

The Complete Emissions Life Cycle Assessment of Electric Buses in the Australian Transport Sector

by **Enoch Zhao**

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of

Dr Paul D. Walker
Dr Nic C. Surawski

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

February 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Enoch Zhao, hereby declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 21/02/2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I pour milk into my instant coffee mix before adding hot water because an Italian exchange student taught me that it prevents burning the coffee grounds. My default font is Times New Romans because my school used it back in 2001. I wanted to learn how to drive with three pedals, so for my first car I bought one with a manual transmission because James did the same several years before and “if he can do it, then so can I.” He had to drive it home for me too. My wife once commented that I look good in black, therefore immediately after that comment I decided that I will be wearing the same colour for the next twenty-five years. And if I were to write down every one of the things my parents have taught me, from tying my own shoes to the philosophy of life, I suppose I could almost fill the world with books that would be written. Thus, I am a mosaic of the people I’ve ever met, even for the briefest moment.

In the same way, the completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the immense support, guidance, and assistance I have received. I had certainly enjoyed conducting research work and then writing this thesis. The lengthy page count is a good indicator that my love of writing could have launched me into a career as a novelist. But instead, by chance, I watched Iron Man 2 around the time I had to choose my year 11 subjects and I was so inspired (I blame you Robert Downey Jr.) that I decided to become an engineer instead. One thing led to another and now I have written my PhD thesis. So, as I compose each chapter of this thesis, I fondly reminisce the people who helped author this chapter of my life.

I would like to begin by thanking my principal supervisor, Dr Paul Walker, who from the start had opened doors and then mentored, encouraged, and trusted me as I completed my research. I am also immeasurably grateful to my co-supervisor, Dr Nic Surawski, for his invaluable insights and ongoing support. My special thanks to Scott Graham, Kevin Cook, Peter Bracken, Fatma Al-Widyan, Rami Haddad, and Peter Tawadros for their friendship and company. Special mention to Mighty Car Mods, FortNine, and Chris Fix.

To Ethan May and James Freer, best man and groomsman at my wedding, thank you for inspiring me with your ambitions, influencing me with such passion for automobiles, and sharing my love for the great outdoors. To my parents, who are always so proud of me; they don’t understand any of my research but they still smile and nod anyway.

To my beautiful and dearly beloved wife, Vanessa Janine Ries. You are the source of love and forbearance. Thank you for the back rubs, date night cheat meals, and afternoon strolls by the water.

Finally, here’s to Yamaha Motor Co. for building such beautiful motorcycles. For on these magnificent machines I have repeatedly experienced how two wheels truly do move the soul.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal Articles

1. ***Zhao, E.**, May, E., Walker, P.D. & Surawski, N.C. 2021, 'Emissions Life Cycle Analysis of Charging Infrastructures for Electric Buses', *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 48, pp. 1-14.
2. ***Zhao, E.**, Walker, P.D. & Surawski, N.C. 2021, 'Emissions Life Cycle Analysis of Diesel, Hybrid, and Electric Buses', *Journal of Automotive Engineering*, pp. 1-13.
3. ***Zhao, E.**, Walker, P.D., Surawski, N.C. & Bennett, N.S. 2021, 'Assessing the Life Cycle Cumulative Energy Demand and Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Lithium-Ion Batteries', *Journal of Energy Storage*, vol. 43, pp. 1-19.

Conference Papers

4. ^**Zhao, E.**, Walker, P.D., Ong, A. & Al-Widyan, F. 2020, 'Measuring Road Conditions with an IMU and GPS Monitoring System', Asia-Pacific Vibration Conference (APVC) 2019, eds S. Oberst, B. Halkon, J. Ji & T. Brown, vol. 1, Springer, Sydney, Australia, pp. 95-101.

*Articles related to this thesis.

^Publications made during the PhD candidature but do not relate to this thesis.

NOMENCLATURE

ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics	PHEV	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle
ABPS	Australian Battery Performance Standard	RDE	Real-World Driving Emissions
ADB	Asian Development Bank	PM	Particulate Matter
AGCER	Australian Government Clean Energy Regulator	S	Sulphur
APRAA	Auto Parts Recyclers Association of Australia	SiC	Silicon Graphite
ARENA	Australian Renewable Energy Agency	SiNT	Silicon Nanotube
BEV	Battery Electric Vehicle	SiNW	Silicon Nanowire
BOM	Bill of Materials	SoC	State of Charge
BNEF	Bloomberg New Energy Finance	SOx	Sulphur Oxides
BSE	Battery Storage Equipment	TfNSW	Transport for New South Wales
C	Carbon (Graphite)	USyd	University of Sydney
CDP	Center for Disaster Philanthropy	WPT	Wireless Power Transfer
CED	Cumulative Energy Demand		
CH ₄	Methane		
CO	Carbon Monoxide		
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide		
CO _{2e}	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent		
DAWE	Department of Agriculture, Water, and the Environment		
DEE	Department of the Environment and Energy		
DoD	Depth of Discharge		
DPIS	Department of Planning, Industry and Science		
EIA	U.S. Energy Information Administration		
EoL	End of Life		
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency		
EPRS	European Parliamentary Research Service		
ESS	Energy Storage System		
EV	Electric Vehicle		
FCV	Fuel Cell Vehicle		
GHG	Greenhouse Gas		
GWP	Global Warming Potential		
HEV	Hybrid Electric Vehicle		
ICEV	Internal Combustion Engine Vehicle		
IEA	International Energy Agency		
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change		
L(R)	Lithium Rich		
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment		
LCI	Life Cycle Inventory		
LCN	Lithium Cobalt Nickel		
LCO	Lithium Cobalt Oxide		
LCP	Lithium Cobalt Phosphate		
LFP	Lithium Iron Phosphate		
Li	Lithium		
LIB	Lithium-Ion Battery		
LMO	Lithium Manganese Oxide		
LMR	Lithium Manganese Rich		
LTO	Lithium Titanate Oxide		
MftE	Ministry for the Environment		
MoS ₂	Molybdenum Disulphide		
N ₂ O	Nitrous Oxide		
NCA	Lithium Nickel Cobalt Aluminium Oxide		
NMC	Nickel Manganese Cobalt		
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides		
OPC	Opportunity Pantograph Charger		
OPR	Overhead Pantograph Rails		
PEMS	Portable Emissions Measurement System		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	iv
NOMENCLATURE	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
TABLE OF FIGURES & TABLES.....	ix
ABSTRACT.....	xiii
1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 TRANSITION TO ELECTRIFIED HEAVY-VEHICLE POWERTRAINS	1
1.2 LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT.....	4
1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	5
1.4 OUTLINE OF THIS THESIS.....	6
2 CHAPTER 2: LCA OF CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURES	8
2.1 INTRODUCTION	8
2.1.1 ASSESSING LCA STUDIES ON CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURES.....	8
2.2 METHODOLOGY	9
2.2.1 SCOPE DEFINITION.....	9
2.2.2 SYSTEM BOUNDARY	10
2.2.3 FUNCTIONAL UNIT.....	11
2.2.4 LIFE CYCLE INVENTORY ANALYSIS	11
2.2.5 MASS, RANGE, AND TIME LIMITATIONS	14
2.2.6 INFRASTRUCTURE ASSESSMENT AND ANALYSIS.....	15
2.3 RESULTS	27
2.4 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND UNCERTAINTY	31
2.5 POLICY IMPLICATIONS	32
2.6 CONCLUSION.....	33
3 CHAPTER 3: OPERATIONS EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT & EVALUATION	34
3.1 INTRODUCTION	34
3.2 METHODOLOGY	36

3.2.1	SCOPE DEFINITION.....	36
3.2.2	FUNCTIONAL UNIT.....	36
3.2.3	OPERATIONS GHG EMISSIONS CALCULATION.....	36
3.2.4	DIESEL FUEL COMBUSTION EMISSIONS	37
3.2.5	BREAK-EVEN ANALYSIS	38
3.2.6	ASSESSING LCA STUDIES ON RECHARGING METHODS.....	39
3.3	OPERATION CHARGING STRATEGIES	43
3.3.1	OPERATIONS SCENARIOS.....	46
3.4	RESULTS	46
3.5	DISCUSSION	50
3.5.1	INFLUENCES OF ASSUMPTIONS AND UNCERTAINTIES	52
3.6	CONCLUSION.....	53
4	CHAPTER 4: LCA OF BUS PRODUCTION.....	55
4.1	INTRODUCTION	55
4.1.1	ASSESSING LCA STUDIES ON THE EMERGING BEV TECHNOLOGIES	55
4.2	METHODOLOGY	57
4.2.1	SCOPE DEFINITION.....	57
4.2.2	SYSTEM BOUNDARY	57
4.2.3	LIFE CYCLE INVENTORY ANALYSIS	59
4.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	67
4.3.1	CONTRIBUTION ANALYSIS	69
4.3.2	SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND UNCERTAINTY.....	69
4.4	CONCLUSION.....	71
5	CHAPTER 5: LCA OF LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES.....	74
5.1	INTRODUCTION	74
5.1.1	PRESENT STATE OF RESEARCH.....	75
5.2	RESEARCH METHODS AND SELECTION CRITERIA.....	76
5.3	LITERATURE ANALYSIS RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	77
5.3.1	DISPARITY IN GWP AND CED ESTIMATES	80

5.3.2	INSIGHTS AND IMPLICATIONS.....	86
5.4	CONCLUSION.....	91
6	CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS.....	93
6.1	LCA OF CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURES.....	93
6.2	OPERATIONS EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT & EVALUATION.....	94
6.3	LCA OF BUS PRODUCTION.....	95
6.4	LCA OF LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES.....	95
6.5	FUTURE RESEARCH.....	96
7	APPENDIX.....	98
7.1	APPENDIX A: LCA OF CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURES.....	98
7.2	APPENDIX B: OPERATIONS EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT & EVALUATION.....	101
7.3	APPENDIX C: LCA OF BUS PRODUCTION.....	110
7.4	APPENDIX D: LCA OF LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES.....	107
8	REFERENCES.....	116

TABLE OF FIGURES & TABLES

Figure 1 – Simplified view of the research scope.....	6
Figure 2 – System boundary of a charging station life cycle.....	11
Figure 3 – Lifecycle GHG emissions factor summary.....	21
Figure 4 – Electricity generation across Australia.....	23
Figure 5 – GHG emissions of alternate scenarios.....	29
Figure 6 – Projection of the environmental load from the net-zero emissions by 2050 plan.	29
Figure 7 – Carbon intensity of electricity for selected countries and regions.....	30
Figure 8 – Electricity generation carbon intensity of selected countries and regions.....	38
Figure 9 – Break-even analysis model.....	39
Figure 10 – WPT road geometry for motorway applications.	42
Figure 11 – Simplified layout of a WPT system.....	42
Figure 12 – Volvo bus with a rooftop pantograph for opportunity pantograph charging.....	43
Figure 13 – BEV buses with overhead pantograph rails in Vienna.....	43
Figure 14 – System boundary for bus production life cycle.....	58
Figure 15 – Reference bus technical drawing.....	60
Figure 16 – BYD K9 battery modules.....	64
Figure 17 – Life cycle GHG emissions results.....	68
Figure 18 – GWP impacts in kgCO ₂ e/kWh, by battery chemistry.....	78
Figure 19 – GWP impacts in kgCO ₂ e/kg, by battery chemistry.....	79
Figure 20 – CED results, by battery chemistry.....	79
Figure 21 – Overview of CED from LIB production.....	85
Figure 22 – Theoretical waste management hierarchy for LIBs after automotive applications.....	89
Figure 23 – Circular economy of repurposing LIBs.....	89
Figure 24 – Total Life Cycle GHG emissions (kgCO ₂ e) of a BEV bus.....	93
Figure 25 – Operations lifetime GHG emissions of a diesel, hybrid, and BEV bus.....	94

Figure A1 – Route 550 and depot location.	98
Figure A2 – Route 470 and depot location.	98
Figure A3 – Route 607X and depot location.	99
Figure A4 – Route 309 and depot location.	99
Figure A5 – BEV bus with BYD chassis and Gemilang body.	100
Figure A6 – Tritium system components layout.....	100
Figure B1 – Operations model used to analyse the GHG emissions produced from the different operation charging strategies.	101
Figure B2 – Emissions break-even analysis from electricity generation.	101
Figure B3 – Grid-mix emissions factor variation between the states of Australia.	102
Figure B4 – Charging emissions for WTP and OPR in urban settings.	102
Figure B5 – Charging emissions for WTP and OPR in suburban settings.	103
Figure B6 – Charging emissions for WTP and OPR in highway settings.	103
Figure B7 – Charging requirements per individual BEV bus per day in urban traffic conditions.	103
Figure B8 – Charging requirements per individual BEV bus per day in suburban traffic conditions.	104
Figure B9 – Charging requirements per individual BEV bus per day in highway traffic conditions.	104
Figure B10 – GHG emissions in the urban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 5 min/bus.	104
Figure B11 – GHG emissions in the suburban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 5 min/bus.....	105
Figure B12 – GHG emissions in the highway traffic conditions with a service frequency of 5 min/bus.....	105
Figure B13 – GHG emissions in the urban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 10 min/bus.....	105
Figure B14 – GHG emissions in the suburban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 10 min/bus.....	106

Figure B15 – GHG emissions in the highway traffic conditions with a service frequency of 10 min/bus.....	106
Figure B16 – GHG emissions in the urban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 15 min/bus.....	106
Figure B17 – GHG emissions in the suburban traffic conditions with a service frequency of 15 min/bus.....	107
Figure B18 – GHG emissions in the highway traffic conditions with a service frequency of 15 min/bus.....	107
Figure B19 – GHG emissions per individual BEV bus per day in urban traffic conditions.	107
Figure B20 – GHG emissions per individual BEV bus per day in suburban traffic conditions.	108
Figure B21 – GHG emissions per individual BEV bus per day in highway traffic conditions.	108
Figure B22 – Required number of BEV buses with respect to route length and service frequency in urban traffic conditions.....	109
Figure B23 – Required number of BEV buses with respect to route length and service frequency in highway traffic conditions.	109
Figure C1 – Sensitivity of GHG emissions to the key parameters.	106
Figure D1 – Number of case studies with respect to battery chemistry.....	115
Table 1 – Specifications of selected bus routes.	12
Table 2 – Key specifications of a BYD K9 BEV bus.	12
Table 3 – Key specifications of a Tritium BEV charging station.	13
Table 4 – Bus route specifications.	15
Table 5 – Emissions intensity per unit of material weight.....	16
Table 6 – Charging equipment materials breakdown.	16
Table 7 – Approximate emissions (kgCO ₂ e) produced from charging station transportation. ...	17
Table 8 – Electricity generation emissions factors, by state or territory, and fuel source.	21
Table 9 – Charging equipment recycled materials breakdown.	26

Table 10 – Approximate emissions (kgCO _{2e}) produced by charging station transportation to the resource recovery facility.....	27
Table 11 – Life cycle GHG emissions results.....	28
Table 12 – Emission factor of fuel sources for electricity generation.	38
Table 13 – Summary of the GHG emissions produced by different charging methods.	43
Table 14 – Technical specifications of selected BEV buses.....	43
Table 15 – Electricity generation by country.....	48
Table 16 – Emissions factors, operations emissions factors, and break-even point of the analysed countries.....	49
Table 17 – Minimum proactive charging time for the two stationary charging stations.	50
Table 18 – Key specifications of diesel, hybrid, BEV, and representative bus.	59
Table 19 – ICEV, HEV, and BEV bus bill of materials.	63
Table 20 – Lithium iron phosphate battery bill of materials.....	63
Table 21 – Emissions intensity per ton of vehicle assembled.....	64
Table 22 – Results range for mature LIB technologies.....	80
Table C1 – Emissions intensity per unit of material weight.	110
Table C2 – BEV battery production emissions.....	110
Table C3 – Battery bill of materials.....	111
Table C4 – Emissions from production.	111
Table C5 – Emissions from assembly.....	111
Table C6 – Emissions intensity from transportation.....	111
Table C7 – Emissions from maintenance.	112
Table D1 – LCA studies on LIB batteries assessed in the literature review.....	107
Table D2 – Results range reported by all LCA studies assessed by this study.....	113
Table D3 – Excluded life cycle studies based upon relevance.	114

ABSTRACT

Australia is increasingly experiencing the environmental impact of global warming. In recent decades, society has gradually become increasingly aware of the harm caused to the global environment by excess fossil fuel consumption. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions and their contribution to global warming are considered to be one of the most pressing environmental issues of the present day. Transportation is the third-largest contributor of GHG emissions in Australia, contributing to 18.9% of total GHG emissions. Therefore, there are strong and urgent incentives to reduce emissions from the transportation sector. This problem can be rectified through the electrification of the vehicle's powertrain; consequently improving energy efficiency, reducing GHG emissions, and yielding a number of additional benefits. Thus, transitioning the transport sector to electrified powertrains have been perceived as the optimal solution to decarbonise the transport sector. This thesis employs a technique known as Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) to properly quantify and assess the environmental impacts from the transport sector.

First, this research starts by introducing Australia's development in the transition to electrified heavy-vehicle powertrains, the LCA technique, research objectives, and the outline of this thesis. Next, this research conducted a study that evaluated and calculated the magnitude of GHG emissions produced from the implementation of electric bus charging stations. Results show that the operations phase is heavily dependent on the electricity grid-mixes carbon intensity and contributes the most greenhouse gas emissions (98.8%), followed by production (0.69%), recycling and disposal (0.48%), installation (0.01%), and transportation (0.01%). Then, an evaluation of the environmental impact of electricity generation and four different charging methods was conducted. The study finds that the optimal charging arrangement is to deploy electric buses with small battery capacity in urban and suburban settings, large battery capacity in highway settings, and recharge with opportunity pantograph chargers or stationary charging stations. Moving on, an LCA was conducted to investigate the production, assembly, transportation, maintenance, and decommissioning phases of diesel, hybrid, and electric bus production. The results show that the electric bus has a higher total environmental impact than the diesel and hybrid bus (18.2% and 14.7% higher, respectively). After that, this research assessed LCAs of Lithium-Ion Batteries (LIBs) from various literature sources and found that the average global warming potential and cumulative energy demand from LIB production were 187.26 kgCO₂e/kWh or 19.78 kgCO₂e/kg, and 42.49 kWh/kg, respectively. Finally, a summary and conclusion of this research as a complete entity concludes this dissertation.