Elsevier required licence: \odot <2021>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ The definitive publisher version is available online at http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2020.07.048 Multidimensional Assessment of Asthma Identifies Clinically Relevant Phenotype Overlap: A Cross-Sectional Study

Yu Yu Han, MDa,b,c,d,*, Xin Zhang, PhD, MDa,b,c,d,*, Ji Wang, MDa,b,c,d, Gang Wang, MDa,b,c,d,e, Brian G. Oliver, PhDf,g, Hong Ping Zhang, PhD, MDa,b,d, De Ying Kang, MPHh, Lei Wang, MDa,b,d, Zhi Xin Qiu, MDc, Wei Min Li, PhD, MDc, and Gang Wang, PhD, MDc,d

BACKGROUND: Asthma is a heterogeneous disease with multiple phenotypes; however, the relevance of phenotype overlap remains largely unexplored.

OBJECTIVE: To examine the relationship between phenotype overlap and clinical and inflammatory profiles of asthma. METHODS: In this cross-sectional study, adult participants with stable asthma (n [522) underwent multidimensional assessments. The 10 most common phenotypes of asthma were defined and then classified into those commonly associated with Type (T) 2 or non-T2 inflammation. Furthermore, phenotype overlap scores (POS), representing the cumulative concomitant phenotypes, were used to analyze its association with clinical and inflammatory asthmatic profiles.

RESULTS: Among the 522 participants, 73.4% (n [383) had phenotype overlap, and mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation coexisted in 47.5% (n [248). T2 POS was positively associated with eosinophils, IgE, and fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), and negatively with Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ), sputum neutrophils, IL-17A, IL-8, and TNF-a. Non-T2 POS was positively associated with Asthma Control Questionnaire, neutrophils and sputum IL-8, and negatively with AQLQ, forced expiratory volume in 1 s, blood eosinophils, IgE, and FeNO (all P<.05). Patients with phenotypes that are associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation had elevated T2 inflammation biomarkers but worse asthma control. Both T2 (adjusted b[L0.191, P[.035) and non-T2 (adjusted b[0.310, P<.001) POS were significantly associated with severe exacerbations.

CONCLUSIONS: Phenotype overlap is extremely common in

asthmatic patients and significantly associated with clinical and inflammatory profiles. Patients with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation might be unresponsive to medications owing to increased non-T2 inflammation.

Asthma is a heterogeneous and common chronic airway disease,1 which is usually regarded as having multiple phenotypes based on clinical or pathophysiological features, inflammation, or unique triggers of symptoms.2 An asthma "phenotype" represents the subgrouping of asthma characteristics in patients.3 Multiple asthma phenotypes are discovered as our knowledge of disease characteristics has expanded. Different asthma phenotypes have been shown to affect asthma control or outcomes; for instance, allergic and nonallergic asthma4 respond differently to anti-IgE therapy, and noneosinophilic asthma may respond more poorly to corticosteroids.5 Identifying asthma phenotype helps clinicians predict the disease course and responsiveness to corticosteroid and biologic therapies.6

Type (T) 2 inflammation is characterized by atopy and eosinophilia and thought to be pathogenic, and it has been described or explored in several studies.7-9 Nevertheless, increasing evidence suggests the presence of non-T2emediated asthma, which may be independent of allergy and eosinophilia.3,10,11 T2 or non-T2 asthma is deemed as an

endotype that exhibits numerous distinct phenotypes based on separate immune and inflammatory processes. 3,12 The role of the association between T2 and non-T2 emediated inflammatory mechanisms in the occurrence and development of asthma remains unclear. Recently, the existence of phenotype overlap has gained attention from clinical researchers and physicians. Tran et al13 found the overlap of atopic, eosinophilic, and TH2-high asthma phenotypes in a general population with current asthma, but the relevance of phenotype overlap has not been explored. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys investigated 5 common phenotypes (ie, blood-Eos-high, fractional exhaled nitric oxide [FeNO]-high, blood-Eos and FeNO-low, asthma with obesity, and asthma with concurrent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD]) and found that concomitant asthma phenotypes are independently related to poor lung function.14 In that study, a few patients had concomitant T2 (eg, blood-Eos-high asthma) and non-T2 (eg, asthma with obesity) phenotypes. Accordingly, it forces us to consider the clinical relevance of having 2 or more concurrent phenotypes. In this study, we addressed this gap in our knowledge. Patients who underwent multidimensional assessments were assigned to the 10 most common phenotypes of asthma described in the literature, and these were then classified as T2 or non-T2 inflammation. The associations between T2 or non-T2 inflammation and clinical and inflammatory profiles were analyzed. Some of the results from this study have been previously presented in the form of an abstract.15 **METHODS**

Study design and subjects

This cross-sectional study was designed to investigate the overlap of asthma phenotypes and included patients with asthma based on the Australasian Severe Asthma Network.16 We continuously assessed a total of 593 Chinese subjects who were aged 18 years with stable asthma (no respiratory tract infection and no exacerbation or systemic corticosteroid use in the previous 4 weeks) from the Asthma Clinic of West China Hospital, Sichuan University, between March 2014 and April 2019. The detailed information is described in the Methods section in this article's Online Repository at www. jaci-inpractice.org. **Clinical multidimensional** data collection. Data from participants assessments and with stable asthma, including sociodemographics, medications, atopy, asthma history, comorbidities, exacerbations in the past 12 months, sputum induction, blood sampling, spirometry, FeNO level (NIOX analyzer; Aerocrine, Solna, Sweden), Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) score, 17 and Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) score, 18 which have been validated in the Chinese population, were analyzed. More details are described in the Methods section in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org.

Definitions of asthma phenotypes

This study investigated the 10 most commonly described asthma phenotypes, which included allergic,19 early onset,20 elderly,21,22 eosinophilic,23,24 obese,25 occupational,26 smoking,27,28 aspirinsensitive,2 fixed airflow limitation,29 and neuropsychological asthma.30-33 These phenotypes are defined in Table I. Assumptions used to classifyT2 and non-T2 inflammation in asthma phenotypes

The 10 most common phenotypes were classified as T2 or non-T2 inflammation based on the literature. Allergic asthma34,35 and eosinophilic asthma36 are characterized by high immunoglobulin titers and eosinophilia and were classified as T2 inflammation. Early-onset asthma was also classified as T2 inflammation because it is related to allergic symptoms and

allergen sensitization with eosinophilic inflammation.20 Because occupational asthma is more likely to be driven primarily by CD4b T cells and depends on the elevated expression of type 2 cytokines (IL-4 or IL-5),26,37 it was also classified as T2 inflammation. The last clinical phenotype to be categorized as T2 inflammation was aspirin-sensitive asthma, which promotes overexpression of T2 cytokines, such as IL-5, in serum38 or induced sputum.38 Thus, allergic asthma, early-onset asthma, eosinophilic J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL PRACT HAN ET AL 351 **VOLUME 9. NUMBER 1** TABLE I. Definitions of the 10 common asthma phenotypes Definitions Phenotypes Positive skin prick tests* and allergy symptoms19 Allergic asthma Age of asthma onset <12 y20 Early-onset asthma Elderly asthma Age 65 y21,22 Eosinophilic asthma Sputum eosinophil level 3%23 or blood eosinophil level 300 cells/mL24 Obese asthma Body mass index25 30 kg/m2 Occupational asthma Self-reported sensitizer-induced asthma symptoms occur or aggravate in the workplace, and remission or improvement occurs during weekends and holidays26 Smoking asthma Asthma in current smokers or ex-smokers27,28 Self-reported worsening of asthma symptoms in response to Aspirin-sensitive asthma nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs2 Asthma with fixed airflow limitation FEV1/FVC <70% after inhalation of bronchodilator29 Neuropsychological asthma Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) anxiety symptom (HADS-A) score 8 or HADS depressive symptom (HADS-D) score 830-33 FEV1, Forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity. *Skin prick tests used in this study are described in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org.

asthma, occupational asthma, and aspirin-sensitive asthma were assigned to the groups often associated with T2 inflammation.

The asthma groups often associated with non-T2 inflammation comprised elderly, obese, smoking, asthma with fixed airflow obstruction, and neuropsychological groups. With increasing age, age-related inflammation in asthmas changed and differed from T2 inflammation,21,39,40 which mostly exhibited noneosinophilic or neutrophilic inflammation in induced sputum. Airway inflammation in obese asthma is predominantly noneosinophilic.25,41 Patients with smoking asthma42-44 or asthma with fixed airflow limitation45,46 also had neutrophilic inflammation mainly in the airways rather than eosinophilic inflammation. Based on our previous studies,30-32 nonT2 inflammation was shown to play a critical role in the neuropsychological asthma phenotype. Definitions of T2 and non-T2 asthma based on

biomarkers

Biomarkers, including blood eosinophil count and serum IgE and FeNO levels, were used to define T2 asthma if 2 or more of the following were present: eosinophil count 0.14 109 cells/L, IgE level 100 IU/mL, or FeNO level 30 ppb.47,48 Otherwise, it was classified as non-T2 asthma.

Analysis methodology for cumulative asthma phenotypes

Phenotype overlap scores (POS) represented the number of cumulative concomitant phenotypes. We calculated the T2 and nonT2 POS based on T2 or non-T2 inflammation status of phenotypes. That is, T2 POS reflected the number of cumulative concomitant phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation, whereas non-T2 POS reflected the number of cumulative concomitant phenotypes often associated the non-T2 inflammation. T2 or non-T2 POS ranged from zero to the maximum number of cumulative concomitant phenotypes.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as means standard deviations or medians (interquartile ranges) based on distribution. Categorical variables were summarized as absolute numbers and percentages. The difference between groups for each variable was evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and the c2 test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. When differences were found among groups, Bonferroni correction was used to analyze the differences. Spearman's correlation was used to explore the specific correlations between POS and clinical and inflammatory profiles. A negative binomial regression model was established to analyze correlations of POS and asthma exacerbations in the preceding year. Furthermore, subgroup analysis in patients excluding asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap (ACO) was performed. Twotailed P values < .05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY), and the figure of asthma phenotypic overlap was drawn by R software version 3.5.3 ("UpSetR" packages) (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Of the 593 adults with asthma screened for this study, 522 had complete phenotypic data and were included; 58 subjects had missing data and 13 declined to participate or had other reasons (Figure 1). The demographic and clinical characteristics of adults with asthma are presented in Table E1 (available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org). Females were predominant among the 522 subjects (n ¼ 334, 64.0%). The median age and body mass index (BMI) of participants were 45.45 (Q1, Q3: 35.91, 57.58) years and 22.87 (Q1, Q3: 20.90, 25.05) kg/m2, respectively. The most common comorbidity was rhinitis (n ¼ 279,53.4%). Amajority of patients weretreated withinhaled corticosteroids(ICS)(n¼302,57.9%).Theparticipantshadwellcontrolled asthma as shown by the ACQ scores (median [Q1, Q3]:

0.67 [0.17, 1.50]). Of all participants, 50.4% (n ¼ 263) had mild, 31.6% (n ¼ 165) had moderate, and 18.0% (n ¼ 94) had severe asthma as defined by the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines.29 In addition, 33.0% of these participants (n ¼ 172) had at least 1 severe exacerbation in the past 12 months.

Asthma phenotype overlap

The distribution of the 10 most common phenotypes is shown in Figure 2. Patients with allergic asthma accounted for the largest proportion (n ¼ 311, 59.6%) of participants, and those with aspirin-sensitive asthma comprised the smallest proportion (n ¼ 8, 1.5%). Although we collected all available

352 HAN ET AL J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL PRACT JANUARY 2021

FIGURE 1. Flowchart of the study. {Nonallocated phenotypes: phenotypes did not meet any criteria of the 10 predefined asthma phe-

notypes. *POS, Phenotype overlap scores.

data, 35 participants (6.7%) did not meet any criteria of the predefined phenotypes and were considered as having a "nonallocated" phenotype. Therefore, 487 participants had at least 1 asthma phenotype defined in this study (Figure 1). Of these, 310 had T2 and 177 had non-T2 asthma based on T2 biomarkers.

As shown in Figure 2, of all included participants, 383 (73.4%) had multiple (2) asthma phenotypes and 104 (19.9%) had only 1 phenotype. Finally, 120 intersecting sets of phenotypes and 9 types of single phenotypes (drawn as 9 blue bars) were identified. In addition, we found that the concomitant allergic and eosinophilic asthma (shown as a red bar) (8.2%, n ¼ 43) accounted for the most common intersecting set of J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL PRACT HAN ET AL 353

VOLUME 9, NUMBER 1

FIGURE 2. UpSetR plot of phenotypic overlap of the 10 common asthma phenotypes. UpSetR visualizes intersections of 10 sets (asthma phenotypes) as a matrix in which the rows represent the different phenotypes and the columns represent their intersections. For each phenotype that is part of a given intersection, a black-colored dot is placed in the corresponding matrix cell. If a phenotype is not part of the intersection, a light grayecolored dot is shown. Avertical black line connects the topmost black dot with the bottommost black dot in each column to emphasize the overlapping relationships. A second bar chart showing the size of each phenotype is shown to the left of the matrix.

phenotypes. Allergic patients were responsible for more than half of instances of phenotype overlap. Besides the allergic eosinophilic asthma, another 6 sets of phenotypes were found to be the most common overlapping phenotypes (Table E18, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org), that is, allergic early-onset asthma (2.9%, n ¼ 15), allergic eosinophilic early-onset asthma (2.7%, n ¼ 14), allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation (2.5%, n ¼ 13), allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma (2.5%, n ¼ 13), allergic neuropsychological asthma (2.3%, n ¼ 12), and allergic eosinophilic asthma with fixed airflow limitation (2.1%, n ¼ 11). Allergic eosinophilic asthma was also the most common overlapping phenotype in the patients with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation (23.2%, n ¼ 43) (Table E19, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). Elderly smoking asthma with fixed airflow had the highest proportion (14.8%, n ¼ 8) in the patients with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation as well as the smoking asthma with fixed airflow (14.8%, n ¼ 8) (Table E19, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). In the patients with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation, allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation (3.2%, n ¼ 13) and allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma (3.2%, n ¼ 13) were the most common phenotypes (Table E19, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). The characteristics of patients with the most common overlapping phenotypes in all participants and the characteristics of patients with the most common overlapping phenotypes in the patients with phenotypes often associated with different types of inflammation are shown in Tables E18 and E19 (available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org), respectively.

Demographic and clinical characteristics grouped by phenotypes often associated with T2 or non-T2 inflammation

Based on phenotypes often associated with T2 or non-T2 inflammation, participants with at least 1 phenotype (n ¼ 487) were assigned into one of the 3 groups: phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation (n ¼ 185), phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation (n ¼ 54), and phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation (n ¼ 248). Surprisingly, almost half of the participants (47.5%) had phenotypes often associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation (n ¼ 248). Surprisingly, almost half of the participants (47.5%) had phenotypes often associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation. All demographic and clinical characteristics across the 3 groups are shown in Table II.

Participants with phenotypes often associated with

T2 inflammation

Participants with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation had the lowest age (39.58 [30.84, 46.94] vs 64.97 [54.09, 71.03] vs 48.60 [39.80, 61.19] years, all P < .001) and lowest age of onset (29.00 [13.50, 40.00] vs 51.00 [36.50, 64.25] vs 36.00 [22.00, 47.75] years, all P < .001) in the 3

groups. There were a larger proportion of women in this group compared with those with phenotypes associated with non-T2 and phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2

TABLE II. Characteristics of patients with asthma with phenotypes often associated with T2, non-T2, and mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation

Variables	Phenotypes a	associate	ed with non-T2		Pheno	types as	sociated
with T2	Phenotypes a	associate	ed with T2 and	non-T2		F/c2/H	P value
N 54	185		248				
Age (y), median (Q1,	Q3)	64.97	(54.09, 71.03)		39.58 ((30.84, 4	46.94)†
			105.790				
Female <i>,</i> n (%)	29 (53.7)		156 (84.3)†		119 (4	8.0)z	
61.624	<.001						
BMI (kg/m2), mediar	n (Q1 <i>,</i> Q3)		22.73 (20.68,	25.46)		22.37 (20.47,
24.17) 23.27							
Smoking history (n),	current/ex/nev	/er smol	ker	12/12	/30		0/0/185†
			37				
Atopy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	141 (7	6.2)†	170 (6	8.5)†		110.020
<.001							
Asthma duration (y),	median (Q1,						
Q3) 6.13 (3.79, 15.18)		5.90 (2.58, 18	.58)		8.69 (3	.22, 24.74)
	.249x						
Age of onset (y), me	dian (Q1 <i>,</i> Q3)		51.00 (36.50,	64.25)		29.00 (13.50,
40.00)†	• •						
Asthma family histor	y, n (%)	22 (42	.3)	54 (29	.8)		89 (36.8)
	.159						
ICS (BDP equivalent)	dose (mg/d), n	nedian (Q1, Q3)	100.00) (0.00 <i>, 4</i>	400.00)	
	-		0 (0.00, 400.00)			.787x	
ICS <i>,</i> n (%)	29 (53.7)		109 (58.9)		141 (5	6.9)	
0.504							
ACQ scores, median					0.33 (0	0.00, 1.0	9)
1.00 (0.33, 1.	67)z	21.638	3 <.001x				

AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.89 (5.39, 6.34) 6.03 (5.44, 6.52) .009x 5.74 (5.06, 6.31)z 9.389 Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/ severe 27/20/7 12 (22.2) 95/57/33 122 (65.9)† 123/78/47 127 (51.4)†z 1.488 33.197 .829 <.001 Comorbidities Rhinitis, n (%) Bronchiectasis, n (%) 0 (0.0) 2 (1.1) 19 (7.7)z 13.926 .001 Sleep apnea, n (%) 0 (0.0) 2 (1.1) 1 (0.4) .704k e GERD, n (%) 1 (1.9) 8 (4.3) 15 (6.1) 1.922 .383 Anaphylaxis, n (%) 8 (14.8) 62 (33.5)† 69 (27.9) 7.266 .026 Cardiovascular diseases, n (%) 10 (18.5) 7 (3.8)† 23 (9.3) 12.793 .002 Diabetes, n (%) 1 (1.9) 0.74 1.33 0 (0.0) 0.32 0.72+ 12 (4.9)z 0.81 1.65z e 16.436 .003k <.001x Exacerbations in the past 12 mo Frequency of severe exacerbations* Severe exacerbation, n (%) 22 (40.7) 42 (22.7)† 97 (39.1)z 14.513 .001 Spirometry Pre-FEV1 (L), median (Q1, Q3) 1.65 (1.34, 2.04) 2.39 (1.92, 2.83)+ <.001x 1.83 (1.30, 2.43)z 58.955 Pre-FEV1% predicted 69.16 19.06 82.12 16.51⁺ 65.93 20.92z 70.753 <.001x Pre-FEV1/FVC% 62.75 10.35 73.06 10.48+ 60.20 13.10z 107.230 <.001x Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L), median 0.29 (0.15, 0.47)+ (Q1, Q3) 0.11 (0.07, 0.17) 0.24 (0.13, 0.41)† 53.075 <.001x

Neutrophils (109/L), median 3.66 (2.91, 4.96) 3.21 (2.62, 4.20) 3.57 (2.84, 4.47) 3.011 .050{ (Q1, Q3) Lymphocytes (109/L), median 1.65 (1.39, 2.01) 1.73 (1.41, 2.00) 1.68 (1.42, (Q1, Q3) 0.058 2.12) .944{ Monocytes (109/L), median 0.37 (0.27, 0.48) 0.31 (0.26, 0.41)+ (Q1, Q3) 0.36 (0.29, 6.809 .001{ 0.47)z Basophils (109/L), median 0.03 (0.02, 0.04) 0.04 (0.02, 0.05) 0.03 (0.02, (Q1, Q3) 2.698 .259x 0.05) 37.23 (15.81, 103.35) IgE (IU/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 0.00 (0.00, 0.32) 177.00 (69.74, 353.61)+ 0.75 (0.00, 6.67)† 143.87 (55.05, 354.50)+ 0.25 (0.00, 2.63)† 39.979 14.505 <.001x .001x Sputum Eosinophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) Neutrophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) 63.75 (38.63, 87.25) 26.00 (11.24, 63.25)† 37.50 (17.13, 67.88) 11.449 .003x Lymphocytes (%), median (Q1, Q3) 0.50 (0.25, 1.06) 0.75 (0.25, 1.50) 0.50 (0.00, 2.780 1.25) .249x Macrophages (%), median (Q1, Q3) 35.77 (7.88, 60.69) 60.00 (26.75, 80.63) 46.50 (16.88, 74.75) 5.688 .058x FeNO (ppb), median (Q1, Q3) 17.50 (13.00, 32.75) 40.00 (25.00, 81.00)+ 38.00 (21.00, 68.50)+ 29.716 <.001x ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP,

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile. Phenotypes associated with non-T2, phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2, phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". †Compared with phenotypes associated with the non-T2 group with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction.

zCompared with phenotypes associated with the T2 group with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction. xKruskal-Wallis test. kFisher's exact probabilities.

{Data are transformed to satisfy a normal distribution.

FIGURE 3. (A) T2 and (B) non-T2 POS grouped by biomarker-defined T2 and non-T2 asthma. Individual POS are shown as red circles and blue rhombuses for T2 and non-T2 asthma, respectively. The long black horizontal bars and vertical error bars represent the mean

standard deviations. POS, Phenotype overlap scores.

inflammation (84.3% vs 53.7% vs 48.0%, P < .001). This group had the highest proportion of patients with rhinitis (65.9% vs 22.2% vs 51.4%, P < .001). As expected, these participants had greater eosinophilic inflammation with significantly increased blood eosinophil (0.29 [0.15, 0.47] vs 0.11 [0.07, 0.17] 109/L), IgE (177.00 [69.74, 353.61] vs 37.23 [15.81, 103.35] IU/mL), and FeNO (40.00 [25.00, 81.00] vs 17.50 [13.00, 32.75] ppb) levels and a greater proportion of sputum eosinophils (0.75 [0.00, 6.67] vs 0.00 [0.00, 0.32] %) compared with participants with phenotypes associated with non-T2 inflammation (all P < .001) (Table II). Participants with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation Participants with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation had the highest age (64.97 [54.09, 71.03] years) and the highest age of late onset of asthma (51.00 [36.50, 64.25] years) (all P < .001). Compared with participants with phenotypes associated with T2 inflammation, these participants had higher incidence of cardiovascular diseases (18.5% vs 3.8%, P ¼ .001). Furthermore, this group had a greater proportion of patients with severe exacerbations in the previous year (40.7% vs 22.7%, P ¼ .008), worse airway obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) % predicted, 69.16 19.06 vs 82.12 16.51%; FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC), 62.75 10.35 vs 73.06 10.48%, all P < .001), and a higher proportion of sputum neutrophils (63.75 [38.63, 87.25] vs 26.00 [11.24, 63.25] %, P ¼ .001) (Table II) and a significantly higher sputum IL-8 level (2218.00 [1094.00, 3491.00] vs 1150.00 [583.29, 2218.00] pg/mL, P ¼ .004) (Table E3, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). However, there were no statistically significant differences in sputum IL-1b, IL5, IL-13, IL-17A, TNF-a, and IFN-g levels among groups (Table E3, available in this article's Online Repository at www. jaci-inpractice.org). Participants with phenotypes associated with mixed

T2 and non-T2 inflammation

This group had the largest number of patients (n ¼ 248, 50.9%) with increased BMI (23.27 [21.40, 25.97] vs 22.37 [20.47, 24.17] kg/m2, P < .001) and higher incidence of bronchiectasis (7.7% vs 1.1%, P ¼ .002) and diabetes (4.9% vs

0.0%, P ¼ .002) than the group with phenotypes associated with T2 inflammation. Moreover, these participants had the worse asthma control level (ACQ scores, 1.00 [0.33, 1.67] vs 0.33 [0.00, 1.09], P < .001), worse asthma quality of life (AQLQ scores, 5.74 [5.06, 6.31] vs 6.03 [5.44, 6.52], P ¼ .002), and more severe exacerbations in the past 12 months (39.1% vs 22.7%, P < .001) and worse airway obstruction (FEV1% predicted, 65.93 20.92 vs 82.12 16.51%; FEV1/FVC, 60.20 13.10 vs 73.06 10.48%, both P < .001) than those with phenotypes associated with T2 inflammation. They also had greater eosinophilic inflammation in the blood (0.24 [0.13, 0.41] vs 0.11 [0.07, 0.17] 109/L, P < .001) and sputum (0.25 [0.00, 2.63] vs 0.00 [0.00, 0.32] %, P ¼ .006), and increased IgE (143.87 [55.05, 354.50] vs 37.23 [15.81, 103.35] IU/mL, P < .001) and FeNO (38.00 [21.00, 68.50] vs 17.50 [13.00, 32.75] ppb, P < .001) levels than those with phenotypes associated with non-T2 inflammation (Table II). Biomarker-defined inflammatory phenotypes

Based on biomarkers of blood eosinophil count, serum IgE level, and FeNO level, participants with at least one of the most common phenotypes (n ¼ 487) were classified as the those with T2 (n ¼ 310) and non-T2 (n ¼ 177) inflammation, whose characteristics are shown in Table E13 (available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). Characteristics of different asthma phenotypes in patients grouped by biomarkerdefined T2 and non-T2 inflammation are shown in Table E2 (available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org). T2 POS in the T2 inflammation group was significantly higher than that in the non-T2 inflammation group (1.68 0.80 vs 1.02 0.75, P < .001), and non-T2 POS in the non-T2 asthma group was significantly higher than that in the T2 inflammation group (1.24 0.99 vs 0.84 0.96, P < .001) (Figure 3). The relationship of phenotypes often associated with T2 or/and non-T2 inflammation with biomarker-defined inflammatory phenotypes is presented in Figure 4. We further explored the accuracy of T2 POS in diagnosing T2 inflammation. The area under the curve was 0.713 with the sensitivity of 55.8% and the specificity of 77.4% (data not shown).

Correlations of T2 or non-T2 POS with clinical and inflammatory profiles We explored the correlations between T2 or non-T2 POS and clinical and inflammatory profiles. T2 POS was positively

FIGURE 4. Relationship of phenotypes often associated with T2 or/and non-T2 inflammation with biomarker-defined inflammatory phenotypes. The intersecting zones represent overlap. Blue downward arrows and red upward arrows indicate a decrease and increase of different characteristics, respectively. BMI, Body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide.

TABLE III. Correlations of T2 or non-T2 POS representing cumulative concomitant phenotypes with clinical and inflammatory characteristics in asthma

T2 PO	S Non-T	2 POS							
Variables	rs	P valu	ers	P valu	е				
Asthma-relat	ed ques	tionnaiı	res		0.058				
.185									
0.208									
<.001									
ACQ scores									
AQLQ scores		0.098		.026		0.120		.006	
Spirometry									
Pre-FEV1% pr	edicted		0.005		.912		0.465		<.001
Pre-FEV1/FV0	2%	0.015	.733						
<.001 0.533									
0.170 <.001									
001									
<.001	1		0 474						
Peripheral blo			0.474						
Eosinophils (1	LU9/L)								

Neutrophils (109/L) IgE (IU/mL) 0.398	<.001	0.021		.640		0.111		.011
<.001 0.109 0.093 .013								
.101								
Sputum	0.325							
Eosinophils (%)								
Neutrophils (%)		0.208		<.001		0.152		.007
IFN-g (pg/mL) 0.083	.240	0.060	.399					
IL-13 (pg/mL)	0.005		.949		0.044		.537	
IL-17A (pg/mL)	0.139	.048	0.110	.120				
IL-1b (pg/mL)	0.117		.097		0.095		.177	
IL-5 (pg/mL) 0.127	.072	0.064	.361					
IL-8 (pg/mL)	0.193		.006		0.184		.008	
TNF-a (pg/mL) 0.148	.035	0.090	.201					

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; POS, phenotype overlap scores; rs, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. associated with eosinophil, IgE, and FeNO levels, and negatively with AQLQ scores, sputum neutrophils percent, IL-17A and IL-8, and TNF-a levels. Non-T2 POS was positively associated with ACQ scores, neutrophil and sputum IL-8 levels and negatively with AQLQ scores, pre-FEV1% predicted, and blood eosinophil, IgE, and FeNO levels (all P < .05, Table III), which are described in the Results section in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org. The additional analysis of clinical outcomes in participants with overlapping phenotypes based on different inflammation statuses also showed that, as the number of phenotypes increased, the clinical outcomes worsened (Tables E4-E12 and Figure E1, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org).

The univariate analysis found that T2 (b %0.183, 95% confidence interval [CI] % [0.343, 0.023], P % .025) or non-T2 (b% 0.278, 95% CI % [0.137, 0.419], P < .001) POS was correlated with the frequency of asthma severe exacerbations in the past 12 months. The multivariable negative binomial regression model was established to further explore the relationships of T2 and non-T2 POS with the frequency of severe asthma exacerbations in the previous year when adjusted for sex, ICS (beclomethasone dipropionate equivalent) dose, family history, asthma duration, and comorbidities (Table IV). Therefore, it indicated that T2 POS (adjusted b [ab] %0.191, 95% CI % [0.368, 0.013], P % .035) or non-T2 POS (ab % 0.310, 95% CI % [0.135, 0.485], P < .001) was significantly associated with the frequency of severe asthma exacerbations in the past 12 months. It revealed that, in the real-world setting, the higher the T2 POS, the lower the frequency of severe asthma exacerbations. Subgroup analysis when excluding participants with ACO

The characteristics of participants with ACO and asthma without COPD were compared (Table E14, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org), and

phenotype overlap was analyzed after excluding patients with ACO (Figure E2 and Tables E15-E17, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaci-inpractice.org). As a result, we found that these phenotype overlaps were roughly similar to the results from all patients (Tables II-IV). The detailed results are provided in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have examined asthma phenotype overlap. This study using multidimensional assessment has shown that 73.4% of patients have at least 2 of 10 common phenotypes concurrently with stable asthma, which indicated that asthma phenotypes overlap, including phenotypes often associated with T2 and/or non-T2 inflammation, was extremely common in the real-world setting. Among the patients with phenotypes often associated with T2 and/or non-T2 inflammation, there were differential demographic and clinical characteristics. Intriguingly, the patients with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation had similar levels of T2 inflammatory biomarkers to those with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation but had worse asthma control and outcomes that were comparable to those with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation. Furthermore, POS associated with T2 or non-T2 inflammation was associated with clinical profiles, such as asthma symptoms and exacerbations, and inflammatory biomarkers, which implicates that it is important to assess phenotype overlaps using multidimensional assessment in clinical practice (Figure 4). Participants with asthma were consecutively recruited in this study; thus, patients with asthma with varying severities were included. The proportion of patients with diverse severities of asthma (50.4% with mild asthma, 31.6% with moderate asthma, and 18.0% with severe asthma) (Table E1, available in this article's Online Repository at www.jaciinpractice.org) defined using GINA29 guidelines in this study is similar to those in other observational studies.49-51 In this study, almost half of patients had mild asthma (50.4%, n 1/2 263), most of whom did not use ICS (83.3%, n 1/2 219) (data not shown). That might account for low rates of ICS use (n ¼ 302, 57.9%) in these included participants in this study. This rate of ICS use is still higher than that in a recently published epidemiological study in China showing that the proportion of respondents with asthma who received ICS therapy was only 5.6% to 10.2%, 52, 53 although this proportion was much lower than the proportions reported in some developed countries (17% to 49%).54 This could be partly explained by a reduced pooled adherence on daily ICS therapy (37.6%, 95% CI ¼ [33.1, 42.2])55 in the real-world setting, which indicated that some patients in this study would be undertreated.

TABLE IV. Variables associated with the frequency of severe exacerbations in the past 12months using negative binomial regression modelsIndependent variablesUnivariable models

Regression co	efficient (b) [95% CI]	P value	P value Multivariable model					
Adjusted regression coefficient (ab) [95% CI]								
value								
T2 POS	0.183 [0.343, 0.023]	.025	0.191 [(0.368, 0.013]				
.035								
Non-T2 POS	0.278 [0.137, 0.419]	<.001	0.310 [0.135, 0	.485]	.001			
ICS (BDP equi	valent) dose	0.001 [0.000,	0.001]	<.001	0.000			
[0.000, 0.001]	.002							

Sex	0.018 [0.307,	0.272]	.904	0.379	[0.736,	0.022]	.037
Asthm	a family histor	y 0.24	4 [0.547,	0.060]		.116	0.187 [0.514,
0.140]	.263						
Asthm	a duration	0.004 [0.006	5, 0.013]		.473	0.004 [0.007,	0.015]
	.457						
Broncl	niectasis	1.184 [0.665	5, 1.702]		<.001	1.169	[0.620, 1.719]
	<.001						

BDP, Beclomethasone dipropionate; CI, confidence interval; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; POS, phenotype overlap scores.

Our findings indicate that the complexity and unique features of asthma phenotypes overlap when categorizing individuals with asthma, supporting the view that asthma is a heterogeneous disease.56 First, our study showed the highest proportion of participants with concurrent allergic and eosinophilic phenotypes, illustrating the classical concept that asthma is mainly mediated by allergic eosinophilic inflammation.1 Second, our study found that the proportion of current smokers with asthma (9.96%) was lower than that in the general population in China (25.2%; 95% CI, 25.1-25.4)57 or other countries (United States, approximately 15%58). However, this study was supported by a multicenter cross-sectional survey59 based on patients with asthma enrolled consecutively in China or urban areas in China (7.7%),60 which would be attributed to the self-management of smoking cessation under asthma education provided by GINA guidelines.29 Third, the prevalence of obese phenotype in this study was significantly lower than that in Caucasian individuals, which could be explained by race. Ng et al61 estimated the global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity during 1980 to 2013, which showed a lower rate of obesity (approximately 3.8% to 5.0%) in Chinese adults. A further study found that the prevalence of obesity in a crosssectional survey of 15,364 participants in China was 7.9%,62 which was similar to our prevalence of obesity (8.8%) in this study. Fourth, there was a large subset of patients with asthma with fixed airflow limitation (31.8%, n ¼ 166), which reflected some features of asthma-COPD overlap (ACO).29 Inclusion of ACO could be because of broader inclusion/exclusion criteria in a real-world study, but it would result in external validity and strong generalization of our findings. In addition, the extremely few patients with aspirin-sensitive asthma (1.5%) in this study were consistent with the low proportion (1.2%) reported in an earlier study by Hedman et al.63 Furthermore, 6.7% of subjects with asthma (n ¼ 35) could not be allocated as having any of the 10 common phenotypes, which was similar to the findings by Amaral et al.14 This supports the fact that there are a small number of patients with asthma whose phenotype is not easily allocated, suggesting the possible presence of subphenotypes or endotypes that have not been recognized until now.2-4,64

Our study found that patients with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation were characterized by higher T2 inflammation (ie, increased blood and sputum eosinophil, IgE, and FeNO levels), but the participants with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation had airway neutrophilia, elevated IL-8 levels, and worse clinical outcomes. It has been previously validated that T2 and non-T2 inflammation processes are the main difference in the inflammation-immune mechanisms of asthma, which could explain the disease manifestations and therapeutic response differentiated by separate pathways.3,65 Accordingly, the responsiveness of T2 inflammation to therapy might lead to reduced exacerbations in patients with greater T2 inflammation.

Intriguingly, we found that a third group of patients with asthma had phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation who were clinically different from those in the previous 2 groups with phenotypes often associated with T2 or non-T2 inflammation. To the best of our knowledge, these patients might indeed be a unique population that has not been previously reported. Compared with patients with phenotypes associated with T2 inflammation, these patients had poorer symptom control and quality of life, worse airway obstruction, and more severe exacerbations, although they were characterized by higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers, such as blood and sputum eosinophils, IgE, and FeNO. The following issues would account for these features: First, the intermediate age or age of onset in the groups with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation might reflect a transitional state from T2 to non-T2 of immune inflammation with increasing age.66 Aging was the potential factor that led to worse asthma outcomes in this group. Second, these patients with phenotypes of mixed inflammation with greater eosinophilic inflammation seemed to have an obvious disposition to T2 inflammation. For example, Calixto et al67 found that obesity, as a non-T2 inflammatory feature, enhanced eosinophilic inflammation in an allergic mouse model. Lastly, more comorbidities, such as bronchiectasis and diabetes, in these patients would lead to adverse asthma outcomes.68,69 Therefore, worse outcomes in patients with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation would be explained by their non-T2 phenotypes modifying the T2-existing inflammation-immune process.70-73 These findings propose a challenge that only defining T2 inflammatory phenotype in asthma is insufficient to predict asthma symptom control and exacerbations in the real-world setting. Furthermore, it would provide important information and direction for precise treatment of asthma in clinical practice, 74, 75 but its interaction of T2 and non-T2 inflammation needs to be further explored. This study used POS (ie, T2 or non-T2) to represent the presence of concomitant diverse phenotypes. To investigate the association of phenotype overlap with clinical and inflammatory characteristics and severe exacerbations in stable asthma, we separately analyzed T2 or non-T2 POS based on phenotypes often associated with T2 or non-T2 inflammation. Intriguingly, it indicated that the number of concomitant phenotypes was distinctly correlated with the intensity of inflammation, such as sputum blood eosinophil, IL-17A, and TNF-a levels for T2 POS; blood neutrophil level for non-T2 POS; and blood eosinophil, IgE, FeNO, sputum neutrophil, and IL-8 levels for both T2 and non-T2 POS. First, our study supports the previously existing concept that blood eosinophils, IgE, and FeNO are the signature biomarkers in defining T2 or non-T2 asthma76,77 because of the relationships between these biomarkers and T2 or non-T2 POS. Second, neutrophils in sputum, but not peripheral blood, and IL-8 in sputum would be potential signature biomarkers in defining T2 or non-T2 asthma because either one was significantly associated with both T2 and non-T2 POS. Their cutoff values need to be further determined, although neutrophilic asthma has been well defined.78,79 Third, the number of phenotypes associated with non-T2 inflammation was significantly correlated with neutrophil level in both blood and sputum, which indicated that patients with these phenotypes have a low grade of systemic inflammation. It would account for worse airway obstruction or possible airway remodeling. Last, the lack of significant associations between T2 POS and type 2 cytokines (ie, IL-13, IL-5) may be explained by anti-asthma therapies, such as the prescribed medication (ICS) that effectively suppresses type 2 cytokines but is less effective against non-T2 cytokines (eg, IL-17A, and TNF-a).80

There are several limitations of this study that need to be addressed. First, we could not analyze the effects of integrated T2 and non-T2 inflammation in overlapping phenotypes on asthma control and airway obstruction because of the different types of inflammation, although we have observed asthma heterogeneity explained by phenotype overlap in this study. Second, definitions of these common phenotypes in this study were based on guidelines or previously published studies,23,29-31,33 which are often not precisely defined.27,36,81,82 Lastly, this study initially found significant relationships between POS and demographic and clinical profiles in stable asthma but did not determine their causes and effects because of its cross-sectional design.

CONCLUSION

This study found that phenotype overlap was extremely common in patients with asthma, which additionally accounted for asthma heterogeneity. The number of cumulative concomitant phenotypes often related to T2 or non-T2 inflammation being represented as POS was associated with asthma symptoms and exacerbations. Furthermore, there was a third group of patients with asthma with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation who had distinctive clinical and inflammatory characteristics, which seemed to have similar elevated biomarkers of T2 inflammation to those with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation but had worse asthma control and more exacerbations that were comparable to those in patients with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation. It proposed a challenging question in clinical practice that, although it had elevated T2 signature biomarkers, patients might have an insensitive response to asthma medications because of increased non-T2 POS representing cumulative concomitant phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation.

Prospective longitudinal studies would be required to assess the value of multidimensional asthma assessment in clinical practice, and to validate our findings and explore whether targeting treatable traits of these overlapping phenotypes could improve asthma control and future risk.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Prof. Peter G. Gibson and Vanessa McDonald at Priority Research Center for Healthy Lungs and Center of Excellence in Severe Asthma, Hunter Medical Research Institute, The University of Newcastle, Australia, for their substantial contributions to establishment of Australasian Severe Asthma Network, the design of this study, and their important comments in revising the manuscript. We also appreciate Michelle Gleeson and Xiao Fei Liu for their sputum processing and thank all participants volunteered in this study. REFERENCES

1. Papi A, Brightling C, Pedersen SE, Reddel HK. Asthma. Lancet 2018;391: 783-800.

2. Wenzel SE. Asthma: defining of the persistent adult phenotypes. Lancet 2006; 368:804-13.

3. Wenzel SE. Asthma phenotypes: the evolution from clinical to molecular approaches. Nat Med 2012;18:716-25.

4. Froidure A, Mouthuy J, Durham SR, Chanez P, Sibille Y, Pilette C. Asthma phenotypes and IgE responses. Eur Respir J 2016;47:304-19.

5. Berry M, Morgan A, Shaw DE, Parker D, Green R, Brightling C, et al. Pathological features and inhaled corticosteroid response of eosinophilic and noneosinophilic asthma. Thorax 2007;62:1043-9.

6. Hamilton D, Lehman H. Asthma phenotypes as a guide for current and future biologic therapies. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2020;59:160-74.

7. Robinson DS, Hamid Q, Ying S, Tsicopoulos A, Barkans J, Bentley AM, et al. Predominant TH2-like bronchoalveolar T-lymphocyte population in atopic asthma. N Engl J Med 1992;326:298-304.

8. Woodruff PG, Modrek B, Choy DF, Jia G, Abbas AR, Ellwanger A, et al. Thelper type 2driven inflammation defines major subphenotypes of asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:388-95.

9. Levine SJ, Wenzel SE. Narrative review: the role of Th2 immune pathway modulation in the treatment of severe asthma and its phenotypes. Ann Intern Med 2010;152:232-7.

10. Kuo CS, Pavlidis S, Loza M, Baribaud F, Rowe A, Pandis I, et al. T-helper cell type 2 (Th2) and non-Th2 molecular phenotypes of asthma using sputum transcriptomics in U-BIOPRED. Eur Respir J 2017;49:1602135.

11. Muehling LM, Lawrence MG, Woodfolk JA. Pathogenic CD4(þ) T cells in patients with asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;140:1523-40.

12. Santus P, Saad M, Damiani G, Patella V, Radovanovic D. Current and future targeted therapies for severe asthma: Managing treatment with biologics based on phenotypes and biomarkers. Pharmacol Res 2019;146:104296.

13. Tran TN, Zeiger RS, Peters SP, Colice G, Newbold P, Goldman M, et al. Overlap of atopic, eosinophilic, and TH2-high asthma phenotypes in a general population with current asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2016;116:37-42. 14. Amaral R, Fonseca JA, Jacinto T, Pereira AM, Malinovschi A, Janson C, et al. Having concomitant asthma phenotypes is common and independently relates to poor lung function in NHANES 2007-2012. Clin Transl Allergy 2018;8:13.

15. Han YY, Zhang X, Wang J, Wang G, Zhang HP, Kang DY, et al. Phenotypes overlap correlates with clinical and inflammatory profile of asthma: a crosssectional study (abstract). Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;201:A6479.

16. Wang G, Wang F, Gibson PG, Guo M, Zhang WJ, Gao P, et al. Severe and uncontrolled asthma in China: a cross-sectional survey from the Australasian Severe Asthma Network. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:1333-44.

17. Jia CE, Zhang HP, Lv Y, Liang R, Jiang YQ, Powell H, et al. The Asthma Control Test and Asthma Control Questionnaire for assessing asthma control: systematic review and metaanalysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131: 695-703.

18. Xu KF, Luo XC, Chen Y, Zhang YJ, Li Y, Hu B, et al. The use of Juniper's asthma quality of life questionnaire in Chinese asthmatics [in Chinese]. Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi 2003;42:760-3.

19. Schatz M, Rosenwasser L. The allergic asthma phenotype. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2014;2:645-8.

20. Miranda C, Busacker A, Balzar S, Trudeau J, Wenzel SE. Distinguishing severe asthma phenotypes: role of age at onset and eosinophilic inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:101-8.

Gibson PG, McDonald VM, Marks GB. Asthma in older adults. Lancet 2010; 376:803 13.

22. Kim BK, Lee HS, Sohn KH, Lee SY, Cho SH, Park HW. Different biological pathways are up-regulated in the elderly with asthma: sputum transcriptomic analysis. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2019;11:104-15.

23. Lemiere C, Ernst P, Olivenstein R, Yamauchi Y, Govindaraju K, Ludwig MS, et al. Airway inflammation assessed by invasive and noninvasive means in severe asthma: eosinophilic and noneosinophilic phenotypes. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;118:1033-9. 24. Bel H, Wenzel SE, Thompson PJ, Prazma CM, Keene ON, Yancey SW, et al. Oral glucocorticoid-sparing effect of mepolizumab in eosinophilic asthma. N Engl J Med 2014;371:1189-97.

25. Scott HA, Gibson PG, Garg ML, Wood LG. Airway inflammation is augmented by obesity and fatty acids in asthma. Eur Respir J 2011;38:594-602.

26. Tarlo SM, Lemiere C. Occupational asthma. N Engl J Med 2014;370:640-9.

27. Bakakos P, Kostikas K, Loukides S. Smoking asthma phenotype: diagnostic and management challenges. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2016;22:53-8.

28. Westerhof GA, Korevaar DA, Amelink M, de Nijs SB, de Groot JC, Wang J, et al. Biomarkers to identify sputum eosinophilia in different adult asthma phenotypes. Eur Respir J 2015;46:688-96.

29. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention 2019. Available from: https://ginasthma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/ GINA-2019-main-report-June-2019-wms.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2019.

30. Zhang L, Zhang X, Zheng J, Liu Y, Wang J, Wang G, et al. Depressive symptomassociated IL-1beta and TNF-alpha release correlates with impaired bronchodilator response and neutrophilic airway inflammation in asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 2019;49:770-80.

31. Zhang L, Zhang X, Zheng J, Wang L, Zhang HP, Wang L, et al. Co-morbid psychological dysfunction is associated with a higher risk of asthma exacerbations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Dis 2016;8:1257-68.

32. Li HL, He XL, Liang BM, Zhang HP, Wang Y, Wang G. Anxiety but not depression symptoms are associated with greater perceived dyspnea in asthma during bronchoconstriction. Allergy Asthma Proc 2015;36:447-57.

33. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002;52:69-77.

34. Novak N, Bieber T. Allergic and nonallergic forms of atopic diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;112:252-62.

35. Locksley RM. Asthma and allergic inflammation. Cell 2010;140:777-83.

36. Carr TF, Zeki AA, Kraft M. Eosinophilic and noneosinophilic asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;197:22-37.

37. Matheson JM, Johnson VJ, Luster MI. Immune mediators in a murine model for occupational asthma: studies with toluene diisocyanate. Toxicol Sci 2005;84: 99-109.

38. Mastalerz L, Sanak M, Szczeklik A. Serum interleukin-5 in aspirin-induced asthma. Clin Exp Allergy 2001;31:1036-40.

39. Skloot GS, Busse PJ, Braman SS, Kovacs EJ, Dixon AE, Vaz Fragoso CA, et al. An official American Thoracic Society Workshop report: evaluation and management of asthma in the elderly. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2016;13:

2064-77.

40. Ulambayar B, Lee SH, Yang EM, Ye YM, Park HS. Association between epithelial cytokines and clinical phenotypes of elderly asthma. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2019;11:79-89.

41. Julia V, Macia L, Dombrowicz D. The impact of diet on asthma and allergic diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 2015;15:308-22.

42. Boulet LP, Lemiere C, Archambault F, Carrier G, Descary MC, Deschesnes F. Smoking and asthma: clinical and radiologic features, lung function, and airway inflammation. Chest 2006;129:661-8.

43. Polosa R, Thomson NC. Smoking and asthma: dangerous liaisons. Eur Respir J 2013;41:716-26.

44. Thomson NC, Chaudhuri R, Heaney LG, Bucknall C, Niven RM,

Brightling CE, et al. Clinical outcomes and inflammatory biomarkers in current smokers and exsmokers with severe asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131: 1008-16.

45. Shaw DE, Berry MA, Hargadon B, McKenna S, Shelley MJ, Green RH, et al. Association between neutrophilic airway inflammation and airflow limitation in adults with asthma. Chest 2007;132:1871-5.

46. Leung JM, Sin DD. Asthma-COPD overlap syndrome: pathogenesis, clinical features, and therapeutic targets. BMJ 2017;358:j3772.

47. Corren J, Lemanske RF, Hanania NA, Korenblat PE, Parsey MV, Arron JR, et al. Lebrikizumab treatment in adults with asthma. N Engl J Med 2011;365:1088-98.

48. Busse WW, Holgate ST, Wenzel SW, Klekotka P, Chon Y, Feng J, et al. Biomarker profiles in asthma with high vs low airway reversibility and poor disease control. Chest 2015;148:1489-96.

49. Antonicelli L, Bucca C, Neri M, De Benedetto F, Sabbatani P, Bonifazi F, et al. Asthma severity and medical resource utilisation. Eur Respir J 2004;23:723-9. 50. Rabe KF, Adachi M, Lai CK, Soriano JB, Vermeire PA, Weiss KB, et al. Worldwide severity and control of asthma in children and adults: the global asthma insights and reality surveys. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;114:40-7.

51. Dusser D, Montani D, Chanez P, de Blic J, Delacourt C, Deschildre A, et al. Mild asthma: an expert review on epidemiology, clinical characteristics and treatment recommendations. Allergy 2007;62:591-604.

52. Huang K, Yang T, Xu J, Yang L, Zhao J, Zhang X, et al. Prevalence, risk factors, and management of asthma in China: a national cross-sectional study. Lancet 2019;394:407-18.

53. Brusselle GG, Ko FW. Prevalence and burden of asthma in China: time to act. Lancet 2019;394:364-6.

54. Janson C, Chinn S, Jarvis D, Burney P. Physician-diagnosed asthma and drug utilization in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Eur Respir J 1997;10:1795-802.

55. Wang G, Zhang X, Zhang HP, Wang L, Kang Y, Barnes PJ, et al. Corticosteroid plus beta2-agonist in a single inhaler as reliever therapy in intermittent and mild asthma: a proof-of-concept systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Res 2017;18:203.

56. Fahy JV. Type 2 inflammation in asthma–present in most, absent in many. Nat Rev Immunol 2015;15:57-65.

57. Wang M, Luo X, Xu S, Liu W, Ding F, Zhang X, et al. Trends in smoking prevalence and implication for chronic diseases in China: serial national crosssectional surveys from 2003 to 2013. Lancet Respir Med 2019;7:35-45.

58. Drope J, Liber AC, Cahn Z, Stoklosa M, Kennedy R, Douglas CE, et al. Who's still smoking? Disparities in adult cigarette smoking prevalence in the United States. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:106-15.

59. Yan BD, Meng SS, Ren J, Lv Z, Zhang QH, Yu JY, et al. Asthma control and severe exacerbations in patients with moderate or severe asthma in Jilin Province, China: a multicenter cross-sectional survey. BMC Pulm Med 2016; 16:130.

60. Wang WQ, Lin JT, Liu CT, Zhou X, Wang CZ, Huang M, et al. Disease severity and comorbidities of outpatients with asthma from urban area in China. Chin J Respir and Crit Care Med 2019;18:51-6.

61. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, Thomson B, Graetz N, Margono C, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014;384:766-81.

62. Hu L, Huang X, You C, Li J, Hong K, Li P, et al. Prevalence of overweight, obesity, abdominal obesity and obesity-related risk factors in southern China. PLoS One 2017;12:e0183934.

63. Hedman J, Kaprio J, Poussa T, Nieminen MM. Prevalence of asthma, aspirin intolerance, nasal polyposis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a population-based study. Int J Epidemiol 1999;28:717-22.

64. Agache I, Akdis C, Jutel M, Virchow JC. Untangling asthma phenotypes and endotypes. Allergy 2012;67:835-46.

65. Kim HY, DeKruyff RH, Umetsu DT. The many paths to asthma: phenotype shaped by innate and adaptive immunity. Nat Immunol 2010;11:577-84.

66. Busse PJ, Mathur SK. Age-related changes in immune function: effect on airway inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;126:690-9.

67. Calixto MC, Lintomen L, Schenka A, Saad MJ, Zanesco A, Antunes E. Obesity enhances eosinophilic inflammation in a murine model of allergic asthma. Br J Pharmacol 2010;159:617-25.

68. Denlinger LC, Phillips BR, Ramratnam S, Ross K, Bhakta NR, Cardet JC, et al. Inflammatory and comorbid features of patients with severe asthma and frequent exacerbations. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;195:302-13.

69. Heffler E, Blasi F, Latorre M, Menzella F, Paggiaro P, Pelaia G, et al. The Severe Asthma Network in Italy: findings and perspectives. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2019;7:1462-8.

70. Bosco A, Ehteshami S, Stern DA, Martinez FD. Decreased activation of inflammatory networks during acute asthma exacerbations is associated with chronic airflow obstruction. Mucosal Immunol 2010;3:399-409.

71. Shin TS,Lee BJ, TaeYM, Kim YS, JeonSG, Gho YS, etal. Role of inducible nitric oxidesynthaseonthedevelopmentofvirus-associatedasthmaexacerbationwhichis dependent on Th1 and Th17 cell responses. Exp Mol Med 2010;42:721-30.

72. Woodruff PG, Khashayar R, Lazarus SC, Janson S, Avila P, Boushey HA, et al. Relationship between airway inflammation, hyperresponsiveness, and obstruction in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:753-8.

73. Sze E, Bhalla A, Nair P. Mechanisms and therapeutic strategies for non-T2 asthma. Allergy 2020;75:311-25.

74. Weissman SM. Personalized medicine: a new horizon for medical therapy. Precis Clin Med 2018;1:1-2.

75. LiWM.Precisionmedicine:tocureandrelievemore.PrecisClinMed2018;1:3-4.

76. Pavlidis S, Takahashi K, Ng Kee Kwong F, Xie J, Hoda U, Sun K, et al. "T2high" in severe asthma related to blood eosinophil, exhaled nitric oxide and serum periostin. Eur Respir J 2019;53:1800938.

77. Casale TB, Bateman ED, Vandewalker M, Virchow JC, Schmidt H, Engel M, et al. Tiotropium respimat add-on is efficacious in symptomatic asthma, independent of T2 phenotype. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2018;6: 923-935.e9.

78. Bullone M, Carriero V, Bertolini F, Folino A, Mannelli A, Di Stefano A, et al. Elevated serum IgE, oral corticosteroid dependence and IL-17/22 expression in highly neutrophilic asthma. Eur Respir J 2019;54:1900068.

79. Simpson JL, Phipps S, Baines KJ, Oreo KM, Gunawardhana L, Gibson PG. Elevated expression of the NLRP3 inflammasome in neutrophilic asthma. Eur Respir J 2014;43:1067-76.

80. Chambers ES, Nanzer AM, Pfeffer PE, Richards DF, Timms PM,

Martineau AR, et al. Distinct endotypes of steroid-resistant asthma characterized by IL-17A(high) and IFN-gamma(high) immunophenotypes: potential benefits of calcitriol. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;136:628-637.e4.

81. Ohno I. Neuropsychiatry phenotype in asthma: psychological stress-induced alterations of the neuroendocrine-immune system in allergic airway inflammation. Allergol Int 2017;66S:S2-8.

82. Rosenkranz MA, Busse WW, Sheridan JF, Crisafi GM, Davidson RJ. Are there neurophenotypes for asthma? Functional brain imaging of the interaction between emotion and inflammation in asthma. PLoS One 2012;7:e40921.

ONLINE REPOSITORY

METHODS

Subjects

Asthma was diagnosed in accordance with American Thoracic Society (ATS)E1 and Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines, E2 which were defined as a history of respiratory symptoms (ie, wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness, cough) that vary over time and in intensity and reversible airflow limitation. The variable airflow limitation was confirmed by either positive bronchial provocation test or bronchodilator responsiveness with improvement in forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) > 12% and 200 mL from the baseline. We defined asthma chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap (ACO) as having current asthma, post-bronchodilator FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) <0.70 in individuals 40 years of age or older and at least 10 pack-years of tobacco smoking OR equivalent indoor or outdoor air pollution exposure.E2 This study excluded the subjects with incomplete asthma phenotypic data, rheumatoid arthritis, severe unstable heart disease, and other severe unstable chronic diseases. We also excluded those who were pregnant or were breastfeeding and those who were unable to accomplish spirometry and other required study procedures. This study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Chengdu, China) (No. 2014-30), and all subjects provided written informed consent.

Clinical multidimensional assessments and data collection

Atopy was defined as a positive skin prick test result for at least 1 or more allergens, as described in our previous studies.E3,E4 Psychological dysfunction was assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), which contains 7 questions specially designed for depressive or anxiety symptoms, with a total score of 21. HADS has different sensitivities and specificities in different populations, and a cutoff score of 8 could mostly achieve the

optimal balance between sensitivity and specificity as approximately 0.90 for each subscale.E5 Furthermore, we also collected comorbidities (self-reported but required from specialists) and asthma-related exacerbations in the past 12 months. Asthma-related exacerbations were defined based on the use of systemic corticosteroids for acute asthma for at least 3 days according to the ATS/European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines. The criteria for severe exacerbations also included hospitalization or emergency room or intensive care unit visits requiring systemic corticosteroids for asthma.E6 All subjects underwent sputum induction, blood sampling, and spirometry.E1 Spirometry was performed according to the ATS/ERS guidelines,E7 and FEV1 and FVC were measured (MedGraphics Corp., Saint Paul, Minn) before and 15 minutes after administration of 400 mg salbutamol (GSK, Avda de Extremadura, Spain) through a metered-dose inhaler and spacer (150 mL; Vanbo Technology Corp., Shanghai, China). The best of the 3 reproducible maneuvers was used in the analysis.

Skin prick tests

As previously described,E3,E4 atopy was defined as a positive skin prick test result (3 mm wheal diameter above the negative control) for at least 1 or more allergens, including house dust mites (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae), mold (Alternaria tenuis, Aspergillus species), dog hair, cat hair, pollen (ragweed, birch, London plane), and cockroach, in addition to positive (histamine) and negative (saline) controls. Peripheral blood collection and detection

Fasting intravenous blood samples were collected (with 1 untreated tube and with 1 tube for ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid anticoagulation) for blood cell counts (Sysmex XN-9000 hematology analyzer; Sysmex Corporation, Kobe, Japan) using standard morphological criteria and analysis of total serum IgE levels (Beckman Immage 800 immunology analyzer; Beckman Coulter Inc., Brea, Calif), and 5.0 IU/mL was the minimum detectable level. Sputum induction and airway inflammatory cytokine assay

We performed sputum induction based on the standardized operation process as described in our previous studies.E8 We collected the sputum supernatant and counted sputum differential cells. Sputum was induced after pretreatment with 400 mg salbutamol (GSK) using 4.5% saline atomized with an ultrasonic nebulizer (Cumulus; HEYER Medical AG, Bad Ems, Germany). If preorpost-FEV1 was<40%predicted,sputumwasinducedwith0.9% saline after it was deemed safe by the supervising physician. The sputum supernatantwasstored at80C untilassessment. Selected sputum plugs were used for inflammatory cell counts. Sputum supernatant cytokines, regarded as airway inflammatory biomarkers, including IL-1b, IL-5, IL-8, IL-13, IL-17A, IFN-g, and TNF-a, were detected using a Luminex-based MILLIPLEX MAP Human Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel Kit (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, Mass) with the MILLIPLEX Analyst 5.1 software. The minimum detectable levels of these cytokines in sputum supernatant were 0.8, 0.5, 0.4, 1.3, 0.7, 0.8, and 0.7 pg/mL, respectively. Spiking experiments on cytokines in sputum supernatants showed that recovery ranged from 70% to 130% in all detectable analyses.E9,E10 RESULTS

Overlapping phenotypes of asthma

Simply based on statistical description but not comparison, patients with allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation seemed to be the oldest (51.84 8.17 years) and have the largest proportion of women (92.3%, n ¼ 12), severe asthma (38.5%, n ¼ 5), and sputum neutrophils (37.25 [27.25, 89.38] %). There was no woman (0.0%, n ¼ 0) in patients with allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma. This group seemed to have the largest proportion of

patients with severe exacerbations in the previous year (53.8%, n ¼ 7) and the greatest eosinophilic inflammation in the sputum (69.50 [4.25, 78.00] %) and FeNO (95.00 [35.50, 165.50] ppb) levels. The allergic eosinophilic early-onset asthma group had the longest duration (28.73 [16.90, 39.90] years) and highest blood eosinophil level (0.50 [0.38, 0.59] 109/L). And the patients with allergic neuropsychological asthma had the worst asthma quality of life (AQLQ scores, 5.45 [5.14, 6.49]). Allergic eosinophilic asthma with the fixed airflow limitation group seemed to have the latest asthma onset (40.82 13.77 years), worst asthma control level (ACQ scores, 1.17 [0.33,

2.00]), worst airway obstruction (FEV1% predicted, 62.89 11.59%; FEV1/FVC, 57.11 5.38%), highest blood neutrophil (4.12 [3.39, 4.95] 109/L), and IgE (463.45 [227.00, 625.06] IU/mL) levels (Table E18).

Correlations of T2 or non-T2 phenotype overlap scores (POS) with clinical and inflammatory profiles

T2 POS positively correlated with blood and sputum eosinophil (rs ¼ 0.474, P < .001 and rs ¼ 0.325, P < .001, respectively), IgE (rs ¼ 0.398, P < .001) and FeNO (rs ¼ 0.289, P < .001) levels but not with ACQ scores (rs ¼ 0.058, P ¼ .185), pre-FEV1% predicted (rs ¼ 0.005, P ¼ .912), preFEV1/FVC (rs ¼ 0.015, P ¼ .733), sputum IL-13 and IL-5 levels (rs ¼ 0.005, P ¼ .949 and rs % 0.127, P ¼ .072, respectively). Furthermore, T2 POS was negatively correlated with AQLQ scores (rs ¼ 0.098, P ¼ .026), percentage of sputum neutrophils (rs ¼ 0.208, P < .001), and sputum IL17A, IL-8, and TNF-a levels (rs ¼ 0.139, P ¼ .048; rs ¼ 0.193, P ¼ .006; and rs % 0.148, P ¼ .035, respectively). In contrast, non-T2 POS was positively associated with ACQ scores (rs ¼ 0.208, P < .001), sputum and blood neutrophil levels (rs ¼ 0.152, P ¼ .007 and rs ¼ 0.111, P ¼ .011, respectively), and sputum IL-8 level (rs ¼ 0.184, P ¼ .008), rather than with sputum IFN-g (rs ¼ 0.060, P ¼ .399), IL-1b (rs ¼ 0.095, P ¼ .177), IL-17A (rs ¼ 0.110, P ¼ .120), and TNF-a (rs ¼ 0.090, P ¼ .201) levels. Non-T2 POS was negatively correlated with AQLQ scores (rs % 0.120, P % .006), pre-FEV1% predicted (rs % 0.465, P < .001), preFEV1/FVC (rs % 0.533, P < .001), and blood eosinophil (rs % 0.170, P < .001), IgE (rs % 0.109, P % .013), and FeNO (rs % 0.147, P % .002) levels.

Clinical outcomes in participants with overlapping phenotypes

Based on the phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation, for the extremely small sample size of participants with 4 phenotypes (often associated with T2 inflammation) (n ¼ 4), this group was excluded from the statistical analysis (Tables E4E6). There were statistically significant differences in AQLQ scores (6.29 [5.52, 6.67] vs 6.00 [5.49, 6.34] vs 5.59 [5.22, 6.40], P ¼ .040) among participants with different numbers of phenotypes, but we did not find any significant difference in other clinical outcomes including ACQ scores, severe exacerbations in the past 12 months, pre-FEV1% predicted, and preFEV1/FVC among participants with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation. We further analyzed the linear trend of clinical outcomes in participants with an overlap of phenotypes and found a decreasing linear trend of AQLQ scores with the number of phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation was significantly associated with ACQ scores (rs ¼ 0.15, P ¼ .046) and AQLQ scores (rs ¼0.19, P ¼ .012)

(Table E6).

The clinical outcomes in participants with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation and non-T2 inflammation were also analyzed. First, when we excluded the patients with 6 phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and nonT2 inflammation for a limited

sample size (n ½ 2), there were statistically significant differences in FEV1% predicted (73.23 21.39 vs 65.64 18.98 vs 59.66 21.38 vs 58.17 18.29%, P ½ .001) and FEV1/FVC (64.20 12.84 vs 61.05 11.84 vs 54.96 14.35 vs 56.24 9.15%, P < .001) among participants with different numbers of phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation (Table E7). Furthermore, a linear trend of FEV1% predicted (P for trend ½ .006) and FEV1/ FVC (P for trend ½ .011) with the number of phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation was shown (Table E8 and Figure E1, D and E). It was found that, in the patients with phenotypes often associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation, non-T2 POS defined by the number of phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation was negatively correlated with pre-FEV1% predicted (rs $\frac{10}{2}$, P < .001) and FEV1/FVC (rs $\frac{10}{2}$, P < .001) (Table E9).

Second, in patients with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation, there were statistically significant differences in FEV1% predicted (77.04 17.42 vs 66.92 18.00 vs 52.94 16.04%, P ¼ .005) and FEV1/FVC (67.37 9.65 vs 60.79 9.60 vs 55.13 8.94%, P ¼ .006) across the different numbers of phenotypes (Table E10). Although severe exacerbations in the past 12 months in patients with 3 phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation were markedly increased compared with those in patients with 1 or 2 phenotypes (1.38 1.30 vs 0.57 0.95 vs 0.70 1.64), they did not reach a statistically significant difference (P ¼ .064). Moreover, a linear trend in FEV1% predicted (P for trend ¼ .001) and FEV1/FVC (P for trend ¼ .003) with the number of phenotypes associated with non-T2 inflammation was shown (Table E11). In addition, it was found that non-T2 POS defined by the number of phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation was negatively correlated with pre-FEV1% predicted (rs ¼0.382, P ¼ .004) and FEV1/FVC (rs ¼0.407, P ¼ .002) (Table E12).

Clinical and inflammatory outcomes grouped by biomarker-determined T2 and non-T2 inflammation

The T2 asthma group had the worse asthma control level (ACQ scores, 0.83 [0.17, 1.67] vs 0.50 [0.00, 1.25], P $\frac{1}{4}$.004), worse asthma quality of life (AQLQ scores, 5.81 [5.24, 6.34] vs 6.02 [5.35, 6.47], P $\frac{1}{4}$.002), and greater T2 inflammation, including higher blood eosinophil (0.32 [0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] 109/L, P < .001), sputum eosinophil (0.32 [0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.32 [0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.32, 0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.31), serum total IgE (0.32 [0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.4, 2.17] pg/mL, P $\frac{1}{4}$.031), serum total IgE (0.32 [0.19, 0.51] vs 0.11 [0.08, 0.17] $\frac{1}{2}$ (0.19, 0.51] pg/mL, P < .001) and FeNO (36.00 [18.00, 56.00] vs 18.00 [13.50, 24.50] ppb, P < .001) levels compared with the non-T2 asthma group. However, the non-T2 asthma group had higher sputum neutrophil (43.00 [19.25, 76.50] vs 31.50 [13.00, 64.80] %, P $\frac{1}{4}$.038), IL-8 (2027.50 [1101.00, 3649.25] vs 1108.00 [579.67, 2105.00] pg/mL, P < .001), and TNF-a (14.43 [7.05, 32.52] vs 8.10 [3.26, 23.20] pg/mL, P $\frac{1}{4}$.012) levels compared with the T2 asthma group. No statistically significant difference was found in spirometry (FEV1% predicted or FEV1/FVC), exacerbations in the past 12 months, and cytokine levels (IFN-g, IL-13, IL-17A, IL-1b) between the 2 groups.

Subgroup analysis when excluding participants with ACO

We analyzed the differences of characteristics between patients with ACO (n ¼ 55) and only asthma (n ¼ 467). Compared with the asthma group, the ACO group were older (61.30 [49.94, 69.91] vs 44.33 [34.71, 54.96] years, P < .001), late-onset (47.00 [35.00, 64.00] vs 32.00 [19.00, 44.00] years, P < .001), had a lower proportion of women (1.8% vs 71.3%, P < .001), more severe asthma exacerbations in the past 12 months (50.9% vs 30.8%, P ¼ .003),

worse airway obstruction (FEV1% predicted, 53.27 [38.24, 69.40] vs 77.30 [62.34, 90.14] %, P < .001; FEV1/FVC, 51.30 11.72 vs 67.71 12.35%, P < .001), a lower proportion of patients with atopy (43.6% vs 61.5%, P ¼ .011) and rhinitis (29.1% vs 56.4%, P < .001). And these participants had greater neutrophilic inflammation with significantly increased blood neutrophils (3.73 [2.93, 4.98] vs 3.44 [2.73, 4.33] 109/L, P ¼ .044) and higher proportion of sputum neutrophils (56.50 [31.75, 89.38] vs 36.63 [15.00, 66.50] %, P ¼ .008) (Table E14). After excluding patients with ACO, we further analyzed phenotypic overlap of the 10 common asthma phenotypes (Figure E2), the characteristics of patients with asthma with phenotypes often associated with different inflammation (Table E15), and correlations of T2 or non-T2 POS with clinical and inflammatory profiles (Tables E16 and E17). We found that these results were roughly similar to the previous results that patients with ACO were included (Tables II-IV). However, the multivariable negative binomial regression analysis indicated that T2 POS (b ¼0.184, 95% confidence interval ¼ [0.371, 0.003], P ¼ .054) was not statistically associated with the frequency of asthma severe exacerbations in the past 12 months, which would be explained by the reduced sample size for excluding patients with ACO.

TABLE E1. Characteristics of study participants Variables Characteristics 522 n Age (y), median (Q1, Q3) 45.45 (35.91, 57.58) Female, n (%) 334 (64.0) BMI (kg/m2), median (Q1, Q3)22.87 (20.90, 25.05) Smoking history (n), current/ex/never smoker 52/82/388 Smoking* (pack-years), median (Q1, Q3) 18.02 (5.60, 32.00) Atopy, n (%) 311 (59.6) Asthma duration (y), median (Q1, Q3) 7.14 (2.97, 20.43) Age of onset (y), median (Q1, Q3) 34.00 (20.00, 46.00) Asthma (family history), n (%) 173 (33.1) 0.67 (0.17, 1.50) ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.88 (5.27, 6.38) Asthma-related medication 200.00 (0.00, 400.00) ICS (BDP equivalent) dose (mg/d), median (Q1, Q3) ICS, n (%) 302 (57.9) OCS, n (%) 17 (3.3) Reliever medication, n (%) 60 (11.5) Leukotriene modifier, n (%) 178 (34.1) Theophylline, n (%) 85 (16.3) Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/severe 263/165/94 Comorbidities 279 (53.4) Rhinitis, n (%) Bronchiectasis, n (%) 24 (4.6) 4 (0.8) Sleep apnea, n (%) GERD, n (%) 26 (5.0) Anaphylaxis, n (%) 148 (28.4) Cardiovascular diseases, n (%) 43 (8.2) Diabetes, n (%) 14 (2.7)

Exacerbations in the past 12 mo 0.62 1.38 Frequency of severe exacerbations⁺ Severe exacerbation, n (%) 172 (33.0)

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; OCS, oral corticosteroid; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

*Never smokers are excluded from the analysis of pack-years.

⁺Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". TABLE E2. Characteristics of different asthma phenotypes in patients grouped by biomarkerdefined T2 and non-T2 inflammation

PhenotypesT2 asthmaNon-T2 asthmac2P valueN310177eeAllergic asthma, n (%) 220 (71.0)91 (51.4) $18.669 < .001$ Early-onset asthma, n (%)55 (17.7)31 (17.5) 0.004 .949Elderly asthma, n (%) 27 (8.7)44 (24.9) $23.594 < .001$ Elderly asthma, n (%) 27 (8.7)44 (24.9) $23.594 < .001$ Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">Colspan="4">P valueAllergic asthma, n (%)21 (51.4) $18.669 < .001$.949Elderly asthma, n (%) 27 (8.7)44 (24.9) $23.594 < .001$ Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspa										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Phen	otypes	T2 asthma	Non-T2	asthm	а	c2	P value		
Early-onset asthma, n (%) $55 (17.7)$ $31 (17.5)$ 0.004 .949Elderly asthma, n (%) $27 (8.7)$ $44 (24.9)$ $23.594 <.001$ Eosinophilic asthma, n (%) $192 (61.9)$ $24 (13.6)$ 106.833 <.001	Ν		310	177		е		e		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Aller	Allergic asthma, n (%) 220 (71.0) 91					18.669	<.001		
Elderly asthma, n (%) 27 (8.7) $44 (24.9)$ $23.594 <.001$ Eosinophilic asthma, n (%) $192 (61.9)$ $24 (13.6)$ 106.833 <.001	Early	-onset as	thma, n (%)		55 (17.	7)		31 (17.5)		0.004
Eosinophilic asthma, n (%) 192 (61.9) 24 (13.6) 106.833 <.001		.949								
 <.001 Obese asthma, n (%) 30 (9.7) 16 (9.0) 0.054 .817 Occupational asthma, n (%) 49 (15.8) 30 (16.9) 0.108 .742 Smoking asthma, n (%) 81 (26.1) 53 (29.9) 0.822 .365 Aspirin-sensitive asthma, n (%) 4 (1.3) 4 (2.3) e .470* Asthma with fixed airflow limitation, n (%) 83 (26.8) 83 (46.9) 20.297 <.001 Neuropsychological asthma, n (%) 40 (12.9) 23 (13.0) 	Elder	ly asthm	a, n (%) 27 (8.7	7)	44 (24.	9)	23.594	<.001		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eosir	nophilic a	sthma <i>,</i> n (%)		192 (63	1.9)		24 (13.6)		106.833
Occupational asthma, n (%) 49 (15.8) 30 (16.9) 0.108 .742 Smoking asthma, n (%) 81 (26.1) 53 (29.9) 0.822 .365 Aspirin-sensitive asthma, n (%) 4 (1.3) 4 (2.3) e .470* .470* .40 (12.9) 23 (13.0)			<.001							
.742 Smoking asthma, n (%) 81 (26.1) 53 (29.9) 0.822 .365 Aspirin-sensitive asthma, n (%) 4 (1.3) 4 (2.3) e .470* Asthma with fixed airflow limitation, n (%) 83 (26.8) 83 (46.9) 20.297 <.001 Neuropsychological asthma, n (%) 40 (12.9) 23 (13.0)	Obes	e asthma	a, n (%) 30 (9.7	7)	16 (9.0)	0.054	.817		
Smoking asthma, n (%) 81 (26.1) 53 (29.9) 0.822 .365 Aspirin-sensitive asthma, n (%) 4 (1.3) 4 (2.3) e .470* .470* .20.297 <.001	Occu	pational	asthma, n (%)		49 (15.	8)		30 (16.9)		0.108
Aspirin-sensitive asthma, n (%) 4 (1.3) 4 (2.3) e .470* 4 (2.3) e 20.297 < .001		.742								
.470* Asthma with fixed airflow limitation, n (%) 83 (26.8) 83 (46.9) 20.297 <.001 Neuropsychological asthma, n (%) 40 (12.9) 23 (13.0)	Smol	king asthr	ma, n (%)	81 (26.	1)	53 (29.	9)	0.822 .365		
Asthma with fixed airflow limitation, n (%) 83 (26.8) 83 (46.9) 20.297 <.001	Aspir	in-sensiti	ive asthma, n (%)		4 (1.3)		4 (2.3)	e	
Neuropsychological asthma, n (%) 40 (12.9) 23 (13.0)		.470*								
	Asth	ma with f	ixed airflow lin	nitation,	n (%)	83 (26.	8)	83 (46.9)	20.297	<.001
0.001 .977	Neur	opsychol	ogical asthma,	n (%)		40 (12.	9)	23 (13	.0)	
		0.001	.977							

*Fisher's exact probabilities.

TABLE E3. Sputum cytokines in patients with asthma with phenotypes often associated with T2, non-T2, and mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation Sputum cytokines Phenotypes associated with non-T2 Phenotypes associated with T2 Phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2 F/c2/H P value IFN-g (pg/mL) 0.54 (0.43, 0.58) 0.55 (0.48, 0.70) 0.55 (0.46, 1.220 .298† 0.66) IL-13 (pg/mL)2.65 (2.20, 3.39) 3.04 (1.96, 5.20) 2.74 (2.16, 4.70) 0.666 .777z IL-17A (pg/mL)3.11 (1.79, 4.27) 2.21 (1.60, 3.29) 2.38 (1.70, 3.37) .324† 1.135 IL-1b (pg/mL) 15.20 (8.64, 72.34) 16.20 (6.85, 38.58) 19.45 (7.34, 44.05) 0.773 .679z IL-5 (pg/mL) 0.92 (0.74, 1.91) 1.55 (0.96, 2.75) 1.49 (0.94, 5.413 3.82) .067z IL-8 (pg/mL)2218.00 (1094.00, 3491.00) 1150.00 (583.29, 2218.00)* 1406.00 (813.98, 3491.00) .013z 8.759

TNF-a (pg/mL)	12.94 (5.07, 3	6.69)	8.64 (3.50 <i>,</i> 21.64)	12.59
(4.85, 26.71)	0.536	.586†		

Phenotypes associated with non-T2, phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2, phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation.

*Compared with phenotypes associated with the non-T2 group with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction.

⁺Data are transformed to satisfy a normal distribution.

zKruskal-Wallis test.

Ρ

Ζ

TABLE E4. Clinical outcomes in participants with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation

Clinical outcomes	One	Two	Three	Four	H/F	value		
n 81		74		26		4	е	е
ACQ scores, mediar	(Q1, Q3) 0.33 (0	0.00, 0.9	92)	0.50 (0).17 <i>,</i> 1.00)	0.59 (0	0.00 <i>,</i> 1.67)
1.00 (0.71, 2	.30)	3.983	.137x					
AQLQ scores media	n (Q1, Q3	3)		6.29 (5	5.52, 6.0	57)†	6.00 (5.49 <i>,</i> 6.34)
5.59	(5.22 <i>,</i> 6.4	40)		5.45 (4	4.58, 6.3	38)	6.419	
.040x								
Severe exacerbation	ns in the	past 12	mo*	0.42 ().88	0.22 0.56	0.31 ().55
0.25 0.50	2.987	.225x						
Pre-FEV1% predicte	d, media	n (Q1, (Q3)		84.87	(71.10, 95.64)		83.34
(73.01, 92.22)	86.19	(71.34,	94.17)		76.86	(65.22, 92.65)		0.338
.713								
Pre-FEV1/FVC%, me	dian (Q1	, Q3)	74.29	(67.92,	81.67)	71.57 (67.11,	80.97)	73.06
(65.85, 81.58) 66.6	5 (60.53 <i>,</i>	77.01)	0.420	.658				

ACQ, Asthma control questionnaire; AQLQ, asthma quality of life questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". †Compared with the group of 3 phenotypes with P < .005 based on Bonferroni correction. zThis group was excluded from the statistical analysis. xKruskal-Wallis test.

TABLE E5. Linear trend analysis of clinical outcomes in participants with phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation

Clinical outcomes	F	P for trend			
ACQ scores	2.101	.149			
AQLQ scores 6.407	.012				
Severe exacerbations	in the	past 12 mo		0.479	.490
Pre-FEV1% predicted	0.008	.929			
Pre-FEV1/FVC%		0.454	.501		

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

TABLE E6. Correlations of clinical outcomes with the number of phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation

No. of phenotypes (T2 POS)										
Clinical outcomes	rs	Р	value							
ACQ scores	0.149	.0	046							
AQLQ scores 0.186		.012								
Severe exacerbations	in the p	ast 12 mo	о		0.071	.343				
Pre-FEV1% predicted	0.034	.6	552							
Pre-FEV1/FVC%		0.062	.4	107						

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; POS, phenotype overlap scores; rs, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

TABLE E7. Clinical outcomes in participants with phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation

Clinical outco	nes	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	H/F	value		
n	75		95		63		13		2	е
	е									
ACQ scores, n	nedian (Q1, Q3) 1.00 (0).33, 1.5	50)	0.83 (0).17, 1.5	50)	1.33 (0	0.33 <i>,</i> 2.33)
0.83 (0).42, 1.8	33)	е	7.080	.069k					
AQLQ scores i	median	(Q1, Q3	3)		6.00 (5	5.10, 6.4	40)		5.88 (5.25 <i>,</i> 6.38)
	5.56 (4	1.64 <i>,</i> 6.0	D6)		5.50 (4	1.91, 6.3	35)		е	
5.760		.124k								
Severe exacer	bations	in the	past 12	mo*	0.97 1	96	0.75 1	.63	0.68	1.34
1.08 1	26	е	2.987	.394k						
Pre-FEV1% pr	edicted		73.23	21.39		65.64	18.98		59.66	21.38†
58.17	18.29		е		5.815		.001			
Pre-FEV1/FVC	%	64.20	12.84	61.05	11.84	54.96	14.35+	z 56.24	9.15	е
6.568	<.001									
х	Р									

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". †Compared with the group with 2 phenotypes with P < .005 based on Bonferroni correction. zCompared with the group with 3 phenotypes with P < .005 based on Bonferroni correction. xThe group was excluded from the statistical analysis. kKruskal-Wallis test.

TABLE E8. Linear trend analysis of clinical outcomes in participants with an overlap of phenotypes (associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation)

1 /1 \					,
Clinical outcomes	F	P for trend			
ACQ scores	0.541	.463			
AQLQ scores 0.176	.675				
Severe exacerbations	in the l	past 12 mo		0.026	.871
Pre-FEV1% predicted	7.537	.006			
Pre-FEV1/FVC%		6.612	.011		

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

TABLE E9. Correlations of clinical outcomes and the number of phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation

Clinical outcomes No. of phenotypes (T2 POS or non-T2 POS) T2 POS

rs	P value		Non-T	2 POS					
			rs	P value	e				
ACQ scores	0).040		.536		0.062		.329	
AQLQ scores	0.125	.050		0.001	.982				
Severe exace	bations in	n the past 12	mo		0.045			.479	
0.044	.4	495							
Pre-FEV1% pr	edicted 0).019	.769		0.319	<.001			
Pre-FEV1/FVC	:%	0.050			.435		0.328		<.001

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; POS, phenotype overlap scores; rs, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

TABLE E10. Clinical outcomes in participants with phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation

Clinical outcomes	One	Two	Three	H/F	value			
n 23		23		8		е		e
ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 0.67 (0	0.00, 1.1	L7)	0.83 (0	0.17, 1.3	33)	1.08 (0.70, 1.71)
1.951 .377z								
AQLQ scores	5.97 (0.61		5.65	0.65		5.97 0	.62
1.711	.191							
Severe exacerbations	in the	past 12	mo*	0.57	0.95	0.70 1	64	1.38 1.30
5.503 .064z								
Pre-FEV1% predicted		77.04	17.42†		66.92	18.00		52.94 16.04
5.961	.005							
Pre-FEV1/FVC%	67.37	9.65†	60.79	9.60	55.13	8.94	5.740	.006
Р								

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". †Compared with the group with 3 phenotypes with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction. zKruskal-Wallis test.

Severe exacerbations in	the past 12 mo		2.196	.145
Pre-FEV1% predicted 1	1.266 .001			
Pre-FEV1/FVC%	9.777	.003		

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

TABLE E12. Correlations of clinical outcomes with the number of phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation

No. of phenotypes (non-T2 POS)										
Clinical outcom	nes	rs		P value	9					
ACQ scores		0.189		.172						
AQLQ scores	0.104		.452							
Severe exacert	bations	in the p	bast 12	mo		0.166		.229		
Pre-FEV1% pre	dicted	0.382		.004						
Pre-FEV1/FVC%	%		0.407		.002					

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; POS, phenotype overlap scores; rs, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

TABLE E13. Clinical and inflammatory outcomes in patients with asthma grouped by biomarker-defined T2 and non-T2 inflammation

Non-T2 asthma t/c2/U P value Variables T2 asthma Asthma-related questionnaires 0.83 (0.17, 1.67) 0.50 (0.00, 1.25) 2.916 .004† ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.81 (5.24, 6.34) 6.02 (5.35, 6.47) 2.329 .020† Exacerbations in the past 12 mo Frequency of severe exacerbations* 0.65 1.48 0.55 1.08 0.317 .751† Severe exacerbation, n (%) 104 (33.5%) 75.29 (60.21, 88.31) 57 (32.2%)

69.86 (53.87, 85.07) 0.092

1.893 .762 .058⁺ Spirometry Pre-FEV1% predicted, median (Q1, Q3) Pre-FEV1/FVC%, median (Q1, Q3) 65.85 12.95 64.56 13.93 1.244 .214⁺ Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.32 (0.19, 0.51) 0.11 (0.08, 0.17) 12.719 <.001† Neutrophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 3.43 (2.66, 4.34) 3.53 (2.87, 4.52) 1.225 .221z IgE (IU/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 226.50 (117.27, 482.50) 49.39 (24.53, 87.21) 12.345 <.001† Sputum Eosinophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) 1.25 (0.00, 8.63) 0.00 (0.00, 0.50) 6.724 <.001⁺ 31.50 (13.00, 64.80) 43.00 (19.25, 76.50) 2.074 Neutrophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) .038† IFN-g (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 1.85 (1.49, 2.30) 1.74 (1.51, 2.00) 1.669 .097z IL-13 (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 3.04 (2.13, 5.20) 2.72 (1.99, 3.85) 1.410 .160z IL-17A (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 2.43 (1.62, 3.53) 2.44 (1.65, 3.14) .875† 0.158 IL-1b (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 16.01 (6.08, 53.34) 19.20 (9.51, 42.80) 1.263 .207† IL-5 (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 1.56 (0.96, 4.16) 1.16 (0.84, 2.17) 2.153 .031† IL-8 (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 1108.00 (579.67, 2105.00) 2027.50 (1101.00, 4.216 <.001⁺ 3649.25) TNF-a (pg/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 8.10 (3.26, 23.20) 14.43 (7.05, 32.52) 2.506 .012† FeNO (ppb), median (Q1, Q3) 36.00 (18.00, 56.00) 18.00 (13.50, 24.50) 8.221 <.001⁺ ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide. *Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". [†]Mann-Whitney test. zData are transformed to satisfy a normal distribution. TABLE E14. Characteristics of patients with asthma-COPD overlap and asthma only Asthma-COPD overlap Variables Asthma only t/c2/U P value 467 n 55 e e Age (y), median (Q1, Q3) 61.30 (49.94, 69.91) 44.33 (34.71,54.96) 7.190 <.001+ Female, n (%) 1 (1.8) 333 (71.3) 103.102 <.001 BMI (kg/m2), median (Q1, Q3) 23.23 (21.96, 25.84) 22.79 (20.86, 24.87) 1.379 .168† Smoking history (n), current/ex/never smoker 27/28/0 25/54/388 188.677 <.001 6.488 .011 Atopy, n (%) 24 (43.6) 287 (61.5) Asthma duration (y), median (Q1, Q3) 7.81 (2.97, 21.97) 6.91 (2.97, 20.10) 0.092 .927† Age of onset (y), median (Q1, Q3) 47.00 (35.00, 64.00) 32.00 (19.00, 44.00) 5.634 <.001† Asthma family history, n (%) 23 (42.6) 150 (33.0) 1.993

.158

ICS (BDP equivalent) dose (mg/d), median (Q1, Q3) 400.00 (0.00, 400.00) 200.00 (0.00, 400.00) 0.300 .764+ ICS, n (%) 29 (52.7) 273 (58.5) 0.663 .416 1.908 .056† ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 1.00 (0.33, 1.67) 0.67 (0.00, 1.50) AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.73 (5.40, 6.38) 5.91 (5.25, 6.38) 0.356 .715† Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/severe)27/16/12 16 (29.1) 236/149/82 263 (56.4) 0.639 14.790.726 <.001 Comorbidities Rhinitis, n (%) Bronchiectasis, n (%) 4 (7.3) 20 (4.3) е .497z Sleep apnea, n (%) 0(0.0) 4(0.9) e 1.000z GERD, n (%) 2 (3.6) 24 (5.2) 1.000z е 5 (9.1) 143 (30.7) Anaphylaxis, n (%) 11.281.001 Cardiovascular diseases, n (%) 36 (7.7) 7 (12.7) е .198z Diabetes, n (%) 4 (7.3) 0.78 1.08 10 (2.1) 0.60 1.41 е 2.710 .050z .007† Exacerbations in the past 12 mo Frequency of severe exacerbations* Severe exacerbation, n (%) 28 (50.9) 144 (30.8) 8.975 .003 Spirometry Pre-FEV1 (L) 1.64 0.60 2.18 0.78 6.119 <.001 Pre-FEV1% predicted, median (Q1, Q3) 53.27 (38.24, 69.40) 77.30 (62.34, 90.14) 5.123 <.001x Pre-FEV1/FVC% 51.30 11.72 67.71 12.35 9.364 <.001 Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.15 (0.09, 0.30) 0.23 (0.12, 0.40) 2.916 .004† Neutrophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 3.73 (2.93, 4.98) 3.44 (2.73, 4.33) 2.020 .044x Lymphocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 1.58 (1.35, 2.29) 1.71 (1.42, 2.06) 0.430 .667†

Monocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.43 (0.34, 0.59) 0.33 (0.26, 0.43) 5.149 <.001x Basophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) 0.881 .378† IgE (IU/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 53.87 (36.20, 179.70) 0.25 (0.00, 1.00) 138.00 (46.77, 306.02) 0.25 (0.00, 3.00) 2.656 0.804 .008+ .421† Sputum Eosinophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) Neutrophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) 56.50 (31.75, 89.38) 36.63 (15.00, 66.50) 2.646 .008† Lymphocytes (%), median (Q1, Q3) 0.50 (0.25, 0.75) 0.50 (0.25, 1.31) 1.233 .217† Macrophages (%), median (Q1, Q3) 42.75 (8.88, 67.06) 53.00 (20.69, 77.81) 1.442 .149† FeNO (ppb), median (Q1, Q3) 24.00 (13.50, 45.50) 37.00 (21.00, 70.00) 2.610 .009⁺ ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; Q1,

first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". *Mann-Whitney test.

zFisher's exact probabilities. xData are transformed to satisfy a normal distribution.

TABLE E15. Characteristics of patients with asthma with phenotypes often associated with T2, non-T2, and mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation excluding patients with asthma-COPD overlap

Variables	Phenotypes associated with non-T2					Phenotypes associated with T2		
	Phenotypes a	ssociated with	T2 and	non-T2	F/c2/H	l P valu	е	
n	40	185	207		е		е	
Age (y), medi	an (Q1 <i>,</i> Q3)	62.49 (49.97,	70.59)		39.58	(30.84,	46.94)†	
46.32	(37.87, 59.41)†	z 73.74	9 <.001x	ſ				
Female, n (%)	28 (70	.0)	156 (8	4.3)		119 (5	7.5)z	
33.593	3 <.001							
BMI (kg/m2),	median (Q1, Q	3) 22.73	(20.74,	26.50)		22.37	(20.47,	24.17)
23.27	(21.26, 25.89)z	12.888 .002x						
Smoking histo	ory (n), current,	/ex/never smo	ker		5/5/30	C	0/0/18	35†
20/49	/138z	76.510	<.001					
Atopy, n (%)	0 (0.0)	141 (76.2)†		146 (7	0.5)†	88.667	7 <.001	
Asthma durat	ion (y), mediar	i (Q1, Q3)		5.91 (3	8.40 <i>,</i> 13	.70)		5.90 (2.58,
18.58)	8.92 (3.32, 26	.62)	4.117		.128x			

Age of onset (y), median (Q1, Q3) 51.00 (39.25, 63.50) 29.00 (13.50, 40.00)+ 34.00 (19.00, 44.00)+z 44.944 <.001x Asthma family history, n (%) 14 (36.8) 54 (29.8) 74 (36.6) 2.156 .340 ICS (BDP equivalent) dose (mg/d), median (Q1, Q3) 100.00 (0.00, 400.00) 400.00 200 (0.00, 400.00) (0.00, 400.00)0.646 .724x ICS, n (%) 21 (52.5) 109 (58.9) 120 (58.0) 0.557 .757 ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 0.83 (0.00, 1.29) 0.33 (0.00, 1.09) 1.00 (0.17, 1.67)z 17.953 <.001x AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.89 (5.29, 6.33) 6.03 (5.44, 6.52) 5.76 (4.84, 6.31)z 10.431 .005x Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/severe 20/16/4 10 (25.0) 95/57/33 122 (65.9)† 103/66/38 113 (54.9)† 2.316 23.115 .678 <.001 Comorbidities Rhinitis, n (%) Bronchiectasis, n (%) 0 (0.0) 2 (1.1) 15 (7.3)z 11.701 .003 Sleep apnea, n (%) 0 (0.0) 2 (1.1) 1(0.5) e .705k GERD, n (%) 0 (0.0) 8 (4.3) 14 (6.8) 3.601 .165 Anaphylaxis, n (%) 62 (33.5) 8 (20.0) 64 (31.1) 2.803 .246 Cardiovascular diseases, n (%) 8 (20.0) 7 (3.8)† 18 (8.7) 12.885 .002 Diabetes, n (%) 1 (2.5) 0.68 1.40 0 (0.0)0.32 0.72 8 (3.9)z 0.83 1.74z е 12.264 .014k .002x Exacerbations in the past 12 mo

Frequency of severe exacerbations*

Severe exacerbation, n (%) 14 (35.0) 42 (22.7) 77 (37.2)z 10.000 .007 Spirometry Pre-FEV1 (L), median (Q1, Q3) 1.63 (1.34, 2.05) 2.39 (1.92, 2.83)+ 1.91 (1.36, 2.52)z 43.864 <.001x Pre-FEV1% predicted 73.26 19.06 82.12 16.51+ 68.68 20.21z 48.150 <.001x Pre-FEV1/FVC% 64.89 10.08 73.06 10.48† 62.33 12.23z 44.728 <.001 Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.11 (0.07, 0.17) 0.29 (0.15, 0.47)+ 0.25 (0.13, 0.42)+ 41.901 <.001x Neutrophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 3.40 (2.75, 5.04) 3.21 (2.62, 4.20) 3.57 (2.83, 4.38) 1.845 .159{ Lymphocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 1.65 (1.36, 1.97) 1.73 (1.41, 2.00) 1.71 (1.45, 2.11) 0.700 .497{ Monocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.37 (0.25, 0.47) 0.31 (0.26, 0.41) 0.35 (0.27, 0.44) 2.830 .060{ Basophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) 0.04 (0.02, 0.05) 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) .499x 1.388 IgE (IU/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 37.23 (13.96, 112.45) 0.00 (0.00, 0.25) 177.00 (69.74, 353.61)+ 0.75 (0.00, 6.67)† 152.69 (65.90, 367.82)+ 0.25 (0.00, 3.97) 30.307 10.140 <.001x .006x Sputum Eosinophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) Neutrophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) 57.25 (39.25, 86.00) 26.00 (11.24, 63.25)† 35.00 (15.81, 67.44) 6.859 .032x Lymphocytes (%), median (Q1, Q3) 0.50 (0.25, 1.75) 0.75 (0.25, 1.50) 0.50 (0.00, 1.25) 2.001 .368 Macrophages (%), median (Q1, Q3) 42.50 (8.00, 60.00) 60.00 (26.75, 50.50 (17.44, 75.25) 3.747 80.63) .154 FeNO (ppb), median (Q1, Q3) 17.50 (13.00, 38.50) 40.00 (25.00, 81.00)+ 39.00 (22.00, 70.75)+ 21.831 <.001x

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

Phenotypes associated with non-T2, phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2, phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". †Compared with phenotypes associated with the non-T2 group with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction.

zCompared with phenotypes associated with the T2 group with P < .017 based on Bonferroni correction. xKruskal-Wallis test. kFisher's exact probabilities. {Data are transformed to satisfy a normal distribution.

TABLE E16. Correlations of T2 or non-T2 POS representing cumulativeconcomitantphenotypeswithclinical and inflammatory characteristics in asthma whenexcluding asthmaCOPD overlap

T2 POS Non-T2 POS Variables rs P valuers P value Asthma-related questionnaires 0.069 .135 0.199 <.001 ACQ scores 0.106 AQLQ scores .022 0.157 .001 Spirometry Pre-FEV1% predicted 0.065 .159 0.378 <.001 Pre-FEV1/FVC% 0.045 .337 0.447 <.001 .006 Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L) 0.470 <.001 0.126 Neutrophils (109/L) 0.009 .854 0.084 .069 IgE (IU/mL) 0.388 <.001 <.001 0.058 0.085 .208 .162 0.331 Sputum Eosinophils (%) Neutrophils (%) 0.188 .002 0.090 .139 IFN-g (pg/mL) 0.087 .247 0.057 .445 IL-13 (pg/mL) 0.036 .633 0.007 .924 IL-17A (pg/mL)0.101 .179 0.044 .554 IL-1b (pg/mL)0.105 .162 0.044 .563 0.016 .830 IL-5 (pg/mL) 0.088 .242 IL-8 (pg/mL)0.204 .006 0.123 .101 TNF-a (pg/mL) 0.170 .023 0.082 .274

FeNO (ppb) 0.278	<.001	0.108	.028
------------------	-------	-------	------

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; POS, phenotype overlap scores; rs, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient.

TABLE E17. Variables associated with the frequency of severe exacerbations in the past 12months using negative binomial regression models when excluding asthma-COPD overlapIndependent variablesUnivariable models

Regression coefficient (b) [95% CI]				P valu	e Multiv	/ariable	model			
		Adjus	ted regi	ression	coeffici	ent (ab)	[95% C]		Ρ
value										
T2 POS	0.164 [0.332	, 0.004]		.055		0.184	[0.371,	0.003]		
.054										
Non-T2 POS	0.376 [0.188	, 0.564]		<.001	0.368	[0.158,	0.578]		.001	
ICS (BDP equi	valent) dose		0.000	[0.000,	0.001]		.001		0.000	
[0.000, 0.001]	.008									
Sex 0.114	[0.446, 0.219]		.504	0.340	[0.716,	0.037]		.077		
Asthma famil	y history	0.281	[0.610,	0.048]		.094		0.176	[0.532,	
0.180]	.333									
Asthma durat	ion 0.003	[0.008,	0.013]		.623	0.003	[0.009,	0.014]		
.665										
Bronchiectasi	s 1.342	[0.785,	1.899]		<.001		1.308	[0.714,	1.901]	
<.001										

BDP, Beclomethasone dipropionate; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; POS, phenotype overlap scores. Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at The University of Sydney from ClinicalKey.com.au by Elsevier on March 25, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

TABLE E18. Characteristics of patients with most common overlapping phenotypes in all participants Most common overlapping phenotypes Allergic eosinophilic asthma Allergic early-onset asthma Allergic eosinophilic early-onset asthma Allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation Allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma Allergic neuropsychological asthma Allergic eosinophilic asthma with fixed airflow limitation 43 15 14 13 13 12 n 11 Age (y) 39.16 10.07 32.55 9.14 33.68 10.06 51.84 8.17 44.15 11.85 36.41 11.12 51.06 8.48 36 (83.7) 13 (86.7) 12 Female, n (%) 11 (78.6) (92.3) 0 (0.0) 9 (75.0) 7 (63.6) BMI (kg/m2) 22.20 2.45 21.68 2.62 22.74 2.27 22.80 2.26 22.49 2.59 21.82 2.74 22.49 1.59

Smoking history (n), current/ex/never smoker 0/0/43 0/0/15 0/0/14 0/0/13 2/11/0 0/0/12 0/0/11 Atopy, n (%) 43 (100.0) 15 (100.0) 14 (100.0) 13 (100.0) 13 (100.0) 12 (100.0) 11 (100.0) Asthma duration (y), median (Q1, Q3) 4.15 (1.68, 10.12) 28.27 28.73 (16.90, 39.90) (18.76, 33.15)17.08 (9.01, 26.84) 3.76 (1.33, 2.81 (1.06, 5.79) 6.00 (3.35, 15.04) 5.10) Age of onset (y) 32.56 10.63 5.67 3.11 5.29 2.34 34.08 11.36 38.62 12.37 32.25 12.94 40.82 13.77 Asthma family history, n (%) 9 (20.9) 6 (40.0) 6 (42.9) 5 (38.5) 3 (23.1) 4 (33.3) 5 (45.5) ICS (BDP equivalent) dose (mg/d), median (Q1, Q3) 0.00 (0.00, 400.00) 0.00 (0.00, 400.00) 0.00 (0.00, 400.00) 400.00 0.00 (0.00, 400.00) 300.00 (0.00, 400.00) (200.00, 1000.00)400.00 (0.00, 400.00) 9 (60.0) 20 (46.5) 7 (50.0) 11 ICS, n (%) (84.6) 6 (46.2) 8 (66.7) 8 (72.7) ACQ scores, median 0.50 (0.17, 1.00) 0.17 (0.17, 0.50) 0.59 (0.25, 1.30) (Q1, Q3) 0.67 (0.09, 1.84) 0.83 (0.50, 1.33) 0.92 (0.00, 1.46) 1.17 (0.33, 2.00) AQLQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 5.97 (5.58, 6.34) 6.06 (5.71, 6.61) 5.58 (5.26, 5.88) 6.10 (5.10, 6.25) 5.87 (5.29, 6.46) 5.45 (5.14, 6.49) 6.19 (5.25, 6.45) Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/severe 28/11/4 8/4/3 0.14 0.35 е 9/4/1 0.36 0.50 2/6/5 0.38 0.51 10/2/1 1.54 3.23 5/7/0 0.92 1.44 3/6/2 0.36 0.81 Exacerbations in the past 12 mo Frequency of severe exacerbations*

Severe exacerbation, n

(%) 6 (14.0) 0 (0.0) 5 (35.7) 5 (38.5) 7 (53.8) 6 (50.0) 2 (18.2) Spirometry Pre-FEV1 (L), median 2.26 (1.94, 2.69) (Q1, Q3) 2.64 (1.95, 3.03) 2.57 (1.84, 2.77) 1.53 (1.29, 1.91) 3.27 (1.94, 3.68) 2.41 (1.93, 3.08) 1.67 (1.26, 2.02) Pre-FEV1% predicted 79.06 19.41 81.57 13.44 78.83 13.30 65.53 16.86 78.03 19.05 85.07 18.93 62.89 11.59 Pre-FEV1/FVC% 71.32 10.36 71.56 10.51 72.79 10.91 57.77 9.57 66.07 11.01 74.52 10.79 57.11 5.38 Peripheral blood Eosinophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.45 (0.34, 0.68) 0.11 (0.08, 0.17) 0.41 (0.33, 0.55) 0.50 (0.38, 0.59) 0.11 (0.08, 0.18) 0.12 (0.07, 0.22) 0.42 (0.33, 0.62) Neutrophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 3.19 (2.60, 4.51) 3.12 (2.13, 3.55) 3.09 (2.68, 4.35) 3.37 (2.34, 5.58) 3.51 (2.72, 5.55) 3.39 (2.68, 4.19) 4.12 (3.39, 4.95) (continued) TABLE E18. (Continued) Most common overlapping phenotypes Allergic eosinophilic asthma Allergic early-onset asthma Allergic eosinophilic early-onset asthma Allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation Allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma Allergic neuropsychological asthma Allergic eosinophilic asthma with fixed airflow limitation Lymphocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 1.93 (1.41, 2.19) 1.80 (1.33, 2.01 (1.83, 2.26) 1.93) 1.43 (0.99, 1.72) 1.72 (1.61, 2.39) 1.65 (1.43, 1.71) 1.87 (1.62, 2.14) Monocytes (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.32 (0.25, 0.43) 0.27 (0.22, 0.33) 0.32 (0.30, 0.36) 0.29 (0.22, 0.38) 0.49 (0.29, 0.61) 0.29 (0.24, 0.36) 0.37 (0.30, 0.62) Basophils (109/L), median (Q1, Q3) 0.05 (0.03, 0.06) 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) 0.05 (0.04, 0.06) 0.02 (0.02, 0.04) 0.04 (0.03, 0.07) 0.03 (0.02, 0.03) 0.04 (0.03, 0.09) IgE (IU/mL), median 234.76 (148.00, (Q1, Q3) 608.04) 3.00 (0.88, 8.04) 167.00 (95.72, 339.45) 0.00 (0.00, 0.25) 398.48 (137.25, 2034.81) 10.50 (2.13, 19.38) 179.55 (72.61,

613.30)										
0.00 (0.00, 0.2 413.41)	25)	207.13	(159.5)	0,						
69.50 (4.25, 7 240.02)	8.00)	125.10	(103.7	8,						
0.00 (0.00, 0.6	53)	463.45	(227.0	0, 625.0	06)					
0.50 (0.00, 36 Sputum	.50)									
Eosinophils (%	5), median (Q1,	Q3)								
Neutrophils (%	6), median (Q1	, Q3)		24.50	(10.24,	71.88)		18.88 (7.63,	
	17.50 (11.25,	•			•	89.38)		16.00 (
	17.75 (5.25, 5				(20.50,				,	
, Lymphocytes	(%), median (Q	, 1, Q3)	0.50 (0	.25, 1.3	36)	,	0.63 (0).00 <i>,</i> 1.6	3)	
, , , 1.75 (C	0.63, 2.63)	1.75 (0	.50, 3.1	.3)	,	0.00 (0	.00, 0.C		,	
).63, 1.50)	-	0.25 (0	-		·	,	,		
•	(%), median (C		•			80.25)		80.00 (16.75,	
	61.25 (50.13,				•	70.38)		24.00 (6.00,	
42.50)	81.50 (40.88,	93.88)		6.00 (0).75 <i>,</i> 73	.00)				
FeNO (ppb), n	nedian									
(Q1 <i>,</i> Q3)	65.00 (33.50,	104.50)		36.00	(24.75,	51.25)		68.50 (43.75,	
147.50)	35.00 (20.75,	43.75)		95.00	(35.50,	165.50)		40.50 (17.50,	
94.50)	44.00 (31.50,	56.75)								
Phenotypes as	ssociated with	non-T2,	n (%)		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)					
Phenotypes as	ssociated with	T2 <i>,</i> n (%)	43 (10	0.0)		15 (10	0.0)		14
(100.0)0(0.0)			0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)					
	ssociated with					• •		0 (0.0)		0
(0.0)	13 (100.0)		13 (10	0.0)		12 (10	0.0)		11 (10	0.0)

613.30

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

Phenotypes associated with non-T2, phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2, phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0". Most common overlapping phenotypes Smoking asthma with fixed airflow

Elderly smoking asthma with fixed airflow Allergic eosinophilic asthma

Allergic asthma with fixed airflow limitation Allergic eosinophilic smoking asthma Ν 8 8 43 13 13 39.16 10.07 Age (y) 58.20 7.09 71.57 2.33 51.84 8.17 44.15 11.85 Female, n (%) 1 (12.5) 1 (12.5) 36 (83.7) 12 0 (0.0) (92.3) BMI (kg/m2) 21.49 3.11 23.33 2.79 22.20 2.45 22.80 2.26 22.49 2.59 Smoking history (n), current/ex/never smoker 5/3/0 3/5/0 0/0/43 0/0/13 2/11/0 Atopy, n (%) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 43 (100.0) 13 (100.0) 13 (100.0)Asthma duration (y), median (Q1, Q3) 35.32 (6.18, 6.06 (2.45, 19.49) 11.90) 4.15 (1.68, 10.12) 17.08 (9.01, 26.84) 3.76 (1.33, 5.10) Age of onset (y), median (Q1, Q3) 42.50 (25.75, 66.00 (51.50, 69.50) 34.00 (25.00, 57.50) 40.00) 37.00 (24.50, 37.00 (31.00, 49.50) 40.50) Asthma family history, n (%) 4 (50.0) 5 (62.5) 9 (20.9) 5 (38.5) 3 (23.1) ICS (BDP equivalent) dose (mg/d), median (Q1, Q3) 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) 400.00 (100.00, 1000.00)0.00 (0.00, 400.00 (200.00, 400.00) 0.00 (0.00, 400.00) 1000.00) ICS, n (%) 2 (25.0) 7 (87.5) 20 (46.5) 11 6 (46.2) (84.6) ACQ scores, median (Q1, Q3) 0.50 (0.08, 1.25) 1.08 (0.70, 1.71) 0.50 (0.17, 1.00) 0.83 (0.50, 1.33) 0.67 (0.09, 1.84) 5.60 0.61 5.88 0.65 AQLQ scores 5.97 0.62 5.76 0.92 5.82 0.73 Asthma severity (n), mild/moderate/ severe 7/1/0 0.25 0.46 2/3/3 1.38 1.30 28/11/4 0.14 0.35 2/6/5 0.38 0.51 10/2/1 1.54 3.23

Exacerbations in the past 12 mo

Frequency of severe exacerbations*

Severe exacerbation, n (%) 5 (38.5)	2 (25.0 7 (53.8	•	6 (75.0)	6 (14.0)
Spirometry	·	,		
Pre-FEV1 (L), median (Q1, Q	2)	1.83 (1.55, 2.1	2)	1.32 (1.17, 1.81)
• • • •	•	•	•	• • •
2.26 (1.94, 2.		1.53 (1.29, 1.9	•	3.27 (1.94, 3.68)
Pre-FEV1% predicted 60.01		52.94 16.04	79.06	19.41
65.53 16.86	78.03 19.05			
Pre-FEV1/FVC%	56.84 9.22	55.13	8.94	71.32 10.36
57.77 9.57	66.07 11.01			
Peripheral blood				
Eosinophils (109/L), median				
	0.06, 0.17)	0 11 /0	04 0 10)	
		•	0.04, 0.18)	0.45 (0.34,
0.68) 0.11 (0.08, 0.		0.41 (0.33, 0.5	5)	
Neutrophils (109/L), median				
(Q1, Q3) 4.60 (3.25, 6.	95)	3.54 (3.13 <i>,</i> 4.1	.2)	3.19 (2.60, 4.51)
3.37 (2.34, 5.	58)	3.51 (2.72, 5.5	5)	
Lymphocytes (109/L), media	in	-	-	
	1.41, 2.61)	1 75 (1	43, 2.83)	1.93 (1.41,
2.19) 1.43 (0.99, 1.		1.72 (1.61, 2.3		1.55 (1.11)
		•	•	
TABLE E19. Characteristics o				
patients with phenotypes of	ten associated	with T2, non-T2	2, and mixed T	2 and non-T2
(continued)				
TABLE E19. (Continued)				
Group Phenotypes associate	ed with non-T2	T2 Pheno	types associat	ed with T2 and non-
Τ2			-,	
Most common overlapping	abanatypas	Smoking asthr	na with fixed a	airflow
		-		
Elderly smoking asth			-	ophilic asthma
Allergic asthma with	fixed airflow lir	nitation	Allergic eosin	ophilic smoking
asthma				
Monocytes				
(109/L), median (Q1, Q3)	0.40 (0.35, 0.7	72)	0.39 (0.31, 0.	69)
0.32 (0.25, 0.43)).22, 0.38)	0.49 (0.29, 0.61)
Basophils (109/L), median (C	•			,
Q3) 0.03 (0.02, 0.1		0.03 (0.02, 0.0	121	0.05 (0.03, 0.06)
				0.03 (0.03, 0.00)
0.02 (0.02, 0.		0.04 (0.03, 0.0)/)	
lgE (IU/mL), median (Q1, Q3) 37.20 (28.45,			
118.92)				
0.13 (0.00, 0.81)	44.34 (21.43,	53.32)		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
0.00 (0.00, 0.44)	234.76 (148.0	0.		
608.04)	20 1.7 0 (1-0.0	~,		
000.04)				
3.00 (0.88, 8.04)	179.55 (72.61	,		

613.30)

0.00 (0.00, 0.25) 207.13 (159.50, 413.41) 69.50 (4.25, 78.00) Sputum Eosinophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) Neutrophils (%), median (Q1, Q3) 76.00 (45.81, 96.06) 73.19 (29.44, 91.69) 24.50 (10.24, 37.25 (27.25, 71.88) 89.38) 16.00 (6.50, 53.25) Lymphocytes (%), median (Q1, Q3) 0.25 (0.19,0.56) 0.50 (0.19, 1.56) 0.50 (0.25, 1.36) 1.75 (0.50, 3.13) 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Macrophages (%), median (Q1, Q3) 22.50 (3.56, 53.56) 25.32 (7.44, 69.88) 63.75 (23.00, 59.25 (10.00, 80.25) 24.00 (6.00, 42.50) 70.38) FeNO (ppb), median (Q1, Q3) 14.00 (5.00, 26.00) 20.00 (14.00, 31.00) 65.00 (33.50, 104.50) 35.00 (20.75, 95.00 (35.50, 165.50) 43.75) Phenotypes associated with non-T2, n (%) 8 (100.0) 8 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)Phenotypes associated with T2, n (%) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 43 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) Phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, n (%) 0 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) (0.0) 13 (100.0) 13 (100.0)

ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; BDP, beclomethasone dipropionate; BMI, body mass index; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile.

Phenotypes associated with non-T2, phenotypes often associated with non-T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2, phenotypes often associated with T2 inflammation; phenotypes associated with T2 and non-T2, phenotypes associated with mixed T2 and non-T2 inflammation.

*Shown as mean standard deviation because the median of severe exacerbations was "0".

J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL PRACT HAN ET AL 362.e17 VOLUME 9, NUMBER 1

FIGURE E1. Linear trend of clinical outcomes in participants with overlapping phenotypes. (A) ACQ scores; (B) AQLQ scores; (C) severe exacerbations in the past 12 months; (D) pre-

FEV1% predicted; (E) pre-FEV1/FVC. The error bars represent the mean standard error of the mean. ACQ, Asthma Control Questionnaire; AQLQ, Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

FIGURE E2. UpSetR plot of phenotypic overlap of the 10 common asthma phenotypes excluding patients with asthma-COPD overlap. UpSetR visualizes intersections of 10 sets (asthma phenotypes) as a matrix in which the rows represent the different phenotypes and the columns represent their intersections. For each phenotype that is part of a given intersection, a black-colored dot is placed in the corresponding matrix cell. If a phenotype is not part of the intersection, a light grayecolored dot is shown. A vertical black line connects the topmost black dot with the bottommost black dot in each column to emphasize the overlapping relationships. A second bar chart showing the size of each phenotype is shown to the left of the matrix. COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

REFERENCES

E1. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, Bush A, Castro M, Sterk PJ, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. Eur Respir J 2014;43:343-73.

E2. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention 2019. Available from: https://ginasthma.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/ 06/GINA-2019-main-report-June-2019-wms.pdf. Accessed April 20, 2019.

E3. Wang G, Wang F, Gibson PG, Guo M, Zhang WJ, Gao P, et al. Severe and uncontrolled asthma in China: a cross-sectional survey from the Australasian Severe Asthma Network. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:1333-44.

E4. Zheng J, Zhang X, Zhang L, Zhang HP, Wang L, Wang G. Interactive effects between obesity and atopy on inflammation: a pilot study for asthma phenotypic overlap. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2016;117:716-7.

E5. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002;52:69-77. J ALLERGY CLIN IMMUNOL PRACT JANUARY 2021

E6. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, Boulet LP, Boushey HA, Busse WW, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 180:59-99.

E7. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J 2005;26:319-38.

E8. Wang G, Baines KJ, Fu JJ, Wood LG, Simpson JL, McDonald VM, et al.

Sputum mast cell subtypes relate to eosinophilia and corticosteroid response in asthma. Eur Respir J 2016;47:1123-33.

E9. Manise M, Holtappels G, Van Crombruggen K, Schleich F, Bachert C, Louis R. Sputum IgE and cytokines in asthma: relationship with sputum cellular profile. PLoS One 2013;8:e58388. E10. Pedersen F, Holz O, Lauer G, Quintini G, Kiwull-Schone H, Kirsten AM, et al. Multianalyte profiling of inflammatory mediators in COPD sputum—the effects of processing. Cytokine 2015;71:401-4.