

Intelligent and Proactive Approach for The Optimal Handling of Low Chatbot Quality of Services (CQoS)

by Ebtesam Hussain Almansor

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Farookh Hussain

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

May 2022

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Ebtesam Hussain Almansor declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the

requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Engineering and

Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In

addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the

thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic

institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication

May 2022

Acknowledgements

In the beginning, praise be to God, who helped me to complete the most important stage of my education, which is PhD degree. Secondly, thanks to my successful supervisor and ideal leader who helped me complete my PhD degree. Thank you for everything you have done, words are always unable to express thanks and expressions. Thank you to my dear parents who helped me to be in this place and get this degree. Thank you for your prayers and support.

I would also like to thank my husband who helped me in my absence and who supported me a lot. Thank you to my beloved children who were friends of my scientific journey. Thank you to all my friends for their support.

Finally, I would also like to express my thanks to Saudi Arabian Cultural Mission (SACM) in Australia and Najran University for supporting me during my PhD journey.

Abstract

Recently, the chatbot has evolved into a trending topic in the area of computer science. The rapid growth of intelligent chatbots as conversational agents with artificial intelligence has recently attracted much research attention. This significant increase in the use of chatbots across different domains, such as education, business, and health care, raises a problematic issue, this being the quality of the responses provided by the chatbot. Although most of the research studies attempted to build a chatbot that provides an intelligent response, in some cases, a chatbot might not understand the end-user's request, which leads to producing inappropriate utterances that cause a negative user experience and conversation breakdown.

While several studies focus on dialogue breakdown detection, they still face several challenges, such as the lack and bias of human annotation for the dataset. Also, when they detect a dialogue breakdown point, they do not provide a solution to handle the breakdown. In the current literature, there is no model to determine the quality of responses from a chatbot to make intelligent and proactive decisions to transfer the conversation from the chatbot to a live agent.

To tackle these challenges, in this thesis, we developed intelligent, automated, and data-driven approaches to address the aforementioned research issue of determining the chatbot quality of service (CQoS) and make proactive and intelligent decisions as to when to transfer the control of the conversation to a live agent. Various machine learning approaches are proposed to detect CQoS, including supervised and unsupervised

approaches. Also another key aspect is considered, which is the human thinking and reasoning using the fuzzy logic detection model. Importantly, the use of a sentiment score is introduced to trigger the breakdown without the need for annotated dataset. The proposed solutions are evaluated using real-time datasets. The key finding of our research was based on the evaluation process. We concluded that our proposed method for modeling CQoS outperforms other similar methods. Also, based on the evaluation process, the deep learning model was able to more accurately detect the need for handover mechanism compared with the other models.

Publications

- Almansor, Ebtesam H., and Farookh Khadeer Hussain. "Survey on intelligent chatbots: State-of-the-art and future research directions." Conference on Complex, Intelligent, and Software Intensive Systems. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- 2. Almansor, E.H., Hussain, F.K. and Hussain, O.K., 2021. Supervised ensemble sentiment-based framework to measure chatbot quality of services. Computing, 103(3), pp.491-507.
- 3. Almansor, E.H. and Hussain, F.K., 2020, January. Modeling the Chatbot Quality of Services (CQoS) Using Word Embedding to Intelligently Detect Inappropriate Responses. In International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications. Springer.
- Almansor, E.H. and Hussain, F.K., 2021, July. Fuzzy Prediction Model to Measure Chatbot Quality of Service. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- Almansor, E.H. and Hussain, F.K., 2021, May. Sentiment-Driven Breakdown
 Detection Model Using Contextual Embedding ElMo. In International Conference
 on Advanced Information Networking and Applications (pp. 163-171). Springer,
 Cham.

Abbreviations

| CQoS Chatbot Quality of Service NLP Natural language processing DL Deep learning SA Sentiment Analysis ML Machine Learning AI Artificial intelligent CNN Convolution Neural network NN Neural network | |
|--|--|
| DL Deep learning SA Sentiment Analysis ML Machine Learning AI Artificial intelligent CNN Convolution Neural network | |
| SA Sentiment Analysis ML Machine Learning AI Artificial intelligent CNN Convolution Neural network | |
| ML Machine Learning AI Artificial intelligent CNN Convolution Neural network | |
| AI Artificial intelligent CNN Convolution Neural network | |
| CNN Convolution Neural network | |
| | |
| NN Nouvel network | |
| ININ Neural network | |
| LSTM Long Short-Term Memory | |
| RNN Recurrent Neural network | |
| Char-CNN-Bi-LSTM Character Convolutional Bi-directional- LSTM | |
| AIML Artificial Intelligence Markup Language | |
| ALICE Artificial Linguistic Internet Computer Entity | |
| UIMA Unstructured Information Management Architecture | |
| LUSI Language Understating Information Service | |
| NLU Natural Language Understanding | |
| DST Dialogue Stat Tracking | |
| HMM/CFG Hidden Markov model context-free grammars | |
| CRFs Conditional random fields | |
| Seq2Seq Sequence-to-sequence | |
| NLG Natural Language Generation | |
| IRIS Informal Response Interactive System | |

| VSM | Vector Space Model |
|--------|---|
| DBDC | Dialogue Breakdown Detection Challenge |
| MAP | Mean Average Precision |
| LSA | Latent Semantic Analysis |
| WWW | World Wide Web |
| MRR | Mean Reciprocal Rank |
| nDCG | Normalized Discounted Cumulative Gain |
| TAARNN | Topic-Aware Attentive Recurrent Neural Network |
| HRED | Hierarchical Recurrent Encoder-Decoder |
| RLM | Recurrent Neural Network Language Model |
| BLEU | Bilingual Evaluation Understudy |
| MMI | Maximum Mutual Information |
| ECM | Emotional Chatting Machine |
| MSE | Mean Square Error |
| BERT | Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers |
| VADER | Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner |
| TF-IDF | Term frequency-inverse document frequency |
| MELD | Multimodal Emotion Lines Dataset |
| CIC | Conversation Intelligent Challenge |
| DBDCs | Dialogue Breakdown Detection Challenges |
| · | |

Table of contents

| Α | bstra | ct | | | | iii |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------|----------------|---|------|
| \mathbf{A} | bbre | riations | | | | vi |
| Li | st of | figures | | | | xv |
| Li | ${f st}$ of | tables | | | 2 | xvii |
| 1 | Intr | oduction | | | | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Introduction | | . . | | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Research Challenges | | | | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Objectives of this Thesis | | | | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Significance of the Thesis | | | | 5 |
| | | 1.4.1 Scientific Contributions | | | | 5 |
| | | 1.4.2 Social Contributions | | | | 6 |
| | 1.5 | Structure of the Thesis | | | | 6 |
| | 1.6 | Conclusion | | . . | | 9 |
| 2 | ${ m Lit}\epsilon$ | rature review | | | | 10 |
| | 2.1 | Overview | • | | | 10 |
| | 2.2 | Background of existing chatbots | | | | 11 |
| | | 2.2.1 Elizabot | _ | | | 11 |

Table of contents ix

| | | 2.2.2 | Alicebot | 1 2 |
|---|-----|---------|--|------------|
| | | 2.2.3 | Elizabeth bot | 1 2 |
| | | 2.2.4 | Mitsuku | 13 |
| | | 2.2.5 | IBM Watson | 13 |
| | | 2.2.6 | Microsoft LUIS | 14 |
| | | 2.2.7 | Google Dialogflow | 14 |
| | | 2.2.8 | Amazon Lex | 15 |
| | 2.3 | Taxon | nomy of chatbot | 15 |
| | | 2.3.1 | Chatbot based on purpose | 16 |
| | | | 2.3.1.1 Task-oriented conversational agent | ۱7 |
| | | | 2.3.1.2 Non-task-oriented conversational agent (chatbot) 2 | 21 |
| | | | 2.3.1.3 Retrieval response generation approach | 21 |
| | | | 2.3.1.4 Generation-based approach | 28 |
| | | 2.3.2 | Chatbot-dialogue based on response generation ability 3 | 33 |
| | | | 2.3.2.1 Goal-based chatbot | 33 |
| | | | 2.3.2.2 Knowledge-based chatbot | 33 |
| | | | 2.3.2.3 Service-based chatbot | 34 |
| | | | 2.3.2.4 Response generated-based chatbot | 34 |
| | 2.4 | Break | down detection models in dialogue | 34 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Supervised models | 35 |
| | | 2.4.2 | Unsupervised approaches (Deep learning model) | 36 |
| | | 2.4.3 | Others related approach | 37 |
| | 2.5 | Sentin | nent analysis in dialogue | 17 |
| | 2.6 | Critica | al evaluation of the existing literature | 18 |
| | 2.7 | Concl | usion | 19 |
| 3 | Pro | blem d | definition 5 | 0 |
| | 3.1 | Introd | luction | 50 |
| | 3.2 | | | 50 |
| | | 3.2.1 | | 51 |

Table of contents x

| | 3.2.2 | Natural language processing | 51 |
|-----|---------|---|----|
| | 3.2.3 | Breakdown in dialogue | 51 |
| | 3.2.4 | Chatbot Quality of Services (CQoS) | 51 |
| | 3.2.5 | Utterance | 51 |
| | 3.2.6 | Inappropriate or low utterance | 51 |
| | 3.2.7 | Intelligent chatbot quality of service platform | 52 |
| | 3.2.8 | Live agent | 52 |
| | 3.2.9 | Administrator | 52 |
| | 3.2.10 | Handover mechanism | 52 |
| | 3.2.11 | Sentiment analysis | 52 |
| | 3.2.12 | Machine learning | 53 |
| | 3.2.13 | Supervised approach | 53 |
| | 3.2.14 | Deep learning | 53 |
| | 3.2.15 | Fuzzy logic | 53 |
| 3.3 | Proble | em Overview and Problem Definition | 53 |
| 3.4 | Resear | ch Questions | 56 |
| | 3.4.1 | Research Question 1 | 56 |
| | 3.4.2 | Research Question 2 | 56 |
| | 3.4.3 | Research Question 3 | 56 |
| | 3.4.4 | Research Question 4 | 57 |
| 3.5 | Resear | ch Objectives | 57 |
| | 3.5.1 | Research Objective 1 | 57 |
| | 3.5.2 | Research Objective 2 | 57 |
| | 3.5.3 | Research Objective 3 | 57 |
| | 3.5.4 | Research Objective 4 | 58 |
| 3.6 | The R | esearch Approach to Problem-Solving | 58 |
| 3.7 | Existin | ng Research Methods | 58 |
| | 3.7.1 | Science and Engineering Approach | 58 |
| | 3.7.2 | Social Science Approach | 59 |

Table of contents xi

| | 3.8 | The M | Iethodolog | gy of Science and Engineering-Based Research | 60 |
|---|-----|--------|-------------|---|------------|
| | | 3.8.1 | Concepti | ıal Level | 62 |
| | | | 3.8.1.1 | Literature Review | 62 |
| | | | 3.8.1.2 | Problem Formulation | 62 |
| | | | 3.8.1.3 | Definition of Key Concepts | 62 |
| | | | 3.8.1.4 | Conceptual Solution | 62 |
| | | 3.8.2 | Perceptu | al Level | 63 |
| | | | 3.8.2.1 | Methodology Development | 63 |
| | | | 3.8.2.2 | Development of the Prototype | 63 |
| | | 3.8.3 | Practical | Level | 63 |
| | 3.9 | Concl | usion | | 63 |
| 4 | Sol | lution | Overview | 7 | 65 |
| | 4.1 | Introd | luction | | 65 |
| | 4.2 | Overv | iew of the | Solution for Measuring CQoS using an Ensemble | |
| | | Super | vised Mod | el | 67 |
| | 4.3 | Overv | iew of the | Solution for Measuring CQoS Considering Human | |
| | | Think | ing and Re | easoning Using Fuzzy Logic | 71 |
| | | 4.3.1 | Preproce | ssing | 72 |
| | | 4.3.2 | Fuzzy log | gic model | 72 |
| | | | 4.3.2.1 | Rule inference | 73 |
| | | 4.3.3 | Measurin | ag CQoS | 73 |
| | 4.4 | Overv | iew of the | Solution for Measuring CQoS Considering Automated | |
| | | Conve | rsational I | Feature Extraction using Deep Learning | 73 |
| | 4.5 | Overv | iew of the | Solution to Validate the Proposed Methodology | 75 |
| | | 4.5.1 | Evaluation | on metrics | 75 |
| | | | 4.5.1.1 | Accuracy | 75 |
| | | | 4.5.1.2 | Precision | 7 6 |
| | | | 4.5.1.3 | Recall | 7 6 |
| | | | 4.5.1.4 | F1-measure | 77 |

Table of contents xii

| | 4.6 | Conclu | usion | 77 |
|---|-----|----------|---|-----------|
| 5 | Sup | ervise | d Ensemble Sentiment-Based Framework to Measure Cha | tbot |
| | Qua | ality of | Services | 78 |
| | 5.1 | Introd | uction | 78 |
| | 5.2 | The p | roposed framework | 79 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Task formulation | 79 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Automated labeling | 80 |
| | | 5.2.3 | Pre-processing | 81 |
| | | 5.2.4 | Feature extraction | 81 |
| | | 5.2.5 | The supervised ensemble detection model | 82 |
| | | 5.2.6 | Decision-making system | 83 |
| | 5.3 | Exper | imental Setting | 84 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Datasets | 85 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Baseline and State of the Art | 86 |
| | | 5.3.3 | Evaluation metrics | 86 |
| | 5.4 | Result | s and discussion | 86 |
| | | 5.4.1 | Comparison with the state-of-the-art | 87 |
| | | 5.4.2 | Validation with other models and datasets | 89 |
| | 5.5 | Conclu | usion and future work | 91 |
| 6 | Fuz | zv-Ras | sed Monitoring System for Predicting the CQoS | 92 |
| U | 6.1 | - | luction | 92 |
| | 6.2 | | sed fuzzy-based framework for detecting and handling CQoS | 93 |
| | 0.2 | 6.2.1 | Preprocessing | 94 |
| | | 6.2.2 | Fuzzy logic model | 95 |
| | | 0.2.2 | 6.2.2.1 Fuzzification step | 95 |
| | | | 6.2.2.2 Rule inference | 95 |
| | | 6.2.3 | | 95 97 |
| | 6.2 | | Measuring CQoS | |
| | 6.3 | ∟vaiua | ation of the Prototype | 97 |

| Table of contents | xiii |
|-------------------|------|
|-------------------|------|

| | | 6.3.1 | Experiment setup and implementation | 97 | | | | | |
|---|------|--|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 6.3.2 | Datasets | 97 | | | | | |
| | | 6.3.3 | Evaluation metrics | 98 | | | | | |
| | 6.4 | Result | ts and discussion | 98 | | | | | |
| | 6.5 | Conclu | usion | 100 | | | | | |
| _ | 3.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | • | asuring Chatbot Quality of Service to Predict Human-Machine Handover | | | | | | |
| | usin | ing a Character Deep Learning Model 101 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 | Introd | luction | 101 | | | | | |
| | 7.2 | The p | roposed hybrid Char-CNN-Bi-LSTM | 102 | | | | | |
| | | 7.2.1 | Pre-processing | 102 | | | | | |
| | | | 7.2.1.1 Pre-processing | 102 | | | | | |
| | | | 7.2.1.2 Data labeling | 102 | | | | | |
| | | 7.2.2 | Character Convolution Neural Network (char-CNN) | 104 | | | | | |
| | | 7.2.3 | Bi-LSTM | 105 | | | | | |
| | 7.3 | Exper | iment setup and Evaluation criteria | 106 | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.1 | Dataset | 106 | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.2 | Evaluation metrics | 107 | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.3 | Parameter setting | 107 | | | | | |
| | | 7.3.4 | Baselines and state-of-the-art | 108 | | | | | |
| | 7.4 | Result | ts and discussion | 108 | | | | | |
| | | 7.4.1 | Comparison of the proposed method using different tasks | 109 | | | | | |
| | | 7.4.2 | Comparison of the proposed method with state-of-the-art | | | | | | |
| | | | methods | 110 | | | | | |
| | 7.5 | Conclu | usion and future work | 115 | | | | | |
| 8 | Con | oclusio | n and Future Work | 116 | | | | | |
| ی | 8.1 | | luction | | | | | | |
| | 8.2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ems addressed in this thesis | | | | | | |
| | 8.3 | Conti | ributions of the Thesis | 118 | | | | | |

Table of contents xiv

| | 8.3.1 | Contribution 1: State-of-the-art comprehensive survey of the | |
|---------|--------|---|-----|
| | | existing literature | 118 |
| | 8.3.2 | Contribution 2: Develop a supervised ensemble sentiment | |
| | | based model to measure chatbot quality of services | 119 |
| | 8.3.3 | Contribution 3: Develop a fuzzy logic model to measure chatbot | |
| | | quality of services | 119 |
| | 8.3.4 | Contribution 4: Develop an ensemble deep learning model to | |
| | | measure chatbot quality of services | 119 |
| | 8.3.5 | Evaluation of the proposed chatbot quality of service framework | 120 |
| 8.4 | Concl | usion and future work | 120 |
| | _ | | |
| Bibliog | graphy | • | 123 |

List of figures

| 1.1 | The structure of the thesis | 8 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Structure of the literature review | 11 |
| 2.2 | Taxonomy of chatbot | 16 |
| 2.3 | Illustration of the pipeline structure in the non-task-oriented approach | 18 |
| 2.4 | Classifying chatbots into two categories: retrieval-based chatbots and | |
| | generation-based chatbots | 21 |
| 2.5 | The outline of the proposed classification of dialogue breakdown \dots | 35 |
| 3.1 | Illustration of the proposed handover process in action | 55 |
| 3.2 | Overview of the science and engineering-based research methods | |
| | applied in this thesis | 61 |
| 4.1 | Overview of the proposed detection solution and handling of low | |
| | CQoS | 66 |
| 4.2 | Overview of the proposed intelligent framework to measure CQoS | |
| | using the ensemble supervised model | 67 |
| 4.3 | Overview of the proposed ensemble supervised model | 68 |
| 4.4 | Overview of the solution for measuring CQoS considering human | |
| | thinking and reasoning using fuzzy logic | 71 |

List of figures xvi

| 4.5 | Overview of the solution for measuring CQoS considering automated | |
|-----|--|-----|
| | conversational feature extraction using deep learning | 74 |
| 5.1 | The proposed intelligent framework to measure CQoS | 80 |
| 5.2 | The proposed ensembles classifier | 83 |
| 5.3 | The Decision-making system | 84 |
| 5.4 | Evaluation results on DBDC3 with state-of the-art-model with EUS $$. $$. | 88 |
| 5.5 | Evaluation results on DBDC3 with state-of the-art-model with EUSS $$. | 89 |
| 6.1 | The structure of the proposed fuzzy rule-based framework | 94 |
| 6.2 | Comparison results of the proposed fuzzy model | 99 |
| 7.1 | Architecture of the proposed Char-CNN-Bi-LSTM approach for | |
| | measuring Chatbot Quality of Services | 103 |

List of tables

| 2.1 | Overview of existing task-oriented approaches used for Chatbots | 20 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Overview of the existing retrieval approaches used for Chatbots \dots . | 24 |
| 2.2 | Overview of the existing retrieval approaches used for Chatbots | 25 |
| 2.2 | Overview of the existing retrieval approaches used for Chatbots | 26 |
| 2.2 | Overview of the existing retrieval approaches used for Chatbots | 27 |
| 2.3 | Overview of existing generating- approaches used in Chatbots | 29 |
| 2.3 | Overview of existing generating- approaches used in Chatbots | 30 |
| 2.3 | Overview of existing generating- approaches used in Chatbots | 31 |
| 2.3 | Overview of existing generating- approaches used in Chatbots | 32 |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection | |
| | models. | 38 |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection | |
| | models. | 39 |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection | |
| | models | 40 |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection | |
| | models | 41 |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection | |
| | models | 42 |

List of tables xviii

| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection |
|-----|---|
| | models |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection |
| | models |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection |
| | models |
| 2.4 | Comparative analysis of the existing studies of breakdown detection |
| | models |
| 5.1 | Cornell dataset details |
| 5.2 | MELD dataset details |
| 5.3 | Evaluation results on the proposed model in DBDC3 Dataset 87 |
| 5.4 | Evaluation results on the proposed model in DBDC3 Dataset 88 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of the accuracy using our proposed framework on MELD |
| | and Cornell dataset |
| 5.6 | Comparison of F1-measure, recall, and precision on the Cornell 90 |
| 5.7 | Comparison of F1-measure, recall, and precision score on MELD with |
| | the Automated sentiment |
| 6.1 | Input and output parameters |
| 6.2 | A fuzzy system using sentiment and word count for measuring ${\rm CQoS}$. 96 |
| 6.3 | Comparison results of the proposed fuzzy model against the breakdown |
| | detection models on the DBDC3 dataset |
| 6.4 | Comparison results of the proposed fuzzy model against the supervised |
| | model |
| 7.1 | Comparison of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM on |
| | dialogue breakdown detection based on the original label 109 |
| 7.2 | Comparison of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM on |
| | dialogue breakdown detection based on the sentiment score as a label . 110 |

List of tables xix

| 7.3 | Comparison of accuracy of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM |
|-----|--|
| | on measuring CQoS for DBDC3 and MELD |
| 7.4 | Comparison of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM |
| | using MELD, Joker and IRIS for Accuracy, F1 Score, Precision and |
| | Recall |
| 7.5 | Comparison of accuracy of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM |
| | on measuring CQoS using sentiment score |
| 7.6 | Comparison of various baseline models with Char CNNBi-LSTM |
| | using MELD, Joker, IRIS and Cornell with sentiment score using |
| | Accuracy F1 Score Precision and Recall |