

ADVANCED ELECTRODE MATERIALS FOR LITHIUM- AND POTASSIUM-BASED ENERGY STORAGE DEVICES

A thesis presented for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

from

University of Technology Sydney

By

Shuoqing Zhao, B. Eng., M. Sc.

September, 2021

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Shuoqing Zhao, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledgement. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Shuoqing Zhao

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Sydney, Australia

September 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been four years since I was enrolled as a Ph.D. candidate in University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for the autumn of 2017. Due to the impact of COVID-19, up until now, I am still stranded in China and cannot return to Australia to get together with my friends. It is a really difficult and painful period, during which I have suffered from depression and the tragic loss. However, I should also embrace to this special experience and really appreciate the valuable help from my family members, friends and UTS.

Firstly, I express sincere thanks to my supervisor, Professor Guoxiu Wang, for his encouragement, invaluable suggestions and selfless assistance throughout my Ph.D. study at UTS.

Secondly, many thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Bing Sun and Dr. Jane Yao for their kind help and support, which smoothly assisted my work in laboratory as well as my daily life in Sydney.

I would like to acknowledge my colleagues at UTS, Dr. Hao Liu, Dr. Dawei Su, Dr. Jinqiang Zhang, Dr. Yufei Zhao, Dr. Dong Zhou, Dr. Pan Xiong, Dr. Liubing Dong, Dr. Huajun Tian, Dr. Xin Guo, Dr. Weizhai Bao, Dr. Tuhin Sahu, Dr. Kang Yan, Dr. Xingxing Yu, Dr. Yi Chen, Dr. Xiaochun Gao, Mr. Fan Zhang, Mr. Tianyi Wang, Miss Ziqi Guo, Miss Pauline Jaumaux, Mr. Javad Safaei, Miss Yuhan Xie. Their kind collaboration and assistance were helpful.

Also, I want to thank my friends, Mr. Feng Liu, Mr. Tiexin Li and Dr. Jianjia Zhang, we shared a lot of happy memories in Sydney.

In addition, I appreciate the administrative and technical support from Miss Elizabeth Gurung Tamang, Dr. Ronald Shimmon, Dr. Linda Xiao, Miss Katie McBean, Mr. Geoff McCredie and Mr. Herbert Yuan, Mr. Iurii Bodachivskyi.

The financial support from Australian Rail Manufacturing Cooperative Research Centre (RMCRC) and UTS (International Research Scholarship) helped me completing my Ph.D. study, which is highly acknowledged.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family members for their wholly warm support throughout my life.

STATEMENT OF FORMAT

This is the statement indicating that seven published works are included in this doctoral thesis.

Three review papers are referred to 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 and 1.7 in the introduction. Four original published works are referred to chapter 3, chapter 4, chapter 5 and chapter 6. The related publications in this thesis are listed as follows:

1. Title: **Reaction Mechanisms of Layered Lithium-Rich Cathode Materials for High-Energy Lithium-Ion Batteries**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Kang Yan, Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, 202000262. DOI: [10.1002/anie.202000262](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202000262). This work is referred to **1.2**, **1.3** and **1.4** in the introduction.
2. Title: **Towards High-energy-density Lithium-ion Batteries: Strategies for Developing High-capacity Lithium-rich Cathode Materials**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Ziqi Guo, Kang Yan, Shuwei Wan, Fengrong He, Bing Sun and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Energy Stor. Mater.*, 2021, 34, 716-734. DOI: [10.1016/j.ensm.2020.11.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.11.008). This work is referred to **1.5** in the introduction.
3. Title: **The Rise of Prussian Blue Analogs: Challenges and Opportunities for High-Performance Cathode Materials in Potassium-Ion Batteries**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Ziqi Guo, Kang Yan, Xin Guo, Shuwei Wan, Fengrong He, Bing Sun and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Small Structures*, 2020, 2000054. DOI: [10.1002/sstr.202000054](https://doi.org/10.1002/sstr.202000054). This work is referred to **1.7** in the introduction.

4. Title: **Aegis of Lithium-Rich Cathode Materials via Heterostructured LiAlF₄ Coating for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Bing Sun, Kang Yan, Jinqiang Zhang, Chengyin Wang and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, 10 (39), 33260-33268. DOI: [10.1021/acsami.8b11471](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b11471). This work is referred to **Chapter 3**.
5. Title: **Construction of Hierarchical K_{1.39}Mn₃O₆ Spheres via AlF₃ Coating for High-Performance Potassium-Ion Batteries**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Kang Yan, Paul Munroe, Bing Sun and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2019, 9 (10), 1803757. DOI: [10.1002/aenm.201803757](https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201803757). This work is referred to **Chapter 4**.
6. Title: **K₂Ti₂O₅@C Microspheres with Enhanced K⁺ Intercalation Pseudocapacitance Ensuring Fast Potassium Storage and Long-Term Cycling Stability**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Liubing Dong, Bing Sun, Kang Yan, Jinqiang Zhang, Shuwei Wan, Fengrong He, Paul Munroe, Peter H. L. Notten and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Small*, 2020, 16 (4), 1803757. DOI: [1906131](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201906131). This work is referred to **Chapter 5**.
7. Title: **Phosphorus and Oxygen Dual-doped Porous Carbon Spheres with Enhanced Reaction Kinetics as Anode Materials for High-performance Potassium-ion Hybrid Capacitors**. Authors: **Shuoqing Zhao**, Kang Yan, Jiayu Liang, Qinghong Yuan, Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun, Paul Munroe and Guoxiu Wang. Journal: *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, 2102060. DOI: [10.1002/adfm.202102060](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202102060). This work is referred to **Chapter 6**.

RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS

1. **Zhao, S.**; Yan, K.; Zhang, J.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, Reaction Mechanisms of Layered Lithium-Rich Cathode Materials for High-Energy Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2021**, 60 (5), 2208-2220.
2. **Zhao, S.**; Yan, K.; Liang, J.; Yuan, Q.; Zhang, J.; Sun, B.*; Munroe, P.; Wang, G.*, Phosphorus and Oxygen Dual-doped Porous Carbon Spheres with Enhanced Reaction Kinetics as Anode Materials for High-performance Potassium-ion Hybrid Capacitors. *Advanced Functional Materials* **2021**, 2102060, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202102060.
3. **Zhao, S.**; Guo, Z.; Yan, K.; Wan, S.; He, F.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, Towards high-energy-density lithium-ion batteries: Strategies for developing high-capacity lithium-rich cathode materials. *Energy Storage Materials* **2021**, 34, 716-734.
4. **Zhao, S.**; Guo, Z.; Yang, J.; Wang, C.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, Nanoengineering of Advanced Carbon Materials for Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Small* **2021**, 2007431.
5. **Zhao, S.**; Guo, Z.; Yan, K.; Guo, X.; Wan, S.; He, F.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, The Rise of Prussian Blue Analogs: Challenges and Opportunities for High-Performance Cathode Materials in Potassium-Ion Batteries. *Small Structures* **2021**, 2 (1), 2000054.
6. **Zhao, S.**; Dong, L.; Sun, B.*; Yan, K.; Zhang, J.; Wan, S.; He, F.; Munroe, P.; Notten, P. H. L.; Wang, G.*, $K_2Ti_2O_5@C$ Microspheres with Enhanced K^+ Intercalation Pseudocapacitance Ensuring Fast Potassium Storage and Long-Term Cycling Stability. *Small* **2020**, 16 (4), 1906131.
7. **Zhao, S.**; Yan, K.; Munroe, P.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, Construction of Hierarchical $K_{1.39}Mn_3O_6$ Spheres via AlF_3 Coating for High-Performance Potassium-Ion Batteries. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2019**, 9 (10), 1803757.
8. **Zhao, S.**; Sun, B.*; Yan, K.; Zhang, J.; Wang, C.; Wang, G.*, Aegis of Lithium-Rich Cathode Materials via Heterostructured $LiAlF_4$ Coating for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2018**, 10 (39), 33260-33268.
9. Yan, K.; **Zhao, S.**; Zhang, J.; Safaei, J.; Yu, X.; Wang, T.; Wang, S.; Sun, B.*; Wang, G.*, Dendrite-Free Sodium Metal Batteries Enabled by the Release of Contact Strain on Flexible and Sodiophilic Matrix. *Nano Letters* **2020**, 20 (8), 6112-6119.
10. Guo, Z.; **Zhao, S.**; Li, T.; Su, D.*; Guo, S.; Wang, G.*, Recent Advances in Rechargeable Magnesium-Based Batteries for High-Efficiency Energy Storage. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2020**, 10 (21), 1903591.
11. Yan, K.; Wang, J.; **Zhao, S.**; Zhou, D.; Sun, B.*; Cui, Y.*; Wang, G.*, Temperature-Dependent Nucleation and Growth of Dendrite-Free Lithium Metal Anodes. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2019**, 58 (33), 11364-11368.
12. Tian, H.; Wang, T.; Zhang, F.; **Zhao, S.**; Wan, S.; He, F.; Wang, G.*, Tunable porous carbon spheres for high-performance rechargeable batteries. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2018**, 6 (27), 12816-12841.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
STATEMENT OF FORMAT	IV
RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	XIII
LIST OF TABLES	XXXI
ABSTRACT	XXXII
INTRODUCTION	XXXIV
CHAPTER 1 LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 Lithium-ion batteries	1
1.2 Lithium-rich cathode materials	2
1.3 Structure and chemical composition of LLRM cathode materials	6
1.4. Reaction mechanisms of LLRM cathode materials	7
1.4.1 Prevailing reaction mechanisms	8
1.4.2 Anionic redox reaction mechanism	9
1.4.3 Cationic redox reaction mechanisms	15
1.5 Strategies for improving electrochemical properties of LRCMs	23
1.5.1 Surface engineering	24

1.5.2 Elemental doping.....	36
1.5.4 Composition optimization	42
1.5.5 Structure engineering	52
1.5.6 Electrolyte additives	55
1.5.7 Other strategies.....	60
1.6 Potassium-ion batteries	61
1.7 Electrode materials for potassium-ion batteries	62
1.7.1 Cathode materials for potassium-ion batteries	63
1.7.2 Anode materials for potassium-ion batteries.....	74
CHAPTER 2 EXPERIMENT AND METHODOLOGY.....	80
2.1 Overview	80
2.2 Chemical reagents	81
2.3 Preparation methods.....	83
2.3.1 Solid state calcination.....	83
2.3.2 Chemical co-deposition.....	83
2.3.3 Chemical vapor deposition.....	84
2.3.4 Spray drying	84
2.4 Material characterization.....	85
2.4.1 Scanning electron microscopy	85
2.4.2 Transmission electron microscope	85
2.4.3 X-ray diffraction.....	86

2.4.4 Raman spectroscopy.....	86
2.4.5 Thermogravimetric analysis.....	87
2.4.6 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.....	87
2.4.7 N ₂ sorption/desorption.....	88
2.5 Electrochemical measurements	88
2.5.1 Electrode preparation	88
2.5.2 Cyclic voltammetry	89
2.5.3 Galvanostatic charge/discharge.....	89
2.5.4 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.....	90
2.5.5 Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique	90
2.6 Density functional theory calculation	91
CHAPTER 3 AEGIS OF LITHIUM-RICH CATHODE MATERIALS VIA	
HETEROSTRUCTURED LiAlF ₄ COATING FOR HIGH-PERFORMANCE LITHIUM-ION	
BATTERIES	92
3.1 Introduction	92
3.2 Experimental section	94
3.2.1 Synthesis of Li _{1.2} Ni _{0.2} Mn _{0.6} O ₂ (LNMO) microspheres	94
3.2.2. Synthesis of AlF ₃ and LiAlF ₄ -Coated Li _{1.2} Ni _{0.2} Mn _{0.6} O ₂ (LNMO)	
microspheres.....	95
3.2.3. Materials characterization	95
3.2.4. Electrochemical measurements	96

3.3 Results and discussion.....	97
3.4 Summary	127
CHAPTER 4 CONSTRUCTION OF HIERARCHICAL $K_{1.39}Mn_3O_6$ SPHERES VIA AlF_3	
COATING FOR HIGH-PERFORMANCE POTASSIUM-ION BATTERIES.....	128
4.1 Introduction	128
4.2 Experimental section	131
4.2.1 Synthesis of AlF_3 coated $K_{1.39}Mn_3O_6$ ($AlF_3@KMO$) microspheres	131
4.2.2. Materials characterization	132
4.2.3. Electrochemical measurements	133
4.3 Results and discussion.....	134
4.4 Summary	163
CHAPTER 5 $K_2Ti_2O_5@C$ MICROSPHERES WITH ENHANCED K^+ INTERCALATION	
PSEUDOCAPACITANCE ENSURING FAST POTASSIUM STORAGE AND LONG-	
TERM CYCLING STABILITY	165
5.1 Introduction	165
5.2 Experimental section	168
5.2.1 Synthesis of $K_2Ti_2O_5$ microspheres (S-KTO)	168
5.2.2 Synthesis of carbon-coated $K_2Ti_2O_5@C$ microspheres (S-KTO@C).....	168
5.2.3 Synthesis of bulk $K_2Ti_2O_5$ materials (B-KTO)	168
5.2.4 Materials characterization	169
5.2.5 Electrochemical measurements	169

5.2.6 <i>In situ</i> XRD measurements	171
5.3 Results and discussion.....	171
5.4 Summary	205
CHAPTER 6 PHOSPHORUS AND OXYGEN DUAL-DOPED POROUS CARBON	
SPHERES WITH ENHANCED REACTION KINETICS AS ANODE MATERIALS FOR	
HIGH-PERFORMANCE POTASSIUM-ION HYBRID CAPACITORS.....	206
6.1 Introduction	206
6.2 Experimental section	209
6.2.1 Synthesis of MnCO ₃ microspheres	209
6.2.2 Synthesis of porous carbon sphere (PCS)	210
6.2.3 Synthesis of oxygen/phosphorus dual-doped porous carbon sphere (P/O-PCS)	
.....	210
6.2.4 Materials characterization	210
6.2.5 Electrochemical measurements	211
6.2.6 <i>In situ</i> Raman measurements.....	214
6.2.7 DFT calculations	214
6.3 Results and discussion.....	215
6.4 Summary	255
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS.....	
7.1 General conclusion.....	256

7.2 Aegis of lithium-rich cathode materials via heterostructured LiAlF_4 coating for high-performance lithium-ion batteries	257
7.3 Construction of hierarchical $\text{K}_{1.39}\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_6$ spheres via AlF_3 coating for high-performance potassium-ion batteries	257
7.4 $\text{K}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_5@\text{C}$ microspheres with enhanced K^+ intercalation pseudocapacitance ensuring fast potassium storage and long-term cycling stability	258
7.5 Phosphorus and oxygen dual-doped porous carbon spheres with enhanced reaction kinetics as anode materials for high-performance potassium-ion hybrid capacitors	259
7.6 Outlook and perspectives	259
Appendix A: Abbreviations/Symbols	263
Appendix B: Scholarship & Awards	264
Appendix C: Conferences	265
REFERENCES.....	266

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Benchmarking LLRM cathode materials ($0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \bullet 0.5\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$, Li-rich NMC) against LiFePO_4 and classical layered oxide cathode materials ($\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$, NCM 811). (a) Radar plot compares LiFePO_4 , NCM 811 and Li-rich NMC according to six critical criteria that are crucial for practical applications. ²¹⁻²² (b) Specific energy according to the weight of cathode materials (coupling with graphite anodes). (c) Average cost, (d) tap density and (e) thermal stability of three electrode materials. The data in (e) are determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). (f) Cycling stability and (g) rate capability of three electrode materials based on previous publication. ²³⁻³²	3
Figure 1.2 Key steps for the development of the reaction mechanisms of LLRM cathode materials. ³³⁻⁵¹	5
Figure 1.3 Crystal structure models of (a) rhombohedral LiMO_2 and (b) monoclinic Li_2MnO_3 seen from the $[100]$ crystallographic direction. Unit cell and atomic arrangement of (c) trigonal LiMO_2 and (d) monoclinic Li_2MnO_3	7
Figure 1.4 Charge–discharge curves and corresponding reaction pathways of layered $x\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \bullet (1-x)\text{LiMO}_2$, based on a compositional phase diagram. (a) Charge/discharge curves and (b) reaction pathways in the first cycle. (c) Charge-discharge curves and (d) reaction pathways in the second cycle.	9
Figure 1.5 (a) The atomic resolution image and the structural model of the $R\bar{3}m$ phase along the $[010]$ projection. (b) The Z-contrast image of the $C2/m$ phase. $[100]$, $[110]$, $[1-10]$ zone	

projection is labelled with different color lines in the image. (c) Schematic diagrams of the different formation mechanism of spinel grains in $R\bar{3}m$ LiMO_2 and $C2/m$ Li_2MO_3 upon cycling. The nucleation of spinel crystal domains has been confirmed in different crystal orientation.⁷⁷

..... 12

Figure 1.6 (a) Hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (HAXPES) results of O1s photoelectron spectra during the first and second cycles.⁴⁶ (b) A comparison of LiMO_2 and Li_2MO_3 crystal structures and relevant band structures.²¹ 15

Figure 1.7 (a) HAADF-STEM images, chemical maps, and plots of Mn and Ni atomic concentration obtained from STEM-EELS results.⁴¹ (b) Atomic resolution Z-contrast image of the surface region and (c) X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) images of a $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Ni}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{0.6}\text{O}_2$ nanoparticle.⁸⁴ (d) STEM-HAADF image of cycled $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Ni}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{0.6}\text{O}_2$ with the spinel structure and the $I41$ structure in SRL. (e) Schematic illustration of the SRL evolution after cycling.⁹⁰ 18

Figure 1.8 (a) K-edge XAS of various elements in $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Ni}_{0.15}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Mn}_{0.55}\text{O}_2$ recorded at the discharge stage after cycling. (b) The contribution to the discharge capacity from different redox couples at different cycles. (c) An illustration of the relationship between the Fermi level and electronic structure. The evolution of redox couples shifts the Fermi level higher upon the original state and lower the open-circuit voltage.⁴⁷ 20

Figure 1.9 (a) The hypothesized $\text{Li}_{1/2}\text{MnO}_3$ crystal structure after activation, where red, green and purple representing O, Li and Mn, respectively. (b, c) Comparison of the hypothesized $\text{Li}_{1/2}\text{MnO}_3$ and Li_2MnO_3 structures. The circles and triangles stand for the octahedral (Oct) and

tetrahedral (Tet) sites, respectively. (d) Alternative charge mechanisms in LLRM cathode materials. The $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Mn}^{7+}$ oxidation followed by the formation of trapped oxygen molecules or peroxide ions. ⁵¹	22
Figure 1.10 Strategies for improvement of layered LRCMs.....	24
Figure 1.11 (a) Scheme of the AlF_3 coating strategy and its working mechanism during cycling. ¹⁰⁴ (b) The phase transformation of heterostructured $\text{Li}_4\text{M}_5\text{O}_{12}@\text{LBO}@\text{LRCM}$ during cycling. ¹⁰⁷ (c–e) TEM images of NH_4F and Al_2O_3 co-coated LRCM. ¹⁰⁹	29
Figure 1.12 The interfacial reaction of (a) pristine and (b) GSR LRCMs before and after the fully first charge. The pre-activated surface layer helps to form a thin CEI layer on the particles' surface. ¹³⁰ (c) Illustration of urea treatment on the Li_2MnO_3 -like cathode material. ¹³²	35
Figure 1.13 (a) The annular bright-field (ABF) image and the energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping of Nb-doped $\text{Li}_{1.2}\text{Mn}_{0.54}\text{Ni}_{0.13}\text{Co}_{0.13}\text{O}_2$. The images reflect the elemental distribution near the surface. (b) The Mn $\text{L}_{2,3}$ edge spectra of the Nb-doped cathode material in the TEY and FLY modes at different charge/discharge states upon the first cycle. (c) The high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) images of Nb-doped LLCM near the subsurface area after 20 cycles (left) and 100 cycles (right). ¹⁴¹ (d) XRD patterns of uncoated and Zr-doped cathode materials after 0, 20 and 100 cycles. ¹⁴²	39
Figure 1.14 (a) Phase transformation of the pristine and co-doped LRCMs upon cycling. ¹⁴⁵ HAADF-STEM images of the (b) pristine and (c) Cd and S-doped cathode materials. ¹⁴⁶	41
Figure 1.15 (a) X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) mappings of cathode materials synthesized by different methods. (b) STEM images, atomic model and intensity plot of the HA	

cathode material.¹⁶¹ (c) The HAADF image and the atomic model of Li-Ti mixed structure.¹⁶⁶

..... 47

Figure 1.16 (a) *In situ* XRD patterns and the corresponding charge-discharge profile of the $\text{Li}_{1.13}\text{Ti}_{0.57}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{S}_2$ cathode during the first cycle.¹⁶⁹ (b) Schematic and STEM-HAADF lattice images of the Li-gradient region from the lithium-rich (lithium substitution in M layer) bulk to the lithium-poor (M substitution in lithium layer) surface.¹⁷¹ 51

Figure 1.17 (a-c) HRTEM images of the pristine and spinel/layered heterostructured cathode materials. (d) Schematic diagram of the spinel/layered heterostructure.¹⁷² (e) TEM images of LRCM nanobricks from the (e, g) frontal view and (f, h) lateral view, respectively.¹⁷³ (e) The difference of lithium diffusion kinetics in 3D cube-maze-like and microsphere-like LRCMs.¹⁷⁴ 54

Figure 1.18 Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves for cells containing (a) Gen 2 electrolyte and (b) Gen 2 electrolyte with 2wt% LiDFOB additive.¹⁷⁶ (c) Scheme of the functioning mechanism of TPFPB. The addition of TPFPB in electrolyte significantly reduces formation of a thick passivation layer. (d) long-term cycling performances of LRCMs with and without TPFPB.¹⁷⁸ 58

Figure 1.19 (a) Specific capacity versus working voltage of different cathode materials for non-aqueous PIBs.^{210, 212, 215-216, 221-230} (PTCDA: perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride. PAQS: poly(anthraquinonyl sulfide)). All of the data is based upon the mass of the cathode material only. (b) The number of publications on cathode materials for PIBs according to Web of Science

database (June 22, 2020). (c) Radar plot comparing electrochemical performances of PBAs in LIBs, SIBs and PIBs according to six key characteristics.	63
Figure 1.20 (a) The recent research process of PBA cathode materials in PIBs. (b) Schematic illustration of structural transformation among BG, PB and PW. (c) Spin states of N-coordinated (left) and C-coordinated (right) TMs in PBAs. (d) Crystal structure of a defect and water-free $\text{Fe}_4[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]_3$ model and possible intercalation sites for K^+	68
Figure 1.21 (a) Schematic illustrations of layered oxides crystal structures of P2- P3- and O3-, K_xMO_2 . ²⁴⁶ (b) Galvanostatic charge-discharge profiles of KCrO_2 in a K half-cell. ²⁴⁷	70
Figure 1.22 (a) Phase transformation of $\text{K}_3\text{V}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2\text{F}_3$ during K^+ intercalation and deintercalation. ²¹⁷	72
Figure 1.23 (a) illustration of potassium storage mechanism in poly(anthraquinonyl sulfide) (PAQS). (b) Charge–discharge profiles of PAQS for the initial three cycles. ²²⁴ (c) illustration of potassium storage mechanism in perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA). ²¹⁹	74
Figure 1.24 Structure diagrams of different potassium graphite intercalation compounds (stage 3-1). ²⁵⁵	76
Figure 1.25 <i>In situ</i> synchrotron XRD results and of <i>ex situ</i> SAED patterns Sb_2S_3 at different charge and discharge state. ²⁶⁶	77
Figure 1.26 (a) Theoretical specific and volumetric capacities of various anode materials for LIBs, SIBs and PIBs. (b) Volume expansion upon alloying reactions with different alkaline metals (Li, Na and K) as a function of x. ²⁰⁶	79

Figure 2.1 Framework of the experiment and methodology.	80
Figure 3.1 Schematic illustration of the synthesis process for pristine LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄	98
Figure 3.2 (a) Low-magnification and (b) high-magnification SEM images of nickel and manganese carbonate precursors.	99
Figure 3.3 (a-c) Low-magnification and (d-f) high-magnification SEM images of LNMO obtained after calcinated at (a, d) 800 °C, (b, e) 850 °C and (c, f) 900 °C.	100
Figure 3.4 (a-c) Low-magnification and (d-f) high-magnification SEM images of LNMO obtained after calcinated at (a, d) 950 °C, (b, e) 1000 °C and (c, f) 1050 °C.	101
Figure 3.5 XRD patterns of LNMO obtained from different calcination temperatures.	102
Figure 3.6 (a) Cycling performance at 0.1 C and (b) rate performance of LNMO obtained from different calcination temperatures.	104
Figure 3.7 (a) XRD patterns of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ . SEM images of (b, e) LNMO, (c, f) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (d, g) LNMO@LiAlF ₄ at different magnifications.	106
Figure 3.8 HRTEM images of the as-synthesized (a) LNMO, (b) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (c) LNMO@LiAlF ₄	107
Figure 3.9 (a) TEM image of LNMO@AlF ₃ . (b-f) The corresponding EDS element mappings of LNMO@AlF ₃ . (b) F, (c) Mn, (d) Ni, (e) Al and (f) O.	108
Figure 3.10 (a) TEM images of LNMO@LiAlF ₄ . (b-f) The corresponding EDS element mappings of LNMO@LiAlF ₄ . (b) F, (c) Mn, (d) Ni, (e) Al and (f) O.	108

Figure 3.11 (a) XPS survey and (b-d) high-resolution spectra of (b) Ni2p, (c) Mn2p, and (d) O1s.	110
Figure 3.12 XPS spectra and fitting results of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ : (a) Li1s, (b) Al2p and (c) F1s.	111
Figure 3.13 Galvanostatic charge/discharge voltage profiles of (a) LNMO, (b) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (c) LNMO@LiAlF ₄ at different cycles. Voltage profiles of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ as a function of Li content with corresponding charge/discharge curves for the (d) first and (e) second cycles.	115
Figure 3.14 (a) Cycling performances of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ electrodes at 0.1 C in the voltage range of 2.0-4.8 V. (b) Rate performance of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ electrodes at various current densities from 0.1 C to 5 C. (c) The long-term stability test of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ electrodes at 5 C for 3000 cycles.....	117
Figure 3.15 SEM images of LNMO electrodes (a, b) before and (c, d) after 100 cycles.	118
Figure 3.16 SEM images of LNMO@AlF ₃ electrodes (a, b) before and (c, d) after 100 cycles.	119
Figure 3.17 SEM images of LNMO@LiAlF ₄ electrodes (a, b) before and (c, d) after 100 cycles.	119
Figure 3.18 Average operating voltage vs. cycle number of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄	120

Figure 3.19 Voltage profiles of (a) LNMO, (b) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (c) LNMO@LiAlF ₄ at different current densities.....	121
Figure 3.20 CV curves of (a) LNMO, (b) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (c) LNMO@LiAlF ₄ at the scan rate of 0.1 mV s ⁻¹ . (d) The corresponding CV curves at the 3 rd cycle.....	122
Figure 3.21 (a) Nyquist plots of the prepared electrodes from 100 kHz to 10 mHz after discharge to 2.0 V in the first cycle. The corresponding (b) linear fitting results of the Warburg impedance and (c) equivalent circuit.	125
Figure 3.22 (a) Nyquist plots of fresh electrodes. (b-d) Nyquist plots of (b) LNMO, (c) LNMO@AlF ₃ and (d) LNMO@LiAlF ₄ electrodes at different measured temperatures.	125
Figure 4.1 (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of AlF ₃ @S-KMO. (b, c) SEM images and (d) HRTEM image of S-KMO. (e, f) SEM images and (g) HRTEM image of AlF ₃ @S-KMO. (h) TEM-EDS elemental maps for Al, F, K, and Mn in AlF ₃ @S-KMO.	136
Figure 4.2 (a, b) SEM images of the MnCO ₃ microspheres.....	137
Figure 4.3 XRD pattern of the MnCO ₃ microspheres.	138
Figure 4.4 XRD pattern of the Mn ₂ O ₃ microspheres.	138
Figure 4.5 (a, b) SEM images of the Mn ₂ O ₃ microspheres.	139
Figure 4.6 TEM image of the Mn ₂ O ₃ microsphere.	140
Figure 4.7 TEM image of S-KMO.	140
Figure 4.8 (a, b) SEM images of B-KMO.....	141
Figure 4.9 (a) XRD patterns of B-KMO, S-KMO and AlF ₃ @S-KMO. (b) Raman spectra of S-KMO and AlF ₃ @S-KMO. (c-f) XPS survey and high-resolution spectra of (d) K 2p, (e) Mn 2p	

and (f) O1s of S-KMO and AlF₃@S-KMO. (g-i) High-resolution XPS spectra of Al 2p, Al 2s and F 1s in AlF₃@S-KMO. 143

Figure 4.10 Cyclic voltammetry of cells with (a) S-KMO electrode and (b) AlF₃@S-KMO electrode between 1.5 and 4 V (vs. K⁺/K) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. Galvanostatic charge and discharge voltage profiles of cells with (c) S-KMO electrode and (d) AlF₃@S-KMO electrode under a current density of 10 mA g⁻¹. (e) Rate performances of cells with B-KMO, S-KMO and AlF₃@S-KMO electrodes at different current densities. (f) Cycling performance of cells with B-KMO, S-KMO and AlF₃@S-KMO electrodes at 50 mA g⁻¹ before and after refreshing the potassium metal anodes and electrolyte. 145

Figure 4.11 Cyclic voltammetry of B-KMO between 1.5 and 4 V vs. K⁺/K at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. 146

Figure 4.12 Galvanostatic charge/discharge voltage profiles of the B-KMO electrode at a current density of 10 mA g⁻¹. 148

Figure 4.13 SEM images of S-KMO electrodes at different magnifications. (a, b) before and (c, d) after 50 cycles. 151

Figure 4.14 SEM images of AlF₃@ S-KMO electrodes at different magnifications. (a, b) before and (c, d) after 50 cycles. 151

Figure 4.15 *Ex situ* XRD results of (a) S-KMO electrodes and (b) AlF₃@S-KMO electrodes before and after 1st, 2nd, 5th, 10th, 20th and 50th cycles. The cells were cycled at 50 mA g⁻¹. 152

Figure 4.16 Cycling performances of S-KMO and AlF₃@S-KMO electrodes at 45 °C..... 153

Figure 4.17 Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) results for the (a) S-KMO electrode and (b) $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrode measured at a constant current density of 10 mA g^{-1} . (c) Charge reaction resistances and (d) discharge reaction resistances of B-KMO, S-KMO and $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrodes. The corresponding calculated diffusion coefficients of K^+ (e) insertion and (f) extraction vs. specific capacity.....	156
Figure 4.18 GITT profiles of the cell with B-KMO electrode at the current density of 10 mA g^{-1}	157
Figure 4.19 (a-c) Linear fitting results of the potential versus $\tau^{1/2}$ relationship.....	158
Figure 4.20 Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the cells with (a) B-KMO, (b) S-KMO and (c) $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrodes after the first and fifth cycles.	159
Figure 4.21 <i>Ex situ</i> XRD patterns of (a) S-KMO electrodes and (b) $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrodes at different charge and discharge stages in the first two cycles. The cells were cycled at 10 mA g^{-1}	161
Figure 4.22 Enlarged images of <i>ex situ</i> XRD patterns of the a) S-KMO and b) $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrodes through the first two cycles at a current density of 10 mA g^{-1}	162
Figure 4.23 Relationship between the lattice spacing and the corresponding XRD diffraction peak position at various voltage states of S-KMO and $\text{AlF}_3@\text{S-KMO}$ electrodes.....	163
Figure 5.1 (a) Schematic illustration of the preparation process for S-KTO@C. (b, c) SEM images and (d) TEM images of S-KTO. The inset shows the lattice spacing of the S-KTO material. (e, f) SEM images and (g) TEM image of S-KTO@C.	173
Figure 5.2 (a-c) SEM images of S-KTO precursors.....	174

Figure 5.3 Low-magnification SEM images of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C microspheres.	175
Figure 5.4 Low-magnification TEM images of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C microspheres.	176
Figure 5.5 SAED patterns of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C materials.	177
Figure 5.6 (a) TEM-EDS elemental maps for (b) C, (c) K, (d) O, and (e) Ti in the S-KTO@C material.	178
Figure 5.7 (a) XRD patterns, (b) TGA curves and (c) Raman spectra of S-KTO and S-KTO@C. (d-f) High-resolution XPS spectra of Ti 2p, O 1s, K 2p and C 1s of S-KTO (lower) and S-KTO@C (upper).	180
Figure 5.8 XPS spectra of the S-KTO and S-KTO@C materials.	181
Figure 5.9 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of the (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C materials.	182
Figure 5.10 (a) XRD pattern and (b) SEM image of B-KTO.	183
Figure 5.11 Galvanostatic charge and discharge voltage profiles of cells with (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K^+/K) at a current density of 0.1 C. (c) Cycling performance of S-KTO, S-KTO@C and B-KTO electrodes at a current density of 0.1 C for 100 cycles. (d) Rate performances of cells with S-KTO, S-KTO@C and B-KTO electrodes at various current densities. (e) Long-term cycling performance of cells with S-KTO, S-KTO@C and B-KTO electrodes at a current density of 1 C for 1000 cycles. (f) <i>In situ</i> XRD	

contour plots of a S-KTO@C electrode at a current density of 0.1 C. (g, h) The change of lattice spacings, corresponding to the (001) and (003) peaks vs. time.....	185
Figure 5.12 Electrochemical performances of the B-KTO sample: (a) CV curves and (b) GCD profiles under a current density of 0.1 C between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K^+/K).....	186
Figure 5.13 Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the cells with (a) B-KTO, (b) S-KTO and (c) S-KTO@C electrodes after the first (yellow) and 100th (green) cycle.	188
Figure 5.14 <i>Ex situ</i> XRD results of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes before (green) and after (yellow) 100 cycles. The cells were cycled at 0.1 C.	191
Figure 5.15 SEM images of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes after 100 (dis)charge cycles.	192
Figure 5.16 <i>Ex situ</i> XPS results of (a) Ti 2p and (b) C 1s for S-KTO@C electrodes at different charge/discharge states. The cells were (dis)charged at 0.1C.	193
Figure 5.17 <i>In situ</i> XRD patterns of a S-KTO@C Swagelok cell cycled at 0.1 C.....	194
Figure 5.18 Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) results for (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes measured at a 0.1 C. (c) Charge reaction resistances and (d) discharge reaction resistances of S-KTO, S-KTO@C and B-KTO electrodes.	195
Figure 5.19 GITT profiles of the cell with a B-KTO electrode at a current density of 0.1 C.	196
Figure 5.20 CV curves of K-half cells with (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K^+/K) at a scan rate of 0.1 $mV s^{-1}$. Quantitative analysis of the CV curves between the total current (black lines) and the surface capacitive current (pink regions) at a scan	

rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} of (c) S-KTO and (d) S-KTO@C electrodes. The capacitive contribution	
histograms of the (e) S-KTO and (f) S-KTO@C electrodes at different scan rates.	198
Figure 5.21 CV curves of (a) S-KTO and (b) S-KTO@C electrodes at different scan rates.	199
Figure 5.22 b-value analysis using the relationship between the (a) cathodic and (b) anodic	
peak currents versus scan rates.....	200
Figure 5.23 (a) Schematic illustration of a S-KTO@C//AC PIHC. (b) CV curves of the	
fabricated PIHC device at different scan rates. (c) GCD curves and (d) rate capability of the	
PIHC at different current densities. (e) Ragone plots in comparison with other works, including	
lithium-ion hybrid capacitors, sodium-ion hybrid capacitors, potassium-ion hybrid capacitors	
and zinc-ion hybrid capacitors. (f) Long-term cycling stability of the PIHC device at 5 A g^{-1}	
for 3000 cycles.	202
Figure 5.1 (a) The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and (b) corresponding pore size	
distribution of the employed AC.	203
Figure 5.25 (a) CV curves of K-half cells with the AC electrode between 1.8 and 4.2 V (vs.	
K^+/K) at different scan rates. (b, c) Rate performances and relevant galvanostatic charge and	
discharge voltage profiles of cells with the AC electrode at various current densities. (d) Cycling	
performance of cells with the AC electrode at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} for 100 cycles.	
.....	203
Figure 5.26 (a) CV curves and (b) galvanostatic charge and discharge voltage profiles of PIHCs	
with different mass ratios of anode to cathode.....	204

Figure 5.27 GCD curves of an AC//AC symmetric supercapacitor at different current densities.	204
Figure 6.1 (a) The synthesis process of the P/O-PCS material. (b-d) SEM and TEM images of as-prepared P/O-PCS. (e) XRD patterns, (f) Raman spectra and (g) XPS spectra of PCS and P/O-PCS.	217
Figure 6.2 (a-c) SEM images of MnCO_3 precursors.	218
Figure 6.3 (a-c) SEM images of $\text{MnO}@$ carbon composites.	219
Figure 6.4 (a-c) SEM images of PCS materials.	220
Figure 6.5 (a, b) Low-magnification and (c, d) high-magnification TEM images of PCS...	221
Figure 6.6 SAED patterns of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS materials.....	222
Figure 6.7 (a) TEM-EDS elemental maps for (b) C, (c) O and (d) P in the P/O-PCS material.	223
Figure 6.8 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS materials. Pore size distribution for the (c) PCS and (d) P/O-PCS materials.	225
Figure 6.9 High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) C, (b) O and (c) P for PCS and P/O-PCS materials.	226
Figure 6.10 Galvanostatic charge and discharge voltage profiles of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K^+/K) at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} . (c) Cycle life of PCS and P/O-PCS electrodes at 0.1 A g^{-1} for 100 cycles. (d) Rate capability of PCS and P/O- PCS electrodes at various current densities ($0.1 \text{ A g}^{-1} - 20 \text{ A g}^{-1}$). (e) Long-term cycling stability of PCS and P/O-PCS electrodes at 20 A g^{-1} for 10000 cycles.	229

Figure 6.11 CV curves of (a) PCS and (c) P/O-PCS electrodes at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s ⁻¹ between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K ⁺ /K). The CV profiles of (b) PCS and (d) P/O-PCS after the fourth cycle.	230
Figure 6.12 SEM images of (a, b) PCS and (c, d) P/O-PCS electrodes at different magnifications before and after 300 cycles.	231
Figure 6.13 Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the cells with PCS and P/O-PCS electrodes after the 300 th cycle.	231
Figure 6.14 GCD profiles of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes under different current densities between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K ⁺ /K).	233
Figure 6.15 Comparison of rate capability of P/O-PCS with the reported anode materials for potassium-based energy storage devices. ^{396, 427, 432-436}	234
Figure 6.16 Comparisons of cycle number versus corresponding capacity retention of various anode materials for potassium-based energy storage devices. ^{396, 411, 415, 421, 424, 432, 435, 437-440} .	234
Figure 6.17 CV curves of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes at different scan rates between 0.01 and 3 V (vs. K ⁺ /K).	235
Figure 6.18 b-value analysis using the relationship between the peak currents and scan rates: (a, b) carbon-derived redox peaks in PCS and P/O-PCS, (c) P-based redox peaks in P/O-PCS.	236
Figure 6.19 CV curves and surface-controlled capacitive contributions of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes shown in the orange regions (0.1 mV/s). The capacitive contribution histograms of (c) PCS and (d) P/O-PCS electrodes at scan rates from 0.1 to 5 mV/s.	237

Figure 6.20 Current step diagram of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS at 0.6 V versus K^+/K in the first depotassiation process.	238
Figure 6.21 Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique results for (a) PCS and (b) P/O@PCS electrodes measured at 20 mA g^{-1} . (c) Charge and (d) discharge reaction resistances of PCS and (b) P/O@PCS. The variation of diffusion coefficients for PCS and P/O@PCS upon (e) potassiation and (f) depotassiation.	239
Figure 6.22 <i>In situ</i> Raman spectra of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes in the first electrochemical cycle from bottom to top. (c) Scheme of the P/O dual doping strategy with respect to the potassium storage mechanism.....	241
Figure 6.23 <i>In situ</i> Raman patterns and relevant GCD profiles of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes cycled at 0.2 A g^{-1}	242
Figure 6.24 <i>Ex situ</i> XPS analysis of (a) PCS and (b) P/O-PCS electrodes before and after the first full cycle. (c) High-resolution spectra of P_{2p} characteristic peaks after cycling. The cells were cycled at 0.1 A g^{-1}	244
Figure 6.25 High-resolution XPS spectra of (a, b) C_{1s} , (c, d) S_{2p} and (e, f) F_{1s} characteristic peaks for PCS and P/O-PCS before and after cycling. The cells were cycled at 0.1 A g^{-1}	245
Figure 6.26 (a) The activation energies of PCS and P/O-PCS electrodes obtained from the temperature-dependent EIS test. The temperature-dependent EIS measurements of (b) PCS and (c) P/O-PCS electrodes.....	246
Figure 6.27 Theoretical calculations of K atom adsorption and diffusion in different configurations. The optimized geometry of K atom adsorption on (a) Pure-G, and defective	

sites of (b) SV-G, (c) DV-G, (d) POH-G, (e) P(OH)₂-G as well as the corresponding adsorption energy (E_{ads}). The optimized geometry of K atom adsorption on (f) Pure-G, and non-defective sites of (g) SV-G, (h) DV-G, (i) POH-G, (j) P(OH)₂-G as well as the corresponding adsorption energy ($E_{\text{ads-far}}$). The light blue numbers (1→3) represent migration path of K atom in different configurations. (k) The density of states (DOS) of different configurations. (l) the adsorption energies and diffusion barriers of K atom in different configurations. 249

Figure 6.28 (a-e) The charge density difference (CDD) analysis of different configurations. 250

Figure 6.29 (a) Schematic illustration of the as-prepared P/O-PCS//AC device. Electrochemical performances of the P/O-PCS//AC device. (b) CV curves of the P/O-PCS//AC at different scan rates. (c) Rate performance of the as-prepared P/O-PCS//AC (0.05 to 10 A g⁻¹). (d) Ragone plots of different hybrid capacitors according to previous works.^{374, 399, 403-404, 407, 432, 438, 443-445} (e) Long-term cycle performance of the P/O-PCS//AC device at 5 A g⁻¹ up to 30000 cycles. The inset displays the illuminated LED arrays powered by our fabricated P/O-PCS//AC device. 252

Figure 6.30 Electrochemical performances of the AC cathode in K-half cells. (a) Galvanostatic charge and discharge voltage profiles of the AC cathode within the potential range from 1.8 to 4.2 V (vs. K⁺/K) at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹. (b) Cycling performance of the AC cathode at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹ for 100 cycles. (c) Rate performance of the AC cathode at various current densities. (d) Ragone plots of P/O-PCS//AC with different mass ratios of anode to cathode. 253

Figure 6.31 CV curves of the P/O-PCS anode and the AC cathode in half cells (top) and PIHCs (bottom) at 0.5 mV s ⁻¹	254
Figure 6.32 GCD curves of a P/O-PCS//AC PIHC at different current densities.	254

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Different types of surface coating strategies.	30
Table 1.2 Comparison of different surface coating strategies in term of their advantages and disadvantages.	31
Table 1.3 Different types of elemental doping strategies.	42
Table 1.4 Different types of electrolyte additives species.	59
Table 3.1 Electrochemical impedance spectra fitting values of three cathodes before and after the first cycle.	126
Table 3.2 Diffusion coefficients D_{Li} of LNMO, LNMO@AlF ₃ and LNMO@LiAlF ₄ at different measured temperatures.	126
Table 4.1 The comparison of different layered TMOs for KIBs cathodes.	150
Table 6.1 Atomic percentage of different chemical compositions in PCS and P/O-PCS based on the EDS analysis.	227

ABSTRACT

With the growing demand for high-energy-density lithium-ion batteries, layered lithium-rich cathode materials with high specific capacity and low cost have been widely regarded as one of the most attractive candidates for next-generation lithium-ion batteries. However, issues such as voltage decay, capacity loss and sluggish reaction kinetics have hindered their further commercialization for decades. Herein, we propose a heterostructured LiAlF_4 coating strategy to overcome those obstacles. The as-developed lithium-rich cathode material shows a high reversible capacity and ultralong cycling stability. The enhanced performances can be attributed to the introduction of the lithium-ion-conductive nanolayer and the generation of nonbonding O^{n-} species in the active material lattice, which enable rapid and effective lithium ions transport and diffusion.

Considering the increasing cost and uneven distribution of lithium resources, potassium-ion batteries are attracting great interest for emerging large-scale energy storage, owing to their advantages such as low cost and high operational voltage. Herein, the synthesis of hierarchical $\text{K}_{1.39}\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_6$ microspheres as cathode materials for potassium-ion batteries is reported. Additionally, an effective AlF_3 surface coating strategy is applied to further improve the electrochemical performance of $\text{K}_{1.39}\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_6$ microspheres. The as-synthesized AlF_3 coated $\text{K}_{1.39}\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_6$ microspheres show a high reversible capacity, excellent rate capability, and cycling stability. *Ex situ* X-ray diffraction measurements reveal that the irreversible structure evolution can be significantly mitigated via surface modification.

As for anode materials, it is reported on carbon-coated $K_2Ti_2O_5$ microspheres (S-KTO@C) synthesized through a facile spray drying method. Taking advantages of both the porous microstructure and carbon coating, S-KTO@C shows excellent rate capability and cycling stability as an anode material for PIBs. As a proof of concept, a potassium-ion hybrid capacitor shows a high energy density, high power density, and excellent capacity retention.

Phosphorus/oxygen dual-doped porous carbon spheres, which possess expanded interlayer distances, abundant redox active sites and oxygen-rich defects, were also prepared in this thesis. The as-developed anode material shows superior electrochemical performances. *In situ* Raman spectroscopy and density functional theory calculations further confirm that the formation of P-C and P-O/P-OH bonds not only improves structural stability, but also contributes to a rapid surface-controlled potassium adsorption process. A potassium-ion hybrid capacitor was assembled by a dual-doped porous carbon sphere anode and an activated carbon cathode, which holds great promise as next-generation energy storage devices.