

**COMmunication with Families
regarding ORgan and Tissue
Donation after Death in Intensive
Care.**

by Julie Elizabeth Potter

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Prof Lin Perry, Dr Rosalind Elliott
and Assoc Prof Michelle Kelly

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Health

January 2021

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Julie Potter declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Nursing and Midwifery/Faculty of Health at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Name: Julie Potter

Date: 19 January 2021

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank several people for their help and guidance through my candidature. My principal supervisor Professor Lin Perry whom I met at a PhD information night at UTS in August 2012, and who has been supportive and inspirational throughout, encouraging me to enjoy the PhD and manage my attention to detail so the PhD could be finished. To my co-supervisor Dr Rosalind Elliott, a friend and inspirational mentor; and to Assoc Prof Michelle Kelly, who was always available for advice about simulation and educational pedagogy. I thank them for remaining patient, keeping me motivated and for ensuring that I strove to achieve the highest standards.

To my friends Jann Tyler and Zena Boakes who helped me to maintain balance and perspective outside of work and study. To Zena Boakes for being my training “buddy” while we prepared for and completed our first marathon in 2016. To my colleague, Claire Sawford, for her unwavering support and encouragement during the editing process.

This project was conducted in partnership with New South Wales Organ and Tissue Donation Service. Thank you to Dr Robert Herkes (past State Medical Director), A/Prof Michael O’Leary (Co-State Medical Director), Dr Elena Cavazzoni (Co-State Medical Director), Juliana Celcer (Clinical Manager) and Danielle Fisher (Manager) for their support. In addition, Leigh McKay (Education Coordinator) for advice and support with Simulation Workshops and NSW Organ and Tissue Donation Service processes, and Alison Barnwell (Donor Family Support Coordinator) for invaluable help with family interviews and advice on grief and bereavement. I would also like to acknowledge the contribution of the site investigators to the COMFORT study. Also, David Gow (University of Queensland) for advice on quantitative analysis.

Finally, I would also like to thank my family, particularly my daughter, Emily Potter and my mother, Pam Potter, for time lost to them over the candidature. Working full-time while studying has always been a balancing act, and my family has always been there for me with their love, support and encouragement.

Statement Indicating the Format of the Thesis

This thesis is formatted by compilation. It is formatted as a single manuscript that is compiled from published and publishable papers distributed through the thesis and presented in chapters.

Structure of the Thesis

This thesis is presented in eight chapters. Six chapters are a compilation of unpublished and published material. Of those, chapters 2 and 3 are presented in the form of peer reviewed journal articles (submitted and published, respectively). To meet journal requirements for manuscript submission, spelling includes British English (Chapter 2). References are included at the end of the thesis.

Chapter 1 presents contextual background information underpinning the research questions for this thesis and explains the significance of the work. This chapter contains material from the background section of the study protocol published in the journal *BMC Health Services Research* in 2017 (see Appendix 1).

Chapter 2 presents a systematically conducted integrative literature review of specialised communication skills training for critical care HCPs who deliver news of death or discuss withdrawal of life-sustaining treatments, and/or offer organ donation. Outcomes examined include changes to HCPs' communication skills and the effect on family consent rates for deceased organ donation. The search strategy and a typology of communication behaviours are provided in Appendix 2. This paper was submitted to the journal *Patient Education and Counseling* in July 2020 and accepted for publication on 16 March 2021.

Chapter 3 of this thesis is the accepted manuscript published in the journal *Progress in Transplantation* in 2017 (see Appendix 3). It described an innovative program using high-fidelity simulation for selected critical care healthcare professional (HCP) "designated requesters" to rehearse the family donation conversation. Published material contains the development and preliminary evaluation of the study intervention from the experiences and perspectives of HCP participants.

Chapter 4 sets out the study research methods with content expanded on the much briefer version published in the study protocol in the journal *BMC Health Services Research* in 2017 (see Appendix 1). Details have been supplemented by material published in the methods section of the paper reporting results of the primary cohort, published in the journal *Critical Care and Resuscitation* in 2018 (see Appendix

4). In this thesis, the term ‘primary cohort’ used in this paper will be referred to as the ‘unregistered subsample’: donor-eligible patients who had not previously registered their donation preferences on their NSW driver licence and/or the Australian Organ Donor Register, or who were aged 16 years or less.

Chapter 5 presents results for research questions one to three. It begins by describing the clinical settings, including staffing levels in the study ICUs and daily routines. For research questions one and three, reporting of the primary end point of the study is based on content of the publication in which these findings were reported. This paper was published in the journal *Critical Care and Resuscitation* in 2018 (see Appendix 4). For research question two, the care process secondary end points of the study, unpublished findings are presented.

Chapter 6 presents results for research questions four and five. It begins with the findings for research question four, describing the next of kin decision-makers’ reasons for their final organ donation decision at the hospital. Research question five describes abbreviated findings in relation to eligible next of kin follow up interviews at around 90 days after enrolment. It reports whether they regretted their final donation decision, either to consent or to decline donation.

Chapter 7 sets out the discussion of the main findings of the study according to the research questions. This chapter includes published material from the discussion section of the study protocol published in the journal *BMC Health Services Research* in 2017 (see Appendix 1). Regarding research question 1, this chapter contains material from the discussion section of the paper reporting results of the primary cohort, published in the journal *Critical Care and Resuscitation* in 2018 (see Appendix 4). The overall implications of the findings are situated within the Australian and international literature. This chapter concludes by describing the strengths and limitations of the project.

Chapter 8 discusses the implications of the project for practice, policy and future research, and concludes the thesis.

The appendices to this thesis include copies of lead Human Research Ethics Committee approvals, with ratification by the UTS Human Research Ethics Committee, participant information sheet and consent forms, case report forms (CRF), copies of publications, and copyright permissions.

Publications Included in the Thesis

<i>Paper #1</i>	
<i>Title:</i>	COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT): protocol for an intervention study.
<i>Authors:</i>	Potter J , Herkes R, Perry L, Elliott R, Aneman A, Brieva J, Cavazzoni E, Cheng A, O’Leary M, Seppelt I, and the COMFORT study investigators.
<i>Journal:</i>	<i>BMC Health Services Research</i> 2017;(1):42. doi: 10.1186/s12913-016-1964-7
<i>Status of publication:</i>	Published 17 Jan 2017.
<i>Unique contribution to knowledge</i>	This article describes the study protocol for the implementation and evaluation of a best practice family approach intervention for “designated requesters” leading the family donation conversation in the clinical setting of an intensive care unit. Material from this article appears in the thesis introduction Chapter 1, and in the methods Chapter 4.
<i>Paper # 2</i>	
<i>Title:</i>	Simulation-based communication skills training for experienced clinicians to improve family conversations about organ and tissue donation.
<i>Authors:</i>	Potter JE , Gatward JJ, Kelly MA, McKay L, McCann E, Elliott RM, Perry L.
<i>Journal:</i>	<i>Progress in Transplantation</i> 2017;27(4):339-345. doi: 10.1177/1526924817731881
<i>Status of publication:</i>	Published online 9 Nov 2017.
<i>Unique contribution to knowledge</i>	This article describes an innovative program using high-fidelity simulation for selected critical care healthcare professional ‘designated requesters’ to rehearse the family donation conversation. Material includes evaluation of the study intervention from the experiences and perspectives of participants. This article appears in the thesis development and evaluation of the intervention Chapter 3.
<i>Paper # 3</i>	
<i>Title:</i>	COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) intervention: a multicentre pre-post study.
<i>Authors:</i>	Potter J , Perry L, Elliott R, O’Leary M, Aneman A, Brieva J, Cavazzoni, E, Cheng A, Seppelt I, Herkes R and the COMFORT investigators.

<i>Journal:</i>	<i>Critical Care and Resuscitation</i> 2018;20(4):268-276
<i>Status of publication:</i>	Published 3 Dec 2018.
<i>Unique contribution to knowledge</i>	This article describes the effect of the study intervention on family consent rates for deceased organ donation in cases where the donor-eligible patient had not recorded their donation preference on a donation register or their driver licence. Material from this article appears in the thesis methods Chapter 4, primary end point results in Chapter 5, and the discussion Chapter 7.
Paper # 4	
<i>Title:</i>	Education and training methods for healthcare professionals to lead conversations concerning deceased organ donation: an integrative review.
<i>Authors:</i>	Potter JE, Elliott RM, Kelly MA, Perry L.
<i>Journal:</i>	<i>Patient Education and Counseling</i>
<i>Status of publication:</i>	Submitted 23 July 2020. Accepted for publication on 16 March 2021.
<i>Unique contribution to knowledge</i>	Systemically conducted integrative review on specialised communication skills training for critical care healthcare professionals who deliver news of death or discuss withdrawal of life-sustaining treatments, and/or offer organ donation in critical care settings. This article appears in the thesis literature review Chapter 2.

Journal permissions to reproduce the articles in the thesis are located in Appendix 14.

Statement of Contribution of Authors

Paper 1 COMMunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT): protocol for an intervention study. *BMC Health Services Research* 2017;(1):42. doi: 10.1186/s12913-016-1964-7.

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	JULIE POTTER	LEAD AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; project administration (lead); resources; visualisation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.
<i>Principal</i>	LIN PERRY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; project administration (supporting); supervision (lead); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	ROSALIND ELLIOTT	JOINT AUTHOR. Supervision (supporting); methodology; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (1):</i>	ROBERT HERKES	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; project administration (Chair); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (2):</i>	ANDERS ANEMAN	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (3):</i>	JORGE BRIEVA	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (4):</i>	ELENA CAVAZZONI	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (supporting); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (5):</i>	ANDREW CHENG	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (6):</i>	MICHAEL O’LEARY	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (Chair); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (7):</i>	IAN SEPPELT	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; project administration (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (8):</i>	VAL GEBSKI	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; data curation; formal analysis; visualisation; writing – review and editing.

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Principal</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 15/10/2020</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 19/11/2020</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>	<i>See below-signed as author (3)</i>	<i>(Date) 24/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 14/12/2020</i>
<i>Other author (6):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (7):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 14/12/2020</i>
<i>Other author (8):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 26/10/2020</i>

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		(Date)
<i>Principal</i>		(Date)
<i>Co supervisor</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21 Oct 2020
<i>Other author (1):</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (2):</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (3):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 24/10/2020
<i>Other author (4):</i>		(Date)

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		(Date)
<i>Principal</i>		(Date)
<i>Co supervisor</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (1):</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (2):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21/10/20
<i>Other author (3):</i>		(Date)

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	19/11/20
<i>Other author (4):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date)</i> 14/12/2020
<i>Other author (6):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (6):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (7):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date)</i> 14.12.2020

Paper 1: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (6):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (7):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (8): Val GebSKI</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date)</i> 26/10/20

Paper 2 Simulation-based communication skills training for experienced clinicians to improve family conversations about organ and tissue donation. *Progress in Transplantation* 2017;27(4):339-345. doi: 10.1177/1526924817731881.

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	JULIE POTTER	LEAD AUTHOR. Data curation; formal analysis; resources; visualisation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.
<i>Principal</i>	LIN PERRY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; formal analysis; validation; supervision (lead); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	ROSALIND ELLIOTT	JOINT AUTHOR. Data curation; formal analysis; validation; resources; supervision (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	MICHELLE KELLY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; resources; supervision (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (1):</i>	JONATHAN GATWARD	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; investigation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (2):</i>	LEIGH MCKAY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; investigation; project administration; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (3):</i>	ELLIE MCCANN	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; writing – review and editing.

Paper 2: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21/10/2020
<i>Principal</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 15/10/2020
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	See below	(Date) 21 Oct 2020
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	See below	(Date) 21 Oct 2020
<i>Other author (1):</i>	See below	(Date) 22/10/2020
<i>Other author (2):</i>	See below	(Date) 26/10/2020
<i>Other author (3):</i>	See below	(Date) 26/10/2020

Paper 2: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		(Date)
<i>Principal</i>		(Date)
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21 Oct 2020
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21 October 2020
<i>Other author (1):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 22/10/20
<i>Other author (2):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 26/10/2020
<i>Other author (3):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 26/10/2020

Paper 3 COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) intervention: a multicentre pre-post study. *Critical Care and Resuscitation* 2018;20(4):268-276.

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	JULIE POTTER	LEAD AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; investigation; data curation; formal analysis; project administration (lead); resources; visualisation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.
<i>Principal</i>	LIN PERRY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; project administration (supporting); supervision (lead); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	ROSALIND ELLIOTT	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); resources; data curation; formal analysis; supervision (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (1):</i>	ANDERS ANEMAN	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (2):</i>	JORGE BRIEVA	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (3):</i>	ELENA CAVAZZONI	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (4):</i>	ANDREW CHENG	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (5):</i>	MICHAEL O’LEARY	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (Chair); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (6):</i>	IAN SEPPELT	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; investigation; project administration (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Other author (7):</i>	ROBERT HERKES	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; investigation; project administration (Chair); funding; resources; visualisation; writing – review and editing.

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Principal</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 15/10/2020</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 22/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 14/12/2020</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>
<i>Other author (6):</i>	<i>See below</i>	<i>(Date) 14/12/2020</i>
<i>Other author (7):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date) 21/10/2020</i>

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		(Date)
<i>Principal</i>		(Date)
<i>Co supervisor</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21 Oct 2020
<i>Other author (1):</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (2):</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (3):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 22 Oct 2020
<i>Other author (4):</i>		(Date)

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		(Date)
<i>Principal</i>		(Date)
<i>Co supervisor</i>		(Date)
<i>Other author (1):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21/10/20
<i>Other author (2):</i>		(Date)

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	21/10/20
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date)</i> 14/12/2020
<i>Other author (5):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>

Paper 3: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Principal</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Co supervisor</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (1):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (2):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (3):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (4):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (5):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>
<i>Other author (6):</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	<i>(Date)</i> 18.12.20
<i>Other author (7):</i>		<i>(Date)</i>

Paper 4 Education and training methods for healthcare professionals to lead conversations concerning deceased organ donation: an integrative review. *Patient Education and Counseling* (submitted 23 July 2020, accepted for publication on 16 March 2021; doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2021.03.019).

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	JULIE POTTER	LEAD AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; investigation; validation; data curation; formal analysis; visualisation; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing.
<i>Principal</i>	LIN PERRY	JOINT AUTHOR. Conceptualisation; methodology; validation; formal analysis; supervision (lead); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	ROSALIND ELLIOTT	JOINT AUTHOR. Methodology; validation; formal analysis; supervision (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.
<i>Co-supervisor:</i>	MICHELLE KELLY	JOINT AUTHOR. Validation; formal analysis; supervision (supporting); visualisation; writing – review and editing.

Paper 4: Signatures

<i>Graduate Research Student</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 2/11/2020
<i>Principal</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 15/10/2020
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 21/10/2020
<i>Co supervisor:</i>	Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.	(Date) 13/11/2020

Conference Presentations Related to This Thesis

Potter J, Perry L, Elliott R, O’Leary M, Aneman A, Brieva J, Cheng A, Seppelt I Herkes R and the COMFORT investigators. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) intervention: a multicentre pre-post study. The Prince of Wales Hospital 10th Nursing Research and Practice Development Symposium, Sydney, May 2018.

Potter J, O’Leary M, Elliott R, Perry L, Aneman A, Brieva J, Cheng A, Seppelt I, Herkes R and the COMFORT investigators. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) intervention: a multicentre pre-post study. The Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society (ANZICS)/Australian Confederation of Critical Care Nurses (ACCCN) 42nd Annual Scientific Meeting on Intensive Care, Gold Coast, October 2017.

Potter J, Perry L, Elliott R, Kelly M, McKay, L. Evaluation of an innovative simulation workshop in communication skills to lead the family donation conversation. The 12th Congress of the World Federation of Critical Care Nurses, Brisbane, April 2016. Connect The World of Critical Care Nursing 2016;10(2):54.

Potter J, Perry L, Elliott R, Kelly M, O’Leary M. Training methods for health professionals to lead conversations concerning deceased organ donation: literature review. The ANZICS/ACCCN 39th Annual Scientific Meeting on Intensive Care, Melbourne, October 2014.

Potter J, Perry L, Elliott R, O’Leary M. Training methods for health professionals to lead conversations concerning deceased organ donation: literature review. NSW Organ and Tissue Donation Service Forum, Sydney, September 2014.

Potter J, Perry L, Reed C, Herkes R, COMFORT study management committee. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) study. The Prince of Wales Hospital Nursing Symposium, Sydney, May 2014.

Potter J, COMFORT study management committee. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) study. Transplant Nurses Association National Conference, Sydney, October 2013.

Potter J, COMFORT study management committee. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) study.

The ANZICS CTG 15th Annual Meeting on Clinical Trials in Intensive Care, Noosa, March 2013.

Potter J, COMFORT study management committee. COMmunication with Families regarding ORgan and Tissue donation after death in intensive care (COMFORT) study. NSW Organ and Tissue Donation Service Forum, Sydney, November 2012.

Other Published Works Related to This Thesis

Potter J, O'Leary MJ. Obtaining consent for cadaveric organ donation in Australia. *Internal Medicine Journal* 2013;43(7):737-39. doi: 10.1111/imj.12191.

Table of Contents

<i>Certificate of Original Authorship</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>iii</i>
<i>Statement Indicating the Format of the Thesis</i>	<i>iv</i>
Structure of the Thesis	<i>iv</i>
<i>Publications Included in the Thesis</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Statement of Contribution of Authors</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>Conference Presentations Related to This Thesis</i>	<i>xxii</i>
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>xxiv</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xxviii</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xxix</i>
<i>List of Boxes</i>	<i>xxix</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>xxx</i>
<i>Glossary of Terms</i>	<i>xxxi</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>xxxiii</i>
<i>Chapter 1: Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
Defining Death and Consent	<i>2</i>
Difficult Conversations.....	<i>2</i>
Current Donation Request Processes - Family Meetings.....	<i>4</i>
Current Donation Request Processes - Specialist Requesters.....	<i>5</i>
Education to Prepare Requesters for Organ Donation Conversations	<i>6</i>
Specialised Communication Training	<i>7</i>
Significance	<i>7</i>
<i>Research Aims, Objectives and Questions</i>	<i>8</i>
Aims	<i>8</i>
Objectives	<i>8</i>
Research Questions	<i>9</i>
Hypothesis for Research Question One.	<i>9</i>
<i>Researcher's Position and Motivation</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Chapter 2: An Integrative Review of Training Methods for Healthcare Professionals to Lead Family Donation Conversations</i>	<i>12</i>
Chapter Introduction	<i>12</i>
<i>Background</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Methods</i>	<i>15</i>
Data Sources and Search Strategy	<i>15</i>

Eligibility Criteria	15
Study Selection.....	16
Data Extraction and Coding.....	16
Definitions.....	17
Quality Assessment	17
Data Analysis / Synthesis	18
Results	18
Study Characteristics	20
Quality Assessment	23
Participant Characteristics.....	23
Training Program Characteristics.....	26
Communication Skills.....	37
Research Question A: Healthcare Professionals' Communication Skills	37
Research Question B: Benefits to Patients (Family Consent for Organ Donation)	41
Discussion.....	42
Conclusion	46
Practice Implications	46
Chapter 3: Development and Evaluation of the Intervention.....	47
Chapter Introduction	47
Background.....	48
Methods.....	50
Design	50
Setting	50
Population	51
Ethical Considerations	51
Intervention.....	52
Procedures	53
Data Collection.....	57
Data Analysis	57
Results	59
Discussion.....	63
Conclusions	65
Chapter 4: Study Methods	66
Chapter Introduction	66
Study Research Questions.....	66
Methods.....	67

Research Design	67
Settings	69
Intervention.....	70
Participants.....	72
End Points.....	73
Study Outline	73
Evaluation: Data Collection and Management	76
Framework for Analysis	79
Monitoring.....	84
Ethical Considerations	84
Storage and Archiving of Study Documents	89
Chapter Conclusion	89
<i>Chapter 5: Results of the Primary and Care Process Secondary End Points</i>	90
Chapter Introduction	90
Study Research Questions.....	90
<i>Results</i>	91
Research Question 1: Senior Next of Kins' Donation Decision for the Unregistered Subsample	94
Research Question 2: Healthcare Professionals' Adherence to the Intervention..	99
Research Question 3: Prediction of the Senior Next of Kins' Donation Decision for the Unregistered Subsample	106
Chapter Conclusion	112
<i>Chapter 6: Results of Family Secondary End Point</i>	113
Chapter Introduction	113
Study Research Questions.....	113
Research Question 4: Senior Next of Kins' Decisions in Hospital	114
Research Question 5: Regret of Final Donation Decision at Around 90 Days	122
Does the Decision Endure?	127
Summary of Results.....	134
Chapter Conclusion	135
<i>Chapter 7: Discussion</i>	136
Chapter Introduction	136
Background.....	136
Research Question 1: Senior Next of Kin Donation Decisions for the Unregistered Subsample	139
Research Question 2: Healthcare Professionals' Adherence to the Intervention	142
Research Question 3: Predicting the Senior Next of Kins' Donation Decision	145
Research Question 4: Families' Reasons for Their Donation Decision.....	146

Research Question 5: Do Families' Decisions Endure?	150
Strengths and Limitations of the Study	152
Chapter 8: Conclusion	155
Chapter Introduction	155
Implications for Education, Clinical Practice and Policy	155
Recommendations:	158
Future Research	160
References	161
Appendices	175
Appendix 1: Published Manuscript – Study Protocol	175
Appendix 2: Submitted Manuscript – Review, Supplemental Tables	193
Appendix 3: Published Manuscript - Simulation-based Communication Skills Training	198
Appendix 4: Published Manuscript – Findings for the Unregistered Subsample	206
Appendix 5: Case Report Form 1 Site Details	215
Appendix 6: Interview Schedule (CRF 7)	217
Appendix 7: Cover Letter and Participant Information Sheet and Consent Form	220
Appendix 8: Lead HREC Approval Letters and UTS HREC Ratification	225
Appendix 9: Study Protocol	232
Appendix 10: Case Report Form Completion Guidelines	255
Appendix 11: NSW Master Poster and Fact Sheet	263
Appendix 12: NSW Referrals Data Dictionary and CRF	265
Appendix 13: Supplemental Tables	272
Appendix 14: Permissions to Reproduce Published Works	277

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Characteristics of included studies.....	21
Table 2.2 Methodological quality of the included studies based on MERSQI domain and item scores.....	24
Table 2.3 Training strategies used in each study	27
Table 2.4 Characteristics of the content, assessments and main findings.....	29
Table 2.5 Outcome categories based on a modified Kirkpatrick’s classification	39
Table 3.1 Characteristics of workshop evaluation respondents	59
Table 3.2 Categories of elements liked most in the simulation workshop.....	60
Table 3.3 Categories of elements liked least in the simulation workshop	62
Table 5.1 Characteristics of communication and organ donation practices.....	92
Table 5.2 Characteristics of the context and daily work routines of the study sites	93
Table 5.3 Workday availability of senior nurse and allied health staff	94
Table 5.4 Demographic characteristics of donor-eligible patients.....	96
Table 5.5 Death and organ donation characteristics of donor-eligible patients	97
Table 5.6 Timing of donation conversations and clinical characteristics for the unregistered subsample and full sample	98
Table 5.7 Association of donor-eligible patient characteristics with the donation decision, unregistered subsample.....	107
Table 5.8 Comparisons of donation conversation characteristics with the donation decision, unregistered subsample.....	108
Table 5.9 Association of intervention components 1 - 6 with the donation decision, unregistered subsample	110
Table 5.10 Association of patient, context and intervention characteristics with the donation decision (multivariate logistic regression analysis)	111
Table 6.1 SANOK's reasons for agreeing to organ donation provided at the hospital .	117
Table 6.2 SANOKs' reasons for declining organ donation provided at the hospital	119
Table 6.3 Characteristics of senior next of kin interviewees	124
Table 6.4 Donor registration and donation outcome of the deceased family member .	126

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Flow diagram of study identification, screening and inclusion	19
Figure 4.1 Flow chart of the study outline	74
Figure 4.2 The data collection timeline for the pre-intervention and intervention periods	75
Figure 5.1 Screening and enrolment of donor-eligible patients in the intervention period	95
Figure 5.2 Intervention component 1, HCP leading the FDC for the full sample	100
Figure 5.3 Intervention component 2, training completed when leading the FDC for the full sample	101
Figure 5.4 Intervention component 3, timing of news of death in relation to the FDC for the full sample	102
Figure 5.5 Intervention component 4, family offered donation before HCP at any time for the full sample	103
Figure 5.6 Intervention component 5, structured family meeting for the full sample ..	104
Figure 5.7 Intervention component 6, use of a balanced approach by HCP leading the FDC with the full sample	105
Figure 6.1 Designation of the senior available next of kin	114
Figure 6.2 Senior available next of kin decision at close of the first donation conversation	115
Figure 6.3 Screening and enrolment of senior next of kin for follow up	123

List of Boxes

Box 3.1 Workshop minimum personnel and resource requirements	54
Box 3.2 The debriefing process	56
Box 4.1 Components of the COMFORT intervention	70

Abbreviations

AODR, Australian Organ Donor Register;
A-V, Audio visual;
CPD, continuing professional development;
CRF, case report form;
CST, communication skills training;
DCD, donation (or donor) after circulatory death;
DBD, donation after brain death;
DR, Designated requester;
ED, emergency department;
EOL, end of life;
FDC, Family Donation Conversation;
HCP, healthcare professional;
ICU, Intensive care unit;
MERSQI, Medical Education Research Study Quality Instrument;
NDD, neurological determination of death;
NR, not reported or unclear;
NS, non-significant;
NSW, New South Wales;
NURSE, Name Understand Respect Support Explore;
OD, organ donation;
OPO, Organ Procurement Organisation;
OSCE, Observed Structured Clinical Examination;
PEP, Professional Education Package;
PICU, Paediatric Intensive Care Unit;
RMS, Roads and Maritime Services registry;
RN, registered nurse;
SANOK, Senior available next of kin;
SFM, standardised family member;
SPIKES, Setting Perception Invitation Knowledge Emotions Summary and Strategy;
SP, standardised participant;
UK, United Kingdom;
US, United States

Glossary of Terms

This section provides definition for terms and phrases used in this project.

<i>Term</i>	<i>Definition</i>
Brain death	<p>An historical expression for death determined by neurologic criteria where permanent absence of whole brain function has been shown on bedside clinical testing of brainstem reflexes and apnoea, or additional radiological imaging of brain perfusion if unable to perform clinical tests (ANZICS Death and Organ Donation Committee 2019; Kotloff et al. 2015).</p> <p>In this thesis the term ‘brain death’ will be used interchangeably with the more correct term of neurologic determination of death.</p>
Donation after circulatory death	<p>Donation or Donor after Circulatory Death (DCD) refers to Modified Maastricht Category III controlled DCD (cDCD) cases within the hospital, when withdrawal of life-sustaining therapy is planned and followed by expected circulatory arrest (Thuong et al. 2016). In Australia, circulatory determination of death requires 3 to 5 min absence of circulation (ANZICS Death and Organ Donation Committee 2019).</p>
Designated requester	<p>A healthcare professional such as an intensive care specialist doctor “intensivist”, experienced critical care nurse or social worker selected by their department, and who had completed or was completing mandatory national training (Professional Education Package, core and practical modules) and the New South Wales Simulation Training Workshop.</p>
Donor-eligible patient	<p>A patient considered to be a potential organ donor because of a devastating brain injury or lesion or a patient with circulatory failure, and apparently medically suitable for organ donation. Also, their clinical condition was suspected to fulfil neurologic criteria for death, or the cessation of circulatory and respiratory functions was anticipated to occur within a timeframe that would have enabled organ recovery. Termed a <i>donor-eligible patient</i> in this thesis.</p>
Healthcare professional	<p>A term including specialist intensive care doctors such as intensivists; trainee doctors such as registrars or residents; registered nurses, specialist critical care nurses, donation specialist nurses; and social workers.</p>
Managing intensivist	<p>Senior intensive care doctor/staff specialist or an advanced trainee (fellow) / senior registrar who had passed the Fellowship exam, responsible for the clinical management of the donor-eligible patient.</p>

<i>Term</i>	<i>Definition</i>
Medically suitable	<p>A potential organ donor was deemed medically suitable to donate one or more organs for transplantation if they did not meet medical exclusion criteria. These criteria included transmissible diseases such as HIV, recent or metastatic cancer other than primary cerebral cancer, and untreated systemic infection (donor/organ reasons). (Definitions from the national DonateLife Audit, the tool used in classifying hospital deaths retrospectively for reporting).</p> <p>Medical suitability exclusions were divided into “donor/organ” and “system” categories. Examples of system reasons include potential organ donors who were not anticipated to become brain dead and were not eligible for the circulatory death pathway either due to age or were anticipated to die outside a timeframe that would enable organ recovery; or lack of recovery teams; or of a suitable recipient(s) (Dominguez-Gil et al. 2011).</p>
Unregistered subsample	<p>The subsample including cases where the registers (NSW Roads and Maritime Services registry (driver licence) and the Australian Organ Donor Register) had been checked and no details had been found of an individual’s registered donation preferences, or when the registers were not accessed because individuals were aged 16 years or less.</p>

Abstract

Introduction: Demand for organs for transplantation exceeds supply; family consent rates for deceased organ donation could increase with improving communication skills of the healthcare professionals responsible for the family donation conversation.

Aim: To implement and trial a ‘best practice’ approach for offering deceased organ donation, to test whether the intervention increases the proportion of families providing consent; to examine families’ decision-making experiences and rates of decisional regret three months later.

Methods: A multicentre mixed methods study with a pre-post intervention component was performed in nine NSW intensive care units. Compared with pre-intervention controls, a prospective cohort of families of potential deceased organ donors were assigned to the “COMFORT” intervention. Families were offered bereavement aftercare and an interview 90 days later to provide their experiences.

The primary end point was the proportion of families consenting to organ donation in patients without registered donation preferences. Secondary end points were healthcare professionals’ adherence rates to the intervention, identification of predictors of the donation decision, and the proportion of families regretting their donation decision at 90 days. Descriptive statistics and logistic regression modelling were used to examine outcome data, with content analysis for free text responses.

Results: In total 417 patients were enrolled in the study. For patients without registered donation preferences consent was obtained in 87 of 164 (53%) cases during the intervention period compared to 14 of 25 (56%) cases pre-intervention ($p = .83$). The odds of obtaining consent during the intervention period relative to the pre-intervention period were 1.13, (95% CI, 0.48-2.63); $p = .78$.

Characteristics independently associated with family consent were identified: when families first mentioned organ donation (OR 4.34; 95% CI, 1.79-10.52; $p = .001$), presence of an independent designated requester (OR 3.84; 95% CI, 1.35-10.98; $p = .012$), the number of donation conversations per case (OR 3.35; 95% CI, 1.93-5.81; $p < .001$), and patients of non-Christian religion (OR 0.18; 95% CI, 0.04-0.91; $p = .038$). Interviewees overwhelmingly ($n = 127, 97%$) agreed their decision had endured at three months after enrolment.

Conclusion(s): Uptake of some components of the COMFORT intervention was incomplete, and while the intervention as a whole did not significantly increase the organ donation consent rate, some elements exerted significant effect. Further work is required to identify those best practice elements that are most important and supportive for families making donation decisions; to determine strategies that might improve uptake and adherence by managing teams.