

Design for the environmental emergency: Plastic chairs and the transition to low-carbon product design

by Geoff Isaac

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of:

Distinguished Professor Peter McNeil Dr Jesse Adams Stein Dr Stefan Lie

University of Technology Sydney Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building

February, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Geoff Isaac, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Design, Architecture and Building at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 11/02/2022

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my primary supervisor, Distinguished Professor Peter McNeil, for his encouragement to enrol for the PhD program at UTS and for his support and advice throughout my studies. I am extremely indebted to my co-supervisors, Dr Jesse Adams-Stein and Dr Stefan Lie. Stefan's experience as a practicing industrial designer provided invaluable feedback on both the manuscript and the development of the ERPR tool, designed to assist practitioners as they work with plastics. Jesse's timely and detailed feedback on all versions of this manuscript have substantially improved the end-product.

Sylvia Katz was generous enough to read Chapters 1 & 2 and provide feedback based on her expertise and experience from her distinguished career as a designer and author of several books on plastics.

I would like to thank the following for their assistance and support in accessing information for this dissertation: Jeffrey Meikle, Marcus Fairs (DeZeen), Angela Schad, Hagley Museum and Library (DuPont archive), Sanja and Steen Osterggard, Marjukka Törmi (Aarnio Design Ltd.), Virginia Marri (Archivo Gae Aultenti), Sarah Ferrall (Camron), Yana Swoboda and Nicole Cilia (ecoBirdy), Kathrin Hasskamp (Konstantin Grcic's office), Timothy Andreadis (Freemans), Emily Guthrie (Winterthur Museum), Trina Brown (National Museum of American History Library), Elizabeth Broman (Cooper Hewitt Library), Diane Toxopeus (Joris Laarman Studio BV), Soufiane Bensabra, Virginia Wright, Louise Dennis (MoDIP), Patsy Youngstein (Marc Newson's office). Kathy Hackett and Campbell Bickerstaff (MAAS), Lukas Holgersen (Thomas Pedersen's office). Plastics Historical Society: Alan Wells, Carolyn Clark, Sussan Mossman. Plastics Industry Manufacturers of Australia Inc: John Parrington, Karl Nethery and Troy Parker, Maud Bury (Eugene Quitllet's office), Jessica Pearson (Karim Rashid's office), Thales Melles (A Lot of Brasil), Steven Leclair(NRC Archives), Svein-Erik Hjerpbakk (Nordic Comfort Products AS), Therese Sanni and Leila Østerbø (Snøhetta), Mathilde Wadoux (Starck Network), Phillipa Atkinson (Studio Dirk Vander Kooij), Liliana Rodrigues (Marcel Wanders office).

I would also like to extend a special thanks to the designers and other industry participants who generously gave their time to participate in my research: Ron Arad, Dan Armstrong & Rene Linssen (Formswell), Edward Barber & Jay Osgerby, Gabriele Chiave (Marcel Wanders Office), Louis Durot, Tom Fereday, Mary Featherston, Manuel Garcia (Nagami), Sarah Gibson (DesgnByThem), Konstantin Grcic, Trent Jansen, Ander Lizaso (Iratzoki Lizaso), Ron Newman, Thomas Pedersen, Bertjan Pot, Tom Price, Eugeni Quitllet, Karim Rashid, Andrew Simpson (Vert Design), Philippe Starck, John Tree (Jasper Morisson), Gregg Buchbinder (CEO, Emeco), Ruben Hutschemaekers, (Head of Marketing and Communication, Magis), Kate Ringvall (Sustainability Business Partner, IKEA), Mayda Diaz, (Business Development & Technical Support, Bambacore), Victor Macadar (client of Bambacore), Andreas Maegerlein (Group Leader Creation Center, BASF).

Finally, I would like to thank my partner, Robert Wellington, for his support and advice throughout my studies.

Table of Contents

List	t of illustrations	vi
List	st of tables	xiv
List	t of abbreviations	xvi
Ab	ostract	xvii
Int	troduction	1
1.	Why chairs?	6
2.	Literature review	12
3.	Methodology	34
4.	Overview of thesis structure	53
Pai	rt A—The plastic chair market	57
Cha	hapter 1—The quest for dematerialisation	57
1.	Early plastic chairs	59
2.	The Space Age	64
3.	Material efficiency	74
4.	Disappearing chairs	100
5.	Conclusion	102
Cha	napter 2—Plastics, saviour or exterminator?	106
1.	Early plastics	109
2.	Plastickoptimsimus	135
3.	Plastic love fades	149
4.	Crisis? What crisis?	162
5.	Plastic furniture revived	165
6.	Conclusion	168
Cha	hapter 3—Agency of design in the plastic crisis	172
1.	Future Scenarios	
2.	Agency of the designer	
3.	Conclusion	208

Par	rt B: Environmentally Responsible Product Rating- an eco-audit too	ol 212		
Chapter 4—Developing an eco-audit tool (ERPR)				
1.	Sustainability in the furniture industry	214		
2.	Simplified eco-audit tool (ERPR)	227		
3.	Proposed simplified tool (ERPR)	236		
4.	Conclusion	252		
Cha	apter 5—Hopeful monstrosities? Applying the ERPR tool			
1.	Evaluating designs incorporating recycled plastics	256		
2.	Evaluating resource optimisation technologies	279		
3.	Evaluating designs made from organic materials	290		
4.	Limitations of the analysis model	298		
5.	Summary of findings			
Par	rt C: Advancing renewable carbon-based plastics			
Cha	apter 6—The time is right for change			
1.	Multi-Level Perspective			
2.	Landscape disruptions			
3.	Conclusion			
Chapter 7—Challenging the socio-technical regime				
1.	Lock-in			
2.	Awareness and knowledge	355		
3.	Regime resistance—misinformation			
4.	Availability			
5.	Conclusion			
Cha	apter 8–Transition, how?			
	The agency of design	202		

2.	Strategies to accelerate transition	121
3.	Conclusion	153

Conclusion	458
Appendices	
Bibliography	

List of illustrations

Introduction

Fig. 0.1. *Miss Blanche* featuring plastic roses painstakingly entombed in acrylic resin. Designed by Shiro Kuramata (1934-1991) in 1988 (edition of 56). Source: Sotheby's

Fig. 0.2. *Myto* by Konstantin Grcic, Plank 2008. BASF commissioned a cantilevered chair in an effort to develop a wider market for PBT, a material originally developed for the automobile market. Source: Konstantin Grcic

Fig. 0.3. Visual representation summarising topics of literature consulted for this study.

Fig. 0.4. Evolution of the Design for Sustainability field. Source: Ceschin & Gaziulusoy (2019)

Fig. 0.5. Landing page for the plastic chair database at GeoffIsaac.com.au/chair-catalog

Fig. 0.6. Requirement for a plastic chair to be considered for inclusion in the plastic chair database

Fig. 0.7. Covers from the two leading Australian home maker publications available in the decades following WWII. Source: Author's collection

Fig. 0.8. Louis Durot pictured in his studio in Paris, June 2019. Photo: Geoff Isaac

Fig. 0.9. Edward Barber from Barber & Osgerby in his London office, October 2019. Photo Geoff Isaac

Fig. 0.10. Overview of thesis structure

Chapter 1

Fig. 1.1. Kartell stand at the Salone del Mobile in Milan, 1999. Source: © Kartell

Fig. 1.2. Acrylic glass chair most likely by Lorin Jackson for Grosfeld House, 1939. Source: © Suzy Gorman for Interior Motives

Fig. 1.3. Still from 1939 promotional film for DuPont showcasing furniture made from Lucite. Source: Courtesy of the Hagley Museum and Library

Fig. 1.4. Helena Rubinstein Suite, Ladislas Medgyes, 1941. Source: Carnegie Museum of Art

Fig. 1.5. *DAX* chair (Dining Height Armchair X-Base), Charles & Ray Eames, 1950 Source: © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.6. Tulip Chair and armchair, Eero Saarinen 1958. Source: Knoll

Fig. 1.7. Compression moulding equipment. Source: Handbook of Thermoplastic Elastomers by Jiri George Drobny (2014)

Fig. 1.8. *Tulip Armchairs*, featured on the October 1970 front cover of *Australian House & Garden*, 12 years after their release. Source: Author's collection

Fig. 1.9. Pallo, Eero Aarnio originally manufactured by Asko 1966. Source: Eero Aarnio Originals

Fig. 1.10. Culbuto, Marc Held 1970. Source: 1stdibs

Fig. 1.11. Typical injection moulding machine. Source: Handbook of Thermoplastic Elastomers by Jiri George Drobny (2014)

Fig. 1.12. Monobloc chair, Arthur Donahue & Douglas Simpson, 1946. Source: © Library and Archives Canada

Fig. 1.13. Polyside, Robin Day 1963 Source: © Hille

Fig. 1.14. *Empilable 4999/5*, Richard Sapper and Marco Zanuso, 1964. Source: Thessaloniki Design Museum

Fig. 1.15. Children experiment with the stacking feature of *Empilable 4999/5*. 1964. Source: Kartell

Fig. 1.16. Universale (No. 4867), Joe Colombo 1967. Source: © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.17. The *Universale*, on display in one of Colombo's futuristic exhibition settings for Bayer AG Wohnmodel 1969 at *Visiona*. Source: DailyIcon

Fig. 1.18. Bofinger BA 1171, Helmut Bätzner 1966. Source: © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.19. Selene, Vico Magistretti 1969 Source: © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.20. The *Selene*, as featured on the set of the British TV sci-fi *Space 1999*, 1975. Source: Films and Furniture

Fig. 1.21. *Fauteuil 300* (left), Henry Massonnet, 1972. Standard monobloc chair from hardware store (right) 2021. Source: © Vitra Design Museum Source & Bunnings

Fig. 1.22. Development of the monobloc. Source: © Vitra Design Museum except Thessaloniki Design Museum (top left) and Bunnings (bottom right

Fig. 1.23. *Cantilever 290*, Steen Østergaard 1966/1970? (left) *Panton*, Verner Panton 1967 (right) Source: Steen Osterggard and © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.24. Marianne Panton on the *Panton Chair*, with the *Shell Lamp* and a *Unika Vaev* rug, 1967. Source: Verner Panton

Fig. 1.25. Air Chair, Jasper Morrison 1999 Source: © Jasper Morrison

Fig. 1.26. Tip Ton Chair, Edward Barber and Jay Osgerby, 2011 Source: © Vitra Design Museum

Fig. 1.27. Ron Arad MT Chair, 2004 Source: Ron Arad Studio

Fig. 1.28. Rotational moulding equipment. Source: Source: Handbook of Thermoplastic Elastomers by Jiri George Drobny

Fig. 1.29. La Marie by Philippe Starck, 1999 Source: © Kartell

Chapter 2

Fig. 2.1. The DuPont Magazine, April 1940 (page 8 &9) showing various applications of Lucite across all aspects of interior design. Although not identified in the article, the chair, stool, lamp and table can be attributed to LA-based Swedlow Plastics as featured in the company's 1941 catalogue. Source: Courtesy of the Hagley Museum and Library

Fig. 2.2. Perspex table being manufactured at Paul and Gray Ltd, Melbourne. Source: Pix. Vol. 17 No. 13, 20 April 1946

Fig. 2.3. During the 1940s the only plastic products being regularly advertised in Australia's homemaker magazine were Rayon from Courtaulds, plastic light fittings from Duperite, Nylex moulded products and Dulux, who started promoting 'synthetic' paint toward the end of the decade. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*. November 1945, October 1946, December 1947 and September 1949 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.4. Plastic toilet seats and acrylic sinks being advertised in 1950 editions of *Australian Home Beautiful*, June, 1950 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.5. Front page from Aristoc brochure featuring laminated top table (Laminex) with bent chrome chairs upholstered in vinyl. c1952. Source: Ian Howard (CEO of Aristoc) personal archive

Fig. 2.6 Cover of *World of Plastics* exhibition catalogue, Sydney, August 1954. The local industry organised this exhibition to demonstrate that, 'plastic goods we're no longer substitutes, but recognised materials, having a special application in their own right.' Source: National Library of Australia

Fig. 2.7. *Australian Home Beautiful* heralds the use of plastic in furniture, presumably referring to the local market. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, October 1956 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.8. At the end of the 1950s Australian homemaker magazines frequently featured advertisements for plastic laminates. Source: Left, *Australian House and Garden,* February 1958 (Laminex held the inside back cover position for most of the year). Right, *Australian House and Garden,* June 1958 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.9. Early editorial and advertisement for plastic outdoor furniture. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, November 1960 and November 1961 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.10. Possibly Australia's first injection moulded plastic chair. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, January 1961 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.11. Australia became enamoured with vinyl. Early advertisement on the left from the late 1950s with a slightly later advert featuring a more modern look. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful* February 1959 and April 1963 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.12. Apollo 11 moon landing, July 1969 - Buzz Aldrin salutes a nylon flag at Tranquillity Base. Source: NASA Fig. 2.13. Plastic furniture featured on the front cover of three issues of published by the leading two homemaker titles in 1970. Source: *Australian House and Garden* October 1970, *Australian Home Beautiful* October & November 1970 (author's collection)

Fig.2.14. Locally produced Kartell side tables displayed near modular polyurethane seating. Keith Andrews. Source: Artes Studio for *Australian House and Garden*, November 1973 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.15. Example of the acrylic glass furniture designed by James Farrell for Module in the early 1970s. This design (*Oroglass*) was also available with a star base for the domestic market. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, April 1971 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.16. Sebel mail order advertisement promoting the Integra range together with the Hobnob Source*: Australian Women's Weekly* June 1975 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.17. *Stem Chair* by Grant and Mary Featherston as featured on the front cover. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, April 1973 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.18 The *Numero IV* by Grant and Mary Featherston as featured on the front cover. Source: *Australian House and Garden*, July 1974 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.19. Sticky backed plastics advertisement. Source: *Australian Home Beautiful*, December 1960 (author's collection)

Fig. 2.20. Plastic garbage bins coming off the injection moulding machine at Advanced Industries in Melbourne c1970s. Source: National Archives of Australia, Item ID 6592623

Fig. 2.21. Impact of 1970 crises on oil prices. Source: Statista (data)

Fig. 2.22. Gaetano Pesce's (1939-) Up5 Chair, 1969 Source: B&B Italia

Fig. 2.23. Graph showing plastic production 1950-2020. Source: Geyer et.al. (2017)

Fig. 2.24. Oh Chair, Karin Rashid for Umbra, 1999. Source: Umbra

Chapter 3

Fig. 3.1. Global plastics production and forecast to 2050. Source: Geyer, R.et al. (2017) & Ellen McArthur Foundation (2016)

Fig. 3.2. Global carbon demand for chemicals and derived materials to 2050. Source: Nova Institute (2020)

Fig. 3.3. Embedded carbon demand by regime. Source: Nova Institute (2021)

Fig. 3.4. Strategies to reduce the environmental impacts caused by plastics. Source: Adapted from: Zheng & Suh (2019)

Fig. 3.5. Breakdown showing where plastic use during 2015. 11% of plastics were used to make consumer products. Source: Production, use and fate of all the plastics ever made. Geyer et al. (2017)

Fig. 3.6. *RCP2 Chair* - Batch Production, Jane Atfield, 1992, Crafts Council Collection: W115. Source: Todd-White Art Photography. Source: Courtesy of the Crafts Council

Chapter 4

Fig. 4.1. The waste hierarchy – Avoiding waste altogether is the preferred option with disposal (usually to landfill) the least preferred option. Source: Adapted from NSW EPA

Fig. 4.2. Preferred solutions from the waste hierarchy. Source: Adapted from NSW EPA

Fig. 4.3. An example of a streamlined LCA matrix and a target plot displaying the rankings in each element of the matrix. In this example the use phase gets a poor rating. Source: Ashby (2012)

Fig. 4.4 Estimated lifetime energy demands of a plastic chair. Source: Adapted from Ashby's analysis of similar products.

Fig. 4.5 Attributes of plastic chairs to be evaluated by the eco-audit tool for plastic chairs

Fig. 4.6. The *Odger* arrives in four pieces designed for easy self-assembly, reducing transport and storage costs for client IKEA. Source: From Russia with Love

Fig. 4.7. Examples of colours available for chairs made from industrial waste. Source: (clockwise top left) Emeco, Emeco, Magis, M114

Fig. 4.8 The *Bell Chair* (on the left) is a monobloc produced in one style only. On the right, the *NO2 Recycled* is available with a selection of bases and offered with or without arms. Source: Magis (left), Fritz Hansen

Chapter 5

Fig. 5.1. Chairs made using industrial waste polypropylene. Source: (clockwise top left) Emeco, M114, IKEA, Magis, Emeco

Fig. 5.2. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made from recycled industrial waste polypropylene

Fig. 5.3. Chairs made using post-consumer polypropylene. Source: (clockwise top left) Tramontina, Fritz Hansen, Houe

Fig.5.4 Chart showing ERPR scores awarded to post-consumer polypropylene chairs

Fig. 5.5. Chairs using post-consumer PET Source: (clockwise top left) Hay, Emeco, Emeco

Fig. 5.6. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made from recycled PET

Fig. 5.7. Small projects using recycled plastics. Source: (clockwise top left) Tom Price, Arne Jennard for ecoBirdy, DesignByThem

Fig. 5.8. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to small projects using recycled plastics

Fig. 5.9. Chairs made using recycled 'ocean' plastics. Source: (clockwise top left) Vondom, NCP, Mater, ScanCom, Polywood, Vondom

Fig. 5.10. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made from recycled ocean plastics

Fig. 5.11. Chairs made using 3D printing. Source: (clockwise top left) Dirk Vander Kooij, Joris Laarman Lab, WASP, Nagami Design SL

Fig. 5.12. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using 3D printing

Fig. 5.13. AI Chair, Philippe Starck 2019, made using Artificial Intelligence software. Source: Kartell

Fig. 5.14. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using artificial intelligence

Fig. 5.15. Chairs using flax or hemp. Source: (clockwise top left) Moroso, Label/Breed, Danish Design Centre, Offect

Fig. 5.16. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made from natural fibres

Fig. 5.17. Chairs using bioplastics. Source: (clockwise top left) A Lot of Brasil, Alki, Tramontina

Fig. 5.18. Chart illustrating ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using bioplastics

Fig. 5.19. Highest scoring chairs using the ERPR tool Source: (clockwise top left) Magis, Tramontina, Hay, Fritz Hansen, Moroso

Fig. 5.20. High ERPR scoring designs ranked on the waste hierarchy

Fig. 5.21. Lowest ERPR scoring designs ranked on the waste hierarchy

Chapter 6

Fig. 6.1. Regime dependency for fossil plastic chairs. Adapted from Gaziulusoy (2010)

Fig. 6.2. Regime dependencies for renewable carbon-based plastic chairs. Adapted from Gaziulusoy (2010)

Fig. 6.3. MLP model illustrating how niches can take advantage of landscape shifts or resultant tensions within the regime to breakthrough. Source: Adapted from Schot & Geels (2008)

Fig. 6.4 Landscape pressures emerge and create tensions with the existing socio-technical regime. Source: Adapted from Geels and Schot (2008)

Fig. 6.5 International survey of attitudes toward packaging. Source: IPSOS

Fig. 6.6 Price of ethylene 2000-2020. Source: Bloomberg

Chapter 7

Fig. 7.1. Chair design—sphere of influence. Many factors should be considered during the development of any design. The decision-making responsibility of the main six actors (labelled in dark blue caps) is indicated by the position of the relevant label.

Fig. 7.2. Issues resisting challengers to the established socio-technical regime. Source: Adapted from Geels and Shot (2008)

Fig. 7.3. Distribution of global plastic production. Source: Plastics Europe Market Research Group (PEMRG) and Conversio Market & Strategy GmbH (2019)

Fig. 7.4. Chart showing price of virgin vs recycled PET, 2012-2020. Recycled PET became more expensive than virgin PET in late 2019. Source: S&P Global Platts

Chapter 8

Fig. 8.1. Chair design—sphere of influence. The decisions that need to be taken when developing a design are shown with those influenced by the designer highlighted in bold. The actors (labelled in dark blue caps) with influence over specific design decisions are indicated by the position of the relevant factor.

Fig. 8.2. Strengthening the challengers—enabling strategies to challenge the existing socio-technical regime. Source: Adapted from Geels and Schot (2008)

Fig. 8.3. Detail showing textured surface of *Broom Stacking Chair*, designed by Philippe Starck for Emeco (2012). Source: Emeco

Fig. 8.4. Example of typical muted colour pallet available for chairs made from recycled plastics. Jasper Morrison's *1 Inch Reclaimed* by Emeco. Source: Emeco.

Fig. 8.5. Detail showing the marbleised appearance of the *S-1500* designed by Snøhetta for NCP. Source: NCP

Fig. 8.6. Showing the unique terrazzo finish on a ChairCharlie. Source: Arne Jenard for ecoBirdy

Fig. 8.7. While the shell of Thomas Pedersen's *Falk* is only available in one colour, the seat cushion is available in a range of colours. Source: Houe

Fig. 8.8. *Butter Chair* from DesignByThem is made from recycled HDPE boards veneered with virgin HDPE, allowing a range of bright colours to be offered. Source: DesignByThem

Fig. 8.9. The source of material for the polymer used for Werner Aisslinger's *Hemp Chair* is clearly visible on the surface of the design. Source: Michel Bonvin for BASF & Studio Aisslinger.

Fig. 8.10. Ander Lizaso's *Kuskoa Bi* (2015) on the left and Robin Day's *Polyside* armchair (1967). Source: Mito for Alki, Hille

Fig. 8.11. Former based on Eames armchair used to make impression for Tom Price's *Meltdown Chair*. Source: Tom Price

Fig. 8.12. *111 Navy Chair* by Emeco, *Ocean Chair* by Polywood and *On & On Stacking Chair* also by Emeco. All three designs are inspired by designs that appeared before mid-century. Source: Emeco, Polywood, Emeco

Fig. 8.13. *AI Chair* by Philippe Starck for Kartell. Starck claims the design is reminiscent of Art Nouveau. Source: Kartell

Fig. 8.14. Detail from Manuel Garcia's *Voxel Chair v1.0* showing unique 3D printing process based on nature and developed to minimise the impact of errors encountered during the 3D printing process. Source: © Nagami Design S.L.

Fig. 8.15. Vocabulary and terminology used by designers and engineers to describe influence of material properties and their shaping techniques. The left column shows the vocabulary used by designers while the right column shows words in bold used by engineers. Source: Anssary (2006)

Fig. 8.16. Tramontina manufacture and retail the *Sissi* chair from the *Summa* range (left), developed using post-consumer recycled resin and the *Jet* (right) using Braskem's '*I'm Green*' polyethylene made from ethane derived from sugar cane. Source: Tramontina

Fig. 8.17. Regime breakthrough is achieved, and the landscape adapts to absorb the new technology. Source: Adapted from Geels and Schot (2008)

Fig. 8.18. Barber & Osgerby's *Tip Ton RE* (2020) made from recycled polypropylene, polyethylene, and steel. Source: Vitra

Fig. 8.19. Chubby Chair, Dirk Vander Kooij, 2012. Source: Studio Dirk Vander Kooij

Fig. 8.20. *NO2 Recycled* is available in a range of configurations from Fritz Hansen to meet the diverse needs of numerous niche markets across both the corporate and residential markets. Source: Fritz Hansen

Fig. 8.21. The Bell Chair micro-site by Magis. Source: bell-chair.com

Fig. 8.22. Provenance of material used for his *Coastal Furniture* as explained by the designer. 'The seaweed roofs on the island Læsø (Denmark) has [*sic*] been the key inspiration source. The shell for the lounge chair is made of 100% biodegradable seaweed composite... The material consists of eelgrass and carrageenan. Eelgrass grows naturally near the coastline only to be collected when washed ashore. Carrageenan is extracted from certain red algae.' Source: Nikolaj Thrane Carlsen

Fig. 8.23. Vondom promote their *Revolution* series highlighting the link between plastic retrieved from the Mediterranean Sea. Source: Vondom

Fig. 8.24. Forest Management Certification (left) indicates timber used in a product has been sustainably sourced. Renewable carbon logo developed by the Nova Institute has potential to be used by the furniture industry to signal the use of plastics that meet sustainable criteria. Source: FSC and Nova Institute

Fig. 8.25. Bio Chair by Antonio Citterio for Kartell (prototype only), 2018. Source: Kartell

List of tables

Introduction

Table 0.1. Interviews conducted with designers, furniture manufacturers and material manufacturers

Chapter 4

Table 4.1. Sustainability related claims made on furniture manufacturer websites, September 2020

Table 4.2. Waste hierarchy analysis of renewable plastic chairs

Table 4.3. Comparison of the embodied energy of virgin and recycled polymers. Source: Ashby (2012)

Table 4.4. ERPR scoring sheet for materials

Table 4.5. ERPR scoring sheet for weight (sample organised into quintiles to determine score)

Table 4.6. ERPR scoring sheet for transport

Table 4.7. ERPR scoring sheet for appeal (sample arranged into quintiles to determine score for price)

Table 4.8. ERPR scoring sheet for end-of-life prospects

Chapter 5

Table 5.1. Details of chairs made from post-industrial waste polypropylene

Table 5.2. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made from recycled industrial waste polypropylene

Table 5.3. Details of chairs made using post-consumer recycled polypropylene

Table 5.4. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using post-consumer recycled polypropylene

Table 5.5. Details of chairs made using post-consumer recycled PET

Table 5.6. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using post-consumer recycled PET

Table 5.7. Details of small projects made using recycled plastics

Table 5.8. ERPR scores awarded to small projects using recycled plastics

Table 5.9. Details of chairs made from recycled ocean plastics

Table 5.10. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using recycled ocean plastics

Table 5.11. Details of chairs made using 3D printing

Table 5.12. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using 3D printing

Table 5.13. Details of chairs made using Artificial Intelligence

Table 5.14. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using artificial intelligence

- Table 5.15. Details of chairs made from natural fibres
- Table 5.16. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using natural fibres
- Table 5.17. Details of chairs made from bioplastics

Table 5.18. ERPR scores awarded to chairs made using bioplastics

Table 5.19. Charis ranked by ERPR scores (Average score = 10)

Table 5.20. ERPR scores awarded to the best performing chairs

Table 5.21. ERPR scores awarded to the worst performing chairs

Conclusion

Table 9.1. Stefan Diez's update of Deiter Rams guidelines for circular design. Source: Stylepark

List of abbreviations

ABS	Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene
AM	Additive manufacturing
APCO	Australian Packaging Covenant Organisation
BPA	Bisphenol A
CAGR	Compound annual growth rate
CMHR	Combustion modified high resiliency
ERPR	Environmentally Responsible Product Rating
ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance.
EU	European Union
Fibreglass	Fibreglass reinforced plastic (usually epoxy or polyester)
GMOs	Genetically modified organisms
HDPE	High density polyethylene
GHG	Greenhouse gas
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LCA	Life-cycle assessment
LDPE	Low density polyethylene
MLP	Multi-level perspective
NSW EPA	New South Wales Environment Protection Authority
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OPEC	Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
РВ	Planetary boundaries
PBAT	Poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate) – a starch-based biodegradable composite,
PBS	Polybutylene succinate - poly(butylene succinate)
PC	Polycarbonate
PE	Polyethylene
PET	Polyethylene terephthalate - a polyester thermoplastic
PFAS	Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances sometimes used as a grease and water repellent
	in food packaging – especially PLA
PHA	Polyhydroxyalkanotes – for example, poly- β -hydroxybuturate (PHB), the first of the
	biodegradable plastics made through a fermentation process
PLA	Polylactic acid – a polyester thermoplastic derived from renewable resources
PMMA	Poly(methyl methacrylate) acrylic glass often known by the trademark names
	Plexiglas, Lucite or Perspex
PP	Polypropylene
PU	Polyurethane
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RIC	Resin identification code
SKU	Stock-keeping unit
VCM	Vinyl chloride monomer – an ingredient of PVC
UN	United Nations
WWII	World War II

Abstract

Analysing the intersection between plastics, environmentally-conscious design, and consumption through a focussed study of plastic chairs, this dissertation casts new light on best practice for sustainable furniture design. Plastic chairs are ubiquitous but remain objects of constant innovation and experimentation by designers. With reference to historical and contemporary developments, I examine the shifting cultural attitudes to plastics. Product designers and furniture manufacturers are responding to mounting environmental concerns by experimenting with renewable carbon plastics (recycled plastic and bioplastics). My interviews with international contemporary designers and representatives from industry are critically evaluated, alongside case studies of recent plastic chairs made using renewable carbon plastics. Findings from that research led me to develop a quantitative eco-audit tool to enable a comparison of these designs and demonstrate that the best outcomes for sustainable design incorporate existing materials (recycled plastics) and traditional moulding technologies. This tool is presented in this dissertation as both a structural part of the research methodology, and as an output for the instrumentalisation of the study's findings.

Much research has been undertaken on sustainable design and there have been many calls for design-led societal change. But few studies have focused on how such change actually manifests, or identified the areas of research required to bring about transformation. In other words, what does it really take to shift design and manufacturing practices, at scale, across complex supply chains? The multi-level perspective (MLP) transition framework is used to identify strategies to scale-up the use of renewable carbon plastics in design. Providing a methodology for designers to embrace a more sustainable approach to the design of plastic products, this dissertation is also a call to arms for urgent action to mitigate the most devastating impacts of the environmental emergency.