Elsevier required licence: © <2021>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

The definitive publisher version is available online at [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352186421001565?via%3Dihub]

1	Proof of concept: Integrated membrane distillation-forward					
2	osmosis approaches water production in a low-temperature					
3	CO ₂ capture					
4	Manuscript submitted to					
5	Environmental Technology & Innovation					
6 7	Lei Zheng ^{1,2} , Kangkang Li ³ , Qilin Wang ¹ , Gayathri Naidu ¹ , William E. Price ⁴ , Xiwang Zhang ⁵ , and Long D. Nghiem ^{1,6,*}					
9 10 11	¹ Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo					
12 13 14	NSW 2007, Australia ² Chongqing Institute of Green and Intelligent Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chongqing 400714, PR China					
15	³ CSIRO Energy, 10 Murray Dwyer Circuit, Mayfield West, NSW 2307, Australia					
16 17	⁴ Strategic Water Infrastructure Laboratory, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia					
18	⁵ Department of Chemical Engineering, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia					
19	⁶ NTT Institute of Hi-Technology, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam					
20	* Corresponding author: Long D. Nghiem. Email: Duclong.Nghiem@uts.edu.au; Ph +61 2 9514					

22 Abstract

23 This study investigated the removal of CO₂ from flue gas by an integrated membrane distillationforward osmosis (MD-FO) system. Monoethanolamine (MEA) and sodium glycinate solutions 24 were loaded with CO₂ from a mixture of CO₂ and N₂ (1:9 in volume ratio) to simulate synthetic 25 flue gas. CO₂ desorption from the amine solution was evaluated using MD at 80 °C. Interaction 26 between amines and the membrane polymeric matrix could alter the membrane surface 27 28 hydrophobicity; however, under all experimental conditions it was still sufficiently hydrophobic for MD operation. Amine loss during MD operation for CO₂ desorption was insignificant. FO was 29 used to provide make-up water and cooling to the regenerated amine solution after CO₂ desorption 30 by MD. The results showed stable FO water flux when wastewater effluent was used as the source 31 32 for make-up water. Repetitive CO₂ loading and desorption experiments showed 87.0% and 88.1% CO₂ re-absorption efficiency for MEA and sodium glycinate in the second cycle, respectively. 33 Further investigation of this hybrid system is suggested to advance the CO₂ desorption by MD 34 process and water production by FO process. 35

Keywords: CO₂ capture; Flue gas; Membrane distillation; Forward osmosis; Monoethanolamine;
Sodium glycinate.

38 **1 Introduction**

CO₂ removal from flue gas for storage or beneficial utilisation is a pragmatic solution while 39 non fossil-based energy alternatives are still being developed. Fossil fuel combustion produced 40 37.15 Gt of CO₂, equivalent to 45% of the global green house gas emission [1]. To reduce 41 green house gas emission from the combustion of fossil fuel, strategies for CO₂ capture from 42 flue gas such as absorption [2], membrane separation [3-5] and adsorption [6-8] have been 43 extensively studied in recent years. Amine-based post-combustion CO2 capture is a mature 44 technology that can be retrofitted to existing power stations. Examples of power stations 45 retrofitted with amine-based post-combustion CO₂ capture include Boundary Dam [9] and 46 Petra Nova [10]. 47

Monoethanolamine (MEA) is the most widely used chemical for amine-based CO₂ capture due 48 to features such as high absorption capacity, fast reaction kinetics and high mass transfer. Apart 49 from MEA, several amino acid salts such as sodium glycinate have also gained scientific and 50 commercial attention because of their greater resistances to oxidative degradation and lower 51 toxicity than MEA [11, 12]. These amino acid salts have an amine group and an acidic 52 carboxylic acid group, which can be protonated, neutral, or deprotonated as a function of pH 53 [13]. The zwitterionic mechanism is often considered to interpret the chemical reaction 54 55 between CO₂ and solvents [14, 15]. In this mechanism, a primary or secondary amino group of amines firstly reacts with CO_2 to form a zwitterion (Eq. 1). The base (i.e. amine, OH^- , or H_2O) 56 57 neutralizes the intermediate to form a carbamate (Eq. 2). These carbamates can be thermally reversed back to amine and CO₂ via the following reactions: 58

59
$$CO_2 + RNH_2 \leftrightarrow RNH_2^+COO^-$$
 (Eq. 1)

$$60 \quad RNH_2^+COO^- + RNH_2 \leftrightarrow RNHCOO^- + RNH_3^+$$
 (Eq. 2)

In the current amine-based CO₂ removal process, amine solution is regenerated by a stripping 61 with steam at about 120 °C. This thermal energy consumption accounts for about 75% of the 62 overall costs because of large amount of steam used for the absorbent regeneration [16-18]. In 63 addition, the high stripping temperature resulted in thermal degradation and subsequent amine 64 loss [19]. Recent approaches to address these drawbacks focus on the absorbent formulation 65 66 and process innovation to improve the CO₂ capture performance, such as solvent modification with promoters, efficient gas-liquid contactors, microwave swing regeneration and, 67 68 electrochemically mediated amine regeneration [20-22]. For example, Li et al, [23, 24] observed that addition of metal ions (i.e. Cu and Me) in the aqueous amine solution could 69

enhance the CO_2 desorption rate and reduce the heat of CO_2 desorption, thus reducing the regeneration energy. However, these approaches still rely on high temperature CO_2 desorption, and have not been realised at full scale for CO_2 capture. Another inherent disadvantage of high temperature CO_2 desorption is the high energy demand for cooling. The large water demand for the trim cooler is a major hurdle for inland CO_2 capture plants where fresh water is scarce.

New research effort has been devoted to technologies with low CO₂ desorption temperature so that the low-grade waste heat from the power plants can be utilised. In the US alone, thermal power plants discharge 18.9 billion GJ_{th} waste heat each year at temperature around 85 °C [25]. If fully utilised this waste heat can offset most of the thermal energy requirement for CO₂ capture.

The CO₂ desorption kinetics from an amine solution is slow. However, the rate of CO₂ 80 desorption can be improved by removing or reducing water activities in the system. Lin et al., 81 [26] lowered the water activity by adding methanol into a water-amine system and observed 82 67% higher CO₂ desorption at 80 °C than that of MEA only at 120 °C in terms of the cyclic 83 loading performance. Lai et al., [27] also observed higher CO₂ desorption rate in an alcohol-84 amine-water system than that of a single amine system. In the 40 wt% ethanol/20 wt% MEA 85 solution, CO₂ desorption rate was reported to increase by more than 6 times, from 0.021 to 86 0.137 mmol/s. This may be attributed to dielectric constant compression of amine due to 87 alcohol addition and therefore reduced the basic strength of the sorbent, which helped to release 88 89 acidic CO₂ at a low temperature [28]. Barzagli et al., [29, 30] reported that MEA was more 90 stable than other secondary amines (e.g. amines 2-2(2-aminoethoxy)ethanol) with more residual carbamates at 110 °C desorption by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. They also observed that 91 92 a mixture of amines (i.e. alkanolamine and 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol) could achieve 73-96% efficiency at the low desorption temperature (< 90 °C). Another approach is to remove 93 water from the system during CO_2 desorption, which can also increase CO_2 desorption rate. 94

95 Membrane distillation (MD) can utilise low-grade heat and remove water at the same time, 96 enabling MD a good candidate for the low temperature CO₂ desorption. Unlike pressure driven 97 membrane technologies (such as nanofiltration and reverse osmosis), MD is a thermally driven 98 separation process. The difference in partial water vapour pressure across a microporous and 99 hydrophobic membrane induced by temperature difference between the feed and distillate side 100 is the driving force of the MD process [31, 32]. The membrane surface properties can be 101 precisely controlled to achieve anti-fouling and anti-wetting properties [33-35].

MD is operated at well below the water boiling temperature. Thus, the low-grade heat from 102 power plants can be directly utilised in MD process to regenerate the amine solution after heat 103 exchanger at 80 °C or below. MD can remove water from the water-amine solution to improve 104 the rate of CO₂ desorption. MD has been successfully demonstrated at pilot scale for further 105 removal of water from hypersaline solutions [36, 37]. Several studies have also demonstrated 106 the potential of low-temperature CO₂ desorption from amine and amino acid salt by hollow 107 fibre membrane contactors [21, 38, 39]. However, to date, the feasibility of MD for low-108 temperature (~80 °C) CO₂ desorption has not been systematically investigated. 109

In the amine-based CO₂ capture process, after regeneration, it is necessary to cool down the 110 amine solution to a desired CO₂ absorption temperature and compensate to the corresponding 111 water loss from the CO₂ desorption. Previous studies [40-42] have demonstrated the potential 112 of forward osmosis (FO) for simultaneous cooling of the regenerated amine solution and 113 providing make-up water. 114

In this study, we propose an integrated MD-FO system to simultaneously achieve two 115 objectives: (1) CO₂ desorption at low temperature; (2) cooling and provision of make-up water 116 after desorption. This study aims to extend the previous theoretical framework and to evaluate 117 the effect of membrane material and adsorbent type on the system performance. 118

2 119

Materials and methods

2.1 Conceptual diagram of proposed MD-FO for CO₂ capture 120

121 Fig. 1 conceptually describes the proposed MD-FO process for low temperature CO₂ capturing from flue gas. Inputs into the process are flue gas and waste heat from coal fired power plants 122 and wastewater. Outputs from the process include purified CO₂ for storage or beneficial use, 123 124 high quality distillate, and N₂ that can be vented to the atmosphere. Via an adsorptiondesorption cycle, pure CO₂ is extracted from flue gas for compression, storage or beneficial 125 126 use. Wastewater is used as the make-up water via the FO process. Waste heat from the power plant is used to desorb CO₂ (regenerate the amine solution) and produce high quality distillate 127 128 for beneficial use.



129

CO₂ lean-loaded amine solution

130 Fig. 1. Conceptual integrated MD-FO process flow diagram. The process includes two stages:

131 (1) CO₂ desorption supplement by membrane distillation for low temperature operation; and

132 (2) Forward osmosis to replace a trim cooler.

133 2.2 Membranes and chemicals

134 Two commercially available flat-sheet hydrophobic polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) 135 membranes were used for the MD process (Table 1). A flat-sheet hydrophilic thin film 136 composite (TFC) membrane (Porifera, US) was used for FO process. This TFC membrane 137 consists of a thin active layer and an embedded woven support layer. The operational ranges 138 of pH of these membranes are between 3 and 13, which cover the pH range of the amine 139 solution before and after CO_2 absorption.

140 Table 1: Specifications of hydrophobic membranes used in this study.

Memb	rane Supplier	Thickness	Porosity	Pore size
		(µm)	(%)	(µm)
1	General Electric (US)	179	75	0.22
2	Porous Membrane Technology (China)	60	80	0.2

Reagent grade MEA, glycine and sodium hydroxide were from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium glycinate was prepared by mixing glycine with an equal molar ratio between glycine and sodium hydroxide in deionised (DI) water. Instrument grade (>99.8% purity) CO₂ and N₂ gases were from Coregas Australia and stored in pressurised cylinders.

145 2.3 Feed solution for MD and FO

CO2 and N2 gases were mixed together using Bronkhorst mass flow controllers to obtain a 146 CO₂:N₂ gas ratio by volume of 1:9 to simulate the composition of flue gas. Simulated flue gas 147 was constantly bubbled into the 5 L of MEA (5 M) or sodium glycinate (3 M) solution at a 148 flow rate of 1.1 L/min at 40 °C controlled by a water bath. Gaseous CO₂ concentration was 149 150 analysed by a gas analyser (Horiba, VA-3000) with the analytical range of 0-10 vol% every 15 s. The CO₂ rich solution was considered fully loaded when CO₂ concentration in the outlet was 151 152 the same as in the inlet. These CO₂ rich-loaded solutions were used as the feed solution for MD experiment. 153

154 CO₂ rich-loaded solutions became CO₂ lean-loaded solution after CO₂ desorption in the MD 155 experiment and CO₂ lean-loaded solutions were used as the draw solution in FO process. As a 156 kind of non-portable water, treated effluent from a membrane bioreactor [43] was used as the 157 feed solution in FO process. The conductivity and total organic carbon (TOC) of this treated 158 effluent was 5 mS/cm and 7 mg/L. DI water was used as the feed solution in the baseline test 159 with the same draw solution for treated effluent.

160 2.4 MD for CO_2 desorption and FO for water supplement

The CO₂ desorption experiments for CO₂ rich-loaded solution were performed using a lab-161 scale direct contact membrane distillation (DCMD) system (Supplementary Data Fig. S1a). 162 The membrane module was in a plate-and-frame configuration with an effective membrane 163 area of 17.5 cm². Feed solution (0.5 L) and distillate (2 L) were circulated counter-currently by 164 two gear pumps (Cole Parmer, model 75211-15, US) at the flow rate of 1.0 L/min 165 (corresponding to 36.2 cm/s). In all experiments, the CO₂ rich-loaded feed solution was placed 166 in a jacketed vessel coupled with a temperature control system (Thermoline, model BL-30, 167 Australia) and maintained at 80 ± 2 °C. 80 °C represents the low-grade power plant waste heat 168 [44], which is a reasonably low temperature compared to the conventional regeneration 169 temperature (120 °C). The distillate was maintained at room temperature (25 \pm 2 °C) using 170 another temperature control system (Thermoline, model BL-30, Australia). Inlet and outlet 171 172 temperature of the feed solution and distillate flow channels were recorded by temperature sensors (Vernier LabQuest 2, US). 173

The distillate reservoir vessel was placed on a digital balance (Adam, model PGL 8001,
Australia) and the weight change was recorded every 3 min and transferred to a data logger.
Preliminary experiments showed that CO₂ desorption stopped at water recovery of 30% or

more. Samples (2 mL) from the feed solution were collected at 10, 20, and 30% water recovery

to monitor the CO₂ desorption performance as a function of water recovery. Feed and distillate
samples (50 mL) were also taken at the beginning and end of each experiment for other analyses.
Upon the DCMD process, the final concentrated feed solutions were then used as draw
solutions for the subsequent FO experiments.

After the CO₂ desorption experiment, the experimental equipment was rearranged into an FO 182 system. The same membrane cell was used for the FO system to provide make-up water and 183 cooling to the CO₂ lean-loaded solution also known as the regenerated amine solution 184 (Supplementary Data Fig. S1b). Draw solution (0.35 L) and feed solution (2 L) were circulated 185 counter-currently. The feed solution reservoir was placed on the digital balance for monitoring 186 the water flux. It is assumed that amine loss during CO₂ desorption did not occur. Thus, each 187 experiment was conducted until the amine solution returned to the initial volume of 0.5 L prior 188 to CO₂ desorption. In other words, the volume of water permeated through the membrane to 189 the CO₂ lean-loaded solution was the same as the volume of clean water produced by MD 190 during CO₂ desorption. Feed solution and draw solution samples (50 mL) were taken at the 191 beginning and end of each experiment for analysis. All DCMD and FO experiments were 192 conducted in duplicate. The results are reported as geometric mean and standard deviation. 193

- 194 2.5 Measurement and analysis
- 195 2.5.1 Contact angle measurement

196 Membrane surface hydrophobicity was determined by contact angle measurement using a 197 goniometer (model: Theta Lite 100, Biolin Scientific, Sweden) and the standard sessile drop 198 method. Five measurements using DI water (5-8 μ L) as the reference liquid were conducted at 199 different locations on the membrane surface. Membrane samples were air-dried before contact 200 angle measurement.

201 2.5.2 Solution chemistry characterization

The chemical properties of the liquid sample (1 μ L) were analysed by using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (model: IRAffinity-1, Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a single reflection attenuated total reflectance (MIRacle 10, Shimadzu, Japan). Absorbance from wavelength 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ of each sample displayed the corresponding spectra at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. TOC analysis was operated by sparging Non-Purgeable Organic Carbon using a TOC analyser (Analytik Jena Multi N/C 3100, Jena, Germany).

- 208 2.5.3 Water flux and reverse salt flux
- 209 Water flux (J_w) is an important performance indicator of membrane process, which can be 210 calculated as follow:

211
$$J_{w} = \frac{M_{t1} - M_{t2}}{\Delta t \times A \times \rho}$$
(1)

where M_{t1} and M_{t2} are the weights of distillate (MD)/feed solution (FO) at time t1 and t2, respectively. Δt is the time interval (3 min); A is the effective membrane area; and ρ is water density.

Due to the constant ratio of carbon for MEA and sodium glycinate, it was possible to carry out TOC analysis to analyse their respective concentration in the solution sample. Reverse salt flux (J_s) indicates the mass diffusion from draw solution to feed solution, which can be calculated based on the mass balance calculation as follow:

219
$$J_{s} = \frac{(C_{t} \times V_{feed,t} - C_{0} \times V_{feed,0})}{A \times t}$$
(2)

$$220 V_{feed,t} = V_{feed,0} - \Delta V_{p,t} (3)$$

where $V_{\text{feed},0}$ and $V_{\text{feed},t}$ are the volumes of the feed at the beginning and corresponding time t of the experiment; C_0 and C_t are the concentrations of draw solution in the feed at the beginning and corresponding time t of the experiment, respectively; $\Delta V_{p,t}$ is the volume of distillate at time t. The solute rejection by MD is calculated based on dilution factor (DF=V_{draw}/ $\Delta V_{p,t}$) as follow:

226
$$R(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{DF \times C_{distillate}}{C_{feed}}\right) \times 100$$
(4)

Water recovery (R_w) of MD/FO experiment is defined as the volume fraction of feed that is
 recovered as permeate:

$$R_{w} = \frac{Q_{p}}{Q_{F}}$$
(5)

230 where Q_p represents the volume of water production, Q_F denotes the volume of feed.

231 2.5.4 CO_2 loading ratio analysis

The CO₂ loading ratio (α , mol of CO₂/mol of amine) values after absorption and desorption were determined by the excessive acid method [45]. By adding an excess amount of strong acid (i.e. 2 mol/L H₂SO₄) to the liquid sample, CO₂ in the liquid sample was released into the gas
 phase and precisely measured by the variable volume in a burette.

236
$$\alpha = \frac{V_{CO_2}}{22.4 \times V_L \times m} \times \frac{P}{P_0} \times \frac{273}{t}$$
(6)

where V_{CO_2} denotes the measured volume of released CO₂ from the sample, mL; V_L represents sample volume, mL; m denotes the molar concentration of sample, mol/L; P/P₀ stands for the ratio between room atmospheric pressure and standard atmospheric pressure; t is the room temperature, K. In addition, the accuracy of this method was validated by using different concentrations of sodium carbonate (0.5, 1, and 2 M) aqueous solutions.

242 The CO₂ desorption efficiency, ρ , is obtained by the following equation:

243
$$\rho(\%) = (1 - \frac{\alpha_f}{\alpha_i}) \times 100$$
 (7)

where α_i and α_f represent initial and final loading of CO₂ rich-loaded and lean-loaded amine solutions. On the other hand, CO₂ re-absorption efficiency, η , is used to compare the loading of CO₂ re-absorption solution (α_r) to that of initial CO₂ rich-loaded solution (α_i).

247
$$\eta(\%) = \frac{\alpha_r}{\alpha_i} \times 100$$
(8)

248 **3 Results and discussions**

249 3.1 DCMD for CO₂ desorption

250 3.1.1 CO₂ loading

The CO₂ loading ratios for MEA and sodium glycinate were 0.54 and 0.59 mol/mol, 251 respectively. These results are consistent with the literature [46-48]. CO₂ desorption by DCMD 252 253 was quantified by monitoring the CO₂ content of the feed solution. Fig. 2 shows 32.8 and 32.4% CO₂ desorption for MEA using Membrane 1 and 2, respectively. Faster desorption rate is 254 255 observed for MEA when water recovery was between 0 and 20% and no further desorption was observed when water recovery reached 30%. As a thermally driven process, CO₂ desorption is 256 257 sensitive to temperature. In the current state of the art MEA-based CO₂ capture process, up to 50% CO₂ desorption can be achieved but only at a higher temperature (ca. 120 °C). 258

The changing CO_2 desorption rate could be explained by the decrease in CO_2 partial pressure and solution pH increase. At water recovery below 20%, high CO_2 loading and high CO_2 partial

261 pressure resulted in faster CO₂ release via thermolysis. As the desorption process continued,

262 partial pressure of CO₂ loaded solution decreased, leading to lower CO₂ desorption. CO₂ desorption is pH dependent. At high pH, carbamic acid formed via the reaction between CO₂ 263 and MEA deprotonates to carbamate. The process is reversed when CO₂ is desorbed. As the 264 solution pH increased due to CO₂ desorption, the desorption rate decreased. The desorption 265 temperature used in this study was much lower than in the conventional amine-based CO₂ 266 capture process; thus, the impact of CO₂ partial pressure and pH on the rate of desorption was 267 more significant. In the conventional process, carbamate was still detectable in the solution 268 after desorption, suggesting that complete regeneration of MEA is impractical [24]. 269

CO₂ desorption can be also assessed by examining the corresponding pH value of the amine 270 solution. In this study, the pH value of CO₂ rich-loaded MEA was 8.5 and pH increased to 10.7 271 after desorption (for both membranes). The pH of solution after desorption did not reach the 272 initial value of fresh MEA (12.6), which is consistent with the low desorption efficiency under 273 current experimental condition. Previous works have reported similar CO₂ desorption (32%) 274 from a MEA solution compared to this study under atmospheric pressure but at 120 °C or higher 275 276 temperature [15, 49]. In practice, 50% desorption efficiency is very desirable but still difficult to be achieved. 277



Fig. 2. Loading ratio (α) of CO₂ rich-loaded solution by DCMD as a function of water recovery. Experimental conditions: DI water was used as the distillate. MEA (5 M) and sodium glycinate (SG, 3 M) were used as the feed. The temperatures of the feed solution and distillate were 80 °C

and 25 °C, respectively. All experiments were conducted in duplicate. The error bars represent
the difference between two replicate experiments.

The decrease in CO_2 loading in the amine solution in Fig. 2 can be used to quantify CO_2 desorption. CO_2 desorption from a sodium glycinate solution has a similar profile to that of MEA (Fig. 2). Membrane 1 and 2 showed maximum CO_2 desorption efficiency of 36.3% and 34.6%, respectively. CO_2 desorption from MEA solution became negligible when water

- recovery reached 30%. Similar to MEA, sodium glycinate can also form a stable intermediate
- via reaction with CO_2 [50]. As water recovery exceeded 20%, no further CO_2 desorption was
- observed with Membrane 1.
- 291 3.1.2 Wettability behaviour after 30% water recovery
- 292 The relative wettability of membrane can be determined by contact angle measurement of the
- 293 membrane surface. There were negligible changes in hydrophobicity for both membranes after
- desorption experiment using sodium glycinate as the adsorbent (Fig. 3).



295

Fig. 3. Contact angles of membranes before and after the DCMD process (30% water recovery).
Error bars represent the standard deviation of five repetitive measurements.

Interaction between MEA and membrane polymer could alter the membrane hydrophobicity [51]; however, changes in the membrane water contact angle were dependent on the initial hydrophobicity. MEA resulted in a decline in water contact angle of Membrane 1 from 127 to 95°. By contrast, contact angle of Membrane 2 increased by 31% after the desorption

- experiment using MEA as CO₂ adsorbent (Fig. 3). This improvement was possibly due to the initially low contact angle value of the virgin membrane and membrane swelling caused by the MEA penetration [52]. Despite the variation in water contact angle due to interaction with amine adsorbent, results in Fig. 3 confirm that a sufficiently hydrophobic condition may still be possible for MD operation.
- 307 3.1.3 Water activity reduction by DCMD

CO₂ desorption rate can be facilitated by the water reduction in amine solution. Water reduction 308 is represented by the water production in distillate side in terms of water flux in DCMD. Water 309 fluxes during the CO₂ desorption experiment when MEA was used as the absorbent are 310 reported in Fig. 4a. Membrane 1 had an initial flux of 21.2 L/m²·h, which was stable for only 311 the initial 100 minutes of the desorption experiment. It then declined to 10.1 L/m²·h at the end 312 313 of process possibly due to partial membrane wetting. On the other hand, Membrane 2 showed a more stable water flux throughout the desorption experiment possibly it is thinner and more 314 porous than Membrane 1 (Table 1). 315



316

Fig. 4. Water flux and ratios of removed CO₂ and condensed water by DCMD during CO₂
desorption using different adsorbent: (a) MEA and (b) SG. Experimental conditions are
described in Fig. 2.

320 Membrane 1 was thicker (Table 1) but also produced a higher initial water flux than Membrane

321 2. In the DCMD process, a thick membrane resulted in a higher resistance to mass transfer of

water vapour but also prevent unnecessary thermal conduction. This observation was also
possibly due to the different hydrophobicity between these two membranes (Fig. 3). Membrane
hydrophobicity appears to be a determining factor of the water flux trend for DCMD with MEA
regeneration.

When sodium glycinate was used as the absorbent, both membranes showed a similar and more flux decline compared to MEA (Fig. 4b) despite the difference in their initial hydrophobicity. Exposure to sodium glycinate did not significantly alter the membrane hydrophobicity (Fig. 3). Thus, most of this flux decline observed in Fig 4b can be attributed to the increase in viscosity of the sodium glycinate solution as it becomes more concentrated [53].

The stable hydrophobicity of both membranes (section 3.1.2) could prevent the penetration of sodium glycinate through membrane pore into distillate, thus, maintaining high distillate quality. This is consistent with the high solute rejection (>98%) observed for amines in this study (Supplementary data Fig. S2). Given the high rejection of MEA and sodium glycinate by DCMD, amine loss during the CO₂ desorption can be avoided.

The ratio of CO₂ removed over water condensed, ε , can be considered as an indicator of the energy requirement. In Fig. 4, ε declined as a function of water recovery when both amines were used as the feed. Water condensed led to the increasing concentration of amine solution, which constrain the CO₂ desorption. Due to a higher carbamate stability, MEA showed a lower ε than sodium glycinate at the same water recovery. Associated with the decreasing CO₂ loading, both membranes showed high amine rejection (>98%) (Supplementary data Fig. S2).

342 3.1.4 Amine loss in the DCMD distillate

TOC measurement shows no discernible increase in carbon content of the distillate, indicating 343 no or negligible amine loss to the distillate by the DCMD process (Table 2). FTIR was used to 344 further examine amine loss to the distillate being a reliable analytical technique to monitor the 345 chemical reaction due to the measurable change of a molecule's dipole moment in the mid-IR 346 region (400 - 4000 cm⁻¹). It is to be noted that peaks appearing between 3000 and 4000 cm⁻¹ 347 provided information unrelated to chemical reaction between CO₂ and amine. This is because 348 349 that hydrogen bonding and O-H stretching of H₂O resulted in some broad peaks between 3200 and 3700 cm⁻¹. In addition, other chemical bonds (i.e. N-H, C-H, and O-H) stretching also 350 resulted in the particular peaks in this wide region. Thus, this region is known as "the hydrogen 351 stretching region", which is not included in our further discussion. 352

Table 2. Concentration of MEA and sodium glycinate in feed and distillate. Error bars representthe difference of two replicate measurements.

	MEA as the feed solution			Sodium glycinate as the feed solution		
Membrane	Feed (g/L)	Distillate (g/L)	Rejection (%)	Feed (g/L)	Distillate (g/L)	Rejection (%)
1	43.4 ± 3.5	0.3 ± 0.0	99.3 ± 0.0	18.4 ± 2.8	0.21 ± 0.03	98.9 ± 0.1
2	43.9 ± 5.2	0.8 ± 0.1	98.1 ± 0.2	18.8 ± 1.9	0.10 ± 0.02	99.5 ± 0.1

The infrared spectra of aqueous MEA prior to/after CO₂ absorption are given in Fig. 5. Several 355 characteristic vibration modes appeared prior to CO₂ absorption, for example, C-N-H out-of-356 plane bending and C-NH₂ twisting at 950 cm⁻¹, C-O stretching at 1016 cm⁻¹, and C-N stretching 357 at 1076 cm⁻¹, and N-H rocking at 1645 cm⁻¹ [54]. After molecular CO₂ dissolving into MEA, 358 several peaks shifted due to the protonation of the MEA and formation of carbamate and 359 bicarbonate. Specifically, N-COO⁻ stretching vibration was observed at 1319 cm⁻¹. COO⁻ 360 symmetric and asymmetric stretching occurred at 1486 and 1568 cm⁻¹, respectively [54, 55]. 361 As reported by Richner and Puxty [54], the variation in peak intensity was indicated by the 362 363 difference of CO₂ loading in aqueous MEA. Shifting peaks was therefore not expected to occur after desorption. 364



Fig. 5. Infrared spectra of the aqueous 30 wt % MEA (black line), feed solution: aqueous MEA
at 0.59 mol/mol CO₂ loading (red line), distillate from the DCMD process (blue line), DI water
as the reference (green line).

The infrared spectra of distillate and DI water were compared to validate the high rejection for DCMD (Fig. 5). These results were consistent with data obtained in supplementary data Fig. S2. The spectrum of distillate was identical to that of DI water in spite of several negligible peaks between 1500 and 1568 cm⁻¹. This observation indicated that small amounts of carbamates had penetrated through membrane pore via water vapour. Unlike MEA, sodium glycinate can hardly vaporize as a salt even at a high temperature.

375 3.2 Make-up water by FO

The regenerated amines were used as the draw solution to obtain make-up water from 376 secondary treated effluent. The water flux was stable and membrane fouling was not observed 377 when either MEA or sodium glycinate was the draw solution (Fig. 6). It is noteworthy that the 378 initial concentrations of MEA and sodium glycinate for CO₂ adsorption were 5 and 3 M, 379 respectively (section 2.2). Despite this difference in concentration, they resulted in the same 380 water flux of 15 LMH. This is because MEA and sodium glycinate are not ideal electrolytes. 381 As elucidated in a previous study, the osmotic pressure does not increase linearly as the 382 383 concentration increase and there is a threshold concentration at which the osmotic pressure does not increase any further [42]. This result necessitates further investigation in terms of 384 water flux optimisation. The FO process also provides cooling to the generated amine solution 385 386 although it is beyond the scope of this current study.



Fig. 6. Water flux profile of the FO process for regenerated amine solutions versus DI water and treated effluent: (a) MEA at 5M as the draw solution (DS); (b) Sodium glycinate at 3M as

- the DS. Feed solution (FS) and DS were circulated in the counter-current direction. Replicate
 experiments were conducted in AL-DS membrane orientation until 30% water recovery.
- 392 3.3 Repetitive CO₂ absorption by amine solutions after desorption
- 393 Table 3: CO_2 loading α from selected amine solutions in the different stage of experiment.
- 394 Treated effluent and DI water were used as the cooling sources, unit: mol CO₂/mol amine.

Selected Solution	1 st cycle CO ₂ absorption	1 st cycle CO ₂ desorption	Desorption efficiency	2 nd cycle of CO ₂ absorption (after FO)	Re-adsorption efficiency
MEA	0.54	0.36	33.3%	0.47	87.0%
SG	0.59	0.39	33.9%	0.52	88.1%

Repetitive CO₂ absorption and desorption performance for both MEA and sodium glycinate by 395 the MD-FO process is summarised in Table 3. In the first cycle, MEA exhibited the 33.3% CO₂ 396 desorption efficiency with sodium glycinate slightly higher at 33.9%. Due to the high rejection 397 of FO process (supplementary data Fig. S3), treated effluent could provide adequately clean 398 water to cool down the heated amine solution for repetitive absorption. MEA and sodium 399 glycinate also showed similar re-absorption efficiency of 87.0 and 88.1%, respectively. The 400 observed re-absorption efficiency of below 100% in the 2nd cycle highlight the need for further 401 402 investigation since in a practical application, the performance must be stable over thousands of cycles. It is noteworthy that amine loss from MD desorption was insignificant (section 3.1.4). 403 404 Thermal degradation was also expected to be negligible given the low desorption temperature (~80 °C) in this study. 405

406 3.4 Future work for practical applications

The proposed MD-FO process has shown some initial and promising results for the repetitive 407 408 amine-based CO₂ capture from flue gas. Results reported in this study also highlight several technical challenges for further research and development. Future research work is 409 recommended to include the screening of other commercially available amine solutions for 410 CO₂ desorption by the MD system. Their respective CO₂ desorption and degradation are 411 important parameters to assess their practical applications in the MD system. Further FO 412 membrane development is recommended to limit the reverse salt flux for low or zero amine 413 loss and stable water flux during the cooling process. A techno-economic analysis of the 414 integrated system including the overall energy consumption requirement is also necessary to 415 evaluate the potential of our proposed MD-FO process for practical applications. 416

417 **4** Conclusion

This study demonstrated an integrated MD-FO system for the continuous CO₂ capture. MD-418 FO simultaneously provides low temperature CO₂ desorption and trim cooling as a promising 419 alternative to utilize waste heat and treated effluent in power plants. The MD process achieved 420 33.6 and 33.2% CO₂ desorption efficiency for MEA and sodium glycinate at 80 °C, respectively. 421 422 Interaction between amine adsorbent and the MD membrane could alter the membrane surface contact angle with water but under all experimental conditions, it was sufficiently hydrophobic 423 424 for MD operation. Amine loss during CO₂ desorption by MD was insignificant. The regenerated MEA and sodium glycinate exhibited stable FO water flux for make-up water 425 426 provision when secondary treated effluent was used as the feed. The results also highlight a major technical challenge for further investigation. Repetitive CO₂ loading and desorption 427 428 showed less than 90% CO₂ re-absorption efficiency for either MEA or sodium glycinate in the second cycle. It is necessary to delineate the reason for this incomplete re-adsorption in a future 429 study. Although this study is still preliminary, it provides important experimental data for 430 further development of a novel membrane-based platform for CO₂ capture from flue gas. 431

432 Acknowledgement

The authors thank the financial support from the Australian Research Council through the ARC Research Hub for Energy-efficient Separation (IH170100009). Lei Zheng would like to express his gratitude to Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology (FEIT), University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for awarding him a FEIT PhD Post-Thesis Publication Award. His gratitude also goes to China Scholarship Council and UTS for the provision of a doctoral scholarship. Mr Minh Vu is acknowledged for his help in FTIR analysis.

439 **Reference**

- 440 [1] G.P. Peters, R.M. Andrew, J.G. Canadell, P. Friedlingstein, R.B. Jackson, J.I. Korsbakken, C. Le
- 441 Quéré, A. Peregon, Carbon dioxide emissions continue to grow amidst slowly emerging climate policies,
- 442 Nature Climate Change, (2019).
- 443 [2] S. Liu, H. Ling, J. Lv, H. Gao, Y. Na, Z. Liang, New Insights and Assessment of Primary
- 444 Alkanolamine/Sulfolane Biphasic Solutions for Post-combustion CO₂ Capture: Absorption, Desorption,
- Phase Separation, and Technological Process, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 58 (2019)
 20461-20471.
- 447 [3] K. Xie, Q. Fu, G.G. Qiao, P.A. Webley, Recent progress on fabrication methods of polymeric thin
- film gas separation membranes for CO₂ capture, Journal of Membrane Science, 572 (2019) 38-60.

- 449 [4] S. Zhao, P.H.M. Feron, L. Deng, E. Favre, E. Chabanon, S. Yan, J. Hou, V. Chen, H. Qi, Status and
- progress of membrane contactors in post-combustion carbon capture: A state-of-the-art review of new
 developments, Journal of Membrane Science, 511 (2016) 180-206.
- 452 [5] P. Luis, T. Van Gerven, B. Van der Bruggen, Recent developments in membrane-based technologies
- 453 for CO₂ capture, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 38 (2012) 419-448.
- 454 [6] K. Li, W. Leigh, P. Feron, H. Yu, M. Tade, Systematic study of aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA)-
- 455 based CO₂ capture process: Techno-economic assessment of the MEA process and its improvements,
- 456 Applied Energy, 165 (2016) 648-659.
- 457 [7] Y. Li, L.a. Wang, Z. Tan, Z. Zhang, X. Hu, Experimental studies on carbon dioxide absorption using
- potassium carbonate solutions with amino acid salts, Separation and Purification Technology, 219(2019) 47-54.
- 460 [8] F. Barzagli, C. Giorgi, F. Mani, M. Peruzzini, Comparative Study of CO₂ Capture by Aqueous and
- 461 Nonaqueous 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol Based Absorbents Carried Out by ¹³C NMR and Enthalpy
- 462 Analysis, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 58 (2019) 4364-4373.
- 463 [9] K. Stéphenne, Start-up of World's First Commercial Post-combustion Coal Fired CCS Project:
- 464 Contribution of Shell Cansolv to SaskPower Boundary Dam ICCS Project, Energy Procedia, 63 (2014)
 465 6106-6110.
- 466 [10] Petra Nova W.A. Parish Project in, Office of Fossil Energy, 2018.
- 467 [11] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, W. Zhang, J. Wang, M.R. Soltanian, A.G. Olabi, Effectiveness of amino acid salt
- 468 solutions in capturing CO₂: A review, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 98 (2018) 179-188.
- 469 [12] S. Moioli, L.A. Pellegrini, M.T. Ho, D.E. Wiley, A comparison between amino acid based solvent
- 470 and traditional amine solvent processes for CO₂ removal, Chemical Engineering Research and Design,
- 471 146 (2019) 509-517.
- 472 [13] D. Guo, H. Thee, C.Y. Tan, J. Chen, W. Fei, S. Kentish, G.W. Stevens, G. da Silva, Amino Acids
- 473 as Carbon Capture Solvents: Chemical Kinetics and Mechanism of the Glycine + CO₂ Reaction, Energy
- 474 & Fuels, 27 (2013) 3898-3904.
- 475 [14] M. Caplow, Kinetics of carbamate formation and breakdown, Journal of the American Chemical
- 476 Society, 90 (1968) 6795-6803.
- 477 [15] B. Lv, B. Guo, Z. Zhou, G. Jing, Mechanisms of CO₂ Capture into Monoethanolamine Solution
- 478 with Different CO₂ Loading during the Absorption/Desorption Processes, Environmental Science &
- 479 Technology, 49 (2015) 10728-10735.
- 480 [16] P. Singh, G.F. Versteeg, Structure and activity relationships for CO₂ regeneration from aqueous
 481 amine-based absorbents, Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 86 (2008) 347-359.
- 482 [17] R. Idem, M. Wilson, P. Tontiwachwuthikul, A. Chakma, A. Veawab, A. Aroonwilas, D. Gelowitz,
- 483 Pilot Plant Studies of the CO₂ Capture Performance of Aqueous MEA and Mixed MEA/MDEA
- 484 Solvents at the University of Regina CO₂ Capture Technology Development Plant and the Boundary

- 485 Dam CO₂ Capture Demonstration Plant, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45 (2006) 2414486 2420.
- 487 [18] G.T. Rochelle, Amine Scrubbing for CO₂ Capture, Science, 325 (2009) 1652.
- 488 [19] S.J. Vevelstad, I. Eide-Haugmo, E.F. da Silva, H.F. Svendsen, Degradation of MEA; a theoretical
- 489 study, Energy Procedia, 4 (2011) 1608-1615.
- 490 [20] K. Li, P.H.M. Feron, T.W. Jones, K. Jiang, R.D. Bennett, A.F. Hollenkamp, Energy harvesting
- 491 from amine-based CO₂ capture: proof-of-concept based on mono-ethanolamine, Fuel, 263 (2020)
- 492 116661.
- 493 [21] S.J. McGurk, C.F. Martín, S. Brandani, M.B. Sweatman, X. Fan, Microwave swing regeneration
- 494 of aqueous monoethanolamine for post-combustion CO₂ capture, Applied Energy, 192 (2017) 126-133.
- 495 [22] B. Dutcher, M. Fan, A.G. Russell, Amine-Based CO₂ Capture Technology Development from the
- 496 Beginning of 2013—A Review, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 7 (2015) 2137-2148.
- 497 [23] C.-h. Cheng, K. Li, H. Yu, K. Jiang, J. Chen, P. Feron, Amine-based post-combustion CO₂ capture
- 498 mediated by metal ions: Advancement of CO₂ desorption using copper ions, Applied Energy, 211 (2018)
- 499 1030-1038.
- 500 [24] K. Li, P. van der Poel, W. Conway, K. Jiang, G. Puxty, H. Yu, P. Feron, Mechanism Investigation
- 501 of Advanced Metal–Ion–Mediated Amine Regeneration: A Novel Pathway to Reducing CO₂ Reaction
- 502 Enthalpy in Amine-Based CO₂ Capture, Environmental Science & Technology, 52 (2018) 14538-14546.
- 503 [25] D.B. Gingerich, M.S. Mauter, Quantity, Quality, and Availability of Waste Heat from United States
- 504 Thermal Power Generation, Environmental Science & Technology, 49 (2015) 8297-8306.
- 505 [26] P.-H. Lin, D.S.H. Wong, Carbon dioxide capture and regeneration with amine/alcohol/water blends,
- 506 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 26 (2014) 69-75.
- 507 [27] Q. Lai, L. Kong, W. Gong, A.G. Russell, M. Fan, Low-energy-consumption and environmentally
- friendly CO₂ capture via blending alcohols into amine solution, Applied Energy, 254 (2019) 113696.
- 509 [28] E.S. Hamborg, C. van Aken, G.F. Versteeg, The effect of aqueous organic solvents on the
 510 dissociation constants and thermodynamic properties of alkanolamines, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 291
 511 (2010) 32-39.
- 512 [29] F. Barzagli, F. Mani, M. Peruzzini, Efficient CO₂ absorption and low temperature desorption with
- 513 non-aqueous solvents based on 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP), International Journal of
- 514 Greenhouse Gas Control, 16 (2013) 217-223.
- 515 [30] F. Barzagli, C. Giorgi, F. Mani, M. Peruzzini, Reversible carbon dioxide capture by aqueous and
- 516 non-aqueous amine-based absorbents: A comparative analysis carried out by 13C NMR spectroscopy,
- 517 Applied Energy, 220 (2018) 208-219.
- 518 [31] P. Wang, T.-S. Chung, Recent advances in membrane distillation processes: Membrane
- development, configuration design and application exploring, Journal of Membrane Science, 474 (2015)
- 520 39-56.

- [32] G. Naidu, L. Tijing, M.A.H. Johir, H. Shon, S. Vigneswaran, Hybrid membrane distillation:
 Resource, nutrient and energy recovery, Journal of Membrane Science, 599 (2020) 117832.
- [33] W. Jia, J.A. Kharraz, P.J. Choi, J. Guo, B.J. Deka, A.K. An, Superhydrophobic membrane by
 hierarchically structured PDMS-POSS electrospray coating with cauliflower-shaped beads for
 enhanced MD performance, Journal of Membrane Science, 597 (2020) 117638.
- 526 [34] S.S. Ray, M. Gandhi, S.-S. Chen, H.-M. Chang, C.T.N. Dan, H.Q. Le, Anti-wetting behaviour of
- 527 a superhydrophobic octadecyltrimethoxysilane blended PVDF/recycled carbon black composite
- membrane for enhanced desalination, Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology, 4 (2018)
 1612-1623.
- 530 [35] Z. Xiao, H. Guo, H. He, Y. Liu, X. Li, Y. Zhang, H. Yin, A.V. Volkov, T. He, Unprecedented
- scaling/fouling resistance of omniphobic polyvinylidene fluoride membrane with silica nanoparticle
- 532 coated micropillars in direct contact membrane distillation, Journal of Membrane Science, 599 (2020)
- **533** 117819.
- 534 [36] H.C. Duong, A.R. Chivas, B. Nelemans, M. Duke, S. Gray, T.Y. Cath, L.D. Nghiem, Treatment
- of RO brine from CSG produced water by spiral-wound air gap membrane distillation A pilot study,
 Desalination, 366 (2015) 121-129.
- 537 [37] L. Song, Z. Ma, X. Liao, P.B. Kosaraju, J.R. Irish, K.K. Sirkar, Pilot plant studies of novel
- membranes and devices for direct contact membrane distillation-based desalination, Journal of
 Membrane Science, 323 (2008) 257-270.
- 540 [38] N.A. Rahim, N. Ghasem, M. Al-Marzouqi, Absorption of CO₂ from natural gas using different
- amino acid salt solutions and regeneration using hollow fiber membrane contactors, Journal of Natural
- 542 Gas Science and Engineering, 26 (2015) 108-117.
- [39] S. Zhao, C. Cao, L. Wardhaugh, P.H.M. Feron, Membrane evaporation of amine solution for
 energy saving in post-combustion carbon capture: Performance evaluation, Journal of Membrane
 Science, 473 (2015) 274-282.
- 546 [40] P. Feron, R. Thiruvenkatachari, A. Cousins, Water production through CO₂ capture in coal-fired
- 547 power plants, Energy Science & Engineering, 5 (2017) 244-256.
- 548 [41] G. Gwak, D.I. Kim, J. Kim, M. Zhan, S. Hong, An integrated system for CO₂ capture and water
- treatment by forward osmosis driven by an amine-based draw solution, Journal of Membrane Science,
 550 581 (2019) 9-17.
- 551 [42] L. Zheng, W.E. Price, T. He, L.D. Nghiem, Simultaneous cooling and provision of make-up water
- by forward osmosis for post-combustion CO₂ capture, Desalination, 476 (2020) 114215.
- 553 [43] L.N. Nguyen, M.V. Truong, A.Q. Nguyen, M.A.H. Johir, A.S. Commault, P.J. Ralph, G.U.
- 554 Semblante, L.D. Nghiem, A sequential membrane bioreactor followed by a membrane microalgal
- reactor for nutrient removal and algal biomass production, Environmental Science: Water Research &
- 556 Technology, 6 (2020) 189-196.

- 557 [44] E.J. Novek, E. Shaulsky, Z.S. Fishman, L.D. Pfefferle, M. Elimelech, Low-Temperature Carbon
- Capture Using Aqueous Ammonia and Organic Solvents, Environmental Science & Technology Letters,
 3 (2016) 291-296.
- 560 [45] A. Aroonwilas, P. Tontiwachwuthikul, Mass Transfer Coefficients and Correlation for CO₂
- 561 Absorption into 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP) Using Structured Packing, Industrial &
- 562 Engineering Chemistry Research, 37 (1998) 569-575.
- 563 [46] K. Li, A. Cousins, H. Yu, P. Feron, M. Tade, W. Luo, J. Chen, Systematic study of aqueous
- monoethanolamine-based CO₂ capture process: model development and process improvement, 4 (2016)
 23-39.
- 566 [47] X. Zhang, X. Zhang, H. Liu, W. Li, M. Xiao, H. Gao, Z. Liang, Reduction of energy requirement
- of CO₂ desorption from a rich CO₂-loaded MEA solution by using solid acid catalysts, Applied Energy,
 202 (2017) 673-684.
- 569 [48] M. Rabensteiner, G. Kinger, M. Koller, G. Gronald, S. Unterberger, C. Hochenauer, Investigation
- 570 of the suitability of aqueous sodium glycinate as a solvent for post combustion carbon dioxide capture
- 571 on the basis of pilot plant studies and screening methods, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas
- 572 Control, 29 (2014) 1-15.
- 573 [49] Y. Zhao, Y. Bian, H. Li, H. Guo, S. Shen, J. Han, D. Guo, A Comparative Study of Aqueous
- 574 Potassium Lysinate and Aqueous Monoethanolamine for Postcombustion CO₂ Capture, Energy & Fuels,
- 575 31 (2017) 14033-14044.
- 576 [50] H.-J. Song, S. Park, H. Kim, A. Gaur, J.-W. Park, S.-J. Lee, Carbon dioxide absorption
 577 characteristics of aqueous amino acid salt solutions, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control,
 578 11 (2012) 64-72.
- 579 [51] Z. Rezaiyan, P. Keshavarz, M. Khorram, Experimental investigation of the effects of different
 580 chemical absorbents on wetting and morphology of poly(vinylidene fluoride) membrane, Journal of
 581 Applied Polymer Science, 134 (2017) 45543.
- 582 [52] N.A. Ahmad, C.P. Leo, A.L. Ahmad, Amine wetting evaluation on hydrophobic silane modified
- 583 polyvinylidene fluoride/silicoaluminophosphate zeolite membrane for membrane gas absorption,
- Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering, 58 (2018) 115-125.
- 585 [53] M.S. Shaikh;, A.M. Shariff;, M.A. Bustam;, G. Murshid, Analysis of Physicochemical Properties
- 586 of Aqueous Sodium Glycinate (SG) Solutions at Low Concentrations from 0.1-2.0 M, Journal of
- 587 Applied Sciences, 14 (2014) 1055-1060.
- 588 [54] G. Richner, G. Puxty, Assessing the Chemical Speciation during CO₂ Absorption by Aqueous
- Amines Using in Situ FTIR, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 51 (2012) 14317-14324.
- 590 [55] K. Robinson, A. McCluskey, M.I. Attalla, An FTIR Spectroscopic Study on the Effect of Molecular
- 591 Structural Variations on the CO₂ Absorption Characteristics of Heterocyclic Amines, ChemPhysChem,
- **592** 12 (2011) 1088-1099.
- 593