

**Growth dynamics and drivers of deep-water seagrasses  
from the Great Barrier Reef lagoon**

Thesis submitted by  
Kathryn M Chartrand B.Sc., M.Sc.

in September 2021

for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
Faculty of Science, Climate Change Cluster  
University of Technology Sydney  
Sydney, Australia

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I, Kathryn M. Chartrand declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:      Production Note:  
                         Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 31 March 2021

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted first and foremost to my supervisors, Peter Ralph, Michael Rasheed and Mathieu Pernice. Michael and Peter helped craft this unique opportunity to carry out my candidature as a remote part-time student, affording me the space to deliver impactful research and grow my “toolbox” of skills. I truly appreciate the growth and doors you have both opened by persevering with me on this journey. Thank you especially to Peter for your support, patience and guidance, your expertise in applying innovative research approaches to classic ecological questions, the opportunity to engage with an amazing team in the Climate Change Cluster (C3) and the collaborations it continues to foster. I am also grateful for the support and space throughout this journey Michael has given me to carry it through to fruition despite my competing demands, and for providing thoughtful, constructive feedback and advice from decades of experience in applied marine research. Thank you to Mathieu for the dedicated time and energy you gave to expand my horizons in the world of ‘omics’ and for your encouragement during my studies from afar.

I could not have accomplished this thesis without the huge efforts of my TropWATER Cairns team who are more like family. Lloyd Shepherd, Paul Leeson, Catherine Bryant, Skye McKenna, Alex Carter, Carissa Reason, Jaclyn Wells, Emma Henry, Alysha Sozou, Mark Leith and many more volunteers and casual staff that assisted with countless hours in the laboratory and/or on the water. If nothing else, they have a newfound appreciation (or loathing) for using spectral radiometers at sea. Thank you especially to Rob Coles for his wisdom, editorial wit, valuable perspective and inspiring

(yet satirical at times) science chats as the “godfather” of the Cairns Seagrass Group.  
You are a true mentor and friend.

I am also indebted to numerous staff of C3 who supported various portions of my thesis despite my remote student status: Milan Szabó, Sutinee Sinutok, Unnikrishnan Kuzhiumparambil, Nasim Shah Mohammadi, Verena Schrameyer, Louisa Norman, John Moore, Melissa Oey, Terence Li.

I thank BHP Billiton Mitsubishi Alliance and Queensland Gas Corporation who have provided funding for this postgraduate research and Richard Stump in particular who supported the proposal put forward to study deep-water seagrasses. I also thank the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority who recognised the need to fill this gap in knowledge in order to better manage coastal development projects.

I could not have persevered this mental marathon without my immediate and extended family and friends who saw me through the roller coaster of juggling work, study and life as a mom. To Cath Bryant, Alex Carter, Skye McKenna, Nikki Vass, Naoko Cutler, Michelle Cohen, Juliette Wilkinson and many other countless friends who brought me joy and laughter when I needed it most. To Michelle Cohen and Cordel Scaife for sharing their beautiful home and family on my Sydney jaunts to UTS. Thank you especially to Margie Chartrand for her endless encouragement and reassuring tone only a mother can give even when an ocean apart. Thanks also to Michel Kibby for her steadfast support with the kids at the drop of a hat.

To my parents, Margie and Stephen Chartrand. Your boundless energy, strong work ethic, and passion for science and family set the foundation for me to succeed. I hope my actions can inspire Austin and Jonah as you have inspired me.

Above all, thank you to Ross, Austin and Jonah. Your love, support and patience during this PhD journey have meant the world to me. The joy and true happiness in my life stems from our family; with those cheeky grins, sparks of laughter, crazy adventures big and small...you have simply given me the best part of my world and helped me persevere on the research rollercoaster. I dedicate this thesis to you.

## **PREFACE**

This thesis is written in the format of a thesis by compilation— a combination of published chapters and those unpublished but with the intention of publication in a peer-reviewed scientific journal in the near future. Citations and references have been formatted throughout using the style applied by the peer-reviewed journal *Frontiers of Marine Science*. Given that this thesis is presented as a series of ready to submit manuscripts, there is an element of repetition in the introduction of some chapters since they are each submitted as stand-alone manuscripts. At the time of thesis submission, Chapter 3 has been published in the peer-reviewed *Marine Environmental Research* (IF 2.73). Chapter 2 has been submitted to *Limnology and Oceanography* (IF 3.78), Chapter 4 to *Estuaries and Coasts* (IF 2.42) and Chapter 5 is being prepared for submission to *Frontiers of Marine Science* (IF 3.07).

### **Supervisory committee**

Prof. Peter J. Ralph, Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney

Dr. Mathieu Pernice, Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney

A/Prof. Michael A. Rasheed, Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem

Research, James Cook University

## **STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS**

### **CHAPTER 2**

**Authors:** Chartrand K.M., Bryant C.V., Ralph P.J., and Rasheed M.A.

KC and MR conceived the study and designed the sampling strategy. KC led and designed field data collection with CB leading field logistics and data collection during

KC's maternity leave. KC analysed the data, prepared all figures and tables, and wrote the draft manuscript. MR and PR supervised the study. All authors provided valuable feedback on the draft manuscript and contributed valuable insights in the discussion.

### **CHAPTER 3**

**Authors:** Chartrand K.M., Szabó M., Sinutok S., Rasheed M.A., and Ralph P.J.

KC, MR and PR conceived the study and KC, MS, SS and PR designed the methodological approach and sampling strategy. KC led the collection and shipment of seagrass from Queensland to UTS for laboratory studies. SS maintained samples and performed weekly checks on samples in the laboratory while KC was based remotely in Cairns. KC conducted the laboratory studies with technical support from MS and SS. MS provided valuable contributions to data quality control measures and provided regular supervision through the data collection, analysis and interpretation. SS assisted with the use of oxygen optodes for oxygen determinations and fluorometric measurements. KC analysed the data, drafted the manuscript, prepared figures and tables and arranged the submission and approval for publication. MS and PR contributed to the intellectual content of the manuscript. PR supervised the study.

### **CHAPTER 4**

**Authors:** Chartrand K.M., Bryant C.V., Ralph P.J., and Rasheed M.A.

KC and MR conceived the study and designed the sampling strategy. KC led and designed field data collection with CB leading field logistics and data collection during KC's maternity leave. KC analysed the data, prepared all figures and tables, and wrote

the draft manuscript. MR and PR supervised the study. All authors provided valuable feedback on the draft manuscript and contributed valuable insights in the discussion.

## **CHAPTER 5**

**Authors:** Chartrand K.M., Kuzhiumparambil U., Pernice M., Ralph P.J.

KC, MP, and PR conceived the study. KC led the collection and shipment of seagrass samples from Queensland to UTS. KC organized laboratory standards for hormone analysis and worked alongside UK to extract hormones and prepare metabolome samples. UK ran all samples through mass spectrometry instrumentation. PR, UK, and MP provided advice and constructive feedback on test results and analysis and UK provided guidance on interpretation of the results. All authors contributed valuable feedback on the draft manuscript and contributed valuable insights in the discussion.

### **Additional Research Field & Laboratory Support**

Field research was supported by a number of colleagues acting as part of dive teams, vessel operations, and laboratory handling over the four year period: TropWATER JCU — Catherine Bryant, Paul Leeson, Lloyd Shepherd, Alysha Sozou, Emma Henry, Mark Leith, Paul York, Skye McKenna, Carissa Reason, Jessie Jarvis, Jaclyn Wells, Tonia Sankey, Elizabeth Suarez Duque, David Clarke; UTS — Stacey Ong, Louisa Norman.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	ii
PREFACE .....	v
Supervisory committee.....	v
STATEMENT OF CONTRIBUTION OF OTHERS .....	v
Additional Research Field & Laboratory Support .....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
LIST OF TABLES .....	xiv
ABSTRACT.....	xvi
CHAPTER 1    General Introduction.....	18
1.1    Tropical deep-water seagrass .....	19
1.2    Management Implications .....	29
1.3    Thesis Objectives .....	30
1.4    Thesis Outline.....	31
CHAPTER 2    Environmental drivers of tropical deep-water seagrass phenology.....	34
Abstract .....	35
2.1    Introduction .....	36
2.2    Methods.....	39
2.2.1    Study design.....	39
2.2.2    Seagrass abundance.....	41
2.2.3    Asexual reproduction and productivity.....	43
2.2.4    Chlorophyll <i>a</i> fluorescence .....	44
2.2.5    Below-ground carbohydrates .....	45
2.2.6    Environmental parameters .....	46
2.2.7    Statistical Analysis .....	47
2.3    Results .....	50
2.3.1    Abundance and Growth Patterns.....	50
2.3.2    Environmental patterns and drivers .....	58
2.3.3    Spectral quality of light.....	72
2.3.4    Chlorophyll <i>a</i> fluorescence .....	76
2.3.5    Below-ground carbohydrates .....	78

2.4	Discussion .....	78
2.5	Conclusion.....	89
CHAPTER 3 Living at the margins – the response of deep-water seagrasses to light and temperature.....		91
	Abstract .....	92
3.1	Introduction .....	92
3.2	Methods .....	97
3.2.1	Sample Collection .....	97
3.2.2	Experimental design.....	98
3.2.3	Oxygen determinations .....	98
3.2.4	Variable fluorescence measurements – wavelength-dependent parameters 99	
3.2.5	Pigment Characterisation .....	101
3.2.6	Below ground carbohydrates.....	101
3.2.7	Data analysis .....	102
3.3	Results .....	106
3.3.1	Shoot density.....	106
3.3.2	Below-ground carbohydrates .....	110
3.3.3	O <sub>2</sub> gas exchange determinations .....	110
3.3.4	Wavelength-dependent variable chlorophyll fluorescence .....	111
3.3.5	Pigment Characterisation .....	118
3.4	Discussion .....	120
3.5	Conclusion.....	130
CHAPTER 4 Seed bank density and stratification drives tropical deep-water seagrass meadow maintenance .....		132
	Abstract .....	133
4.2	Introduction .....	134
4.3	Methods .....	137
4.3.1	Study design.....	137
4.3.2	Sexual reproduction and seed bank assessments .....	137
4.3.3	Statistical Analysis.....	139
4.4	Results .....	141
4.4.1	Total seed bank .....	141
4.4.2	Seed stratification in sediment .....	148
4.5	Discussion .....	154

CHAPTER 5	Phenology of <i>Halophila decipiens</i> Ostenfeld linked to metabolic cues	164
Abstract	.....	165
5.2	Introduction	166
5.3	Methods	169
5.3.1	Untargeted metabolomics	171
5.3.2	GC-MS	172
5.3.3	LC-MS	173
5.3.4	Data Analysis	174
5.4	Results	177
5.4.1	Hormones	177
5.4.2	GC-MS	180
5.4.3	LC-MS	184
5.5	Discussion	187
5.6	Conclusion	195
CHAPTER 6	Synthesis, Outlook and Conclusions	197
6.1	Summary	198
6.2	Thesis outcomes	199
6.3	Application & Management Implications	202
6.3.1	Seagrass Insurance Policy Drives Management Approach	202
6.3.2	One Size Does Not Fit All	204
6.4	Future Directions	205
6.5	Conclusion	210
REFERENCES	.....	212
APPENDICES	.....	232
Appendix A	.....	232
Appendix B	.....	236

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1</b> Seagrasses of the genus <i>Halophila</i> dominant in deepwater tropical meadows of the Great Barrier Reef. (a) <i>Halophila decipiens</i> , (b) <i>Halophila spinulosa</i> , (c) <i>Halophila tricostata</i> and (d) <i>Halophila ovalis</i> .....	22
<b>Figure 1.2</b> Model of plant development ( <i>adapted from Poethig, 2003</i> ). .....	26
<b>Figure 2.1</b> (a) Deep-water monitoring site locations along a north-south gradient of the Great Barrier Reef. (b) Lizard Island, (c) Green Island, and d) Keswick Island. ....	40
<b>Figure 2.2</b> (a) Total species mean above ground biomass (g DW m <sup>-2</sup> ), (b) percent cover (m <sup>-2</sup> ), and (c) shoot density (m <sup>-2</sup> ) for Green Island, Lizard Island, and Keswick Island deep-water monitoring sites (n = 27, ± SE). <i>No data recorded due to inclement weather at Keswick Island in late 2015</i> . .....	54
<b>Figure 2.3</b> Predicted fit of (a) Green Island and (b) Lizard Island total site above-ground biomass as a function of <i>Day in Year</i> . Non-linear trends are the fit of gamma generalized additive mixed models with seagrass above-ground biomass as the response variable. Shaded areas are 95% confidence intervals. ....	55
<b>Figure 2.4</b> Above-ground biomass by species at (a) Lizard Island and (b) Keswick Island. Error bars indicate ± SE (n = 27). .....	57
<b>Figure 2.5</b> Mean above-ground biomass, total daily PAR, maximum daily temperature (°C), and total daily rainfall at (a) Green Island, (b) Lizard Island, and (c) Keswick Island deep-water monitoring sites. Rainfall data is presented from the closest recorded weather stations at Cairns Airport (bom. gov.au) and Lizard Island Research Station (AIMS 2016), and Mackay Airport (bom.gov.au). .....	63
<b>Figure 2.6</b> Hinge regression model (a) of predicted Green Island and (b) Lizard Island <i>H. decipiens</i> above-ground biomass as a function of 14 day average light (par <sub>14</sub> ; mol photons m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ); and (c) Green Island and (d) Lizard Island likelihoods of the restricted regression models with fixed change points (highlighted in yellow) versus candidate change points of 14 day mean light. Note varying scales. ....	69
<b>Figure 2.7</b> Predicted <i>H. decipiens</i> above-ground biomass as a function of the 14 day mean maximum daily temperature at (a) Green Island when PAR <sub>14</sub> was greater than 2.0 mol photons m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> and at (b) Lizard Island when PAR <sub>14</sub> was greater than 2.7 mol photons m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. 6). Non-linear trends are the fit of gamma generalized additive mixed models with seagrass above-ground biomass as the response variable. The red line represents the 12 month period following Cyclone Ita at Lizard Island. Shaded areas are 95% confidence intervals. ....	70
<b>Figure 2.8</b> Predicted fit of Lizard Island square-root transformed (a) <i>H. ovalis</i> and (b) all species above-ground biomass as a function of PAR <sub>14</sub> and maxtemp <sub>14</sub> respectively. Non-linear trends are the fit of gamma generalized additive mixed models with seagrass above-ground biomass as the response variable. Shaded areas are 95% confidence intervals. ....	71
<b>Figure 2.9</b> Spectrally-resolved downwelling irradiance as a percentage of surface irradiance at Green Island, Lizard Island, and Keswick Island monitoring locations in January 2015. Spectra from October 2013 in a previously monitored Mackay inshore	

deep-water seagrass meadow is provided for reference. Grey shaded area (right y-axis) is the relative leaf specific absorptance of a representative *H. decipiens* leaf as measured in the laboratory. .... 73

**Figure 2.10** Green Island (a,b), Lizard Island (c,d), and Keswick Island (e,f) spectrally-resolved downwelling irradiance as a percentage of surface irradiance (a, c, e) and as a percentage of total benthic irradiance (b, d, f) over time. Each line represents an average of four spectral recordings. .... 75

**Figure 2.11** *H. decipiens* photosynthetic parameters: light utilisation efficiency ( $\alpha$ ), minimum saturating irradiance ( $E_k$ ) and maximum electron transport rate ( $rETR_{max}$ ) calculated from RLCs. Light harvesting pigments (LHCs) are represented by green circles and irradiance at the time of RLCs are shown with blue dots. Error bars indicate  $\pm$  SE (n = 6). .... 77

**Figure 3.1** Shoot density (shoots  $m^{-2}$ ) for *H. decipiens* (a) and *H. spinulosa* (b) over a four-week study. \* indicate significant declines in low *LI* treatments from *T0* while + indicate significant gains in high *LI* from *T0*. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  SE. (n = 4). .... 106

**Figure 3.2** Percent soluble carbohydrates and percent starch in below ground roots and rhizomes at the start (Time 0) and end of the experiment (4 weeks) for *H. spinulosa* under each treatment. Differing letters indicate significant differences among treatments at the end of the study; + indicate difference from time 0 measurements. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 3). .... 110

**Figure 3.3** (a) Oxygen production ( $\mu mol O_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ), (b) respiration ( $\mu mol O_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ), and (c) P:R ratios of *H. decipiens* and *H. spinulosa* measured at the start (Time 0; 75  $\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , 26°C) and the end of the experiment. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 4). .... 112

**Figure 3.4**  $\Sigma(II)_\lambda$  for *H. decipiens* (a) and *H. spinulosa* (b) measured across five wavelengths at the start (Time 0) and the end of the study. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M (n = 4). .... 113

**Figure 3.5** Effective quantum yield (YII; (a, b)), relative electron transport rate ( $rETR_{II}$ ; (c, d)), and non-photochemical quenching (NPQII; (e, f)) for *H. decipiens* (a, c, e) and *H. spinulosa* (b, d, f) measured under sub-saturating AL at five wavelengths at start (Time 0) and the end of the experiment. Differing letters indicate significant differences among wavelengths at the end of the study based on a Bonferroni correction. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 4). .... 116

**Figure 3.6** Effective quantum yield (YII; (a, b)), relative electron transport rate ( $rETR_{II}$ ; (c, d)), and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ; e, f) for *H. decipiens* (a, c, e) and *H. spinulosa* (b, d, f) measured under supra-saturating AL at five wavelengths at start (Time 0) and the end of the experiment. Differing letters indicate significant differences among wavelengths at the end of the study. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 4). .... 117

**Figure 4.1** Seed densities ( $m^{-2}$ ) recorded in local sediments at (a) Green Island, (b) Lizard Island, and (c) Keswick Island. Note shorter timescale at Keswick Island site. Data symbols and error bars represents mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 12). .... 145

<b>Figure 4.2</b> Modelled seeds per sediment core over the growing year (June – May) by annual seagrass growth cycle at (a) Green Island and (b) Lizard Island. <i>Note:</i> Day 0 is 1 June and Day 365 is 31 May. ....	146
<b>Figure 4.3</b> Modelled seed stratification by sectioned depth at (a) Green Island over the growing year (June – May), and (b) Keswick Island by sampling month ( <i>M</i> ). Dots represent raw data, smoothers and diamonds represent predicted model output $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals at Green Island and Keswick Island respectively. Superscript letters indicate post-hoc bonferroni comparisons among depths (Green Island and Keswick Island) and months (Keswick Island).....	150
<b>Figure 4.4</b> Predicted fit of <i>H. decipiens</i> seeds and above-ground biomass at (a) Green Island, (b) Lizard Island, and (c) Keswick Island over the growing year (June – May). Non-linear trends are the fit of gamma generalized additive mixed models with seagrass above-ground biomass as the response variable. Shaded areas are 95% confidence intervals. The small dataset at Keswick Island restricted biomass model output to a categorical response by sampling date rather than as a mixed effect model; however trends of seasonal peak biomass and seeds is similar (diamonds represent predicted mean biomass $\pm$ SE, n = 12) . <i>Note</i> varying y axes scales.....	151
<b>Figure 5.1</b> Mean hormone extracts by life stage and tissue type (a) jasmonic acid (inset: total pool for tissue types sampled at all time points, above-ground and below-ground), (b) indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), (c) abscisic acid (ABA), and (d) cytokinins (CK). <i>Note</i> y-axis scales vary due to the extremely low concentration in some hormone classes. Error bars indicate $\pm$ SEM (n = 3). Differing letters indicate significant differences among time points. ....	178
<b>Figure 5.2</b> Principal components analysis models based on targeted GC-MS data showing a clear shift in metabolome profiles in both (a) above- and (b) below-ground tissues on 22 September, when local flowering was observed in the meadow.....	181
<b>Figure 5.3</b> Compound changes among time points from above-ground tissues extracted by GC-MS. (a - h) are polyamines and fatty acids exhibiting significant spikes at T <sub>2</sub> , when flowering was observed. (i – t) are amino acids and polysaccharides, which increase in the last two time points as membranes and proteins degrade with plant senescence. Boxes represent the interquartile range of values, with the lower boundary the 25th percentile, a line within the box marks the median, and the upper boundary the 75th percentile. Whiskers (error bars) above and below the box represent the 90 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> percentiles. (n = 3) .....	182
<b>Figure 5.4</b> Compound changes among time points from below-ground tissues extracted by GC-MS. Boxes represent the interquartile range of values, with the lower boundary the 25th percentile, a line within the box marks the median, and the upper boundary the 75th percentile. Whiskers (error bars) above and below the box represent the 90 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> percentiles. (n = 3) .....	183
<b>Figure 5.5</b> Heat map of normalized intensity values of metabolites extracted during untargeted LC-MS by time point in (a) above-ground, (b) below-ground and (c) fruits. Identities are defined by molecular mass as a conservative approach rather than by Metlin library findings. ....	185

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 2.1</b> Productivity, rhizome extension rates and carbon production for <i>H. decipiens</i> at Green Island and Lizard Island and <i>H. ovalis</i> at Lizard Island. Comparative literature values are provided in grey for context.....	56
<b>Table 2.2</b> Light ( $I$ ) ( $\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and temperature conditions at the three monitoring sites from April 2012 – January 2016 for Green and Lizard Island sites and November 2014 – January 2016 for Keswick Island. Growing season site median and mean values incorporate days when seagrass was increasing since the previous survey (i.e. ~July – November). .....	66
<b>Table 2.3</b> Summary of generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) and the best model selected (in bold) based on an $\text{AIC}_C < 2$ for predicting <i>H. decipiens</i> seagrass presence/absence and above-ground biomass at Green Island and Lizard Island. All models had a random effect ( $\beta$ ) of quadrat and random error term $\epsilon$ . $Y$ is Year; $CIta$ is a fixed factor for the 12 months post-Cyclone Ita data; $maxtemp_{14}$ is mean maximum daily temperature for the 14 days prior to sampling; and $PAR_{14}$ is mean daily light for the 14 days prior to sampling. ....	67
<b>Table 3.1</b> <i>Halophila decipiens</i> and <i>H. spinulosa</i> parameter estimates where significant effects of covariates were found with generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMM). Effects of light intensity ( $LI$ ), temperature ( $T$ ) and week ( $W$ ) on shoot counts ( $SC$ ), and wavelength-dependent fluorescence parameters are presented. Wavelength ( $WV$ ) was also included in all models for wavelength-dependent fluorescence parameters. Models with interaction terms also include main effects. Shoot count was modelled with a negative binomial distribution, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters with a beta distribution and oxygen/respiration rates with a gamma distribution (all with logit link function). $\beta_{tub}$ is the random effect of tub and $\epsilon$ is the error term. The best model selected for each parameter is in bold. ....	108
<b>Table 3.2</b> GLMM model fit for species comparison ( $SPP$ ) of wavelength-dependent variable fluorescence parameters. $n = 4 \pm \text{SE}$ . * $p < 0.05$ , ** $p < 0.01$ , *** $p < 0.001$ ...	115
<b>Table 3.3</b> Chlorophyll composition of MC-PAM leaves under two light treatments and two temperature treatments ( $n = 4$ ). Pigment concentrations units are $\mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$ . Differing letters indicate significant differences among treatments for each species when the null model was rejected (Bonferroni correction method). ....	119
<b>Table 4.1</b> Overall fit of selected best models of the total <i>H. decipiens</i> seed bank and stratification of seeds at Green Island, Lizard Island, and Keswick Island. All models included a random error term $\epsilon$ . $\text{edf}$ is the estimated degrees of freedom, $X^2$ is chi-square statistic, $F$ is the F-statistic. $Y$ is Year; $DY$ is the day in growing year (June – July); $CIta$ is a fixed factor for the 12 months post-Cyclone Ita data at Lizard Island; $M$ is a fixed factor for sampling month at Keswick Island; and $Depth$ is a fixed factor for the three sediment layers seeds were counted in the stratification models. All stratified seed models had a random effect ( $\beta$ ) of core. ....	147
<b>Table 4.2</b> Summary results of <i>H. decipiens</i> in sampled GBR meadows and a comparative study from Western Australia. Data is mean $\pm$ S.E.M. ( $n = 12$ ). ....	153

**Table 5.1** Overall fit of selected best models predicting hormone content by class in *H. decipiens* from Green Island. Predictors tested were sampling time point (*Tpt*) and tissue type (*Tissue*); *F* is the F-statistic. .... 179



## ABSTRACT

Seagrasses provide irreplaceable ecosystem services, yet in the Anthropocene, they are increasingly under threat from coastal development and climate impacts. Efforts to mitigate threats to seagrasses have led to investment and research into their distribution, ecological drivers and bioindicators of health. In the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), work continues to translate our mechanistic understanding of marine plants into impactful management of acute disturbances and chronic stressors. These applied outcomes have primarily focused on shallow seagrass communities, synthesising results and deriving relationships to be used by managers and regulators.

The goal of this thesis is to build our understanding of the dynamics and underlying drivers of GBR deep-water seagrasses for their better management and the communities they support. To achieve this, I (i) studied the seasonal patterns of deep-water seagrasses, characterising environmental parameters linked with growth and senescence; (ii) evaluated light and temperature as drivers of seagrass abundance and determined light thresholds for the dominant *Halophila* species; (iii) quantified seed banks over time and space, evaluating the role of seed stratification on germination; and (iv) investigated what role endogenous cues play in the phenology of a *Halophila* species.

Deep-water *Halophila* species did not all follow the same growth patterns. Only *Halophila decipiens* had a true annual pattern, completing its life cycle in one growing season and depositing seeds for the subsequent year's renewal. Deep-water GBR seagrasses grow near their physiological limits with small light reductions potentially

leading to meadow-scale loss, and yet their physiological limits also vary among species. Limiting light led to decreased shoot density for both *H. decipiens* and *H. spinulosa* over different timeframes, yet neither were affected by increases in temperature irrespective of compounding low light stress. Variations in meadow reproductive output and seed banks critically structure deep-water meadows and underscore species-specific responses to environmental perturbations. Endogenous cues responsible for life stage transitions in terrestrial plants had not been studied before in seagrasses. The metabolomic profile, including key hormones, within the life stages of the *H. decipiens* growing cycle provided the first study linking metabolomic regulation with seagrass growth and development and underpins the ecological findings in this thesis.

This thesis contributes critical information on growth strategies that drive spatial and seasonal dynamics of tropical deep-water *Halophila* communities. It provides new insights and a gateway to explore emerging lines of research including greater use of ‘omics’ technology and integrating terrestrial plant research to further improve deep-water seagrass management.