focused on include diabetes, cardiovascular disease, stroke, asthma, lung cancer and obesity. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Chronic disease health outcomes and social determinants of health indicators were identified in all 92 Indiana counties. Counties were compared by composite z scores in a matrix to determine the 23 counties with the poorest health statistics for diabetes, cardiovascular disease, stroke, asthma, lung cancer, obesity and life expectancy. Qualitative data were used to identify local health coalitions that have the capacity and desire to work with Connections IN Health to improve these health outcomes. With input from partners, the counties were narrowed to 10 that were identified as those with the most need in the specific areas of chronic disease that the initiatives focus on. The team will begin listening sessions with two of these counties to identify strategic partnerships, funding sources, and evidence-based programs to address community-identified health priorities. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: The 23 counties with the poorest health outcomes related to chronic disease and factors were Blackford, Clark, Clay, Fayette, Fulton, Grant, Greene, Howard, Jay, Jennings, Knox, Lake, LaPorte, Madison, Marion, Pike, Scott, Starke, Sullivan, Vanderburgh, Vermillion, Vigo, and Washington. There was significant overlap in low z score rankings for individual health and social determinants of health measures among these 23 counties. The following 10 counties were selected for focus in the next five years based on partner input: Blackford, Clay, Grant, Jennings, Lake, Madison, Marion, Starke, Vermillion, and Washington. The Connections IN Health team has initiated listening sessions in Grant and Vermillion Counties (with data for presentation at the ACTS meeting). DISCUSSION/ SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: This mixed methods approach using existing data and partner input on county capacity/readiness directed Connections IN Health to counties with the most need for coalition efforts. Engagement within each county will inform next steps (e.g., capacity building, partnership development, applications for funding, implementation of evidence-based programs) and specific health focus area(s).

## **Contextual Predictors of Hospitalization and Quality of Life Among Patients on Hemodialysis**

4508

Kathryn Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Deidra Crews<sup>1</sup>, and Patricia Davidson<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; <sup>2</sup>Johns Hopkins School of Nursing

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: People engaging in high-risk substance use or experiencing food insecurity or housing instability are at increased risk to develop end-stage kidney disease. This study will examine associations between these risk factors, patient indicators of socioeconomic position, and hospitalization rates and quality of life after initiation of hemodialysis. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The proposed study will leverage a prospective cohort design. We will enroll a convenience sample of 330 participants from the same large dialysis organization. Participants will complete measures of socioeconomic position (age, gender, race, ethnicity, education, income, occupation and community poverty); substance use; food insecurity; housing instability; and quality of life at baseline. We will follow participants for 6 months and extract hospitalization counts from the dialysis facility medical record. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: We will generate risk scores (low, medium, high) from measures of substance use, food insecurity and housing instability.

We will conduct multiple logistic regression to generate odds ratios comparing risk group membership by indicators of socioeconomic position. We anticipate that low or medium-risk groups will differ from high risk groups by indicators of socioeconomic position. We will conduct Poisson regression to generate incidence rate ratios for 6-month hospitalization rates comparing low or medium-risk and high-risk groups. Lastly, we will conduct multiple linear regression to generate beta coefficients for changes in quality of life scores comparing low or medium-risk and high-risk groups. We anticipate that high-risk groups will have higher hospitalization rates and lower quality of life scores. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: As the prevalence of end-stage kidney disease continues to increase, there is a need for tertiary prevention interventions that reduce costly inpatient utilization and improve health-related quality of life. The proposed study will lay groundwork for the development of interventions to improve patient outcomes and reduce Medicare spending.

4132

**Do Research Studies at Oregon Health & Science University Comply with the New NIH Inclusion Across the Lifespan Policy - A "Look Back" over the last 2 Years** Meredith Zauflik<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Wenzel<sup>1</sup>, Adrienne Zell<sup>1</sup>, and Elizabeth Eckstrom<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oregon Health & Science University

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: This project aims to ensure that the age ranges of participants in OHSU studies for specific diseases match the demographics of the populations the diseases occur in, as mandated by the new NIH Inclusion of Individuals Across the Lifespan as Participants in Research Involving Human Subjects policy. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: This study involves retrospective and prospective data. The retrospective phase ("Look Back"), reviewed all investigator-initiated OHSU studies between 2017 and 2018 with prospective consent that were disease related (N = 63). Age range per IRB protocol and per subject enrollment were graphically compared to disease demographics to determine if study age ranges were a "match" or "mismatch" to disease demographics (0 = mismatch, 1 = partial match, 2 = full match). This data will inform the upcoming prospective phase of the study, when the study team will reach out to primary investigators of enrolling studies with education and resources, and track whether this reduces demographic "mismatch." RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Of the studies, 51 were evaluated in the "Look Back" analysis. 40 studies were full matches for age inclusion matching disease demographics (78%), 40 for disease prevalence range (78%), and 38 for enrolling subjects within the disease demographic range (74%). Studies received the lowest scores in enrolling subjects that match disease prevalence, with 19 earning full points (37%) and 17 earning 0 points (33%). Limitations include difficulty in finding and applying disease demographic and prevalence ranges. In addition, in this data, 12 of the original 63 total studies could not be scored because no subjects had been enrolled or prevalence ranges were not in line with clinical expertise. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: This study highlights that many trials exclude older subjects at the upper age ranges. Future analysis of the prospective phase of the study will allow us to assist research teams in closing these gaps and will determine the Policy's impact on the recruitment of older adults into research.