

---

**IMPACTS OF GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION  
ON THE ECOPHYSIOLOGY OF SEVERAL  
AUSTRALIAN TREE SPECIES OF NSW**

---

**JOHN GALLEGO CARBONERAS**

Bachelor of Geological Engineering. Master of Hydrology

**Doctor of Philosophy - Science**

**Ph.D. by research**

**2021**

University of Technology Sydney

## ***CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP***

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

*Signature of Student:*

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

*JOHN GALLEGO CARBONERAS*

*Date: 13/12/2021*

## **Acknowledgments**

- “...que ya yo sé de experiencia que los montes crían letrados y las cabañas de los pastores encierran filósofos.” Book 1, Chapter L, El Quijote, 1605

*I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. James Cleverly and Professor Derek Eamus for giving me such a unique opportunity and guidance. Also, the rest of the team Dr. Rachel Nolan, Dr. Tonantzin Tarin and Dr. Rizwana Rumman for all the technical advice, assistance and good times together, and Professor Ken Rodgers and Professor Charles Cranfield for all their help and support.*

*I dedicate this work to my parents Natividad and Francisco, my siblings Francisco and Loreto and all my family in Albacete for being the most important and essential thing in my life. They are my roots that have given me all the support and energy along this journey, reminding me where I come from.*

*To my love David for all his unique caring, unconditional love, and being always there by my side. He is my stem and central pillar that has provided me with all the support and strength since the moment I met him.*

*To my very special friends in Sydney especially to Benjamin and Camino. They are all my branches and an important part of me that has provided me with unique and incredible moments.*

*To all my friends from Spain, China, and Australia. They are my leaves that have provided me with oxygen in my three incredible adventures.*

*Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge the financial and technical support of the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) and the Australian Research Council (ARC).*

## *Table of Contents*

<b>CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgments .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND SYMBOLS .....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER I.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>General Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
TREE RESPONSES TO A DECLINING WATER TABLE.....	3
SCOPE AND AIMS OF THE THESIS .....	10
<b>CHAPTER II.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Location and environmental conditions.....</b>	<b>14</b>
DESCRIPTION OF SITES .....	14
<b>CHAPTER III .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Variation in radial growth and productivity responses of <i>Angophora costata</i> due to a short-term induced gradient in depth-to-groundwater.....</b>	<b>22</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	22
METHODS.....	28
Weather and soil moisture measurements .....	28
Stem diameter variation measurements .....	30
Litter baskets.....	31
Statistical Analyses .....	32
RESULTS.....	33
DISCUSSION .....	55

CONCLUSIONS .....	58
<b>CHAPTER IV.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>Contrasting leaf water relations of Angophora and Eucalyptus trees along a short-term induced gradient of depth-to-groundwater .....</b>	<b>59</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	59
METHODS.....	63
Leaf gas exchange.....	63
Leaf water potential .....	64
Statistical Analyses .....	64
RESULTS.....	64
DISCUSSION .....	75
CONCLUSIONS .....	77
<b>CHAPTER V .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Comparison of WUE derived from <math>\delta^{13}\text{C}</math> of four Australian mesic tree species across a gradient of depth-to-groundwater .....</b>	<b>78</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	78
METHODS.....	85
$^{13}\text{C}$ Isotope analyses.....	85
Intrinsic water-use efficiency.....	86
Statistical Analyses .....	87
RESULTS.....	87
DISCUSSION .....	92
Carbon isotope discrimination ( $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ ).....	93
Leaf intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $\text{WUE}_i$ ).....	95
Transects in a natural gradient in depth-to-groundwater .....	96
CONCLUSIONS .....	97
<b>CHAPTER VI.....</b>	<b>98</b>

<b>General discussion.....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>132</b>

## **TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1: Impacts on GDEs due to groundwater abstraction. The values 15, 25, 40, 50,.....	6
Figure 1.2: Diagram of plant responses due to changes in DGW (Eamus, 2009). .....	8
Figure 1.3: Impacts on GDEs due to groundwater abstraction (Adapted from Eamus, 2006). .....	10
Figure 2.1: Locations of study sites. Panel (a) shows a map of New South Wales, Australia, indicating the two general locations of the three study sites inside the Great Lake Coastal area. Panel (b) shows the Tomago and Tomaree study sites and panel c) shows the location of the Nabitac study site ( <a href="http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/">http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/</a> and modified from Serov <i>et al.</i> (2012)). .....	15
Figure 2.2: Fluctuation of depth-to-groundwater at Tomago and Nabitac during 2018. The vertical red dashed line represents the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. Horizontal blue dashed lines represent the depth of soil moisture sensors (-0.1 m and -0.5 m).....	18
Figure 2. 3: Historical average fluctuation of depth-to-groundwater at Tomago from May 2000 to May 2018 .....	18
Figure 2. 4: Average monthly rainfall, and maximum and minimum temperatures during the last 20 years at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabitac (lower figure). Data from Bureau of Meteorology (BoM). .....	20
Figure 3.1: Stem cross-section of a tree. ....	24
Figure 3.2: Variation in stem diameter (SD) during two days in Dec 2017 obtained from one of the dendrometers installed at Tomago site. DR represents daily recovery and DG daily growth. ....	24
Figure 3.3: Variation in stem diameter (SD) during two weeks in January 2018 obtained from one of the dendrometers installed at Tomago site. The light blue areas show the growing periods. ....	27
Figure 3.4: Meteorological stations at the Tomago and Nabitac sites. ....	29
Figure 3.5: Soil moisture sensors in the ground at depths of 10 cm and 50 cm. ....	30

Figure 3.6: Automated pressure-transducer-based point dendrometers on two different trees. ....	31
Figure 3.7: One of the litter baskets placed at Tomago. ....	32
Figure 3.8: Mean monthly total litterfall collected ( $\text{Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ month}^{-1}$ ) from April to November 2018 as <i>Eucalyptus</i> leaves, other leaves, branches, bark, fruits, and unidentified materials (others). Litter baskets were placed at the center and the four cardinal points ( $n=5$ ) at each plot ( $n=3$ ) at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabiac (lower figure). DGW at Nabiac was constant during all the study time, within 1.5 and 2 m in depth. However, at Tomago DGW varied naturally within 2 and 3 m until July, when the GW extraction started. Since then, DGW at plot 3 varied within 2 and 2.6 m depth, and at plot 1 within 2.7 and 4.2 m depth. ....	34
Figure 3.9: The relationship between the mean monthly total litterfall ( $\text{Mg ha}^{-1}$ , $\pm$ s.e.) and depth-to-groundwater (DGW, m) at three different sites. Closed and open figures represent non-growing season (May-September 2018) and growing season respectively (April and October 2018) respectively. Brown symbols are the Nabiac site (0.5 - 1.9 m DGW); black are Tomago plot 3 (2.2 – 2.6 m); grey symbols are Tomago plot 1 (3.1 – 4.2 m). ....	35
Figure 3.10: Difference of monthly total litterfall at Tomago (left) and Nabiac (right), between plots 1 and 2 (near and intermediate locations from the bore) with plot 3 (far distance from the bore). The vertical red dashed line represents the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. ....	36
Figure 3. 11: Tomago meteorological measurements over the study period November 2017–November 2018. From top to bottom: Average daily air temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), average daily vapour pressure deficit (VPD, kPa), and daily rainfall (mm). ....	37
Figure 3. 12: Nabiac meteorological measurements over the study period August 2017–November 2018. From top to bottom: Average daily air temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), daily vapour pressure deficit VPD (kPa), and daily rainfall (mm). ....	38
Figure 3. 13: Total monthly rainfall at Tomago (upper figure) and at Nabiac (lower figure) during the study period compared with their respective long-term average rainfall. ....	39
Figure 3. 14: Mean relative humidity and air temperature at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabiac (lower figure). ....	40



Figure 3. 15: Mean soil water content and soil temperature at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac (lower figure). .....	42
Figure 3.16: Monthly % of total annual growth-induced irreversible expansion at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac (lower figure) from October 2017 and August 2017 to November 2018 respectively. The vertical red dashed line represents the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. ....	44
Figure 3.17: Total growth-induced irreversible expansion at Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac (lower figure) from October 2017 and August 2017 to November 2018 respectively. The vertical red dashed line represents the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. ....	45
Figure 3.18: Relationship between the total monthly growth-induced irreversible expansion ( $\mu\text{m}$ ; $\pm\text{s.e.}$ ) and depth-to-groundwater (DGW, m) at the Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac sites (lower figure). The solid and dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence bands of the best-fit line for the simple linear regression.....	46
Figure 3.19: Relationship between the mean monthly tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ; $\pm\text{s.e.}$ ) and depth-to-groundwater (DGW, meter) at the Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac sites (lower figure). ....	49
Figure 3.20: Relationship between the mean monthly trunk shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ; $\pm\text{s.e.}$ ) and depth-to-groundwater (DGW, m) at the Tomago (upper figure) and Nabisac sites (lower figure).....	50
Figure 3.21: The relationship between mean daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) and mean daily vapour pressure deficit (kPa, upper figure), temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , middle figure), and rainfall (mm, lower figure) and grouped into bins at Tomago plots 1 and 3. ....	51
Figure 3.22: The relationship between mean daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) and mean daily vapour pressure deficit (kPa, upper figure), temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , middle figure), and rainfall (mm, lower figure) and grouped into bins at Nabisac.....	52
Figure 3.23: The relationship between mean daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) and mean daily volumetric water content (%) and grouped into bins at 10 cm depth (upper figure), and at 50 cm depth (lower figure) at Tomago plots 1 and 3. ....	53
Figure 3.24: The relationship between mean daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) and mean daily volumetric water content (%) and grouped into bins at 10 cm depth (upper figure), and at 50 cm depth (lower figure) at Nabisac.....	54

Figure 3.25: Influence of mean rainfall on stem increments of <i>A. costata</i> at Tomago (a) and Nabisac (b) during 2017. Mean temperature influence on stem increments at Tomago (c) and Nabisac (d) during 2017 (before the extraction of groundwater at Tomago).....	55
Figure 4.1: Water movement in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum.....	60
Figure 4.2: Diurnal variation of a) and b) net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $A_n$ ; $\pm$ s.e.), c) and d) stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ; $\pm$ s.e.), and e) and f) intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $WUE_i$ ; $\pm$ s.e.) at different sampling times. a), c) and e) represent <i>A. costata</i> and b), d) and f) represent <i>E. signata</i> . Grey lines with open figures in a), c) and e) represent the months when groundwater extraction was ongoing.....	66
Figure 4.3: Leaf gas exchange measurements $\pm$ s.e. relative to depth-to-groundwater (m) of two species, <i>A. costata</i> and <i>E. signata</i> at Tomago and Nabisac. a) photosynthetic variables include net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $A_n$ ), b) stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), c) transpiration (T), d) the concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> inside leaf airspaces relative to the atmosphere ( $C_i/C_a$ ) and e) intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $WUE_i$ ). .....	67
Figure 4.4: Relationship between mean vapour pressure deficit (VPD; $\pm$ s.e.) and a) mean transpiration (T) and b) mean net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $A_n$ ; $\pm$ s.e.) for two species. c) represents the relationship between mean photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and $A_n$ . Lines are only shown where significant regressions were obtained. See the text for regression statistics. ....	69
Figure 4.5: a) Pre-dawn and b) midday leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{pd}$ and $\Psi_{md}$ , respectively) $\pm$ s.e. across multiple depth-to-groundwater levels from May to November 2018. Different letters below bars represent statistically significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) across different DGW. ....	70
Figure 4.6: Relationships of pre-dawn versus midday leaf water potentials ( $\Psi_{pd} / \Psi_{md}$ ) $\pm$ s.e. for the two species across three sites. Linear regression for <i>A. costata</i> control is: $y = 3.8 x + 0.15$ ; $r^2 = 0.75$ , $P = 0.01$ and for <i>A. costata</i> induced is: $y = 2.78 x - 0.76$ ; $r^2 = 0.91$ , $P = 0.18$ . .....	71
Figure 4.7: Relationship between midday water potential ( $\Psi_{md}$ ; $\pm$ s.e): a) mean net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $A_n$ ; $\pm$ s.e), b) mean stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ; $\pm$ s.e), and	

c) mean intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE <sub>i</sub> ; ±s.e). <i>A. costata</i> is represented by open and closed circles after and before groundwater extraction, respectively. ....	73
Figure 4.8: Relationship between mean midday water potential ( $\Psi_{md}$ ; ±s.e): a) and b) mean net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $A_n$ ; ±s.e), c) and d) mean stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ; ±s.e), and e) and f) mean intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE <sub>i</sub> ; ±s.e) <i>A. costata</i> is represented with orange squares and <i>E. signata</i> is represented with red triangles.....	74
Figure 5.1: Carbon isotope theory for C3 plants (modified from Professor Margaret Barbour / University of Sydney).....	83
Figure 5.2: Picarro carbon isotope analyzer at the TERG research laboratory.....	86
Figure 5.3: Mean bulk-leaf carbon isotope discrimination composition ( $\Delta^{13}C$ ; ‰) ±s.e. across an induced depth-to-groundwater gradient (m). Open circles represent <i>A. costata</i> at Tomago site 1 after the extraction of groundwater. Closed circles represent <i>A. costata</i> at Tomago site 1, 2 and 3 before the extraction of groundwater. Each point with error bars represents 3 replicates. Data fitted with a linear regression showing 95% confidence interval bands for <i>A. costata</i> before and after the extraction of groundwater. The regression is: $y = 0.85x + 18$ ( $r^2 = 0.77$ , $p < 0.001$ ).....	89
Figure 5.4: Mean leaf intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE <sub>i</sub> ; $\mu\text{mol/mol}$ ) ±s.e. across an induced depth-to-groundwater gradient (m) at the three plots at Tomago for different months. The red dashed line marks when the extraction of groundwater started. Asterisks represent significant differences between plot 1, and plots 2 and 3. On the x-axis, parentheses indicate the depth-to-groundwater level at that time (for plot 1 and 3 respectively).....	90
Figure 5.5: Mean leaf intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE <sub>i</sub> ; $\mu\text{mol/mol}$ ) ±s.e. across a depth-to-groundwater gradient (m) at Nabiac. On the x-axis parentheses indicate the depth-to-groundwater.....	91
Figure 5.6: Mean leaf intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUE <sub>i</sub> ; $\mu\text{mol/mol}$ ) ±s.e. of four different species across a natural gradient depth-to-groundwater (m) at Tomago, Tomaree and Nabiac. ....	92

Figure S3. 1: From top to bottom, soil water content (SWC, m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> ) at near, intermediate and distant locations from the bore at Tomago during the study period. Red line represents GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July.....	132
Figure S3. 2: From top to bottom, soil water content (SWC, m <sup>3</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> ) at near, intermediate and distant locations from the bore at Nabiac during the study period. ....	133
Figure S3.3: Dendrometer sensors at the Tomago site. Trees <i>A. costata</i> 1, 2 ,3 and 4 at site 1 (right) and site 2 (left).....	134
Figure S3.4: Dendrometer sensors at the Nabiac site. Trees <i>A. costata</i> 2, 3 and 5 (left). Dendrometer sensors at the Tomago site. Trees <i>A. costata</i> 1, 2 ,3 and 4 at site 3 (right). ....	135
Figure S3.5: Dendrometer sensors at the Nabiac site. Trees <i>E. signata</i> 1, 4, 6 and 7. ....	136
Figure S3.6: Growth-induced irreversible expansion (µm) of the four trees ( <i>A. costata</i> ) at each site at the Tomago site from November 2017 to November 2018. Site 1, 2 and 3 are represented in the first, second and third figures respectively. Red line represents GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. ....	137
Figure S3.7: Growth-induced irreversible expansion (µm) at Nabiac from August 2017 to November 2018. Upper and lower figures represent the growth-induced irreversible expansion of <i>E. signata</i> (n=4) and <i>A. costata</i> (n=3) respectively. ....	138
Figure S3.8: Tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage (µm) of the four trees ( <i>A. costata</i> ) at each site at the Tomago site from November 2017 to November 2018. Sites 1, 2 and 3 are represented in the upper, middle and lower figures, respectively. The red line indicates the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July. ....	139
Figure S3.9: Tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage (µm) at the Nabiac site from August 2017 to November 2018. Upper and lower figures represent the tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage of <i>E. signata</i> (n=4) and <i>A. costata</i> (n=3) respectively. ....	140
Figure S3.10: Tree maximum daily shrinkage (µm) of the four trees ( <i>A. costata</i> ) at each site at the Tomago site from November 2017 to November 2018. Sites 1, 2 and 3 are represented in the first, second and third figures respectively. The red line indicates the initiation of GW extraction from the bore on the 13 <sup>th</sup> of July.....	142

Figure S3.11: Tree maximum daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) at the NABIAC site from August 2017 to November 2018. First and second figures represent the tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage of *E. signata* (n=4) and *A. costata* (n=3) respectively. .... 143

## ***TABLE OF TABLES***

Table 2.1: Dominant species at each site and depth-to-groundwater where measurements were undertaken. ....	21
Table 2.2: Measurements collected at each site.....	21
Table 2.3: Instrumentation installed at each site.....	21
Table 5.1: Isotope distribution and abundance in nature (Fontes and Fritz, 1980)....	79
Table 5.2: International Standards for several elements (Kendall and McDonnell, 2012). ....	80
Table 5.3: Average of leaf carbon isotopic analyses at Tomago. ....	88
Table 5.4: Average of leaf carbon isotopic analyses at Nabiac. ....	88

## ***ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND SYMBOLS***

<b>A<sub>n</sub></b>	Net photosynthetic carbon uptake ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
<b>A<sub>s\_tree</sub></b>	Stand sapwood area ( $\text{cm}^2$ )
<b>ANPP</b>	Aboveground net primary production ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{y}^{-1}$ )
<b>BACI</b>	Before-after-control-impact
<b>BoM</b>	(Australian) Bureau of Meteorology
<b>C<sub>a</sub></b>	Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )
<b>C<sub>i</sub></b>	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration inside leaf air spaces ( $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ )
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	Carbon dioxide
<b>DBH</b>	Diameter at breast height (cm)
<b>DG</b>	Daily growth ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b>DGW</b>	Depth-to-groundwater (m)
<b>E</b>	Evaporation (mm)
<b>ET</b>	Evapotranspiration ( $\text{mm d}^{-1}$ )
<b>ET<sub>s</sub></b>	Surface evapotranspiration ( $\text{mm d}^{-1}$ )
<b>ET<sub>ss</sub></b>	Subsurface evapotranspiration ( $\text{mm d}^{-1}$ )
<b>GDEs</b>	Groundwater-dependent ecosystems
<b>GDV</b>	Groundwater-dependent vegetation
<b>GRO</b>	Growth-induced irreversible expansion ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b>g<sub>s</sub></b>	Mean stomatal conductance ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
<b>GW</b>	Groundwater
<b>intWUE</b>	Instantaneous water-use efficiency ( $\text{g C kg}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O}$ )
<b>J<sub>s</sub></b>	Mean sap flux density ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
<b>LAI</b>	Leaf area index ( $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$ )
<b>MAP</b>	Mean annual precipitation (mm)
<b>MDS</b>	Maximum daily shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ )

<b>MNSD</b>	Minimum stem diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b>MXSD</b>	Maximum stem diameter ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b>Non-GDEs</b>	Groundwater-independent Ecosystems
<b>NSW</b>	New South Wales
<b>NPP</b>	Net primary productivity ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ )
<b>P</b>	Precipitation (mm)
<b>PAR</b>	Photosynthetically active radiation ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )
<b>RH</b>	Relative humidity (%)
<b>R<sub>n</sub></b>	Net radiation ( $\text{MJ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ )
<b>RO</b>	Run-off ( $\text{mm h}^{-1}$ )
<b>SPAC</b>	Soil-plant-atmosphere continuum
<b>SM</b>	Soil moisture (%)
<b>SVP</b>	Saturation vapour pressure (kPa)
<b>SWC</b>	Soil water content ( $\text{m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ )
<b>T</b>	Transpiration ( $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )
<b>TWD</b>	Tree water deficit-induced stem shrinkage ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b>VPD</b>	Vapour pressure deficient (kPa)
<b>WUE</b>	Water-use efficiency ( $\text{g C kg}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ )
<b>WUE<sub>i</sub></b>	Intrinsic water-use efficiency ( $\text{g C kg}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ )
<b><math>\Delta\text{W}</math></b>	Water-related changes in stem radius ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
<b><math>\Psi_{\text{md}}</math></b>	Midday potential (MPa)
<b><math>\Psi_{\text{pd}}</math></b>	Pre-dawn potential (MPa)
<b><math>\Psi_{\text{soil}}</math></b>	Soil water potential (MPa)



## ***ABSTRACT***

Groundwater extraction has increased seven-fold worldwide in the last century leading to extensive overexploitation of aquifers. A loss of groundwater involves considerable changes in the function of ecosystems that were previously dependent upon it. However, the significance of these changes due to extraction-induced increases depth-to-groundwater (DGW) is poorly understood in the mesic forests of Australia's East Coast, where water resources regulators require such information.

The research presented in this thesis thus sought: (a) to investigate the initial changes in ecophysiological adaptations such stem diameter, leaf water relations, and foliar  $^{13}\text{C}$  to a short-term extraction-induced groundwater drawdown and (b) to identify any indication of stress in trees occupying the cone of depression in comparison with trees not affected by the groundwater drawdown. Three different bore-fields, located within the Hunter-Central Rivers area (New South Wales, Australia), were selected to conduct this research and where DGW fluctuates naturally from 0 m to 7 m. Twelve trees of two dominant species (*Angophora costata* and *Eucalyptus signata*) were studied at each site, radiating out from an extraction bore at near, intermediate, and distant locations (plots 1, 2, and 3). Once groundwater pumping began at one location (Tomago study site), DGW reached a depth of 9.88 m at the bore (outside the forest), 4.20 m at plot 1, and 2.61 m at plot 3. During most of the study period in 2018, the total amounts of rainfall were 14.3% and 2.9% wetter than the long-term average rainfall of the same periods at Tomago and Nabiac, respectively. The warmest and coldest months were January and July with average temperatures of approximately 23 °C and 10 °C at both study sites.

Litterfall production ranged from 0.1 to 1.8 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> month<sup>-1</sup>. A significant increase in litterfall production in plot 1 relative to plot 3 occurred two months after extraction began. Similarly, there were larger increments of growth-induced irreversible expansion (GRO) in trees over deeper groundwater levels in plot 1 (4 – 6 mm / yr) than in trees over shallow groundwater in plot 3 (1.5 – 4 mm / yr). However, diurnal stem shrinkage (TWD) showed no significant differences across DGW levels, indicating a general absence of water stress. These results were only partially consistent with our initial hypothesis that as DGW increases, TWD and litterfall

production would increase, whereas GRO would experience lower increments compared to trees where DGW is shallower.

Leaf water relations were least affected by an artificial drawdown of groundwater level. Leaf water relations were evaluated from measurements of diurnal gas exchange and water potential, including predawn ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and midday ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) water potential. Contrary to my hypothesis, leaf gas exchange (net photosynthesis  $A_n$ , stomatal conductance  $g_s$ , transpiration  $T$ , and intrinsic water-use efficiency  $WUE_i$ ) did not vary across the range of DGW. However,  $A_n$  and  $g_s$  exhibited larger values during the last month of the study (November) than in previous months due to an increasing trend in  $T$  during the springtime and the large availability of soil water. Transpiration was limited by low atmospheric vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and not by  $g_s$  during the study period.

Similar, to leaf gas exchange results,  $\Psi_{pd}$  remained stable across DGW levels, reflecting that trees were generally well-watered. However,  $\Psi_{md}$  declined (became more negative) once the phreatic level exceeded depths of 3 m DGW, suggesting that trees experienced more hydraulic tension when the water table was located in the lower portion of the root zone. The most negative water potential values were reached where the water table was 3.9 m DGW (-0.8 and -3 MPa for  $\Psi_{pd}$ , and  $\Psi_{md}$  respectively).

Values of leaf  $\delta^{13}C$  ranged from -27.4 ‰ to -30.2 ‰, as expected from previous studies. Unexpectedly,  $\Delta^{13}C$  values were lower in trees at plot 3 with a relatively shallow water table (i.e., had a higher  $WUE_i$ ) compared to those at plot 1 with a deeper water table.  $WUE_i$  values estimated from  $\Delta^{13}C$  showed a negative correlation with increasing DGW surprisingly indicating that RuBisCo discriminated less against the heavier isotope where DGW was deeper.

Overall, the findings of this thesis highlight that vegetation responded positively to a DGW increase from 1 m to 4.2 m. This suggests that trees benefited from groundwater extraction and were well-watered across all levels of DGW. This can be explained as a lowered water table that still remains within the potential root zone opens up a temporary larger volume of soil water for the trees to access, suggesting that GW extraction is beneficial to trees by reducing waterlogging and anoxic conditions in soil and increasing the volume of soil with good aeration. Changes in DGW due to

groundwater extraction were immediate but short-lived, with DGW in plot 1 nearest the extraction bore declining relative to DGW in bores of the more distant plots for only the first week of extraction, despite the timing to coincide with regional drought leading to widespread bushfires. This research provides insight into the initial physiological responses of groundwater-dependent vegetation to short-term groundwater drawdown in a highly dynamic mesic ecosystem assisting pumping companies and state regulatory agencies to manage water resources under the rapidly changing conditions to which they are exposed in this region.