

# The relationship between initial context memory completeness, updating, and systems consolidation in hippocampus and cortex

#### by Weitian Sun

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

#### **Doctor of Philosophy**

under the supervision of Prof. Bryce Vissel Dr. Raphael Zinn

University of Technology Sydney School of Life sciences Faculty of Science

April 2022

### Certificate of original authorship

I, Weitian Sun declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirement of the requirements for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy, in the school of life sciences at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 17<sup>st</sup>. Aug. 2022

#### Acknowledgements

Many people have helped me throughout my PhD study, and I cannot achieve this without your help. Firstly, I would like to thank Prof. Bryce Vissel for offering me this opportunity to pursue my PhD study. You have profoundly supported my study and been very thoughtful about my life in Australia. As an international student, living and studying in another country are never easy for me, and your support means a lot and makes my life much easier. Secondly, I would like to thank Dr. Raphael Zinn for his supervision throughout my study. You are the first person to bring me to this study, and your guidance always enlightens me and inspires me to approach the goal. Besides, you always supervise me with great patience, for which I cannot thank you enough. Thirdly, I would like to thank Dr. Ossama Khalaf for helping me get through the most challenging time in my PhD. I feel extremely lucky and honoured to have your supervision. You did not only teach me science in the lab, but also philosophy in life. I cannot achieve this work without you. I would like to extend my great appreciation to the Vissel lab. Your company makes my life much better than I could imagine. I know I can always get support from everyone in the lab. I would also like to thank Prof. Alaina Ammit and the UTS faculty for helping me finish my PhD under very difficult circumstances.

Outside the lab, I would like to thank families and their support. My parents, Sun Kaijing and Fan Hong, have been supporting my life and my dream and believe in me. I know it is not easy to not have your son around you, but you still encourage me to move forward and pursue my dream. I could never thank you enough for this sacrifice.

iii

## Statement of the thesis format

This thesis is written as a conventional thesis.

# **List of Figures**

Chapter 2	
Fig 1. Normal context (context A)	31
Fig 2. CFC accessories	32
Fig 3. Schematic of fosCretdT system	37
Chapter 3	
Fig 1. CFC paradigm validation	43
Fig 2. Validation for the basic set-up	45
Fig 3. Anisomycin effect on synaptic consolidation	47
Chapter 4	
Fig1. Coordinates and placement validation	55
Fig 2. Inhibition of the DG and the dHPC for the 30s and the 720s	57
PSI memories	
Fig 3. HPC placement verification	58
Fig 4. Engram neurons labelling in the DG	61
Fig 5. Memory tests with PFC inhibition	62
Fig 6. PFC placement verification	63
Chapter 5	
Table 1. The single component manipulation experiments	70
Table 2. Context B and C designs	71
Table 3. Summary of context validation experiments	71
Fig 1. Different context designs and validation	72
Fig 2. Verification of updating poorly formed memories	74
Fig 3. Hippocampal inhibition on updated memories	75
Fig 4. HPC placement verification	76

## **Table of Contents**

Certificate of original authorship	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Statement of the thesis format	iv
List of Figures	V
Table of Contents	vi
Abstract	1
Chapter 1: Introduction	3
1.1 Memory and Memory consolidation	3
1.1.1 Memory formation	3
1.1.2 LTP and protein synthesis in memory formation	5
1.2 Memory consolidation	6
1.2.1 Synaptic consolidation	7
1.2.2 Systems consolidation	10
1.2.3 Reconsolidation	13
1.2.4 Identifying the engram neurons	14
1.3 Context fear conditioning	15
1.3.1 Classical conditioning and the fundamental learning mechanism	16
1.3.2 Context fear conditioning	16
1.3.3 Behavioural readouts of CFC	
1.3.4 Anatomical structures involved in memory encoding and consolidate	ation19
1.3.5 Timing effect of CFC	23
1.4 The present study	
Chapter 2: Methods	
2.1 Subjects	
2.2 Drug preparation	
2.2.1 Anisomycin	
2.2.2 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT)	
2.2.3 Paraformaldehyde (PFA) and sucrose solution preparation	
2.2.4 0.1M phosphate buffer preparation	
2.2.5 Anti-freeze solution preparation	
2.3 Experimental Procedures	
2.3.1 Context fear conditioning system	
2.3.2 Context fear conditioning (CFC) and memory tests	

2.3.3 HPC and PFC cannula implantation	35
2.3.4 HPC coordinates validation	
2.3.5 Cannula placement validation	
2.3.6 Drug infusion through cannula	
2.3.7 Engram neurons labelling	
2.3.8 Perfusion and brain fixation procedure	40
2.3.9 Counterstaining and slides preparation	40
2.3.10 IHC staining and slides preparation	41
2.4 Image taking and data processing	42
2.4.1 Cell counting	42
2.4.2 Data analysis and statistics	42
Chapter 3: Whether PSI affects synaptic consolidation	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Results	44
3.2.1 CFC validation	44
3.2.2 PSI effects on synaptic consolidation rate	
3.3 Discussion	
Chapter 4: Whether PSI affects systems consolidation	56
4.1 Introduction	56
4.2 Results	57
4.2.1 Placement and HPC coordinates validation	57
4.2.2 PSI effects on systems consolidation rate	
4.2.3 Is the 30s PSI memory encoded in the HPC?	62
4.2.4 Is the 30s PSI memory encoded in the PFC?	64
4.3 Discussion	66
Chapter 5: Whether memory updating affects the original memory trac	
following consolidation	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Results	
5.2.1 Context B design experiments	
5.2.2 Validation of the memory updating paradigm	
5.2.3 The 30s PSI memory did not change its form after updating	
5.3 Discussion	
Chapter 6: Discussion	
6.1 Results summary	
6.2 The context fear conditioning system is different from the previous we	
lab	ð/

6.3 Only one anisomycin sensitive time window during synaptic consolidation 88
6.4 Systems consolidation window used in this study90
6.5 Inhibiting the HPC was unable to interrupt the 30s PSI memory but able to interrupt the 720s PSI one
6.6 The updated 30s PSI memory was still resistant to HPC inhibition95
6.7 Limitations in techniques96
6.7.1 Single shock generates low freezing in memory tests
6.7.2 Unable to measure the protein synthesis level in mice
6.8 The novel finding of this study97
6.9 Future direction
6.9.1 Identifying the reason why contextual memory can be dissociated from the conditioning
6.9.2 Exploring memory consolidation in future101
6.9.3 How memory updating affects the original trace102
6.9.4 How the updated memory is different from a well-formed memory103
6.10 Conclusion
References105

#### Abstract

We are forming memories every day. The fate of those memories varies depending on many causes, such as the importance of the memory, the time spent informing that memory, or the emotional state. Therefore, some memories are reliable and long-lasting, but others are inaccurate or short-lived. However, it is largely unknown whether those memories undergo the same development or not. A newly formed memory will undergo a process called memory consolidation, by which a labile memory is fixed and converted into a stabilized memory. Previous studies showed that the memories formed with different learning durations varied in accuracy and neural activity. Therefore, in this study, I further investigated whether those memories undergo the same consolidation process.

To address that question, I used context fear conditioning in mice to investigate how learning durations affect memory consolidation. Different learning durations were achieved by controlling the different amount of time that mice spend in the conditioning context prior to shock, i.e. different PSIs (placement shock interval, PSI). This study focused on the two stages of memory consolidation, synaptic and systems consolidation. Firstly, by disrupting protein synthesis, an indispensable process in synaptic consolidation, I found that the short and long PSI memories underwent synaptic consolidation at the same rate. Secondly, I found HPC inhibition significantly impaired the long PSI memory at recent time points but not the short one, suggesting the long PSI memory was contextual and HPC dependent, but the short PSI one might not be. This result showed that the short and the long PSI memories are significantly different in the HPC dependent consolidation. Thirdly, I investigated whether improving a short PSI memory by updating affects its following consolidation. I found that an improved short PSI memory was still resistant to HPC inhibition. This result can be interpreted in two ways. Firstly, the original memory was not encoded in the HPC, so the improved memory was not HPC dependent either. Secondly, the original memory was encoded in the HPC and resistant to the HPC inhibition. In this case, memory updating did not render it susceptible to inhibition, and presumably, the following consolidation was not affected. However, the two interpretations cannot be delineated in this study.