting learning rate annealing. 92Graph of $\phi(x)$ for the two losses. (a) Exponential loss with learning 5.5rate $\eta = 10$. (b) Logistic loss with learning rate $\eta = 10$. 97 Different colors represent different λ (NTK) values. (a) graph of 5.6 $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ equipped with the exponential loss. (b) graph of the derivative of $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ equipped with the exponential loss. (c) graph of $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ equipped with the logistic loss. (d) graph of the derivative of $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ equipped with the logistic loss. Notice that the critical point of the exponential loss moves to the right as λ decreases. 100
- 6.1 Overview of the information propagation in a general GCN 114

6.2	Convergence rate for the GPK and the GNKT. (a) Value changes of
	the GPK elements as the depth grows; although their initial values
	are different, they all tend to the same value as depth increases. (b)
	The distance changes between GPK elements and their limiting
	value as the depth grows; the converge rate can be bounded by a
	exponential function $y = \exp(-0.15x)$. (c) Value changes of
	re-normalized GNTK elements as the depth grows. (d) The distance
	changes between re-normalized GNTK elements and a random
	element from GNTK as the depth grows. The converge rate can be
	bounded by a exponential function $y = \exp(-0.15x)$
6.3	Train and test accuracy depending on the depth on different
	datasets. Solid lines are train accuracy and dashed lines are test

Abbreviation

- ML Machine Learning
- DL Deep Learning
- FCN Fully-Connected Network
- MLP Multi-Layer Perceptro
- GP Gaussian Process
- GPK Gaussian Process Kernel
- GNTK Graph Neural Tangent Kernel
- GD Gradient Descent
- Resnet Residual Network
- ReLU Rectified Linear Unit
- Erf Error Function
- FIM Fisher Information Matrix
- CLT Central Limit Theorem
- MSE Mean Squared Error
- SVM Support Vector Machine
- GCN Graph Convolutional Network