1	The individual	and synergistic	indexes for	assessments of	heavy metal
-	I ne marriada	und byner Sibere	maches for		meary mea

- 2 contamination in global rivers and risk: A review
- 3
- 4 Hong-Giang Hoang¹, Chitsan Lin^{2,*}, Chow-Feng Chiang³, Xuan-Thanh Bui ^{4,5,*},
- 5 Wisanukorn Lukkhasorn², Thi-Phuong-Thuy Bui⁶, Huu-Tuan Tran², Thi-Dieu-Hien
- 6 Vo⁷, Van-Giang Le⁸, Long D. Nghiem⁹
- 7

8 ^{1}Fc	iculty of Health Sciences a	nd Finance - Accounting, Don	ng Nai Technology University, Bien Hoa,
------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---

- 9 Dong Nai 76100, Viet Nam
- 10 ²Department of Marine Environmental Engineering, National Kaohsiung University of Science and
- 11 Technology, Kaohsiung 81157, Taiwan (R.O.C)
- 12 ³Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan (R.O.C)
- 13 ⁴Key Laboratory of Advanced Waste Treatment Technology, Vietnam National University Ho Chi Minh
- 14 (VNU-HCM), Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, 700000, Viet Nam
- 15 ⁵Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology
- 16 (HCMUT), 268 Ly Thương Kiệt street, district 10, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Viet Nam. Email:
- 17 <u>bxthanh@hcmut.edu.vn</u>
- 18 ⁶Faculty of Basic Sciences, Van Lang University, 45 Nguyen Khac Nhu street, Co Giang ward, District
- 19 1, Ho Chi Minh City 700000, Vietnam
- 20 ⁷Faculty of Environmental and Food Engineering, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Ho Chi Minh City
- 21 700000, Vietnam
- 22 ⁸Department of Chemical Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan (R.O.C)
- 23 ⁹Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW,
- 24 2007, Australia.
- 25
- 26
- 27 **Corresponding authors:*
- 28 Chitsan Lin, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, No. 142,
- 29 Haizhuan Road, Nanzih District, Kaohsiung 81157, Taiwan (R.O.C); Tel: +886-7-3651472;
- 30 Fax: +886-7-3651472; E-mail: <u>ctlin@nkust.edu.tw</u>
- 31 Xuan-Thanh Bui, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology (HCMUT), Vietnam
- 32 National University Ho Chi Minh (VNU-HCM), Ho Chi Minh City, 700000, Viet Nam; Tel:
- 33 +84-965376073; E-mail: <u>bxthanh@hcmut.edu.vn</u>

34 Abstract

35 This article provides an overview of heavy metal contamination in rivers and 36 assessment methods of their contamination and effects. According to literature, rivers 37 with heavy metal contamination in surface water are mainly found in developing 38 countries in Asia, Africa, and Latin America and the Caribbean area, while rivers with 39 heavy metal contamination in sediments are mostly found in Europe. The increase in 40 heavy metal contamination in rivers has led to the adoption of individual and synergistic 41 assessment methods. Individual methods are useful in assessing the contamination and 42 effects for a single heavy metal, while synergistic methods assess the combined 43 contamination and effects of several heavy metals present in surface water and 44 sediments. These two approaches have been commonly used together in recent studies 45 to overcome the limitations of each other and provide a more comprehensive 46 assessment. The developments, equations, advantages, limitations, and future 47 perspectives of these methods are discussed in this review. Calculating indexes are 48 simple, easy-to-implement, and effective methods to provide early alerts for the 49 environmental changes and the adverse impacts on ecosystems and human health. However, calculating indexes still have limitations due to the lack of background 50 51 concentrations of heavy metals in the study area. Therefore, this issue should be 52 addressed to overcome the limitations of these methods in the future. This review 53 provides a useful reference for future studies on heavy metal contamination in global 54 rivers and the assessment methods for heavy metal contamination and effects. 55

56 Keywords: Ecological risk assessment; Heavy metal pollution; Human health risk
57 assessment; Individual indexes; Synergistic indexes.

58 **1. Introduction**

59 Heavy metals released from the various sources eventually end up in the 60 environment, especially in surface waters and sediments of rivers [1]. Rivers in highly 61 industrialized regions, especially with several metal-related industries, often have 62 higher concentrations of heavy metals in the water and sediments than in other regions 63 [2...]. Since heavy metals are generally stable and non-degradable, they accumulate 64 more in sediments of rivers over time, causing serious pollution [3]. Therefore, the 65 assessment of heavy metal contamination in rivers is essential. 66 Various heavy metals are released into the environment during the 67 manufacturing process, such as As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, Zn, and Hg [2..]. Several heavy 68 metals in trace amounts are necessary for the growth of organisms, such as Cu, Fe, and 69 Zn [4]. However, most heavy metals are toxic and can cause an imbalance in the 70 ecosystem and even the death of organisms [4, 5]. For human health, heavy metal 71 contamination may pose both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risks when they enter 72 the human body. Some common effects of heavy metal contamination on human health 73 are memory loss, mental confusion, allergies, fatigue, high blood pressure, skin rashes, and joint stiffness [6]. Hence, the assessment of heavy metal contaminations and their 74 75 effects on the ecosystem and human health is attracting much attention from scientists 76 worldwide.

Various methods have been developed to assess heavy metal contamination
levels in rivers and their effects on the ecosystem and human health [7, 8, 9]. These
methods are based on heavy metal concentrations in the environment, regulatory
limit, background concentrations, and toxicity values. The indexes are usually divided
into two groups: individual and synergistic indexes [10..]. The individual indexes
were developed to assess the contamination level and the impact of a single heavy

83	metal on the ecosystem and humans. These indexes include the geo-accumulation
84	index (I_{geo}), contamination factor (<i>CF</i>), enrichment factor (<i>EF</i>), potential
85	contamination index (PCI), hazard quotient (HQ), lifetime cancer risk index (CR), and
86	modified hazard quotient (mHQ) [9, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14., 15, 16]. The synergistic
87	indexes were developed to evaluate the total contamination of several heavy metals in
88	the environment and their cumulative risks on humans and the ecosystem. Some
89	common synergistic indexes are the modified degree of contamination (mCd) ,
90	pollution load index (PLI), metal index (MI), heavy metal pollution index (HPI),
91	degree of contamination (DC), contamination severity index (CSI), potential
92	ecological risk index (PERI), ecological contamination index (ECI), cumulative cancer
93	risk (CCR), and total hazard index (HI) [17, 18, 8, 19, 7, 15, 16]. Nowadays,
94	combining individual and synergistic indexes to provide detailed and comprehensive
95	evaluation is a popular direction in heavy metal contamination assessment [10, 20.,
96	21].
97	The use of indexes to assess heavy metal contamination has been applied to the
98	most contaminated rivers in the world. For instance, indexes have been used to assess
99	heavy metal contamination of the Tamirabarani River in India, the Korotoa River in
100	Bangladesh, the Yangtze River in China, the Tigris River in Turkey, and the To Lich
101	River in Viet Nam [22, 23, 21, 24, 25]. Several new indexes, such as mHQ, ECI, PLI,
102	and mCd , were also developed and applied in recent studies [14, 19]. However, there
103	is still a lack of a comprehensive summary of available assessment indexes in a review.
104	The assessments on the advantages and limitations of index methods are limited.
105	Therefore, this study presents an overview of available indexes commonly used to
106	assess heavy metal contaminations and their effects on the ecosystem and humans. The
107	advantages, limitations, and future perspectives of index methods are also discussed in

108 this review. The characteristics of heavy metals and their contamination in worldwide 109 rivers are summarized as background information. This review provides an overall 110 picture of the indexes used in heavy metal contamination assessment. It can be used as a 111 useful reference for scientists in this field.

112 **2. Data collection**

113 The bibliographic databases of Web of Science, Google Scholar, and PubMed 114 were collected for the article review. Web of Science is an online subscription that 115 provides a comprehensive citation search and provides the full text of scientific articles 116 worldwide. Google Scholar is a freely accessible web search engine that includes 117 academic journals, books, conference papers, technical reports, and other scholarly 118 peer-reviewed literature. PubMed is a free search developed by the National Center for 119 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and provides the full text of scientific articles and 120 online books worldwide. The research articles and other literature included in this study 121 were collected using different keywords. In total, 35 search terms (Table S1) were 122 employed with different combinations. With no restriction of time, the literature review 123 ended in December 2020 with approximately 100 documents selected after screening, 124 including published journal articles, conference papers, books, and book chapters, as 125 shown in the reference list. The information gathered from these previous studies was 126 then incorporated into this study's tables, figures, and text. On that basis, the evaluations, discussions, conclusions, and future research perspectives for this area are 127 128 provided. 129 3. Heavy metal contamination in surface water and sediments

130 **3.1. Sources and transport of heavy metals**

Heavy metals in surface water and sediments of rivers may originate from
natural sources (rock weathering and volcanic eruptions) and human-made activities

(mining, metal processing, and agricultural activities) [26]. Industrial activities have
become the main sources of heavy metal contamination in rivers [10••]. Different
industrial activities can release different kinds of heavy metals into the environment
(Table S2). Mining areas often have a high level of heavy metal contamination (As,
Cd, Hg, and Pb) due to their release during the mining process [27]. Metallurgy,
electroplating, and other metal-surface-processing can release various heavy metals
into the environment such as As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, Zn, and Hg during the

140 manufacturing process [2••].

141 The transport of heavy metals in the environment is shown in Fig. S1. Rivers 142 are often the final receptors for pollutants when released into the environment [1]. 143 Heavy metals from natural and human-made activities enter rivers through waste 144 discharge, leaching, and runoff [28•]. When heavy metals dissolve in water, they 145 often carry a positive charge [5]. Heavy metals can combine with other anions to form 146 heavy metal compounds in surface water. Heavy metals and their compounds in 147 surface water can accumulate in sediments, tissues of aquatic organisms and enter the human body through the food chains [3, 29]. Subsequently, they may harm the 148 149 ecosystem and human health.

150

3.2. Effects of heavy metal contamination on the ecosystem and human health

Heavy metal contamination may adversely affect the ecosystem and biodiversity of the receiving environment [29, 3]. Heavy metals in aquatic systems are often suspended or insoluble before accumulating in sediments and organisms [3]. This accumulation is irreversible and takes place over a long period. The accumulation of heavy metals in aquatic organisms' tissues may cause the death of organisms because of their toxicity, leading to the imbalance and the destruction of aquatic ecosystems [5].

158 Fishes, one of the main aquatic organisms in the food chain, usually accumulate 159 large amounts of heavy metals in their tissues [5]. Therefore, they are commonly used 160 in estimating ecological and human health risks [30]. Heavy metals, such as As, Cr, 161 Cd, Zn, Pb, Hg, Cu, and Ni, are common toxic contaminants for fishes. Previous 162 studies showed that heavy metals could alter biochemical and physiological functions 163 in tissue and blood and cause cancer in some fish species [3, 5]. References for acute 164 (LC₅₀) and chronic (NOEC or LOEC) toxicity of heavy metals to certain aquatic organisms, especially fish, are listed in Table S3. 165

166 Although heavy metals make an important and essential contribution to 167 metabolism in the human body, they become toxic when they cannot be metabolized 168 and accumulate in soft tissues [4]. Chronic toxicities of heavy metals to human health 169 have been studied in previous researches (Table S3). The exposure pathways of heavy 170 metals to humans are very diverse, including food and water consumption, dermal 171 contact, and inhalation of polluted air. In the human body, most heavy metals are 172 transported through the bloodstream and distributed in the tissues [5]. Because of their 173 high degree of toxicity, some heavy metals, such as As, Cd, Cr, Pb, and Hg, are 174 prioritized. These heavy metals are considered systemic toxicants that can damage 175 many organs with less exposure than other metals. International Agency for Research 176 on Cancer and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency also classify them as 177 human carcinogens [4]. Some popular effects of heavy metal contamination on human 178 health are memory loss, mental confusion, allergies, fatigue, high blood pressure, skin 179 rashes, and joint stiffness [6].

180

3.3. An overview of heavy metal contamination in global rivers

181 In recent decades, heavy metal contamination has become a global

182 environmental problem [31...]. Rivers in urban areas, which receive wastewater,

reflect the extent of heavy metal contamination in the environment [1]. Worldwide heavy metal contamination in surface water and sediments of rivers are summarized in Table 1a and b, respectively. Generally, the world's major river systems are severely contaminated with heavy metals, especially in Asia, Africa, Europe, and Latin America and the Caribbean area [31...]. Typical examples have shown that many rivers in the world are "dead rivers".

Heavy metal contamination in the surface water is concentrated mainly in 189 190 developing countries in Asia and Africa, where there are high industrial activities and 191 a lack of contamination control measures. As shown in Table 1a, the concentration 192 ranges of heavy metals in the surface water in Asia and Africa rivers were: As: 0.00097 - 0.05535 mg L⁻¹; Cd: 0.00008 - 0.1 mg L⁻¹; Cr: 0.0013 - 1.11; Cu: 0.000061 193 - 1.05 mg L⁻¹; Pb: 0.00058 - 7.5 mg L⁻¹; Ni: 0.00495 - 0.21 mg L⁻¹; Zn: 0.00038 -194 195 21.71 mg L^{-1} ; and Hg: 0.0002 - 0.0004 mg L^{-1} . Rivers in Asia with heavy metal 196 contamination in surface water were concentrated mainly in China, Bangladesh, and 197 India, e.g., the Yangtze and Pearl River in China [32, 33], the Buriganga and Bangshi 198 River in Bangladesh [34, 35], the Gomti and Kali River in India [36, 37]. Meanwhile, 199 the Challawa River in Nigeria and the Nairobi River in Kenya are heavy metal 200 contaminated rivers in Africa [38, 39]. Most of these rivers have received wastewater 201 from industrial activities, the most contributing source for heavy metal contamination. 202 This has led to higher heavy metal concentrations in the surface water of Asia and 203 Africa rivers than in other regions. For instance, the highest heavy metal 204 concentrations in the surface water of rivers in Asia were Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn 205 with concentrations 3.0-17.3; 3.5 - 17.7; 1.0 - 4.4; 1.1 - 4.8; 3.1 - 23.2; and 1.1 - 3.5 times higher than that in rivers in Europe, South, and North America, respectively 206 207 [31...]. The heavy metal concentrations in the surface water of rivers in Asia and

Africa also exceeded their respective standards. The concentrations were 0.02 - 12.02
times higher than the permissible values of the freshwater toxicity reference values,
the US EPA human health ambient water quality criteria, and the WHO drinking

211 water quality guidelines [40, 41].

212 Besides, heavy metal pollution in surface water of some typical rivers in Latin 213 America and the Caribbean area also reached alarming levels higher than the 214 respective standards [42, 43, 44]. For instance, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, and Ni concentrations 215 in the surface water of the San Pedro River in Mexico were 1-22 times higher than the 216 freshwater toxicity reference values and 0.1-16 times higher than the WHO drinking 217 water quality guidelines [45, 41]. Concentrations of heavy metals in the surface water 218 of these rivers were also higher than in North and South America, as shown in Table 1 219 a. The heavy metal pollution in rivers in Latin America and the Caribbean area mainly 220 comes from mining activities [42, 43, 44].

221 Meanwhile, rivers with heavy metal contamination in sediments were mainly 222 concentrated in developed areas such as European countries [31...], as shown in Table 223 1b. This region includes countries that have gone through a period of vigorous 224 industrial development. This has led to the high accumulation of heavy metals in the 225 sediments of rivers in Europe. These rivers, such as the Odra River in Poland, the 226 Tinto River in Spain, the Tigris River in Turkey, the Tees River in the UK, and the 227 Danube River in central and eastern Europe, have alarmingly high heavy metal 228 concentrations in their sediments. The dominant heavy metals in the sediments were As $(95.33 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ dry wt. in the Odra River})$ [46], Cd and Cr $(32.9 \text{ and } 556.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ 229 230 dry wt., respectively in the Danube River) [47], Cu, Ni, and Zn (2860.25; 534.58; and 5,280 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt., respectively in the Tigris River) [24], and Pb (13,400 mg kg⁻¹ 231 dry wt. in the Tinto River) [48]. The As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn concentrations in 232

233 sediments of rivers in Europe were 1.7 - 24.9; 1.1 - 4.0; 1.2 - 4.1; 3.9 - 28.9; 1.5 - 26.5; 14.5 - 48.5; and 2.7 - 29.8 times higher than that in rivers in Asia and Africa, 235 respectively. Their concentrations were 0.52 - 46.85 times higher than the National 236 Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s effects range low (ERL) and 237 effects range median (ERM), and the freshwater toxicity reference value (TRV) of 238 USEPA [45, 49].

Besides, some rivers in Latin America and the Caribbean area also have severe heavy metal contamination in sediment, as shown in Table 1b. For instance, the Rimac River in Peru had high concentrations of As (1,543 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.), Cd (31 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.), Cr (71 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.), Cu (796 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.), Pb (2,281 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.), and Zn (8,076 mg kg⁻¹ dry wt.) [27]. Long-time mining activities in this area are the cause of high heavy metal concentrations in the sediments.

245

4. Heavy metal contamination assessment

246 The increase of heavy metal pollution in rivers and their toxicity has led to 247 concerns about quantifying their contamination and effects. The assessment methods 248 for heavy metal contamination and their effects have been developed and used by 249 scientists recently [10., 14.]. In general, contamination assessments are normally 250 conducted by the calculation of contamination indexes. These calculations are 251 performed based on the heavy metal concentration in surface water and sediments and 252 their background values in the environment, or their permissible values according to 253 specific standards. Besides, the levels of the adverse impact on the ecosystem are also 254 indicated through the calculation of these indexes. The assessment indexes can be 255 divided into two groups, individual indexes and synergistic indexes (Table S4).

256 **4.1. Individual indexes**

257

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo)

The I_{geo} was first introduced by Muller et al. [9] and has been widely used in the assessment of heavy metal abundance in sediments [22, 50]. To classify the contamination levels of heavy metals in sediments, I_{geo} is calculated based on the Eq. (1):

262
$$I_{geo} = log_2\left(\frac{c_{s_i}}{1.5C_{b_i}}\right)$$
(1)

where C_{s_i} is the measured concentration of heavy metal "*i*" in sediments, C_{b_i} is the background value of heavy metal "*i*", and the coefficient 1.5 is the correction coefficient due to lithogenic effects of background values. The average shale values [51] and heavy metal concentration in the continental crust [52, 53] are normally used as background values. The heavy metal contamination degree in sediments can be divided into seven levels based on I_{geo} values, as shown in Table 2.

269 *Contamination factor (CF)*

The contamination factor, *CF*, was proposed by Hakanson [7] to indicate the contamination level of individual heavy metals in sediment. Recent studies have also used *CF* to evaluate the heavy metal contamination level in surface water. *CF* is calculated by the ratio of heavy metal concentration (C_{s_i}) and its background concentration (C_{b_i}) , as shown in the Eq. (2).

The background concentrations are usually referred from Turekian, Wedepohl [51], Taylor [52], and Rudnick, Gao [53]. Besides, the local standards have also been used as background concentrations in recent studies [28•]. Contamination levels of heavy metals in the environments classified based on the *CF* values are shown in Table 2. Heavy metals are considered contaminated when C_{s_i} is higher than C_{b_i} and uncontaminated when C_{s_i} is lower than C_{b_i} .

282 Enrichment factor (EF)

283 The enrichment factor, EF, was proposed by Sinex, Helz [11] and is used to 284 evaluate the human-made effects on heavy metal contamination in sediment. EF is 285 also a good index to differentiate between heavy metal sources from natural and 286 human-made activities. This index is calculated based on the normalization of heavy 287 metal concentrations in sediments to background concentrations, as shown in Eq. (3). 288 Many heavy metals are used for normalization, such as Fe, Al, Mn, Sc, Li, or Zr, due 289 to their high natural abundance and lower probability of being enriched by 290 anthropogenic activities [11]. The classification of *EF* is presented in Table 2. $EF_i = \frac{[C_{s_i}/C_n]s}{[C_{s_i}/C_n]b}$ 291 (3) where C_{s_i} is the concentration of heavy metal *i*; C_n is the background 292 concentration of the normalizing metal; s is the study sample; b is the background. 293 294 Potential contamination index (PCI) 295 PCI is a new index developed by Dauvalter, Rognerud [12] based on the 296 method of Hakanson [7] and is used to estimate the potential contamination of heavy 297 metals in sediments. PCI has three contamination levels: low, moderate, severe, or 298 very severe contamination (Table 2). *PCI* is calculated by the ratio of the maximum concentration of heavy metal "i" ($C_{s_{i-max}}$) and its background concentration (C_{b_i}), as 299 300 shown in Eq. (4).

$$301 PCI_i = \frac{c_{s_i-max}}{c_{b_i}} (4)$$

302 Hazard quotient (HQ)

303 *HQ* has been used to estimate the potential hazards on the ecosystem of individual
304 heavy metals in water and sediments [13]. *HQ* is estimated based on the ratio of the

heavy metal concentration (C_{s_i}) in the environment and the environmental quality criteria (*EQC*) as shown in Eq. (5).

$$307 HQ_i = \frac{c_{s_i}}{EQC} (5)$$

308 Normally, aquatic life criteria and sediment quality guideline (SQG) was used for
309 water and sediment, respectively [13, 28•]. The ecosystem hazard levels based on *HQ*310 are classified from "no adverse effects" to "high hazard" (Table 2).

311

Modified hazard quotient (mHQ)

A newly developed index, *mHQ*, has been proposed to evaluate the adverse effects of individual heavy metals in sediments on the ecosystem [14••]. This new method allows the assessment of adverse effects by comparing the concentrations of individual metals in sediments with their probable effect level (PEL), threshold effect level (TEL), and severe effect level (SEL) values. The PEL, TEL, and SEL are used as reference values for contamination.

318
$$mHQ = \left[C_{s_i} \left(\frac{1}{TEL_i} + \frac{1}{PEL_i} + \frac{1}{SEL_i}\right)\right]^{1/2}$$
(6)

319 The proposed classification of mHQ for the individual heavy metal is presented 320 in Table 2.

321 In short, the individual indexes were developed and widely applied early. These 322 methods are uncomplicated and easy to calculate to provide contamination levels for 323 each heavy metal. Individual indexes have been widely used to assess heavy metal contamination in typically contaminated rivers. For instance, CF and Igeo have been 324 325 applied in a lot of previous studies to assess the heavy metal contamination level of 326 different contaminated rivers in the world, for instance, Tamirabarani River (India), 327 Korotoa River (Bangladesh), Yangtze River (China), Tigris River (Turkey), and To 328 Lich River (Viet Nam) [22, 23, 21, 24, 25]. However, the individual indexes can only

329	be applied to a single element and thus may not be sufficient in assessing contamination
330	since heavy metals are more likely to have synergistic effects on the environment
331	[10]. The limitations of the individual indexes have led to the development of
332	synergistic indexes that have been widely applied to assess water and sediment quality.
333	4.2. Synergistic indexes
334	Degree of contamination (DC)
335	DC was proposed by Hakanson [7] to estimate the levels of synergistic
336	contamination of all heavy metals in the environments. DC is the sum of the
337	contamination factors (CF) of " n " heavy metals present in the contamination area.
338	$DC = \sum_{i=1}^{n} CF_i \tag{7}$
339	The synergistic contamination of heavy metals in water and sediments is
340	classified into four levels: low to a very high degree of contamination, as shown in
341	Table 2.
342	Modified degree of contamination (mCd)
343	The modified degree of contamination (mCd) index is based on CF and
344	indicates synergistic contamination of heavy metals. The mCd index was introduced
345	by Abrahim [16] and calculated using Eq. (8):
346	$mCd = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} CF_i \tag{8}$
347	Based on mCd , heavy metal contamination of a study site can be classified into
348	seven synergistic contamination levels (Table 2).
349	Pollution load index (PLI)
350	The <i>PLI</i> is calculated as the " n " square root of the multiplication of the <i>CF</i>
351	values for "n" heavy metals in a specific site [17].
352	$PLI = (CF_1 \times CF_2 \times CF_3 \times \dots \times CF_n)^{1/n} $ (9)

Like *DC* and *mCd*, *PLI* can estimate the synergistic effects of all metals in surface water or sediments of rivers. The study site is assessed to have heavy metal pollutant load when the *PLI* value is higher than 1. Contrariwise, when the *PLI* value is less than 1, there is no heavy metal pollution load at the study site (Table 2).

357

Nemerow pollution index (PI_{Nemerow})

358 Another approach to determine the synergistic contamination level of heavy 359 metals at a study area is to use the Nemerow pollution index (*PI_{Nemerow}*) [54]. Similar 360 to mCd, this index is also calculated based on the mean value of the contamination 361 factors (CF), as shown in Eq. (10). However, a weighted average is given based on 362 the maximum contamination factor (CF_{max}) of a single metal to emphasize the effect 363 of that single metal on the synergistic contamination degree. The PI_{Nemerow} index is a 364 weighted multi-factor index for environmental quality assessment. It can help to 365 highlight the main heavy metal in the study area.

$$366 \qquad PI_{Nemerow} = \sqrt{\frac{CF_{average}^2 + CF_{max}^2}{2}} \tag{10}$$

367 where $CF_{average}$ is the mean value of the contamination factors of heavy metals; 368 CF_{max} is the highest value of the contamination factors of heavy metals in the study 369 area.

Classification of pollution levels based on $PN_{Nemerow}$ is shown in Table 2. The assessment thresholds of this index are quite low compared to other indexes, which may lead to inaccurate pollution assessment results [55]. Besides, the complex behavior of sediments is not considered when the pollution level is calculated based on *CF*. Therefore, an improved method, the modified pollution index (*MPI*), is proposed based on enrichment factor (*EF*).

376 *Modified pollution index (MPI)*

377 Proposed by Brady et al. [55], the *MPI* is calculated based on *EF* and enables
378 the sediment quality assessment to take into account the complex behavior of heavy
379 metals (Eq. (11)).

$$380 MPI = \sqrt{\frac{EF_{average}^2 + EF_{max}^2}{2}} (11)$$

381 Six pollution levels are classified based on *MPI* (Table 2). The thresholds of
382 sediments quality assessment have been adjusted to provide more accurate pollution
383 levels.

384 Metal index (MI)

385 *MI* proposed by Caeiro et al. [18], is often used to estimate the synergistic 386 contamination of heavy metals in river water, canal water, drinking water, and 387 sediment using Eq. (12).

$$MI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{s_i}}{UAC_i}$$
(12)

389 where C_{s_i} is the measured concentration of heavy metal "*i*" in sediment; UAC_i is 390 the upper allowable concentration of heavy metal "*i*" in water and sediment quality 391 guidelines. *MI* is categorized into six classes, as shown in Table 2.

392 *Heavy metal pollution index (HPI)*

393 *HPI* is an index commonly used to evaluate the aggregate effect of heavy metals 394 on the overall water quality. The arithmetic quality average method was used to 395 develop *HPI* by Mohan et al. [8]. There are two steps to developing this index (1) 396 constituting a rating scale for each selected parameter to give the weightage and (2) 397 choosing the contaminant parameter on which the index is based. The unit weightage 398 for each heavy metal is given based on its relative influence on water quality for the 399 consumer, resulting from the creation of values inversely proportional to the standard

400 value of the corresponding metal [8, 56•]. *HPI* is calculated by the following Eq. (13)
401 & (14):

402
$$HPI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i Q_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i}$$
 (13)

403
$$Q_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|c_{s_i} - I_i|}{s_i - I_i} x100$$
(14)

404 where "*n*" is the number of heavy metals, C_{s_i} is the concentration, I_i is the 405 expected limit, S_i is the permissible limit, Q_i is the sub-index, and W_i is the unit 406 weightage ($W_i = 1/S_i$) of heavy metal "*i*" in surface water.

407 *Contamination severity index (CSI)*

The contamination severity index (*CSI*) is a new index for assessing heavy metal contaminated-sediments developed by Pejman et al. [19]. It is based on the effects range low (ERL) and effects range median (ERM) values [49]. The proposed equation of *CSI* is shown in Eq. (15) & (16).

412
$$CSI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_t \left[\left(\frac{c_{s_i}}{ERL_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{c_{s_i}}{ERM_i} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(15)

413
$$W_t = \frac{L_{f_i} \times E_v}{\sum_{i=1}^n (L_{f_i} \times E_v)}$$
(16)

414 where W_t is the weighted value for heavy metals, L_{f_i} is the factor loading 415 associated with heavy metal "*i*", E_v is the eigenvalue. There are nine contamination 416 levels classified based on *CSI*, as shown in Table 2.

417 *Ecological contamination index (ECI)*

418 The ecological contamination index (*ECI*) is a new and reliable index that can 419 assess the cumulative ecological risk of heavy metal contamination in sediments 420 $[14 \cdot \bullet]$. *ECI* is an aggregative experimental approach based on modified hazard 421 quotient (*mHQ*), as shown in the following equation:

422	$ECI = B_n \sum_{i=1}^n m HQ_i \tag{17}$	
423	where B_n is the reciprocal of the derived eigenvalue of heavy metal	
424	concentrations. The heavy metal contamination levels are classified into seven levels,	
425	shown in Table 2.	
426	Potential ecological risk index (PERI)	
427	The PERI was proposed by Hakanson [7] to assess the cumulative ecological	
428	risk due to heavy metal contamination in the study area. PERI is calculated based on	
429	the contamination factors (CF_i) and the toxic-response factors (T_i) of the heavy	
430	metals, as shown in Eq. (18).	
431	$PERI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i \ x \ CF_i \tag{18}$	
432	The potential ecological risk can be classified into four levels: low, moderate,	
433	high, and significantly high risk (Table 2).	
434	Modified risk assessment code (mRAC)	
435	The <i>mRAC</i> index is proposed to evaluate heavy metal contamination based on	
436	their toxicity and bioavailability in sediments [57, 14]. The toxicity and	
437	bioavailability of heavy metals are important characteristics for determining the risk	
438	information of heavy metals associated with sediments [14]. The $mRAC$ is estimated	l
439	by Eq. (19).	
440	$mRAC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i} RAC_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}} (\%) $ (19)	
441	where T_i is the toxic response factor, RAC_i is the percentage concentration of	
442	heavy metal " i " that can exchange and combine with the carbonate fraction, " n " is the	
443	number of heavy metals. The classification of <i>mRAC</i> is presented in Table 2.	
444	The mean probable effects level quotient (mPEL $_Q$) and the mean effect range	

median quotient (mERMq)

The $mPEL_Q$ and $mERM_Q$ were developed based on the probable effect level (*PEL*) and the effect range median (*ERL*) values, respectively [14••, 58, 59]. These indexes are used to estimate the possible adverse biological effects of multiple heavy metals present in the sediment. Classifications of adverse biological effects based on $mPEL_Q$ and $mERM_Q$ are shown in Table 2. Their calculations are presented in Eq. (20) and (21).

452
$$mPEL_Q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{s_i}/PEL_i)}{n}$$
(20)

453
$$mERM_Q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{s_i}/ERM_i)}{n}$$
(21)

454 where PEL_i and ERM_i are the probable effect level and effects range median, 455 respectively; C_{s_i} is the concentration of heavy metal "*i*" in the sediment; "*n*" is the 456 number of heavy metals present in the sediments.

457 In general, the synergistic indexes can provide an overall assessment of heavy 458 metal contamination because they can indicate the combined contamination level of all 459 heavy metals present in surface water and sediments. This is the advantage of 460 synergistic indexes compared to individual indexes. With their advantages, synergistic 461 indexes have been widely used in recent studies to evaluate the synergistic 462 contamination level of heavy metals in surface water and sediments. For instance, mCd463 and PN calculations were used to assess the synergistic effects of heavy metals in 464 water and sediments of the Yellow River in China and the Houjing River in Taiwan [60, 10...]. The HPI index has been used for synergistic assessment of heavy metal 465 466 contamination in many different rivers such as the Swarnamukhi River Basin in India 467 [56•], the Bogacayi River in Turkey [61], the Uglješnica River in Serbia [62], the 468 Wen-Rui Tang River in China [63]. Besides, several synergistic indexes were also

469 commonly used in previous studies to evaluate the synergistic effect of heavy metal 470 contamination on aquatic ecosystems such as *PERI*, *mRAC*, *ECI*, and *RI* [7, 14..., 57]. 471 However, to assess the contamination level of each heavy metal, the individual 472 indexes still play an important role when the synergistic index cannot solve this 473 problem. The advantages of the individual indexes can overcome the disadvantages of 474 the synergistic indexes and vice versa if used in combination [10...]. Therefore, using 475 these two index groups in combination is widely used in recent studies on heavy metal 476 contamination assessment. For instance, HPI, CF, and I_{geo} were used to assess the 477 heavy metal contamination levels of Cu, Ni, Fe, and Mn in the Swarnamukhi River 478 Basin in India [56•]. The contamination of heavy metals in the Korotoa River in 479 Bangladesh was evaluated using the *PLI*, *I*_{geo}, and *EF* [23]. Heavy metal 480 contamination in the Yangtze River's surface water was estimated by calculating EF, 481 I_{geo} , and DC [21]. The assessment from individuals to synergistic heavy metal 482 contamination in sediments of the Tigris River in Turkey was also conducted using 483 $EF, CF, PLI, and I_{geo}$ [24].

484 **5. Human health risk assessment indexes**

485 Because of the rapid increase in the effects of heavy metal pollution on human 486 health in recent times, the human health risk assessment of heavy metal exposure is 487 essential. Many adverse effects of heavy metals on human health, including 488 carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risks, have been reported [64]. Various methods 489 for estimating human health risks have been developed, including carcinogenicity and 490 non-carcinogenicity for both individual and cumulative effects [32]. Carcinogenic 491 risks are usually estimated by the lifetime cancer risk index (CR), and the cumulative 492 cancer risk (CCR) [65], as shown in Eq. (22) & (23). While the hazard quotient (HQ) 493 and the total hazard index (HI) are used to assess non-carcinogenic risks [64, 65], as

494 shown in Eq. (24) & (25). There are no adverse non-carcinogenic effects on human 495 health if *HQ* and *HI* values are ≤ 1 and possible negative health risks if *HQ* and *HI* 496 values are > 1. The carcinogenic risk is negligible if *CR_i* and *CCR* values are $\leq 10^{-6}$, 497 unacceptable if they are > 10⁻⁴, and acceptable if these values are between 10⁻⁶ and 10⁻ 498 ⁴.

$$499 CR_i = ADD_i \times CSF_i (22)$$

$$500 \qquad CCR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} CR_i \tag{23}$$

501
$$HQ_i = \frac{ADD_i}{RfD_i}$$
(24)

$$502 HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ_i (25)$$

503 where: "*n*" is the number of heavy metals; ADD_i is the average daily dose; CSF_i 504 is the cancer slope factor; RfD_i is the reference dose for each heavy metal "*i*".

505 The average daily dose (*ADD*) is usually estimated based on the exposure 506 pathway of heavy metals to humans. The main exposure pathways of heavy metals in 507 rivers to humans are food ingestion, water ingestion, and dermal contact while 508 swimming, and the equations to estimate *ADD* are Eq. (26), (27), & (28), respectively 509 [29, 15, 66].

511
$$ADD_{FI} = U \ge C_{food} \ge \frac{EF_{FI} \ge ED_{FI}}{AT \ge BW} (\text{mg kg}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1})$$
(26)

512 where: ADD_{FI} is the average daily dose of food ingestion; U is the food

513 ingestion rate; C_{food} is the concentration of heavy metals in food (mg kg⁻¹); EF_{FI} is the

514 exposure frequency ($EF_{FI} = 365 \text{ d yr}^{-1}$); ED_{FI} is the exposure duration; AT is the

515 averaging time ($AT = 365 \text{ d yr}^{-1} \text{ x } ED_{FI}$); BW is the body weight.

516 *Dermal contact while swimming:*

517
$$ADD_{DC} = SA \times PC \times CF \times C_{water} \times \frac{ET_{SW} \times EF_{SW} \times ED_{SW}}{AT \times BW} (\text{mg kg}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1})(27)$$

where: ADD_{DC} is the average daily dose for dermal contact during swimming; SA is the skin area available for contact; PC is the permeability constant of heavy metals (cm h⁻¹); CF is the unit conversion factor (CF = 1 L (1000 cm³)⁻¹); C_{water} is the heavy metal concentration in surface water; ET_{SW} is the exposure time for swimming;

522 EF_{SW} is the exposure frequency for swimming; ED_{SW} is the exposure duration.

523 Incidental water ingestion while swimming:

524
$$ADD_{WI} = CR \ge C_{water} \ge \frac{ET_{SW} \ge EF_{SW} \ge ED_{SW}}{AT \ge BW} (\text{mg kg}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1})$$

525 where ADD_{WI} is the average daily dose for incidental water ingestion during 526 swimming; *CR* is the contact rate while swimming.

(28)

Individual and cumulative human health risk assessments of heavy metal
contamination in the global rivers have been widely presented in previous studies [32,
64, 43]. For instance, human health risks due to fish consumption in the Yangtze
River, China, were estimated to pose adverse health effects to adults (HI = 2.17) [32].

531 Water consumption from the Pardo River, Brazil, is a health concern for the local

532 population due to the non-carcinogenic risks exceeding the maximum recommended

533 level [64]. Conclusions of the level of risk have been drawn based on the risk

534 quantification to provide accurate assessments. Combining the individual indexes

535 (CR_i, HQ_i) and the cumulative index (CCR, HI) in different exposure scenarios can

536 comprehensively assess heavy metal effects on human health.

6. Advantages and limitations of indexes and future perspectives

The use of indexes in assessing heavy metal contamination and its adverse effects on the ecosystem and human health is widely adopted for various advantages. For example, indexes are simple, easy-to-implement, and effective methods to provide preliminary assessments of the adverse impacts of contamination on ecosystems and human health. Calculating indexes may help to quantify the

543 magnitude of heavy metal contamination levels. This means that large data sets will 544 be represented in a simpler way that minimizes data volume. While complex 545 information is simplified, the index results can be easily communicated to the public. 546 However, using indexes to assess the contamination level and adverse effects 547 also present certain limitations. The calculation formulas of the indexes are built 548 based on some characteristics of the contaminants, such as heavy metal abundance in 549 the environment and normalization of heavy metal concentrations to background 550 concentrations. The calculation formulas of the indexes are also based on the different 551 types of samples (surface water or sediments). These bases oversimplify the 552 complexity of heavy metal pollution in the environment. In other words, the overall 553 assessment cannot be provided by an index. Besides, the lack of background values 554 for each locality leads to less accurate results. Choosing which background values and 555 standards to use for specific situations also often confuses decision-makers. 556 Therefore, future perspectives for overcoming current limitations in this 557 research area are proposed as follows: 558 a). Research on the quantification of the heavy metal background concentrations 559 needs to be promoted for each local area to provide the input data for the index 560 calculation methods. This helps the determination of pollution and risk levels of 561 heavy metals more accurately for each locality. 562 b). Standards, regulations, thresholds, and toxicity values for heavy metals need to be 563 continuously researched, developed, and updated to improve the reliability of the 564 heavy metal pollution assessment based on the index calculation. 565 c). To assess pollution or risk levels for several pollutants in multiple media, new 566 developments for multitasking and multipurpose indexes that can integrate several 567 purposes (e.g., assessing pollution or risk levels for the diversity of pollutants) and

568 can use in different environments (e.g., water, soil, and air), are highly

recommended in the near future.

d). Nowadays, with the development of computer science, the integration of the
indexes into models is an effective direction. This direction can help to limit errors
during the calculation process and save time.

573 **7. Conclusions**

574 In this study, basic information on heavy metals, their effects on ecosystems and

575 humans, and contamination status in rivers worldwide have been summarized.

576 Contamination and the effect levels of heavy metals on the environment are

577 increasing, especially in Asia, Africa, and Europe. This leads to more attention from

578 scientists on methods to assess the heavy metal contamination and effect levels.

579 Individual and synergistic indexes have been developed that are simple and efficient

assessment methods. The individual indexes, including I_{geo} , CF, EF, PCI, HQ, and

581 mHQ were used for each heavy metal. The synergistic indexes, including DC, mCd,

582 PLI, PI_{Nemerow}, MPI, MI, HPI, CSI, ECI, PERI, mRAC, mPEL_Q, mERM_Q were

583 employed for all heavy metals in the environment. The indexes can quantify the

584 contamination levels and the effects of heavy metals in rivers, contributing to having a

585 more accurate assessment. However, the lack of background values for the specific

areas limits these methods. This review provides comprehensive information on

587 heavy metal contaminations and their assessment methods. These results will be a

588 useful reference for future studies, especially for index calculation methods.

589 Acknowledgments

590 The authors are grateful to Dr. Nicholas Kiprotich Cheruiyot, from the

591 Department of Marine Environmental Engineering, National Kaohsiung University of

592 Science and Technology, Kaohsiung 81157, Taiwan (R.O.C), for the valuable

- 593 suggestions and English writing improvement to this manuscript. We acknowledge
- the support of time and facilities from Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology
- 595 (HCMUT), VNU-HCM for this study.
- 596

597 **Conflicts of interest declaration:**

- 598 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

601 **References**

- 602 Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:
- 603 Of importance
- 604 •• Of major importance
- 605 1. Khadse G, Patni P, Kelkar P, Devotta S. Qualitative evaluation of Kanhan 606 river and its tributaries flowing over central Indian plateau. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2008:147(1-3):83-92. 607 608 doi:http://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-0100-x. Selvi A, Rajasekar A, Theerthagiri J, Ananthaselvam A, Sathishkumar K, 609 2... Madhavan J, et al. Integrated remediation processes toward heavy metal 610 611 removal/recovery from various environments-a review. Frontiers in Environmental Science. 2019;7:66. 612 613 doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00066. This study provides the characteristics and sources of heavy metals in environments 614 Ashraf W. Accumulation of heavy metals in kidney and heart tissues of 615 3. Epinephelus microdon fish from the Arabian Gulf. Environmental Monitoring 616 617 and Assessment. 2005;101(1-3):311-6. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-618 005-0298-4. 619 4. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, Sutton DJ. Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. In: Luch A, editor. Molecular, Clinical and 620 621 Environmental Toxicology. Experientia Supplementum. vol 101. Basel, Switzerland: Springer; 2012. p. 133-64. 622 Jia Y, Wang L, Qu Z, Wang C, Yang Z. Effects on heavy metal accumulation 623 5. 624 in freshwater fishes: species, tissues, and sizes. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2017;24(10):9379-86. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-625 626 <u>017-</u>8606-4. 627 6. Jaishankar M, Tseten T, Anbalagan N, Mathew BB, Beeregowda KN. 628 Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. 629 Interdisciplinary Toxicology. 2014;7(2):60-72. 630 doi:https://doi.org/10.2478/intox-2014-0009. 7. Hakanson L. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A 631 632 sedimentological approach. Water Research. 1980;14(8):975-1001. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8. 633 Mohan SV, Nithila P, Reddy SJ. Estimation of heavy metals in drinking water 634 8. and development of heavy metal pollution index. Journal of Environmental 635 636 Science and Health Part A: Environmental Science and Engineering and 637 Toxicology. 1996;31(2):283-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529609376357. 638 639 9. Muller G, Chen C, Kao C, Chen C, Dong C. Schwermetalle in den sediments 640 des Rheins-Veranderungen seitt. Umschan. 1971;79:778-83. 641 10... Vu CT, Lin C, Shern CC, Yeh G, Tran HT. Contamination, ecological risk and source apportionment of heavy metals in sediments and water of a 642 643 contaminated river in Taiwan. Ecological Indicators. 2017;82:32-42. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.06.008. This study mentions 644 pollution index group classification and applies a combination of 645 646 individual and synergistic indexes to assess heavy metal pollution in a 647 river 648 Sinex S, Helz G. Regional geochemistry of trace elements in Chesapeake Bay 11. 649 sediments. Environmental Geology. 1981;3(6):315-23.

650	12.	Dauvalter V, Rognerud S. Heavy metal pollution in sediments of the Pasvik
651		River drainage. Chemosphere. 2001;42(1):9-18.
652		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00094-1.
653	13.	Finger SE, Farag AM, Nimick DA, Church SE, Sole TC. Synthesis of water,
654		sediment, and biological data using hazard quotients to assess ecosystem
655		health. US Geological Survey professional paper. 2004;1652:29-48.
656	14.••	Benson NU, Adedapo AE, Fred-Ahmadu OH, Williams AB, Udosen ED,
657		Aveiuvo OO, et al. New ecological risk indices for evaluating heavy metals
658		contamination in aquatic sediment: a case study of the Gulf of Guinea.
659		Regional Studies in Marine Science, 2018:18:44-56.
660		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2018.01.004. This article presents a list of
661		indexes for heavy metal pollution in aquatic sediment and their
662		application in case study
663	15	USHHS Health consultation: evaluation of surface water data Atlanta
664	10.	Georgia 30333 USA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
665		U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Division of Health
666		Assessment and Consultation 2006 August 8 Report No : NCD062555792
667	16	Abrahim G. Holocene sediments of Tamaki Estuary: Characterisation and
668	10.	impact of recent human activity on an urban estuary in Auckland [PhD] New
660		Zeoland: The University of Auckland: 2005
670	17	Tomlinson D. Wilson I. Harris C. Jeffrey D. Problems in the assessment of
671	17.	heavy metal levels in estuaries and the formation of a pollution index
672		Helgoland Marine Desearch, 1080:33(1):566-75
672		doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/PE02414780
674	19	Cooiro S. Costa MH. Pamos T. Fornandos F. Silvoira N. Coimbra A. et al
675	10.	Assassing beauty metal contamination in Sado Estuary sediment: an index
676		analysis approach. Ecological indicators, 2005;5(2):151-69
677		doj: https://doj.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.02.001
678	10	Deiman A Bidhandi GN Ardestani M Saeedi M Baghyand A A new index
670	19.	for assessing beauty metals contamination in sediments: a case study
680		Foological Indicators 2015:58:265-72
691		dei $http://dx dei erg/10.1016/i ecolind 2015.06.012$
692	20	Using UC Lin C Trop UT Ching CE Dui YT Chemiyot NK at al Hasur
082 692	20.•	motal contomination trands in surface water and addiments of a river in a
005 694		highly industrialized racion. Environmental Technology Innovation
004		2020-20.101042 deibttree//dei arg/10.1016/j.eti 2020.101042 Marry in James
083		2020;20:101045. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1010/j.ett.2020.101045</u> . Wany indexes
080 697	21	Wong H. Wong I. Liu D. Vu W. Shon 7. Spatial variation anyironmontal risk
600	21.	and biological based assessment of based metals in surface addiments of the
000		And biological hazard assessment of heavy metals in surface sediments of the
089		angle River estuary. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2015;95(1-2):250-8.
090 601	22	dol: <u>https://dol.org/10.1010/j.marpoibul.2015.01.020</u> .
691	22.	Chandrasekaran A, Mukesh M, Chidambaram S, Singarasubramanian S,
692 602		Rajendran S, Muthukumarasamy R, et al. Assessment of neavy metal
693		distribution pattern in the sediments of Tamirabarani river and estuary, east
694		coast of Tamil Nadu, India. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2015;73(5):2441-
695	22	52. doi: <u>nttp://doi.org/10.100//s12665-014-3593-y</u> .
696	23.	Islam NIS, Ahmed MIK, Kaknuzzaman M, Habibullah-Al-Mamun M, Islam
69/		MK. Heavy metal pollution in surface water and sediment: a preliminary
698		assessment of an urban river in a developing country. Ecological Indicators.
699		2015;48:282-91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.08.016.

700	24.	Varol M, Şen B. Assessment of nutrient and heavy metal contamination in
701		surface water and sediments of the upper Tigris River, Turkey. Catena.
702		2012;92:1-10. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2011.11.011</u> .
703	25.	Thuong NT, Yoneda M, Ikegami M, Takakura M. Source discrimination of
704		heavy metals in sediment and water of To Lich River in Hanoi City using
705		multivariate statistical approaches. Environmental Monitoring and
706		Assessment. 2013;185(10):8065-75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-013-
707		3155-x.
708	26.	Ji H, Li H, Zhang Y, Ding H, Gao Y, Xing Y. Distribution and risk assessment
709		of heavy metals in overlying water, porewater, and sediments of Yongding
710		River in a coal mine brownfield. Journal of Soils and Sediments.
711		2018:18(2):624-39. doi:http://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-017-1833-y.
712	27.	Mendez W. Contamination of Rimac River basin. Peru, due to mining tailings
713	_,,	[Master]. Stockholm, Sweden: The Royal Institute of Technology: 2005
714	28.	Yeh G Hoang HG Lin C Bui XT Tran HT Shern CC et al Assessment of
715	20.0	heavy metal contamination and adverse biological effects of an industrially
716		affected river Environmental Science and Pollution Research 2020:1-11
717		doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-07737-0. The using of common
718		indexes to assess the heavy metal contamination levels and advarse
710		biological offosts is presented in this article
720	20	Koki IB Bayero AS Umar A Vusuf S Health risk assessment of heavy
720	29.	motols in water, sir, soil and fish. African Journal of Dura Applied Chamistry
721		2015:0(11):204 10. doi:https://doi.org/10.5807/AIDAC2015.0654
722	20	2013,9(11).204-10. doi. <u>inups.//doi.org/10.369//AJFAC2013.0034</u> .
723	50.	of heavy motel pollution in surficial adiments of the Diver De Chemosphere
724		of heavy metal pollution in sufficial sediments of the River Po. Chemosphere. $2007.68(4).761.8$ doubt the $1/4$ doubt the 1
125	21	2007;08(4):701-8. doi: <u>nups://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.12.099</u> .
720	31.••	Li Y, Zhou Q, Ken B, Luo J, Yuan J, Ding A, et al. Trends and health fisks of
121		dissolved heavy metal pollution in global river and lake water from 1970 to
728		2017. In: Voogt Pd, editor. Reviews of Environmental Contamination and
729		Toxicology. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag; 2019. This review provides
/30		an overview of heavy metals pollution in surface water and sediments of
731	22	the river worldwide
732	32.	Y1 Y, Yang Z, Zhang S. Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in
733		sediment and human health risk assessment of heavy metals in fishes in the
734		middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River basin. Environmental
735		Pollution. 2011;159(10):2575-85.
736		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.011</u> .
737	33.	Zhen G, Li Y, Tong Y, Yang L, Zhu Y, Zhang W. Temporal variation and
738		regional transfer of heavy metals in the Pearl (Zhujiang) River, China.
739		Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2016;23(9):8410-20.
740		doi: <u>http://www.10.1007/s11356-016-6077-7</u> .
741	34.	Bhuiyan MAH, Dampare SB, Islam M, Suzuki S. Source apportionment and
742		pollution evaluation of heavy metals in water and sediments of Buriganga
743		River, Bangladesh, using multivariate analysis and pollution evaluation
744		indices. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2015;187(1):4075.
745		doi: <u>http://www.doi.org.10.1007/s10661-014-4075-0</u> .
746	35.	Rahman MS, Saha N, Molla AH. Potential ecological risk assessment of heavy
747		metal contamination in sediment and water body around Dhaka export
748		processing zone, Bangladesh. Environmental Earth Sciences.
749		2014;71(5):2293-308. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2631-5.

750	36.	Gupta SK, Chabukdhara M, Kumar P, Singh J, Bux F. Evaluation of
751		ecological risk of metal contamination in river Gomti, India: a biomonitoring
752		approach. Ecotoxicology Environmental Safety. 2014;110:49-55.
753		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.08.008.
754	37.	Mishra S, Kumar A, Yadav S, Singhal M. Assessment of heavy metal
755		contamination in water of Kali River using principle component and cluster
756		analysis, India. Sustainable Water Resources Management. 2017;4(3):573-81.
757		doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-017-0141-4.
758	38.	Dan'Azumi S, Bichi M. Industrial pollution and heavy metals profile of
759		Challawa River in Kano, Nigeria Journal of Applied Sciences in
760		Environmental Sanitation. 2010;5(1).
761	39.	Budambula N, Mwachiro E. Metal status of Nairobi river waters and their
762		bioaccumulation in Labeo cylindricus. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution.
763		2006;169(1-4):275-91. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-006-2294-x.
764	40.	USEPA. National recommended water quality criteria - Human health criteria
765		table. Washington, DC, USA: United States Environmental Protection
766		Agency; 2015.
767	41.	WHO. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Geneva 27, Switzerland: World
768		Health Organization; 2011.
769	42.	Garcia ME, Betancourt O, Cueva E, Gimaraes JR. Mining and seasonal
770		variation of the metals concentration in the Puyango River basin—Ecuador.
771		Journal of Environmental Protection. 2012;3:1542-50.
772		doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jep.2012.311170.
773	43.	Gutiérrez RL, Rubio-Arias H, Quintana R, Ortega JA, Gutierrez M. Heavy
774		metals in water of the San Pedro River in Chihuahua, Mexico and its potential
775		health risk. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public
776		Health. 2008;5(2):91-8. doi: <u>http://www.doi.org.10.3390/ijerph5020091</u>
777	44.	Custodio M, Álvarez D, Cuadrado W, Montalvo R, Ochoa S. Potentially toxic
778		metals and metalloids in surface water intended for human consumption and
779		other uses in the Mantaro River watershed, Peru. Soil and Water Research.
780		2020;15(4):237-45. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.17221/152/2019-SWR</u> .
781	45.	USEPA. Screening level ecological risk assessment protocol for hazardous
782		waste combustion facilities. Washington, DC, USA: United States
783		Environmental Protection Agency, Solid Waste and Emergency
784		Response;1999 August. Report No.: EPA530-D-99-001C.
785	46.	Gielar A, Helios-Rybicka E, Möller S, Einax JW. Multivariate analysis of
786		sediment data from the upper and middle Odra River (Poland). Applied
787		Geochemistry. 2012;27(8):1540-5.
788		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2012.04.004</u> .
789	47.	Woitke P, Wellmitz J, Helm D, Kube P, Lepom P, Litheraty P. Analysis and
790		assessment of heavy metal pollution in suspended solids and sediments of the
791		river Danube. Chemosphere. 2003;51(8):633-42.
792		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00217-0</u> .
793	48.	Morillo J, Usero J, Gracia I. Heavy metal fractionation in sediments from the
794		Tinto River (Spain). International Journal of Environmental Analytical
795		Chemistry. 2002;82(4):245-57.
796		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067310290009523</u> .
797	49.	Long ER, MacDonald DD, Smith SL, Calder FD. Incidence of adverse
798		biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and

799		estuarine sediments. Environmental Management. 1995;19(1):81-97.
800		doi:https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2FBF02472006.pdf.
801	50.	Ali MM, Ali ML, Islam MS, Rahman MZ. Preliminary assessment of heavy
802		metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh, Environmental
803		Nanotechnology Monitoring & Management 2016;5:27-35
804		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/i.enmm.2016.01.002.
805	51	Turekian KK Wedepohl KH Distribution of the elements in some major units
806	011	of the earth's crust. Geological Society of America Bulletin. 1961;72(2):175-
807		92. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1961)72[175:DOTEIS]2.0.CO;2</u> .
808	52.	Taylor S. Abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust: a new
809		table. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. 1964;28(8):1273-85.
810	53.	Rudnick R, Gao S. Composition of the continental crust. The crust, vol 3.
811		Oxford, UK: Elsevier; 2003.
812	54.	Nemerow NL. Stream, lake, estuary, and ocean pollution. 2nd edition ed. New
813		York, US: Van Nostrand Reinhold; 1991.
814	55.	Brady JP, Ayoko GA, Martens WN, Goonetilleke A. Development of a hybrid
815		pollution index for heavy metals in marine and estuarine sediments.
816		Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2015:187(5):306.
817		doi:http://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4563-x.
818	56.	Patel P. Raju NJ. Reddy BSR. Suresh U. Sankar D. Reddy T. Heavy metal
819	2011	contamination in river water and sediments of the Swarnamukhi River Basin
820		India: risk assessment and environmental implications Environmental
821		Geochemistry and Health 2018:40(2):609-23
822		doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-017-0006-7. The application of many
022		doi. <u>mps.//doi.org/10.100//310055/01//0000/7</u> . The application of many
823		indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated
823 824		indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study
823 824 825	57	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M. Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to
823 824 825 826	57.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological
823 824 825 826 827	57.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators, 2015;58:235-43, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047
823 824 825 826 827 828	57.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER, Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients:
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 	57. 58.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 	57. 58.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 	57. 58.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u> .
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 	57. 58. 59.	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 832 	57. 58. 59.	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u>. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:<u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u>. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chamistry. 2000:10(10):2598.601
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 	57. 58. 59.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u> . Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/ata 5620101028
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 	57. 58. 59.	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u> . Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028</u> . Yan N, Liu W, Yia H, Goo L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 826 	57. 58. 59.	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u>. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:<u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u>. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:<u>https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028</u>. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and accessment of beaux matchs in the surface sediment of Yallow Piver. China
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 827 	57. 58. 59.	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Lournal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;20:45, 51.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 828 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 820 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal rick restartial in Parcentry Biver metar (Antelne Turker). Environmental
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 	indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u> . Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u> . Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi: <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028</u> . Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi: <u>http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3</u> .
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 842 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047</u>. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:<u>https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d</u>. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:<u>https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028</u>. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi:<u>http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3</u>. Milivojević J, Krstić D, Šmit B, Djekić V. Assessment of heavy metal
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi:http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3. Milivojević J, Krstić D, Šmit B, Djekić V. Assessment of heavy metal contamination and calculation of its pollution index for Uglješnica River,
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi:http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3. Milivojević J, Krstić D, Šmit B, Djekić V. Assessment of heavy metal contamination and calculation of its pollution index for Uglješnica River, Serbia. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi:http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3. Milivojević J, Krstić D, Šmit B, Djekić V. Assessment of heavy metal contamination and calculation of its pollution index for Uglješnica River, Serbia. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2016;97(5):737-42.
 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 	 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 	 indexes to assess the pollution level of heavy metals in rivers is indicated in this study Saeedi M, Jamshidi-Zanjani A. Development of a new aggregative index to assess potential effect of metals pollution in aquatic sediments. Ecological Indicators. 2015;58:235-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.047. Long ER. Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006;40(6):1726-36. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1021/es058012d. Long ER, MacDonald DD, Severn CG, Hong CB. Classifying probabilities of acute toxicity in marine sediments with empirically derived sediment quality guidelines. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000;19(10):2598-601. doi:https://www.doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620191028. Yan N, Liu W, Xie H, Gao L, Han Y, Wang M, et al. Distribution and assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment of Yellow River, China. Journal of Environmental Sciences. 2016;39:45-51. Cengiz MF, Kilic S, Yalcin F, Kilic M, Yalcin MG. Evaluation of heavy metal risk potential in Bogacayi River water (Antalya, Turkey). Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2017;189(6):248. doi:http://www.10.1007/s10661-017-5925-3. Milivojević J, Krstić D, Šmit B, Djekić V. Assessment of heavy metal contamination and calculation of its pollution index for Uglješnica River, Serbia. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2016;97(5):737-42. Qu L, Huang H, Xia F, Liu Y, Dahlgren RA, Zhang M, et al. Risk analysis of

848		the Wen-Rui Tang River, China. Environmental Pollution. 2018;237:639-49.
849		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.02.020.
850	64.	Alves RI, Sampaio CF, Nadal M, Schuhmacher M, Domingo JL, Segura-
851		Muñoz SI. Metal concentrations in surface water and sediments from Pardo
852		River, Brazil: human health risks. Environmental Research. 2014:133:149-55.
853		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/i.envres.2014.05.012.
854	65.	Ahmed MK, Baki MA, Islam MS, Kundu GK, Habibullah-Al-Mamun M.
855		Sarkar SK, et al. Human health risk assessment of heavy metals in tropical fish
856		and shellfish collected from the river Buriganga Bangladesh Environmental
857		Science and Pollution Research 2015:22(20):15880-90
858		doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-4813-7
859	66	USEPA Risk assessment guidance for superfund volume I: human health
860	00.	evaluation manual (Part F. Supplemental guidance for dermal risk
861		assessment) Washington DC USA: United States Environmental Protection
862		Agency Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation 2004
862		July Deport No : EDA /540/D/00/005
864	67	July, Report No., El A/340/R/33/003. Kumar V. Sharma A. Kumar D. Bhardwai D. Kumar Thukral A. Dodrigo
00 4 965	07.	Comine I. Assessment of beauty metal pollution in three different Indian water
80J 866		bodies by combination of multivariate analysis and water pollution indices
000 967		Juman and Eaclagical Dick Assassment, An International Journal
00/		Authan and Ecological Risk Assessment. An International Journal.
808	(0	2018;26(1):1-16. doi: nttps://doi.org/10.1080/1080/1039.2018.149/946.
869	68.	Pandey M, Pandey AK, Mishra A, Tripathi B. Assessment of metal species in
8/0		river Ganga sediment at Varanasi, India using sequential extraction procedure
8/1		and SEM–EDS. Chemosphere. 2015;134:466-74.
872	<i>c</i> 0	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.04.04/</u> .
8/3	69.	Nazeer S, Hashmi MZ, Malik RN. Heavy metals distribution, risk assessment
8/4		and water quality characterization by water quality index of the River Soan,
875		Pakistan. Ecological Indicators. 2014;43:262-70.
876	-	doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.03.010</u> .
877	70.	Nasrabadi T. An index approach to metallic pollution in river waters.
878		International Journal of Environmental Research. 2015;9(1):385-94.
879	71.	Goher ME, Hassan AM, Abdel-Moniem IA, Fahmy AH, El-sayed SM.
880		Evaluation of surface water quality and heavy metal indices of Ismailia Canal,
881		Nile River, Egypt. The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research.
882		2014;40(3):225-33. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2014.09.001</u> .
883	72.	Banzi FP, Msaki PK, Mohammed NK. Assessment of heavy metal
884		concentration in water around the proposed Mkuju River Uranium Project in
885		Tanzania. Tanzania Journal of Science. 2015;41(1):8-18.
886	73.	Krika A, Krika F. Evaluation of the status of heavy metal pollution in surface
887		water and sediments of the Nil River (North Eastern Algeria). Pollution.
888		2017;3(2):301-10. doi: <u>http://www.doi.org.10.7508/pj.2017.02.011</u> .
889	74.	Reyhani P, Ansari MR, Saeb K, Tonekabon I. Assessment of heavy metals
890		contamination in surface water of the upstream Sardabrud River, North of
891		Iran. Life Science Journal. 2013;10(7s).
892	75.	Mendiguchía C, Moreno C, García-Vargas M. Evaluation of natural and
893		anthropogenic influences on the Guadalquivir River (Spain) by dissolved
894		heavy metals and nutrients. Chemosphere. 2007;69(10):1509-17.
895		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.05.082.

896	76.	Aguasanta S, Miguel N, Manuel O, Carlos C. Environmental impact of mining
897		activities in the Odiel river basin (SW Spain). Mine Water and the
898		Environment. 2005;24(1):1.
899	77.	Masson M, Blanc G, Schäfer J. Geochemical signals and source contributions
900		to heavy metal (Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu) fluxes into the Gironde Estuary via its major
901		tributaries. Science of the Total Environment. 2006;370(1):133-46.
902		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.06.011</u> .
903	78.	Annibaldi A, Illuminati S, Truzzi C, Libani G, Scarponi G. Pb, Cu and Cd
904		distribution in five estuary systems of Marche, central Italy. Marine Pollution
905		Bulletin. 2015;96(1-2):441-9.
906		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.05.008</u> .
907	79.	Maloku F, Ahmeti A, Kopali A, Doko A, Malltezi J, Brahushi F, et al. Water
908		and sediment heavy metal pollution in Ereniku River of Kosovo. Albanian
909		Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015;14(2):137.
910	80.	Bussan DD, Ochs CA, Jackson CR, Anumol T, Snyder SA, Cizdziel JV.
911		Concentrations of select dissolved trace elements and anthropogenic organic
912		compounds in the Mississippi River and major tributaries during the summer
913		of 2012 and 2013. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.
914		2017;189(2):73. doi: <u>http://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5785-x</u> .
915	81.	Mathis B, Cummings T. Selected metals in sediments, water, and biota in the
916		Illinois River. Journal (Water Pollution Control Federation). 1973;45:1573-83.
917	82.	Gaillardet J, Viers J, Dupré B. Trace elements in river waters. Treatise on
918		Geochemistry. 2003;5:605. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-</u>
919		<u>6/05165-3</u> .
920	83.	Fu J, Zhao C, Luo Y, Liu C, Kyzas GZ, Luo Y, et al. Heavy metals in surface
921		sediments of the Jialu River, China: their relations to environmental factors.
922		Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2014;270:102-9.
923		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.01.044</u> .
924	84.	Liu C, Xu J, Liu C, Zhang P, Dai M. Heavy metals in the surface sediments in
925		Lanzhou Reach of Yellow River, China. Bulletin of Environmental
926		Contamination and Toxicology. 2009;82(1):26-30.
927		doi: <u>http://www.doi.org.10.1007/s00128-008-9563-x</u> .
928	85.	Li X, Liu L, Wang Y, Luo G, Chen X, Yang X, et al. Integrated assessment of
929		heavy metal contamination in sediments from a coastal industrial basin, NE
930		China. PLOS One. 2012;7(6):e39690.
931		doi: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039690.
932	86.	Giri S, Singh AK, Tewary B. Source and distribution of metals in bed
933		sediments of Subarnarekha River, India. Environmental Earth Sciences.
934		2013;70(7):3381-92. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2404-1</u> .
935	87.	Nasrabadi T, Bidhendi GN, Karbassi A, Mehrdadi N. Evaluating the
936		efficiency of sediment metal pollution indices in interpreting the pollution of
937		Haraz River sediments, southern Caspian Sea basin. Environmental
938		Monitoring and Assessment. 2010;171(1-4):395-410.
939		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-1286-x</u> .
940	88.	Al-Haidarey M, Hassan F, Al-Kubaisey A, Douabul A. The geoaccumulation
941		index of some heavy metals in Al-Hawizeh Marsh, Iraq. Journal of Chemistry.
942		2010;7(S1):S157-S62.
943	89.	Abdo M, El-Nasharty S. Physico-chemical evaluations and trace metals
944		distribution in water-surficial sediment of Ismailia Canal, Egypt. Nature and
945		Science. 2010;8(5):198-206.

 947 Della, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research. 2005;31:16-28. 948 91. Godwin AI. Assessment of heavy metals associated with bottom sediment of Asejire Reservoir, Southwest Nigeria. International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2016;55:9-16. 951 doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.55.9. 952 92. Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhevwe O. Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/cjesm.v3i3.63959. 953 Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. 959 94. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 959 96. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. 967 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 968 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.5003.065.009. 975 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.502.03.065.009. 976 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sedi	946	90.	Rifaat A. Major controls of some metals distribution in sediments off the Nile
 Godwin AL Assessment of heavy metals associated with bottom sediment of Asejire Reservoir, Southwest Nigeria. International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2016;55:9-16. doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/LNS.55.9. Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhewe O. Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilurmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinä M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2002;202(1- 92):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(00000597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. 907 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tree sriver sediments. As desiment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. Huiyo	947		Delta, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research. 2005;31:16-28.
 Asejire Reservoir, Southwest Nigeria. International Letters of Natural Sciences. 2016;55:9-16. doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.55.9. Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhe vwe O. Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilnrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Sheff Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Sheff Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(00)00597-0. Kuson-	948	91.	Godwin AI. Assessment of heavy metals associated with bottom sediment of
 Sciences. 2016;55:9-16. doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/IL.NS.55.9. Ekcanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhe vwe O, Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v33.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/st00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal paritioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Unal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilurmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina L. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 0): 21:75-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)0057-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.09. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.05(3017-39);194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(90)05381-8. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissus in th	949		Asejire Reservoir, Southwest Nigeria. International Letters of Natural
 doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.55.9. Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirherwe O. Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ. Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;12(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Unal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşihrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-9. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)05381-8. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992-1993. Archives of	950		Sciences. 2016;55:9-16.
 Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhevwe O. Trace metals distribution in fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Unal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;26(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(90)0581-8. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environmental 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0248-9697(90)05381-8. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;52(3):2246-59	951		doi:http://www.doi.org.10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.55.9.
 fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:http://woi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ftc.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cts.2003.06.009. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cts.2003.06.009. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.39(5)(19):45-53. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et	952	92.	Ekeanyanwu C, Ogbuinyi C, Etienajirhevwe O. Trace metals distribution in
 Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. Lalab J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Ycşilrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavins M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parcle E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):129-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S048-9697(90)65381-8. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, utsa, 1992-1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Boroto J, et al. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin i	953		fish tissues, bottom sediments and water from Okumeshi River in Delta State,
 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. 2010;3(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959. 2038;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. 94. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1-2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its costatl environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992-1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marru	954		Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management.
 93. Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. 94. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 95. Mendil D, Unal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(90)05381-8. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees rive sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(90)5381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992-1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negret J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Diez S. A	955		2010;3(3). doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/ejesm.v3i3.63959</u> .
 Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of theavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. Marugo-Negret J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Sc	956	93.	Lalah J, Ochieng E, Wandiga S. Sources of heavy metal input into Winam
 2008;81(3):277-84. doi:http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3. 94. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşihrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-9697(96)05381-8. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9679(96)5381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia.	957		Gulf, Kenya. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology.
 94. González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB. Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Y eşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 101. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of trace verter pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan R	958		2008;81(3):277-84. doi: <u>http://www.10.1007/s00128-008-9452-3</u> .
 Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. Kaageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Teser vier sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. Olivares-Rieumont S, De Ia Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution	959	94.	González AE, Rodríguez MT, Sá JJ, Espinosa AF, De La Rosa FB.
 961 (Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 964 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fet.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 97. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De Ia Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution reco	960		Assessment of metals in sediments in a tributary of Guadalquiver River
 962 system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29. doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 963 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 968 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 97. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De Ia Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(10):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Appl	961		(Spain). Heavy metal partitioning and relation between the water and sediment
 963 doi:https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514. 95. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşihrmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 968 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 978 99. Heimy JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.10	962		system. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution. 2000;121(1-4):11-29.
 96. Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. 96. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. 97. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. 97. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 98. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)	963		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005203012514</u> .
 965 different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 966 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. 974 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(90)00597-0. 975 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 978 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M,	964	95.	Mendil D, Ünal ÖF, Tüzen M, Soylak M. Determination of trace metals in
 966 Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92. 967 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 968 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy 969 metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 971 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and 972 sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. 973 Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. 974 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 975 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to 976 Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. 977 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 978 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, 980 USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi	965		different fish species and sediments from the River Yeşilırmak in Tokat,
 967 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006. 968 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 971 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. 974 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 975 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. 976 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 978 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Goochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	966		Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1383-92.
 96. Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 97. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 97. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	967		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.03.006</u> .
 969 metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1- 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 971 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. 974 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 975 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. 977 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 978 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	968	96.	Klavinš M, Briede A, Rodinov V, Kokorite I, Parele E, Klavina I. Heavy
 2):175-83. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0. 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	969		metals in rivers of Latvia. Science of the Total Environment. 2000;262(1-
 97. Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 97. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 97. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0833-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	970		2):175-83. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00597-0</u> .
 sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment. Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	971	97.	Karageorgis A, Nikolaidis N, Karamanos H, Skoulikidis N. Water and
 Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 97. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	972		sediment quality assessment of the Axios River and its coastal environment.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009. 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	973		Continental Shelf Research. 2003;23(17-19):1929-44.
 98. Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	974		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.06.009</u> .
 Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	975	98.	Hudson-Edwards K, Macklin M, Taylor M. Historic metal mining inputs to
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8. 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	976		Tees river sediment. Science of the Total Environment. 1997;194:437-45.
 99. Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	977		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(96)05381-8</u> .
 by trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin, USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	978	99.	Heiny JS, Tate C. Concentration, distribution, and comparison of selected
 USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	979		trace elements in bed sediment and fish tissue in the South Platte River Basin,
 1997;32(3):246-59. 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4</u>. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	980		USA, 1992–1993. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology.
 982 100. Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J, 983 et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- 984 Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 985 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. 986 Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin 987 impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 988 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4</u>. 989 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez 990 M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the 991 Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 992 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. 993 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, 994 Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	981	100	1997;32(3):246-59.
983et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments- Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53.984Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53.985101.986Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S.986Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin987impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research.9882021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4.989102.989102.990M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry.9922003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8.993103.994Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal	982	100.	Olivares-Rieumont S, De la Rosa D, Lima L, Graham DW, Katia D, Borroto J,
 Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53. 101. Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernández J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Díez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	983		et al. Assessment of heavy metal levels in Almendares River sediments-
 Marrugo-Negrete J, Pinedo-Hernandez J, Marrugo-Madrid S, Diez S. Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological risks in a river basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	984	101	Havana City, Cuba. Water Research. 2005;39(16):3945-53.
 Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological fisks in a fiver basin impacted by mining in Colombia. Environmental Science Pollution Research. 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4</u>. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	905	101.	Martugo-Negrete J, Pilledo-Hernandez J, Martugo-Madrid S, Diez S.
 987 Inipacted by Initing In Colonibla. Environmental Science Polituon Research. 988 2021;28(1):201-10. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10356-4</u>. 989 102. Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez 990 M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the 991 Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 992 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. 993 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, 994 Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	900		Assessment of trace element pollution and ecological fisks in a fiver basin
 Ruiz-Fernández A, Hillaire-Marcel C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Soto-Jiménez M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	907		2021:28(1):201 10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356.020.10356.4
 M. Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	080	102	Ruiz-Fernández A Hillaire-Marcel C Páez-Osuna E Ghaleh B Soto-Iimánez
 991 Culiacan River Estuary, Northwestern Mexico. Applied Geochemistry. 992 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. 993 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, 994 Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	990	102.	M Historical trends of metal pollution recorded in the sediments of the
 2003;18(4):577-88. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8</u>. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	991		Culiacan River Estuary Northwestern Mexico Annlied Geochemistry
 993 103. Aguilar Pesantes A, Peña Carpio E, Vitvar T, María Mahamud López M, 994 Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal 	997		2003·18(4)·577-88 doi·https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00117-8
994 Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal	993	103.	Aguilar Pesantes A. Peña Carpio E. Vitvar T. María Mahamud López M.
	994		Menéndez-Aguado JM. A Multi-Index Analysis Approach to Heavy Metal

995		Pollution Assessment in River Sediments in the Ponce Enríquez Area,
996		Ecuador. Water. 2019;11(3):590. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030590</u> .
997	104.	Martin J-M, Meybeck M. Elemental mass-balance of material carried by major
998		world rivers. Marine Chemistry. 1979;7(3):173-206.
999		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(79)90039-2.
1000	105.	Simex S, Helz G. Regional geochemistry of trace elements in Chesapeake
1001		Bay. Environmental Geology. 1981;3(6):315-23.
1002		

1003 List of Tables

- 1004
- 1005 Table 1. Heavy metal contamination in (a) surface water and (b) sediments of global
- 1006 rivers
- 1007 Table 2. Classifications of heavy metal contamination and adverse effect levels on
- 1008 ecosystem based on assessment indexes

1009	Table 1. Heavy meta	l contamination in ((a) surface water and	(b) sediments of global rivers
------	---------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------

1/	110)
11	л)

a)										
Region	Heavy metal concentration in surface water (mg L^{-1})	As	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Ni	Zn	Hg	References
	Yangtze River, China	0.00097	0.0004	0.0013	0.0028	0.002	-	0.031	0.00004	[32]
	Wen-Rui Tang River, China	-	0.00098	0.00532	0.0209	0.00423	-	0.0721	0.00003	[63]
	Beijiang River, China	0.01953	0.00043	-	0.003	0.00224	-	0.01636	0.00002	[33]
	Gomti River, India	-	0.1	-	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.07	-	[36]
	Beas River, India	-	0.005	0.031	0.004	0.081	-	0.22	-	[67]
	Kali River, India	-	0.06	0.06	-	0.13	-	21.71	-	[37]
Asia	Ganga, India	-	0.02141	0.0502	0.03128	0.09578	0.04052	0.05041	-	[68]
	Buriganga River, Bangladesh	-	0.059	0.114	0.239	0.119	0.015	0.33	-	[34]
	Bangshi River, Bangladesh	0.024	0.007	0.093	1.05	0.108	0.035	3.32	-	[35]
	To Lich River, Vietnam	-	-	0.0029	0.0045	0.0081	-	0.0511	-	[25]
	Soan River, Pakistan	-	-	0.01	0.02	0.65	-	0.015	-	[69]
	Haraz River, Iran	0.05535	0.00265	-	0.01325	0.0044	-	0.05275	-	[70]
	Asia rivers and lakes $(1970 - 2017)$	-	0.01071	0.12804	0.03759	0.03605	0.09162	0.20805	-	[31••]
	Ismailia Canal, Egypt	-	0.00045	-	0.007	0.018	-	0.015	-	[71]
	Nile River, Egypt	-	0.0045	-	0.007	0.018	0.01	0.015	-	[71]
	Challawa River, Nigeria	-	-	0.924	0.39	0.84	0.21	2.227	-	[38]
Africa	Nairobi River, Kenya	-	-	1.11	0.05	7.5	-	0.48	-	[39]
	Mkuju River, Tanzania	0.009785	0.00008	0.01008	0.0095	0.03935	0.00495	0.03247	-	[72]
	Nil River, Algeria	-	0.00032	-	0.000061	0.00058	-	0.00038	-	[73]
	Africa rivers and lakes (1970 - 2017)	-	0.003	0.03694	0.03417	0.03405	0.02973	0.05918	-	[31••]
	Mala Welna River, Poland	-	0.003	0.009	0.089	0.04	0.015	0.115	-	[74]
Europe	Guadalquivir River, Spain	-	0.000015	-	0.00264	0.000178	-	0.00158	-	[75]
	Odiel River, Spain	4.686	0.589	0.18	122	1.985	4.429	466	-	[76]

	Tigris River, Turkey	0.0024	0.0014	< 0.005	0.1650	0.0003	0.0720	0.0370	-	[24]
	Bogacayi River, Turkey	0.00043	0.00023	0.0032	0.00092	0.00048	0.00347	-	-	[61]
	Gironde Estuary, France	-	0.00005	-	0.001403	0.000242	-	0.0061	-	[77]
	Estuary of Marche, Italy	-	0.000045	-	-	0.000315	-	0.002183	-	[78]
	Uglješnica River, Serbia	0.0002	0.01473	-	0.00408	0.04855	-	0.00998	0.00022	[62]
	Erenik River, Kosovo	-	0.007	0.029	0.044	0.014	-	0.042	-	[79]
	Europe rivers and lakes $(1970 - 2017)$	-	0.00062	0.00725	0.00848	0.00757	0.00395	0.09607	-	[31••]
South	Pardo River, Brazil	0.00214	0.00005	0.00188	0.00328	0.0018	0.00975	0.0133	-	[64]
America	South American rivers and lakes $(1970 - 2017)$	-	0.00271	0.01248	0.01535	0.0325	0.01087	0.08347	-	[31••]
	Mississippi River, US	-	0.00057	0.0002	0.0021	0.00031	-	0.0016	-	[80]
North America	Illinois River, US	-	0.0006	0.021	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.031	-	[81]
	North American rivers and lakes $(1970 - 2017)$	-	0.00361	0.02664	0.03813	0.02483	0.00584	0.19693	-	[31••]
Latin	San Pedro River, Mexico	0.16	0.014	0.212	0.2	-	0.3	-	-	[43]
America	Chanchas River, Peru	0.0143	-	-	0.00257	0.00101	-	0.000375		[44]
Caribbean	Puyango River Basin, Ecuador	0.015465	-	-	-	0.0274	-	-	0.0000046	[42]
World avera	age	0.00062	0.00008	-	0.00168	0.000079	-	0.0006	-	[82]
	Fresh toxicity reference values	0.15	0.002	0.011	0.009	0.003	0.052	0.018	-	[45]
Standards	Human health ambient water quality criteria of US EPA	0.000018	-	-	1.3	-	0.61	7.4	-	[40]
	WHO's drinking water quality guidelines	0.01	0.003	0.05	2	0.01	0.07	-	0.006	[41]

b)

Region	Heavy metal concentration in the sediments (mg kg^{-1} dry wt.)	As	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Ni	Zn	Hg	References
	Yangtze River, China	25.8567	0.4200	58.4667	46.4733	37.7533	-	148.8100	0.1933	[32]
	Jialu River, China	-	2.93	60.8	39.22	29.35	42.44	107.58	-	[83]
Asia	Yongding River, China	-	-	47.61	24.71	35.47	40.45	94.75	-	[26]
	Yellow River, China	31	-	84.5	-	52	-	-	31	[84]
	Lianshan River, China	-	53.18	-	116.50	112.28	57.41	633.85	-	[85]

	Gomti River, India	-	5.0	16.2	23.2	46.2	23.9	76.3	-	[36]
	Subarnarekha River, India	-		111	69	75	42	100	-	[86]
	Swarnamukhi River Basin, India	-	0.2	85.25	100.9	21.39	2.43	63.4	-	[56•]
	Ganga, India	-	79.07	190.4	43.0	210.615	57.74	231.88	-	[68]
	Buriganga River, Bangladesh	15.54	7.74	530	62.1	65.16	47	52.975	-	[34]
	Korotoa River, Bangladesh	27.00	2.8	118	82	63	103	-	-	[23]
	Bangshi River, Bangladesh	1.93	0.61	98	-	60	-	-	-	[35]
	To Lich River, Vietnam	83.90	4.4	107.9	87.7	67.1	64.8	477.9	-	[25]
	Soan River, Pakistan	-	1.37	10.73	17.64	27.86	28.00	45.18	-	[69]
	Haraz River, Iran	33.55	3.50	28.05	32.10	26.35	43.55	73.80	-	[87]
	Al-Hawizeh Marsh, Iraq	3939.6	42.5	419.1	145.1	1602.4	-	-	-	[88]
	Ismailia Canal, Egypt	232.50	5.40	-	44.70	26.60	38.40	110.60	-	[89]
	Nile River, Egypt	-	-	274	81	23.2	112	221	-	[90]
	Asejire Reservoir, Nigeria	-	-	0.03	43.68	72.02	0.05	20.86	-	[91]
Africa	Qua Iboe River, Nigeria	-	5.67	28.52	43.72	231.52	2.6	-	-	[14••]
	Okumeshi River, Nigeria	-	1.32	0.87	-	0.45	-	-	-	[92]
	Winam Gulf, Kenya	-	4.8	46.1	71.5	82.5	-	170.0	-	[93]
	Nil River, Algeria	-	2.34	-	38.38	61.50	96.20	-	-	[73]
	Odra River, Poland	95.33	8.47	64.67	99.33	113.33	51.00	1054.67	-	[46]
	Guadaira River, Spain	2	3	38	25	20	37	51	-	[94]
	Tinto River, Spain	-	12	151	2700	13,400	36	5280	-	[48]
	Tigris River, Turkey	12.44	7.90	158.35	2860.25	660.11	534.58	1061.54	-	[24]
	Yeşilırmak River, Turkey	-	0.55	-	38.7	17.3	79.2	45.5	-	[95]
	Gironde Estuary, France	-	1.11	-	36.62	58.99		235.08	-	[77]
Europe	Rivers of Latvia, Latvia	-	0.99	-	14.08	21.10	21.96		-	[96]
	River Po, Italy	-	3.7	-	90.1	98.5	161	645	-	[30]
	Lambro River, Italy	-	2.1	-	90.1	98.5	161.0	305.0	-	[30]
	Uglješnica River, Serbia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	[62]
	Pasvik River, N. Fennoscandia	-	3.84	-	6495	62	6490	439	-	[12]
	Danube River, Central and western Europe	388	32.9	556.5	8088	541.8	173.3	2010	-	[47]
	Erenik River, Kosovo	-	-	625.0	62.3	14.8	-	157.0	-	[79]

	Axios River, Greece	40	11	180	93	140	188	271	-	[97]
	Tees River, UK	-	5.95	-	76.9	6880	-	1920	-	[98]
South America	Pardo River, Brazil	0.68	0.045	24.525	17.735	8.27	6.75	34.86	-	[64]
North	Illinois River, US	-	2	-	19	28	-	81	-	[81]
America	South Platte River, US	31	22	71	480	270	-	3700	-	[99]
	Rimac River, Peru	1543	31	71	796	2281	23	8076	-	[27]
Latin	Almendares River, Cuba	-	4.3	23.4	420.8	189	-	708.8	-	[100]
America	San Jorge River, Colombia	1.8	1159	-	6656	7.2	105	1064	0.31	[101]
Caribbean	Culiacan River Estuary, Mexico	-	0.55	-	27.95	29.2	45.85	115.5	-	[102]
	Siete River, Ecuador	842.8	0.73	-	483.7	20.3	5960.9	132.5	1	[103]
World sedin	nent river average	-	1	100	100	150	90	350	-	[404]
Surface rock	x average	-	0.13	97	32	20	49	129	-	- [104]
	NOAA ERL ^a	8.2	1.2	81	34	46.7	20.9	150	0.15	[49]
Standards	NOAA ERM ^a	70	9.6	370	270	218	51.6	410	0.71	[49]
	TR V ^b	6	0.6	26	16	31	16	110	-	[45]

1012 "-" Not available; "National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s effects range low (ERL) and effects range median (ERM); "Freshwater sediment toxicity reference value

1013 Table 2. Classifications of heavy metal contamination and adverse effect levels on

Index	Classification	Contamination degree	References
	$I_{geo} < 0$	Uncontaminated	
	$0 \le I_{geo} < 1$	Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated	
	$1 \leq I_{geo} < 2$	Moderately contaminated	
Igeo	$2 \leq I_{geo} < 3$	Moderately to heavily contaminated	[9]
0	$3 \leq I_{geo} < 4$	Heavily contaminated	
	$4 < I_{geo} < 5$	Heavily to extremely contaminated	
	$I_{geo} > 5$	Extremely contaminated	
	CF < 1	Low degree	
	$1 \le CF \le 3$	Moderate degree	[7]
CF	$3 \le CF \le 6$	Considerable degree	[.]
	$CF \ge 6$	Very high degree	
	EF < 2	No enrichment	
	$2 \le EF \le 5$	Moderate enrichment	
EF	$5 \le EF \le 20$	Significant enrichment	[105]
21	$20 \le FF \le 40$	Very high enrichment	[105]
	EF > 40	Extremely high enrichment	
	PCL < 1	Low contamination	
PCI	$1 \le PCL \le 3$	Moderate contamination	[12]
101	PCI > 3	Severe or very severe contamination	[12]
	$\frac{ICI \geq J}{HO < 0.1}$	No adverse affects	
	HQ < 0.1	Detential hozorda	
HQ	$0.1 \le \Pi Q < 1$	Potential nazarda	[13]
	$1 \le \Pi Q < 10$	Moderate nazards	
	$HQ \ge 10$	High nazards	
	mHQ < 0.5	Nil to very low severity of contamination	
	0.5 < mHQ < 1	Very low severity of contamination	
	1 < mHQ < 1.5	Low severity of contamination	
mHO	1.5 < mHQ < 2	Moderate severity of contamination	[14••]
£	2 < mHQ < 2.5	Considerable severity of contamination	
	2.5 < mHQ < 3	High severity of contamination	
	3 < mHQ < 3.5	Very high severity of contamination	
	mHQ > 3.5	Extreme severity of contamination	
	DC < 8	Low degree	
DC	$8 \le DC < 16$	Moderate degree	[7]
DC	$16 \le DC < 24$	Considerable degree	[/]
	$DC \ge 24$	Very high degree	
	mCd < 1.5	Uncontaminated	
	$1.5 \le mCd < 2$	Slightly contaminated	
	$2 \le mCd < 4$	Moderately contaminated	
mCd	$4 \le mCd < 8$	Moderately to heavily contaminated	[16]
	$8 \le mCd < 16$	Heavily contaminated	
	$16 \le mCd < 32$	Severely contaminated	
	$mCd \ge 32$	Extremely contaminated	
	PLI = 0	Perfection	
PLI	<i>PLI</i> < 1	Baseline level	[17]
	PLI > 1	Contaminated	L)
	<i>PI</i> < 0.7	Unpolluted	
	$0.7 \le PI \le 1$	Slightly polluted	
PINemerow	$1 \leq PI < 2$	Moderately polluted	[54]
	$2 \le PI \le 3$	Heavily polluted	L- J
	PI > 3	Severely polluted	
	<u>MPI < 1</u>	Unpolluted	
	1 < MPI < 2	Slightly polluted	
	$2 \leq MPI < 3$	Moderately polluted	
MPI	$\frac{2}{3} \leq MPI > 5$	Moderately beauly polluted	[55]
	$J \ge MIFI < J$ 5 < MDI < 10	Heavily polluted	
	$J \ge MFI \le 10$ MDI > 10	Severaly polluted	
	$WIFI \leq 10$	Severely polluted	

1014 ecosystem based on assessment indexes

	<i>MI</i> < 0.3	Very pure				
	$0.3 \le MI < 1$	Pure				
M	$1 \le MI < 2$	Slightly affected	[10]			
MI	$2 \le MI < 4$	Moderately affected	[18]			
	$4 \le MI < 6$	Strongly affected				
	$MI \ge 6$	Seriously affected				
прі	<i>HPI</i> < 100	Safe for human consumption	[0]			
ΠΓΙ	$HPI \ge 100$	Not safe for human consumption	[0]			
	CSI < 0.5	Uncontaminated				
	$0.5 \le CSI < 1$	Very low severity of contamination				
	$1 \le CSI < 1.5$	Low severity of contamination				
	$1.5 \le CSI < 2$	Low to moderate severity of contamination				
CSI	$2 \le CSI < 2.5$	Moderate severity of contamination	[19]			
	$2.5 \le CSI < 3$	Moderate to high severity of contamination				
	$3 \leq CSI < 4$	High severity of contamination				
	$4 \le CSI < 5$	Very high severity of contamination				
	$CSI \ge 5$					
	<i>ECI</i> < 2	Uncontaminated				
	2 < <i>ECI</i> < 3	3 Uncontaminated to slightly contaminated				
	3 < <i>ECI</i> < 4	3 < ECI < 4 Slightly to moderately contaminated				
ECI	4 < ECI < 5 Moderately to considerably contaminated		[14••]			
	5 < ECI < 6 Considerably to highly contaminated					
	6 < <i>ECI</i> < 7	Highly contaminated				
	ECI > 7	Extremely contaminated				
	<i>PERI</i> < 110	Low risk				
DEDI	$110 \le PERI < 220$	Moderate risk	[7]			
PEKI	$220 \le PERI < 440$	High risk	[/]			
	$PERI \ge 440$	Significantly high risk				
	mRAC < 1%	No potential adverse effect				
	$1\% \le mRAC < 9\%$	Low potential adverse effect				
mRAC	$10\% \le mRAC < 29\%$	Medium potential adverse effect	[57]			
	$30\% \le mRAC < 49\%$	High potential adverse effect				
	$mRAC \ge 50\%$	Very high adverse effect				
	$mPEL_Q \le 0.1$	Low degree of contamination				
mDEI	$0.1 < mPEL_Q \le 1.5$	Medium-low degree of contamination	[50]			
mp e l _Q	$1.5 < mPEL_0 \le 2.3$	High-medium degree of contamination	[38]			
	$mPEL_0 > 2.3$	High degree of contamination				
	$mERM_0 \leq 0.1$	Low priority site				
	$0.1 < m ERM_0 \leq 0.5$	Medium-low priority site				
$mERM_Q$	$0.5 < mERM_{\odot} < 1.5$	High-medium priority site	[59]			
-	$mERM_{-} > 1.5$	High priority site				
	$m_{L} m_{Q} > 1.3$	righ priority site				