© This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

The definitive publisher version is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.115497>

Abstract

 The adsorption of inorganic arsenic (As) plays an important role in the mobility and transport 24 of As in the river environment. In this work, the adsorption and desorption of arsenite [As(III)] and arsenate [As(V)] on river sediment were conducted under different pH, initial As concentrations, river water and sediment composition to assess As adsorption behavior and mechanism. Both adsorption kinetics and equilibrium results showed higher adsorption 28 capacity of sediment for $As(V)$ than $As(III)$. Adsorption of $As(III)$ and $As(V)$ on river sediment was favored in acidic to neutral conditions and on finer sediment particles, while sediment organic matter marginally reduced adsorption capacity. In addition, higher adsorption affinity of As(III) and As(V) in river sediment was observed in deionised water than in river water. For the release process, the desorption of both As(III) and As(V) followed nonlinear kinetic models well, showing higher amount of As(III) release from sediment than As(V). Adsorption isotherm was well described by both Langmuir and Freundlich models, demonstrating higher maximum adsorption capacity of As(V) at 298.7 mg/kg than As(III) at 263.3 mg/kg in deionised water, and higher maximum adsorption capacity of As(III) of 234.3 mg/kg than As(V) of 206.2 mg/kg in river water. The XRD showed the changes in the peaks of mineral groups of sediment whilst FTIR results revealed the changes related to surface functional groups before and after adsorption, indicating that Fe–O/Fe–OH, Si(Al)–O, hydroxyl and carboxyl functional groups were predominantly involved in As(III) and As(V) adsorption on sediment surface. XPS analysis evidenced the transformation between these As species in river sediment after 42 adsorption, whilst SEM-EDS revealed higher amount of As(V) in river sediment than As(III) due to the lower signal of Al.

 Keywords: Arsenic adsorption; Adsorption kinetics; Adsorption isotherm; River sediment; Sediment functional groups

1. Introduction

 Arsenic (As) is a highly toxic element, and its contamination in soils, sediments, surface water and groundwater has been widely monitored due to significant threat to plants, animals and human health (Dousova et al., 2012). Natural and anthropogenic processes cause an increasing amount of As into sediments and water environment (Goldberg and Suarez, 2013; Zhang and Selim, 2005). Anthropogenic activities are the main sources of As contamination in soils and sediments (Dousova et al., 2012), among which mining is the second largest source contributing to highly contaminated As levels in the environment. As exists in the natural environments in two main soluble forms, i.e. arsenate [As(III)] and arsenite [As(V)] (Arco- Lázaro et al., 2016). As(III) is predominant under reducing conditions, while As(V) is prevalent under oxidizing conditions (Dousova et al., 2012). High abundance and toxicity of inorganic arsenics have received major attention from scientists to examine As transportation behavior (Wang et al., 2018).

 Adsorption is a key process regulating As transport in the aquatic environment. Adsorption kinetics, mechanisms and controlling parameters such as pH, phosphate, temperature, sediment organic matter (SOM), texture, and clay minerals have been studied (Arco-Lázaro et al., 2016; Dousova et al., 2012) for a better understanding of As sorption mechanisms. The effect of pH on As adsorbed on sediments has been investigated by Ma et al. (2015), who indicated that the amount of As(III) and As(V) adsorbed on sediment increased when pH decreased from 9 to 5. Adsorption of As is highly dependent on sediment properties (Xie et al., 2018) and environmental conditions. SOM has shown negative impacts on As adsorption, whilst small particle size of sediment enhances As adsorption on soils (Nguyen et al., 2021). Wang and Mulligan (2006) suggested that humic and fulvic acids had a high affinity for adsorption to the metal (hydro)oxide surface, resulting in competition with As(III) and As(V) for adsorption on the sediment surfaces. However, the authors explained that As(III) and As(V) ions may be strongly bound with the formation of SOM-metal complexes through metal-bridging

 mechanisms, through which these As species form aqueous complexes with humic and fulvic acids. In addition, SOM could control the release of As(V) from solid phase regardless of the adsorption mechanism (Grafe et al., 2001). Varsányi and Kovács (2006) showed a strong correlation between As and sediment organic carbon (SOC) at low SOC (0.04%) and high Fe (4.91 g/kg) concentrations, but no such correlation was observed at high SOC (0.775% and 0.810%) and low Fe (0.09% and 0.29%) concentrations. Therefore, the effect of SOM on As(III) and As(V) adsorption on sediment is still not entirely clear. Regarding the grain size of sediment, Dias et al. (2009) considered sediment textural fractions as the most important 81 parameter influencing As(V) adsorption on sediments. Smith et al. (2006) and Xie et al. (2018) 82 found that the adsorption of total and inorganic As species $[As(III)]$ and $As(V)]$ on sediments increased with decreasing particle size, with sand < silt < clay. For instance, the adsorption capacity of As(III) on sediments increased from 1.57 mmol/kg to 2.62 mmol/kg for sandy and clay types, and from 2.81 mmol/kg to 5.13 mmol/kg for As(V) (Xie et al., 2018). Hence, the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on different sediments has been studied; however, the contribution of different sediment size fractions still needed further investigation.

 The adsorption and desorption rates of As on sediments were studied by Xie et al. (2018). 89 They found that the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) occurred rapidly during the first two days, slowed down in the next five days, and then became relatively stable until 28 days. Similarly, the release of As from sediment into water rapidly decreased after seven days, followed by a slow rate. Furthermore, the desorption rate of As(III) reached a maximum of 60% which was higher than that of As(V) at 40% (Xie et al., 2018). Overall, As adsorption from water and its controlling factors have been widely investigated. Recent studies on the interactions between As species and adsorbent surfaces indicated that As(V) mainly interacted with COOH, O-H and Fe-O functional groups of soils (Rawat et al., 2022), while As(III) adsorption on microplastics mainly occurred via hydrogen bond of carboxyl group (Dong et al., 2019; 2020). Up to now, the study on As desorption has been limited to soils, though this desorption process and

consequent As migration to the environment are of great importance (Feng et al., 2013).

 In river sediments, As predominantly exists as arsenite [As(III)] in the anoxic zone, and as arsenate [As(V)] in the oxic surface layer (Dousova et al., 2012). The average abundance of As in the crustal earth is 1.5 mg/kg, ranging from <1 to 15 mg/kg in alluvial sands, glacial till, lake sediments and soils (Plant et al., 2005). According to Hettiarachchi et al. (2017), only inorganic species were present in mangrove sediments located in southeast New South Wales, Australia. As contamination in river sediment can cause tremendous harm to living organisms, even at low concentrations (De Jonge et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2017). Adsorption and desorption are key geochemical processes in river environments regulating As transport and fate in river systems. River sediments play a vital role in removing As from contaminated water, and can also act as a secondary pollution source releasing As into the surface water and groundwater (Chen et al., 2017; Lou et al., 2017).

111 The adsorption behavior of As on sediments has been reported (Dousova et al., 2012; Goldberg and Suarez, 2013; Ma et al., 2015); however, the contribution of sediment physicochemical characteristics to As adsorption or retention is still not clear. Therefore, the interactions between As species and river sediment were investigated in this study in order to develop better understanding and sustainable management strategies for arsenic contaminated sediments. Therefore, this paper aims to examine the adsorption kinetics, adsorption isotherms 117 and adsorption mechanisms of As(III) and As(V) on river sediment under different conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemical standards

 Multi-element standard solution 4 for ICP (40 mg/L of As) was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd, Australia. The solution was diluted by Milli-Q water with a resistivity of 18 MΩ. All 123 chemicals were of analytical grade. Sodium arsenate dibasic heptahydrate $(Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O)$ 124 and sodium arsenite ($NaAsO₂$), purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd, were dissolved with Milli-Q water to obtain As(III) and As(V) stock solution (100 mg/L). The phosphoric acid 126 (H₃PO₄, 85% w/w) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (NH₂OH·HCl, 99% purity) were also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Pty Ltd. Then, the solutions of 1.0 M phosphoric acid and 0.2 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride were prepared by diluting their original standard solutions with 129 Milli-Q water. All plasticware and glassware were soaked in 2% (v/v) HNO₃, followed by repeated rinsing with deionized water, then were dried before use.

2.2. Field sampling

 Bargo River, New South Wales, Australia receives treated wastewater due to coal mining activities from the Teatree Hallow (Supplementary Materials **Fig. S1**). Bargo River water was not determined as polluted, whilst river sediment was reported as unpolluted to moderately polluted with heavy metals due to mining activity (Ali et al., 2018). Therefore, Bargo River sediment was chosen as natural adsorbent for As sorption and desorption experiments. The Teatree Hallow river receives treated wastewater from underground coal mining activity and 139 discharges to Bargo River at the point (34°14'35.1"S; 150°35'16.7"E). Samples were collected at the bottom of the Bargo River, about 3.5 km from the junction point with the Teatree Hollow. Clean 5-L plastic containers were rinsed three times by river water, then filled up by river water, sealed before transporting to the laboratory and kept at room temperature for adsorption/desorption experiments. In addition, clean 200-mL plastic bottles were rinsed three times before filling up by river water, then sealed by caps. The bottles were stored in a cool box 145 at 4 \degree C when transferring to the laboratory for analysing the concentrations of As compounds. The sediment samples were packed in sealed polyethylene bags, then stored in a cool box at 4 \degree C when transferred to the laboratory. The sediment samples were dried in an oven at 105 \degree C for 24 h, then passed through 2-mm sieve before further analysis.

2.3. River water and sediment characterization

 River water was analysed in triplicate to determine the concentrations of metals (As, Fe, Al, Ni, Cu and Pb) by ICP-MS (Agilent 7900) and total organic carbon (TOC) content by multi N/C 3100 TOC analyzer by Analytik Jena, Germany. The content of SOM was determined by the 154 loss-on-ignition (LOI) method, by sediment combustion at 550 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, according to Hoogsteen et al. (2018). The combusted sediment was named as river sediment without organic matter (RS- NOM). In addition, the river sediment was fractionated by sieving through a series of sieves to 157 obtain gravel and coarse sand (fraction S_1 , 300-2000 μ m), medium sand (fraction S_2 , 150-300 158 μ m), fine sand (fraction S₃, 75-150 μ m), and clay-silt (fraction S₄, < 75 μ m). The specific surface area (SSA) of the dried sediment and different sizes were measured by a Quantachrome surface area analyzer using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method.

 The extraction of As from sediment was conducted by following a method by Ellwood and Maher (2003). Briefly, sediment (1.0 g) was accurately weighed into a 50-mL Teflon centrifuge tube, to which 5 mL of phosphoric acid (1.0 M) and 5 mL of hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.2 M) were added. The samples were shaken on a horizontal shaker at 120 rpm for 1 h before centrifugation. Aliquots of the supernatant were removed and filtered through 0.45 µm filters before As analysis. According to Ellwood and Maher (2003), around 90% of extractable As was obtained by using this method.

2.4. Batch experiments for adsorption and desorption

170 The adsorption of $As(III)/As(V)$ was assessed for different sediments including dried river sediment 171 (RS), RS-NOM, and four particle size fractions including S_1 , S_2 , S_3 and S_4 . The stock solution of 172 100 mg/L of As(III)/As(V) was diluted by Milli-Q water to obtain 0.1-10 mg/L solution. The 173 adsorption kinetics experiments were conducted by mixing 200-mL of As(III) or As(V) (0.1- 10.0 mg/L) with 2.0 g of sediment, which were mixed on a shaker at 120 rpm. During adsorption, 2 mL suspension samples were taken at regular intervals (3, 6, 12, 24, 72, 120, 168 h), filtered by

 a 0.45 μm membrane filter, and analyzed for total As by ICP-MS (Agilent 7900). The adsorption of As(III) or As(V) was evaluated by monitoring the decrease of arsenic concentration in solution.

 For the adsorption isotherm experiments, a series of As(III)/As(V) solutions with different initial concentrations (0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 8.0 mg/L) were prepared by diluting the stock solution (100 mg/L) with Milli-Q water. Then, sediment (2.0 g) was mixed with 200 mL of As solution in 500 mL beakers, which were shaken on a horizontal shaker at 120 rpm for 7 183 days. The pH of feeding solutions was adjusted to 4 ± 0.2 and 10 ± 0.2 by diluted nitric acid (0.1 M HNO3) and sodium hydroxide (0.1 M NaOH) at the initial time of adsorption

 The desorption experiments were conducted immediately after the completion of 186 adsorption process of As(III) and As(V) on RS. The supernatant was removed and replaced by 200 mL Milli-Q water, the vials resealed and shaken at 120 rpm for 48 h. Aliquot (3 mL) was sampled from the supernatant at different reaction times (3, 6, 12, 24, 72, 120 and 168 h), then filtered and analyzed for total As concentration using ICP-MS (Agilent 7900). The fractions of As(III) and As(V) desorbed from RS were calculated from the mass balance results based on 191 the changes in As(III) and As(V) concentrations in solution before and after desorption.

2.5. Sediment surface functional groups and minerals

 The sediment samples were ground to fine power before analysis. The FTIR spectra (500-4000 195 cm⁻¹) of different sediments including RS, RS-NOM and sediment fractions before and after adsorption of As(III) and As(V) were analyzed by using Shimadzu MIRacle 10 (Japan) in order to investigate sediment surface sorption mechanisms. Additionally, sediments were analyzed by the X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Bruker D8 Discover XRD, to examine how crystalline materials in sediments were involved in As interactions. River sediment surface before and after adsorption was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) method by Oxford Aztec instrument, while X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) from ESCALAB™ QXi X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer (XPS) Microprobe, Thermo Scientific, UK was used to examine the surface of RS particles.

2.6. Kinetic and equilibrium sorption studies

 Arsenic concentrations retained in the adsorbent phase (mg/kg) were calculated by following formula:

$$
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)V}{m} \tag{1}
$$

$$
q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e)V}{m} \tag{2}
$$

210 where q_t (mg/kg) and C_t (mg/L) are the concentrations of As(III) or As(V) in sediment and solution 211 at time t; q_e (mg/kg) and C_e (mg/L) are the concentrations of As(III) or As(V) in sediment and 212 solution at the equilibrium time; C_0 (mg/L) is As(III) or As(V) concentration at the initial time; V (mL) is volume of solution; m is the weight of adsorbent (g).

 The pseudo first-order (PFO) and pseudo second-order (PSO) equations have been widely applied to assess the adsorption of As on soils, sediments and MPs (Dong et al., 2020, 2019; Gedik et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2016; Kundu and Gupta, 2006; Luo et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2015; Rawat et al., 2021). The PFO model can well describe the initial adsorption stage (Ho and McKay, 1999; Ma et al., 2015), while the PSO model is better for describing the physical or chemical adsorption at a site (Kumar et al., 2016) or the whole adsorption process (Ma et al., 2015).

 The adsorption experimental data was analysed by PFO and PSO kinetic models using non- linear regression equations(Alkurdi et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2015). The linear forms of PFO and PSO kinetic models are straightforward for application, however, the erroneous values of calculating kinetic parameters were the drawbacks (Azizian, 2004). In contrast, the non-linear forms provided better results in comparison to linear regression analysis (Rawat et al., 2021). Thus, the non-linear forms of PFO and PSO models for the adsorption kinetics are used in this study (Ma et al., 2015):

$$
q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t})
$$
 (3)

228
$$
q_t = \frac{q_e^2 k_2 t}{1 + q_e k_2 t}
$$
 (4)

229 For the desorption process, the PFO and PSO models are described as below (Tseng et 230 al., 2009):

231
$$
q_t = q_e + (q_o - q_e) \exp(-k_1 t)
$$
 (5)

232
$$
q_t = q_e + \frac{q_e - q_o}{k_2 (q_e - q_o)t - 1}
$$
 (6)

233 where q_0 is the amount (mg/kg) of As(III) or As(V) at the initial time of desorption.

 The adsorption phenomenon at the interface between solid and liquid phases is widely interpreted by the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms (Tseng et al., 2009). The Langmuir isotherm model (equation 7) is used to describe the monolayer adsorption, which is expressed as below (Rawat et al., 2022):

$$
q_e = \frac{K_L q_m c_e}{1 + K_L c_e} \tag{7}
$$

239 where K_L and q_m represent the bonding energy constant (mg/L) and the maximum adsorption 240 capacity (mg/kg), respectively.

241 Additionally, the equilibrium parameter (R_L) was used to explain the essentiality of Langmuir 242 adsorption isotherms by equation 8 (Rawat et al., 2022):

243
$$
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_e} \tag{8}
$$

244 The values of $R_L > 1$, $0 < R_L < 1$, $R_L = 1$, and $R_L = 0$ indicate unfavorable, favorable, linear and 245 irreversible adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on the surface of sediment particles, respectively 246 (Rawat et al., 2022).

247 The Freundlich sorption model (equation 9) is an empirical adsorption equation indicating that 248 adsorption sites on the surface of adsorbent possess different adsorption energies, which can be 249 expressed as (Wang et al., 2018):

$$
q_e = K_F \times C_e^{1/n} \tag{9}
$$

251 where K_F is the Freundlich constant or capacity factor (mg/kg-(L/mg)ⁿ), while $1/n$ is the 252 Freundlich exponent (Rawat et al., 2022).

 A three-parameter isotherm model, Sips (equation 10) is formed by the combination from Langmuir and Freundlich expressions (Alkurdi et al., 2021). This model reduces to the Freundlich model at the low adsorbate concentrations or to the Langmuir model while the adsorbate concentrations are high (Foo and Hameed, 2010).

257
$$
q_e = \frac{q_m K_s C_e n_s}{(1 + K_s C_e n_s)}
$$
(10)

258 where K_s is the Sips constant related to the energy of adsorption process, and n_s is the exponential factor of the isotherm.

260 The sediment-solution partition coefficient (K_p) was used to describe the interactions of 261 heavy metals at the interface between water and sediment phases (Fang et al., 2021). K_p provides the information of combined effect of adsorption and desorption processes of heavy metals (Nematollahi et al., 2021). In addition, it was also used for modelling metal transfer in various catchment scales or hydraulic systems such as rivers or lakes. Garneau et al. (2015) 265 used K_p as a sub-model of adsorption and desorption processes to simulate As transport in the 266 Garonne River, France. In this study, the K_p of As at sediment-water interface was calculated by equation 11 (Zhou and Broodbank, 2014):

$$
K_p = \frac{q_e}{c_e} \left(\frac{L}{kg} \right) \tag{11}
$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characteristics of river water and sediment

 The total As content and other physicochemical characteristics of the Bargo river water were analysed, in comparison with results reported for Teatree Hallow in September 2021 receiving treated wastewater due to coal mining activities by SIMEC group in New South Wales, Australia (**Table 1**). The metals concentrations in Bargo River water were lower than its upstream, Teatree Hallow, except the Al concentration, due to the dilution, sorption and sedimentation processes. All water quality values in Bargo River and Teatree Hallow were below the standard of Australia freshwater (AWQG, 1992), except concentrations of Ba and Zn 279 in Teatree Hallow. Hence, water quality in Bargo River and its tributary was slightly polluted

- 280 in some cases.
- 281

282 **Table 1.** River water characteristics from Bargo River, NSW, Australia and its tributary.

283 *a. Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Freshwater.*

284

 The As concentration and important physicochemical characteristics of the river sediment compared to other sediments collected in New South Wales, Australia are summarized in **Table 2**. The metal concentrations in this study were lower than those reported by Fleming et al. (2021), who collected the samples closer to coal mining. **Table 2** indicated that sediment quality in New South Wales, Australia related to heavy metals is influenced by coal mining activities, although the concentrations of As and other heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Ni, Pb and Zn) are below the default guideline values (DGV) for sediment in Australia. Thus, the selected sediment in this study is not contaminated above background value. In addition, these heavy metal

	SSA	SOM	\mathbf{A}	Fe	As	Cr	Ni	Zn	Cd	Pb	Reference
	(m^2/g)	(%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	
Bargo River	4.60	0.40	33.7 ± 7.9	$36.7 + 9.9$	0.2 ± 0.05	$0.08\pm$ 0.008	$0.1 + 0.02$	$1.8 + 0.02$	0.06 ± 0.001	0.2 ± 0.08	This work
Bargo River			3000	5800	< 4	4	3	20		5	Fleming et al. (2021)
Coxs River			5800	30000	16	8	13	43		6	Fleming et al. (2021)
Wollangambe River			270	900	< 4	< 1	< 1			$\lt 1$	Fleming et al. (2021)
Georges River			1100	4000	< 4	2		5		$\overline{2}$	Fleming et al. (2021)
Redbank Creek			4000	33000	8	16	6	33		11	Fleming et al. (2021)
Nepan River			990	2400	< 4	2		4		$\overline{2}$	Fleming et al. (2021)
Port Jackson			$895 + 7$	7300±142	6	4	3 ± 1	85 ± 7		18	Jahan and Strezov (2018)
Port Botany			930 ± 28	765±248	$\overline{}$	2 ± 1	\sim	$7 + 3.6$		$\overline{2}$	Jahan and Strezov (2018)
Port Kembla			1250 ± 212	8000±778	18.5 ± 20	11 ± 3	$20+20$	235 ± 78		$74 + 66$	Jahan and Strezov (2018)
Port Newcastle			1550±778	5300±566	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	3	78 ± 3		24	Jahan and Strezov (2018)
Port Yamba			$383 + 78$	760±198	$\boldsymbol{0}$		$\overline{}$	3			Jahan and Strezov (2018)
Port Eden			2250±354	46000±46669	29 ± 30	$31 + 17$	$12 + 4$	2345±2057	0.6	$165 + 205$	Jahan and Strezov (2018)
DGV					20	80	21	200	1.5	50	(AGI, 2019)

302 **Table 2.** The composition of Bargo River sediment (in triplicate), in comparison to other sediments in New South Wales, Australia

3.2. Effects of pH, sediment properties and initial As solution on adsorption kinetics

 Figures 1a and **1b** depict the time variation of As(III) and As(V) concentrations in sediment with the different pH levels (4, 7, 10) in aqueous media. It has been reported that the adsorption of As(V) in sediments is stronger under acidic condition (pH 3.7-7.0) and weaker under alkaline conditions (pH 8-10), while the effect of pH on As(III) is weaker (Chen et al., 2016; Maji et al., 2007; Mamindy-Pajany et al., 2011). The PFO and PSO models provided a good fit to 310 experimental data, with the correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.980-0.999 for As(V) and 0.994-0.998 for As(III) (**Table 3**). The amounts of As(III) and As(V) adsorbed on sediment estimated by PSO model were higher than those from PFO model regardless of pH levels, except at pH 4 for As(V). It can be shown that As(III) adsorption was favorable in acidic condition, with equilibrium concentrations in sediment at pH 4 (112.4 mg/kg) being higher than at pH 10 (89.0 mg/kg) or without pH adjustment (105.6 mg/kg). However, the highest As(V) concentration on river sediment (168.6 mg/kg) was at neutral pH, which was slightly higher than its concentration at pH 4 and 10 (**Table 3**). The results for As(V) agreed with the statement that As(V) adsorbed on sediment, which was generally stronger under acidic conditions and weaker under alkaline conditions (Chen et al., 2017; Mamindy-Pajany et al., 2011), while the effect of pH on As(III) was less than As(V) due to its incomplete ionized state (Chen et al., 2017). Ma et a. (2015) also reported that the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on sediment decreased with an increase in pH, 322 with higher adsorption capacity for As(V) than As(III) at pH 5, 7 and 9. Hence, the results from this study agreed well with the other findings reported.

 Fig. 1. Effect of pH (a, b) and initial As concentrations in solution (c, d) on the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on the river sediment. Symbols are experimental data, and the straight and dash lines represent the PFO and PSO kinetic models.

331 The adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on sediment at varying As concentrations (1-8 mg/L) is shown in **Figs 1c** and **1d**. The rates of As(III) and As(V) adsorption on sediment showed initial rapid stage up to 24 h, followed by a slow stage till the end of experiment. It was suggested that a rapid increase in As adsorption was caused by the enhancement in the SSA with higher reactivity (Xu et al., 2021) and presence of more active surface groups of solid particles, such as COOH and 336 O-H (Rawat et al., 2022). The PFO and PSO fitted well with experimental data, with R^2 values at 337 0.953-0.999 and 0.989-1.000 for As(III) and As(V), respectively. The estimated q_e values for As(V) at initial concentrations of 1.0 mg/L were 50.0 and 71.1 mg/kg, which were not fitted with the experimental data (32.6 mg/kg). Other estimated results were close to the experimental data (**Table**

3).

 The adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on RS and RS-NOM in DI water is shown in **Figs 2 (a** and **b),** while **Fig. 2 (c** and **d)** shows As adsorbed on RS in RW. It can be seen that there was marginal difference between RS and RS-NOM as the adsorbents for As, indicating that NOM had the small effects on As adsorption. Dousova et al. (2012) found that adsorption capacities of As(V) on three sediments increased with an increase in RS and Fe contents. However the adsorption capacity of As(III) only increased with the increase of Fe contents. Xie et al. (2018) pointed out that As(III) and As(V) adsorption on three sediments increased with increasing the RS and clay contents. It is hard to conclude that this study revealed the contradictory results to these outcomes. This comparison may not be completely accurate because the two investigations above used different types of sediment with different characteristics, while only one type of sediment was used in this study. An illustration is that humic acid can bind a portion of As through positively charged amine groups (Varsányi and Kovács, 2006). It is suggested that As adsorption on river sediment is partly prevented because SOM may inhibit the binding of As(III) and As(V) with functional groups of sediment surface. Moreover, the adsorption 355 capacities of both As(III) and As(V) on RS using RW at the equilibrium time were significantly lower than those by using DI water. It was suggested that some element in RS such as anions (PO₄³⁻ 357 , SO_4^2 reduced As species adsorbed on RS.

Fig. 2. Adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on RS and RS-NOM (a, b) and sediment fractions (c, d). Symbols are experimental data, and the solid and dash lines represent the PFO and PSO kinetic models.

 Figures 3c and **3d** present the PFO and PSO models for adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on different sediment size fractions. The results indicated that a stage of fast adsorption occurred in the first 24h, then the adsorption rate decreased for the rest of experimental duration. Overall, PFO and 952 PSO models provided a good fit to kinetic results with high R^2 values (\geq 0.990) for As(III) and As(V) adsorption (**Table 3**). It can be seen that there was a small difference in As(III) adsorption 364 between S_2 and S_3 , while As(V) adsorption on S_1 was close to S_2 . Initial As concentrations also had

384 Estimated equilibrium adsorption capacity from the PFO model^a and PSO model^b.

386 *3.3. Kinetic models for desorption process of As(III) and As(V)*

387 **Figure 3** displays the desorption kinetics of As(III) and As(V) concentrations in sediment by

388 PFO and PSO models. The results show high-rate desorption at initial stage (0-12 h), followed

389 by stable rate for the remaining duration. The results agree with Ho and McKay (1999) and Ma

 et al. (2015) that PFO model can well describe the first stage of sorption kinetics. The amounts of As(III) and As(V) released from RS in RW were less than in DI water, with higher desorbed amounts for As(III) than As(V). The concentrations of As adsorbed on RS at the equilibrium time calculated by PFO and PSO models were close to the experimental data by using both DI water and RW (**Table 3**). Moreover, the PSO model well fitted with all experimental data, indicating that the physicochemical sorption including surface complexation and diffusion to the sorption sites was involved in the desorption processes of both As(III) and As(V). Consequently, the desorption of As(III) and As(V) from this sediment showed similar behavior in terms of kinetics.

Fig. 3. The nonlinear regression in the PSO and PSO kinetic models of As(III) and As(V)

desorption from the RS in DI water (a and b), and RW (c and d).

3.4. Equilibrium adsorption studies

 The adsorption isotherms of As(III) and As(V) for RS were modelled by the Langmuir and Freundlich equations (**Figs 4 a, b, c** and **d**). It can be shown that both Langmuir and Freundlich equations fitted well to As(III) and As(V) adsorption isotherms at the equilibrium time with 408 nonlinear behavior. In addition, the best-fit parameter values (q_m, K_L, K_F, n) and \mathbb{R}^2 for As(V) and As(III) at the equilibrium time and from the previous studies for sediments are presented in **Table 4**. In addition, the experimental data in RW was fitted significantly better for both As(III) and As(V) than in DI water, based on the values of reduced chi-squared statistical analysis. The estimated Langmuir maximum adsorption capacity for As(V) was significantly higher than that for As(III) in DI water and lower than in RW. The results in RW were agreement with the findings in Elber River (**Fig. 4e**) from Dousova et al. (2012).

416

417 **Fig. 4.** Adsorption isotherms of (a) As(III) and (b) As(V) on RS in DI water; (c) and (d) in RW; 418 and e) comparison of maximum adsorption amount of As(III) and As(V) on sediment estimated 419 by the Langmuir isotherm model from this work and other studies (Dousova et al., 2012; 420 Goldberg and Suarez, 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018).

422 According to Zhang and Selim (2005), low *1/n* values (< 0.4) indicated extensive 423 heterogeneity of sorption sites. However, the *1/n* values for As(III) and As(V) were 0.74 and 0.75 424 at the equilibrium time, suggesting some degree of homogeneity of the adsorption sites in the 425 selected sediment. It is suggested that *1/n* values between 0 and 1 are favorable for the adsorption 426 of both As(III) and As(V) on the river sediment. Furthermore, the R_L values for As(III) and As(V)

 varied at 0.12-0.92 and 0.098-0.902 at initial As concentrations of 0.11-10.30 mg/L. This indicated favorable adsorption of both As(III) and As(V) on RS, resulting in high surface activity of sediment associated with an increase in As mobility in the sediment-water interface (Dousova et al., 2012). The adsorption maxima (*qm*) estimated by the Langmuir equation significantly lower than those of sediments investigated by Dousova et al. (2012) and Wang et al. (2018), and 432 significantly higher than q_m values for As(III) and As(V) adsorbed on sediments from other studies (Goldberg and Suarez, 2013; Ma et al., 2015) (**Fig. 4e**).

 In summary, the results calculated by the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models in this study showed no significant difference in exhibiting the adsorption mechanism between As(III) and As(V). Ma et al. (2015) reported that Langmuir model can describe homogeneous adsorbent surface while Freundlich model displayed multi-layers of adsorption. Thus, both types of adsorption processes can be fitted well by the data from this study. As a result, As(III) and As(V) adsorption 439 on RS occurs via both chemisorption and physisorption with higher adsorption capacity of As(V) than As(III). These results were in agreement with the findings from Ma et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2018).

		As(III)		As(V)				Reference	
Langmuir	q_m (mg/kg)	K_L (L/mg)	Reduced	R^2	q_m (mg/kg)	K_L (L/mg)	Reduced	R^2	
			chi-				chi-		
			squared				squared		
Bargo river sediment	263.3	0.08 ± 0.03	27.9	0.986	398.7	0.09	56.5	0.989	This study
(DI water)	±73.8				±90.0	± 0.03			
Bargo river sediment	234.3	0.06 ± 0.05	0.5	1.000	206.2	0.10 ± 0.03	0.1	1.000	This study
(RW)	±109.9				± 37.1				
SD1, Elbe River	1350	0.0133		0.969	900	0.0133		0.997	Dousova et al. (2012)
SD2, Elbe River	975	0.020		0.972	577.5	0.0124		0.931	Dousova et al. (2012)
SD3, Elbe River	1125	0.0537		0.991	532.5	0.0129		0.964	Dousova et al. (2012)
Surface sediment,					8.96 ± 0.62	0.00082		0.975	Goldberg and Suarez (2013)
Antelope Valley pond									
Aquifer pristine fine	37.8	0.33		0.990	60.2	0.46		0.974	Ma et al. (2015)
Aquifer coarse ^a	24.5	0.30		0.967	29.1	0.27		0.997	Ma et al. (2015)
Wuhan rivers and	303.3	0.0048		0.936	521.175	0.00268		0.944	Wang et al. (2018)
lakes ^a									
Freundlich	K_F	\boldsymbol{n}	Reduced	R^2	K_F	\boldsymbol{n}	Reduced	R^2	
			chi-				chi-		
			squared				squared		
Bargo river sediment	21.5	1.35	18.2	0.991	35.1	1.33	68.1	0.987	This study
(DI water)	± 2.2	± 0.10			±4.2	± 0.11			
Bargo river sediment	16.8	1.34	0.4	1.000	21.2	1.45	0.2	1.000	This study
(RW)	± 1.3	± 0.19			± 2.9	± 0.14			

442 **Table 4.** The Langmuir and Freundlich parameters (with standard error) for As(III) and As(V) adsorption from different studies.

445 The partition coefficient (K_p) for As(III) and As(V) for both adsorption and desorption is shown 446 in **Fig. 5**. The results were used to provide further understanding of the migration and 447 transformation of As(III) and As(V) between sediments and overlying water. According to 448 Nematollahi et al. (2021), $log(K_p)$ values > 1 reflected a stronger affinity of adsorption and the 449 element strongly remained in the sediment phase compared to lower values. It can be seen that 450 log K_p at pH 4 was higher than that at pH 10, indicating higher adsorption affinity in acidic 451 condition than alkaline solution. **Fig. 5** illustrates the lowest adsorption affinity by using RW 452 for As(III) and at pH 10 for As(V), indicating that the adsorption of As(III) differs from As(V) 453 depending on the environmental conditions. Regarding sediment properties, RS-NOM had 454 marginally higher adsorption affinity than RS for As(III) and the opposite trend was observed 455 for As(V). The effect of sediment sizes was similar for both As species, with the highest 456 adsorption affinity for the smallest size (S_4) , then followed by $S_3 > S_2 > S_1$. The log(K_p) values 457 in this study were significantly higher than those investigated from the southern Caspian Sea 458 (mean: 0.35, range: -0.21-0.75) reported by Nematollahi et al. (2021). Moreover, log(*Kp*) values 459 for As(V) were higher than those of As(III), reflecting higher adsorption affinity of As(V) on 460 sediment than As(III) regardless of controlling factors. The results supported the findings from 461 the early adsorption kinetic and isotherm results.

462 The results showed lower log K_p for As(III) than As(V), indicating that As(III) was more 463 easily released from sediment to water phase in comparison to $As(V)$. The amount of $As(III)$ 464 released from RS (7.2 mg/kg in DI water and 10.6 mg/kg in RW) at the equilibrium of 465 desorption process was higher than for As(V) (6.6 and 8.8 mg/kg, respectively), which is 466 consistent with the K_p results. Overall, these results are consistent with those of Nematollahi et 467 al. (2021).

Fig. 5. Partition coefficient of As(III) and As(V) under different conditions.

- 469 *3.5. As adsorption mechanisms*
- 470 As(III) and As(V) forms present in aqueous media described in the **Fig. 6** (Mondal et al., 2007;
- 471 Yin et al., 2019; Yohai et al., 2019).

473 **Fig. 6.** The forms of As(III) and As(V) in the aqueous media

Fig. 7. FTIR spectra $(400-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ of (a) RS and (b) RS-NOM; XRD patterns of (c) RS and (d) and RS-NOM before and after adsorption of As(III) and As(V); (e) As transformation between As(III) and As(V) on RS after adsorption

 The interaction between As(III) and As(V) and with sediment particles in the adsorption process was investigated by performing FTIR of different sediment types including RS and RS-477 NOM before and after As(III) and As(V) adsorption. The FTIR spectra for SOM are shown in **Fig. 7a**. A new IR adsorption peak appeared at 1516 cm^{-1} after the adsorption of As(III) and As(V) on SOM, which can be attributed to carboxyl groups (Kaufhold et al., 2012; Li et al., 480 2018) or amine C=O stretching (Yu et al., 2015). The band at 3742 cm^{-1} corresponds to O–H

 stretching vibrations of phenolic hydroxyl groups or adsorbed water (Luo et al., 2012; Yu et al., 482 2015). The IR peaks shifted from 1057 cm⁻¹ to 1088 cm⁻¹ and 1080 cm⁻¹ after adsorption of As(III) and As(V), which can be associated with Si(Al)–O vibration or antisymmetric stretching vibrations of Si–O tetrahedron (Hahn et al., 2018). The IR adsorption bands recorded at 779 485 cm⁻¹ before adsorption and 787 cm⁻¹ as well as 694 cm⁻¹ for all samples are assigned to Si–O symmetrical stretching vibrations of quartz, exhibiting the roles of these surface functional moieties in As(III) and As(V) binding with sediment particles (Hahn et al., 2018; Rawat et al., 2022). Furthermore, the small shift in the IR peaks from 563 cm⁻¹ to 553 cm⁻¹ and 559 cm⁻¹ after adsorption of As(III) and As(V), respectively can be contributed to the involvement of Fe– O/Fe–OH vibration of the magnetite phase in the As(III) and As(V) adsorption (Luo et al., 491 2012; Rawat et al., 2022). Finally, the IR bands at around $420-428$ cm⁻¹ before and after As(V) adsorption can be related to the formation of Si–O–Mn bonds (Hahn et al., 2018; Kaufhold et al., 2012), while its disappearance after As(III) adsorption indicated the involvement of these functional groups in As(III) binding with sediment particles.

 Furthermore, the SEM-EDS images of RS before and after adsorption of As(III) and As(V) are given in **Fig. S2**. **Fig. S2 (a, b** and **d)** showed high Al contents were detected on RS before and after adsorption of As(III), while **Fig. S2 (c** and **e)** showed low Al contents were found on RS after adsorption of As(V). The results indicated higher enrichment of As(V) on RS than As(III) (Matera et al., 2003). Moreover, XPS results showed no detection of As for RS before and after adsorption of As(III) by using DI water and As(V) by RW solution, whilst both As2p3A and As2p3B corresponding to As(III) and As(V) were detected on RS after adsorption of As(V) under DI water and As(III) under RW (**Fig. S3**). The results indicate that there was 503 oxidation from As(III) to As(V) in DI water and reduction from As(V) to As(III) in RW after adsorption on RS (Guo et al., 2022). Additionally, Fig. 6e indicated the transformation between 505 these As species in RS after adsorption with higher amount of As(V) reduced to As(III) than oxidation process. **Fig. S3(a, b** and **e)** showed no detection of As on RS due to low content of

As in sediment (before adsorption).

 Regarding RS-NOM, no new groups appeared in the FTIR diagram (**Fig. 7b**), indicating that the interaction between As(III) and As(V) and sediment particles only occurred in the 510 available functional groups of sediments. Moreover, the IR bands under 500 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to Si–O–Mn bonds (Hahn et al., 2018; Kaufhold et al., 2012), which disappeared after adsorption of As(III) on RS and indicated the involvement of these functional groups in As(III) binding with sediment particles. XRD analysis for RS and RS-NOM before and after adsorption of As(III) and As(V) (**Figs 7c** and **d**) indicated that quartz was dominant and had the strongest peak in all samples. The results are similar to findings from previous studies of XRD for river sediments (Maity and Maiti, 2016; Xie et al., 2018). Hahn et al. (2018) indicated that quartz peaks corresponding to the Si-O-Si symmetric stretching of antisymmetric stretching vibrations. Additionally, the changes of quartz peaks may be due to either reaction of anionic 519 As species with organic-Si or with $SiO₂$ in the presence of organic matter (Rawat et al., 2022).

4. Conclusions

522 The adsorption and desorption of As(III) and As(V) at sediment-water interface were studied. Adsorption kinetics were well modeled by both PFO and PSO models, with better 524 results by PSO based on the R^2 values. The Langmuir and Freundlich equations well modeled As(III) and As(V) adsorption equilibrium on sediment. The maximum adsorption capacity of As(V) (398.7 mg/kg) was higher than that of As (III) (263.3 mg/kg), indicating stronger 527 sediment affinity toward $As(V)$ than $As(III)$. The results also showed that $As(III)$ and $As(V)$ adsorption on river sediment was favored at acidic to neutral conditions. SOM caused a reduction of As(III) and As(V) adsorption on sediment, by inhibiting As complexation with sediment functional groups. As adsorption decreased with increasing sediment particle size, and increased with sediment surface area. The physicochemical characteristics, FTIR and XRD analysis of sediment showed that As(III) and As(V) adsorption on sediment occurred by

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- AGI, 2019. Toxicant default guideline values for sediment quality. Australian Government Initiative. [https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines/guideline-](https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines/guideline-values/default/sediment-quality-toxicants)[values/default/sediment-quality-toxicants.](https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines/guideline-values/default/sediment-quality-toxicants)
- Akter, K.F., Owens, G., Davey, D.E., Naidu, R., 2006. Arsenic speciation and toxicity in biological systems. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 184. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387- 27565-7_3
- Ali, A. e., Strezov, V., Davies, P.J., Wright, I., 2018. River sediment quality assessment using sediment quality indices for the Sydney basin, Australia affected by coal and coal seam gas mining. Sci. Total Environ. 616–617, 695–702.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.10.259

Alkurdi, S.S.A., Al-Juboori, R.A., Bundschuh, J., Bowtell, L., Marchuk, A., 2021. Inorganic

- arsenic species removal from water using bone char: A detailed study on adsorption kinetic
- and isotherm models using error functions analysis. J. Hazard. Mater. 405, 124112.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2020.124112
- Arco-Lázaro, E., Agudo, I., Clemente, R., Bernal, M.P., 2016. Arsenic(V) adsorption-
- desorption in agricultural and mine soils: Effects of organic matter addition and phosphate
- competition. Environ. Pollut. 216, 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.054
- Azizian, S., 2004. Kinetic models of sorption: a theoretical analysis. J. Colloid Interface Sci.
- 276, 47–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2004.03.048
- Chen, X., Sun, Q., Ding, S., Chen, M., Fan, X., Zhang, L., Zhang, C., 2017. Mobile Arsenic Distribution and Release Kinetics in Sediment Profiles under Varying pH Conditions. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 228, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-017-3601-4
- De Jonge, M., Teuchies, J., Meire, P., Blust, R., Bervoets, L., 2012. The impact of increased oxygen conditions on metal-contaminated sediments part I: Effects on redox status, sediment geochemistry and metal bioavailability. Water Res. 46, 2205–2214. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2012.01.052
- Dias, F.F., Allen, H.E., Guimarães, J.R., Taddei, M.H.T., Nascimento, M.R., Guilherme, L.R.G., 2009. Environmental behavior of arsenic(III) and (V) in soils. J. Environ. Monit. 11, 1412–1420. https://doi.org/10.1039/b900545e
- Dong, Y., Gao, M., Song, Z., Qiu, W., 2020. As(III) adsorption onto different-sized polystyrene microplastic particles and its mechanism. Chemosphere 239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124792
- Dong, Y., Gao, M., Song, Z., Qiu, W., 2019. Adsorption mechanism of As(III) on polytetrafluoroethylene particles of different size. Environ. Pollut. 254, 112950. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2019.07.118
- Dousova, B., Buzek, F., Rothwell, J., Krejcova, S., Lhotka, M., 2012. Adsorption behavior of arsenic relating to different natural solids: Soils, stream sediments and peats. Sci. Total Environ. 433, 456–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2012.06.063
- Ellwood, M.J., Maher, W.A., 2003. Measurement of arsenic species in marine sediments by
- high-performance liquid chromatography–inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.
- Anal. Chim. Acta 477, 279–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(02)01414-9
- Fang, Z., Wang, Y., Xie, D., Wang, D., 2021. Potential Ecological Risk of Heavy Metals in a Typical Tributary of the Three Gorges Reservoir. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-020-03014-5
- Feng, Q., Zhang, Zhiyong, Chen, Y., Liu, L., Zhang, Zhengjie, Chen, C., 2013. Adsorption and Desorption Characteristics of Arsenic on Soils: Kinetics, Equilibrium, and Effect of
- Fe(OH)3 Colloid, H2SiO3 Colloid and Phosphate. Procedia Environ. Sci. 18, 26–36.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2013.04.005
- Fleming, C., Morrison, K., Robba, L., Reynolds, J., Wright, I.A., 2021. 14-Month Water Quality Investigation of Coal Mine Discharge on Two Rivers in NSW, Australia: Implications for Environmental Regulation. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 232. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-021-05020-7
- Foo, K.Y., Hameed, B.H., 2010. Insights into the modeling of adsorption isotherm systems. Chem. Eng. J. 156, 2–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.09.013
- Garneau, C., Sauvage, S., Probst, A., Sánchez-Pérez, J.M., 2015. Modelling of trace metal transfer in a large river under different hydrological conditions (the Garonne River in southwest France). Ecol. Modell. 306, 195–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.09.011
- Gedik, K., Kongchum, M., Boran, M., Delaune, R.D., 2016. Adsorption and desorption of arsenate in Louisiana rice soils. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 0340, 856–864. https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2015.1096015
- Goldberg, S., Suarez, D.L., 2013. Arsenate Adsorption by Unsaturated Alluvial Sediments. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 77, 782–791. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2012.0322
- Grafe, M., Eick, M.J., Grossl, P.R., 2001. Adsorption of Arsenate (V) and Arsenite (III) on Goethite in the Presence and Absence of Dissolved Organic Carbon; Adsorption of Arsenate (V) and Arsenite (III) on Goethite in the Presence and Absence of Dissolved Organic Carbon, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 65, 1680-1687.
	-
- Guo, H., Stüben, D., Berner, Z., 2007. Adsorption of arsenic(III) and arsenic(V) from groundwater using natural siderite as the adsorbent. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 315, 47–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.06.035
- Hahn, A., Vogel, H., Andó, S., Garzanti, E., Kuhn, G., Lantzsch, H., Schüürman, J., Vogt, C., Zabel, M., 2018. Using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy to determine mineral phases in sediments. Sediment. Geol. 375, 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEDGEO.2018.03.010
- Hettiarachchi, S.R., Maher, W.A., Krikowa, F., Ubrihien, R., 2017. Factors influencing arsenic concentrations and species in mangrove surface sediments from south-east NSW, Australia. Environ. Geochem. Health 39, 209–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-016- 9821-5
- Ho, Y.S., McKay, G., 1999. Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes. Process Biochem. 34, 451–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(98)00112-5
- Hoogsteen, M.J.J., Lantinga, E.A., Bakker, E.J., Tittonell, P.A., 2018. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis An Evaluation of the Loss-on-Ignition Method for Determining the Soil Organic Matter Content of Calcareous Soils An Evaluation of the
- Loss-on-Ignition Method for Determining the Soil Organic Matter Content. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 49, 1541–1552. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2018.1474475
- Huang, G., Chen, Z., Wang, J., Sun, J., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., 2013. Adsorption of arsenite onto a soil irrigated by sewage. J. Geochemical Explor. 132, 164–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2013.06.015
- Jahan, S., Strezov, V., 2018. Comparison of pollution indices for the assessment of heavy metals in the sediments of seaports of NSW, Australia. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 128, 295–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.036
- Kaufhold, S., Hein, M., Dohrmann, R., Ufer, K., 2012. Quantification of the mineralogical composition of clays using FTIR spectroscopy. Vib. Spectrosc. 59, 29–39.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VIBSPEC.2011.12.012
- Kumar, R.R., Kumar, R.R., Mittal, S., Arora, M., Babu, J.N., 2016. Role of soil physicochemical characteristics on the present state of arsenic and its adsorption in alluvial soils of two agri-intensive region of Bathinda, Punjab, India. J. Soils Sediments 16, 605–
- 620. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-015-1262-8
- Kundu, S., Gupta, A.K., 2006. Arsenic adsorption onto iron oxide-coated cement (IOCC): Regression analysis of equilibrium data with several isotherm models and their optimization. Chem. Eng. J. 122, 93–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2006.06.002
- Li, H., Wang, J., Zhao, B., Gao, M., Shi, W., Zhou, H., Xie, Z., Zhou, B., Lü, C., He, J., 2018.
- The role of major functional groups: Multi-evidence from the binding experiments of
- heavy metals on natural fulvic acids extracted from lake sediments. Ecotoxicol. Environ.
- Saf. 162, 514–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2018.07.038
- Luo, T., Sun, J., Liu, Y., Cui, L., Fu, Q., 2019. Adsorption and transport behavior of arsenate on saline-alkali soils of tidal flat of Yellow Sea, Eastern China. Environ. Pollut. Bioavailab. 31, 166–173. https://doi.org/10.1080/26395940.2019.1604162
- Luo, X., Wang, C., Luo, S., Dong, R., Tu, X., Zeng, G., 2012. Adsorption of As (III) and As
- (V) from water using magnetite Fe3O4-reduced graphite oxide–MnO2 nanocomposites. Chem. Eng. J. 187, 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2012.01.073
- Ma, J., Guo, H., Lei, M., Zhou, X., Li, F., Yu, T., Wei, R., Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Wu, Y., 2015.
- Arsenic Adsorption and its Fractions on Aquifer Sediment: Effect of pH, Arsenic Species, and Iron/Manganese Minerals. Water Air Soil Pollut. 226, 260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-015-2524-1
- Maity, S.K., Maiti, R., 2016. Understanding the sediment sources from mineral composition at the lower reach of Rupnarayan River, West Bengal, India – XRD-based analysis. GeoResJ
- 9–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.grj.2016.09.004
- Mamindy-Pajany, Y., Hurel, C., Marmier, N., Roméo, M., 2011. Arsenic (V) adsorption from

 aqueous solution onto goethite, hematite, magnetite and zero-valent iron: Effects of pH, concentration and reversibility. Desalination 281, 93–99.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.07.046

- Mondal, P., Balomajumder, C., Mohanty, B., 2007. A laboratory study for the treatment of arsenic, iron, and manganese bearing ground water using Fe3+ impregnated activated carbon: Effects of shaking time, pH and temperature. J. Hazard. Mater. 144, 420–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.10.078
- Nematollahi, M.J., Keshavarzi, B., Moore, F., Vogt, R.D., Nasrollahzadeh Saravi, H., 2021. Trace elements in the shoreline and seabed sediments of the southern Caspian Sea: investigation of contamination level, distribution, ecological and human health risks, and elemental partition coefficient. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356- 021-14678-9
- Nguyen, K.T., Ahmed, M.B., Mojiri, A., Huang, Y., Zhou, J.L., Li, D., 2021. Advances in As contamination and adsorption in soil for effective management. J. Environ. Manage. 296, 113274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113274
- Plant, J., Kinniburg, D., Smedley, Fordyce, F., Klinck, B., 2005. Arsenic and Selenium, in: British Geological Survey.
- Rawat, A.P., Kumar, V., Singh, P., Shukla, A.C., Singh, D.P., 2022. Kinetic Behavior and Mechanism of Arsenate Adsorption by Loam and Sandy Loam Soil Kinetic Behavior and Mechanism of Arsenate Adsorption by Loam and Sandy Loam Soil. Soil Sediment Contam. An Int. J. 31, 15-39. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2021.1900071
- Smith, E., Smith, J., Naidu, R., 2006. Distribution and nature of arsenic along former railway
- corridors of South Australia. Sci. Total Environ. 363, 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.05.039
- Tang, L., Feng, H., Tang, J., Zeng, G., Deng, Y., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Zhou, Y., 2017. Treatment of arsenic in acid wastewater and river sediment by Fe@Fe2O3 nanobunches: The effect
- of environmental conditions and reaction mechanism. Water Res. 117, 175–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.03.059
- Tseng, J.Y., Chang, C.Y., Chang, C.F., Chen, Y.H., Chang, C.C., Ji, D.R., Chiu, C.Y., Chiang,
- P.C., 2009. Kinetics and equilibrium of desorption removal of copper from magnetic
- polymer adsorbent. J. Hazard. Mater. 171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.06.030
- Varsányi, I., Kovács, L.Ó., 2006. Arsenic, iron and organic matter in sediments and groundwater in the Pannonian Basin, Hungary. Appl. Geochemistry 21, 949–963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2006.03.006
- Wang, J., Xu, J., Xia, J., Wu, F., Zhang, Y., 2018. A kinetic study of concurrent arsenic adsorption and phosphorus release during sediment resuspension. Chem. Geol. 495, 67– 75. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.08.003
- Xie, Y., Lu, G., Yang, C., Qu, L., Chen, M., Guo, C., Dang, Z., 2018. Mineralogical characteristics of sediments and heavy metal mobilization along a river watershed affected by acid mine drainage. PLoS One 13, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190010
- Xie, Z., Wang, Jia, Wei, X., Li, F., Chen, M., Wang, Jing, Gao, B., 2018. Interactions between
- arsenic adsorption/desorption and indigenous bacterial activity in shallow high arsenic aquifer sediments from the Jianghan Plain, Central China. Sci. Total Environ. 644, 382– 388. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.377
- Yin, Z., Lützenkirchen, J., Finck, N., Celaries, N., Dardenne, K., Hansen, H.C.B., 2019. Adsorption of arsenic(V) onto single sheet iron oxide: X-ray absorption fine structure and surface complexation. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 554, 433–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2019.07.024
- Yohai, L., Giraldo, H., Mejía, M., Procaccini, R., Pellice, S., Laxman Kunjali, K., Dutta, J., Uheida, A., 2019. Nanocomposite functionalized membranes based on silica nanoparticles cross-linked to electrospun nanofibrous support for arsenic(V) adsorption from contaminated underground water †. RSC Adv. 9, 8280–8289.
- https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra09866b
- Yu, Z., Zhou, L., Huang, Y., Song, Z., Qiu, W., 2015. Effects of a manganese oxide-modified biochar composite on adsorption of arsenic in red soil. J. Environ. Manage. 163, 155–162.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2015.08.020

Zhang, H., Selim, H.M., 2005. Kinetics of arsenate adsorption - desorption in soils. Environ.

Sci. Technol. 39, 6101–6108. https://doi.org/10.1021/es050334u

- Guo, J., Yin, Z., Zhong, W., Jing, C., 2022. Immobilization and transformation of co-existing arsenic and antimony in highly contaminated sediment by nano zero-valent iron. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 112, 152-160.
- Maji, S. K., Pal, A., Pal, T., Adak, A., 2007. Modeling and fixed bed column adsorption of

As(III) on laterite soil. Separation and Purification Technology, 56(3), 284-290.

- Matera, V., Le Hécho, I., Laboudigue, A., Thomas, P., Tellier, S., Astruc, M., 2003. A methodological approach for the identification of arsenic bearing phases in polluted soils. Environmental Pollution, 126(1), 51-64.
- SIMEC, 2021, September 30. Monthly Water Record. SIMEC. http://www.simec.com/media/7195/monthly-water_sept-2021.pdf.
- Wang, S., Mulligan, C.N., 2006. Natural attenuation processes for remediation of arsenic contaminated soils and groundwater. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 138(3), 459-470.
- Xu, J., Bland, G.D., Gu, Y., Ziaei, H., Xiao, X., Deonarine, A., Reible, D., Bireta, P., Hoelen,

T.P., Lowry, G.V., 2021. Impacts of Sediment Particle Grain Size and Mercury Speciation

on Mercury Bioavailability Potential. Environmental Science and Technology, 55(18),

12393-12402.