Lanthanide Nanoparticles for Improving the Sensitivity of Mass Cytometry at the Single-Cell Level

By Mahnaz Maddahfar

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**

Under the supervision of Prof. Dayong Jin, Prof. Barbara Fazekas de St Groth, Prof. Martina Stenzel, Dr. Shihui Wen.

University of Technology Sydney Faculty of Science, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Institute for Biomedical Materials and Devices.

November 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, *Mahnaz Maddahfar*, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of *Doctor of Philosophy*, in the *School of Mathematics and Physical Science, Faculty of Science* at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 20/11/2022

Acknowledgments

Completing my PhD in nanobiotechnology is undoubtedly the biggest achievement in my whole life. PhD, Doctor of Philosophy, means the study of the fundamental nature of knowledge, reality, and existence. From my point of view, PhD, as the name implies, includes not only deep learning and deep passion for problem-solving but also how to communicate with a multidisciplinary team (enhancing the spirit of collaboration), how to be patient and resilient during the ups and downs of life, and how to strengthen the spirit of persistence to achieve an ultimate goal. After migrating to Australia with my husband in 2018 and starting my PhD journey, never even one percent did I picture myself obtaining a PhD in nanobiotechnology overseas because of language problem, lack of knowledge, having different background etc. Every single moment I look back, I sincerely realise that I would not have completed my journey without all the people in my life, including my husband, my family, my supervisors, my colleagues, my friends, who have supported me in one way or another. I would like to thank everyone who paved the hard way of my PhD.

First of all, I would like to express thanks to my principal supervisor, **Professor Dayong Jin**, ARC Laureate Fellow, the director of the Institute for Biomedical Materials & Devices (IBMD), who helped me to do a PhD in Australia and familiarised me with physics and fluorescent materials that are applicable in the biomedical field. Under his supervision and leadership, I learned how strengthening my positive attitude towards life and being consistent and hardworking can affect reaching our ultimate goal. He taught me how to communicate with other people to expand the angles of the PhD project. I appreciate him teaching me how to become an independent researcher and an expert in my field. I appreciate his endeavour in English proofreading my thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude to our collaborator, my co-supervisor, **Professor Barbara Fazekas**, the director of Ramaciotti Facility for Human Systems Biology, University of Sydney, for introducing me to the world of biology especially highly multiparametric analysis of immune cells through flow cytometry and mass cytometry. Having not taken any biology classes prior to joining this project, Barbara taught me hand by hand how to do a biology experiment for my PhD project. Under her supervision and leadership, I learned how to think critically and scientifically, how to design experiments, how to be more organised, how to be more accurate during conducting experiments and analysing the data. I appreciate her effort and advice regarding my paper and my thesis. I want to thank the Sydney Cytometry staff, my colleagues, **Dr. Helen McGuire, Dr. Lucinda Beutler, Mrs. Bavani Gunasegaran**, for their tremendous help in providing the additional reagents and running the samples in conventional flow and mass cytometry.

I would like to thank another collaborator, my co-supervisor, **Professor Martina Stenzel**, ARC Laureate Fellow, a world-leading researcher in polymer chemistry and its applications, at the University of New South Wales (UNSW), for providing me GPC (Gel Permeation Chromatography) to characterise the polymers for surface functionalisation of nanoparticles. I also appreciate her supervision regarding polymer synthesis and characterisation in my project. Many thanks for her endeavours in revising my paper. I would like to thank **Dr. Lin Zhang** for her tremendous help in teaching polymer preparation and running GPC of my samples.

I would like to express my warm thank to **Dr. Shihui Wen**, my co-supervisor, for his significant contribution to my PhD journey. He provided me with the lanthanide nanoparticles for my research project. He taught me how to write my annual PhD report, how to write my paper, and how to organise my data. His patience and kindness inspired me to ask questions from him during my PhD. I appreciate his effort to polish my thesis.

I would like to express my gratitude to **Associate Professor Olga Shimoni** for her efforts to provide lab facilities during my PhD. During the first year of my PhD, she spent significant time comforting me and inspiring me to keep a positive attitude towards the PhD. I appreciate her keeping her office door open to answer the student's questions. She provided me with some advice to write my paper as well.

I would like to express my warm thank to my colleagues, **Dr. Hao He**, who gave me some valuable suggestions that how I could increase my knowledge in biology, bioanalytical chemistry, material science, polymers, etc, **Dr. Jiayan Liao**, **Dr. JiaJia Zhou**, **Mr. Tesfaye Asrat**, **Dr. Guochen Bao**, **Dr. Guocheng Fang**, **Mrs. Guan Huang**, **Mr. Lei Ding**, **Mrs. Xiangjun Di**, **Mrs. Nabila Morshed**, who helped me to conduct the laboratory work. They provided me with laughter and fun during my PhD.

I would like to thank **Dr. Maryam Parviz**, who provided me with some friendly advice in the Australian academic environment. She and her husband **Dr. Iman Manavi Tehrani** beautifully and kindly shared their experiences about their PhD journey and treated me as their sister. I would like to thank **Dr. Nima Sayyadi** for giving me some suggestions regarding to LnNP-Ab bioconjugation.

I also would like to thank all the **research laboratories staff in UTS** for inducting me in different instruments, including NMR, FT-IR, TGA, Zeta Sizer, TEM, SEM, glow discharge, Nano-drop, UV-Visible, etc. They put in a tremendous effort to keep the laboratories clean, safe, and comfortable to use.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my ex-supervisor in my country Iran, **Professor Ali Gholami**, who strongly motivated me, and inspired me to do a PhD overseas to get priceless experiences in my life. I wish I could find a way to properly acknowledge him as his significant influence changed my attitude towards this universe.

Special thanks to **my parents** who have consistently supported me since my childhood. They tried their best to provide a supportive and safe environment so I could become who I am now. I will always owe them for their entire kindness and efforts.

I should also like to extend special thanks to my sister, **Souri**, my hero in my entire life, who fought against traditional beliefs and revolutionised in my family, and migrated overseas to pursue her dreams. She is my role model of resilience and determination. I will always owe her because of her sense of responsibility towards me.

I would like to thank my elder brothers, **Majid** and **Hossein**, for their constant support and intense caring in every aspect of my life.

I would like to sincerely acknowledge my heart and soul, my love, my husband, **Seyed Mostafa Hosseinpour Mashkani**, who spent significant time teaching me how to be a better scientist, a better mentor, and a better person in general. I appreciate him because he believes in me more than I do myself. Without him, I would not have been able to pass through the darkest times. Everyone who has migrated to another country probably understands how difficult it is. Without my husband, I would have possibly given up my PhD studies. Thank you so much Mostafa.

I would like to acknowledge the International Research Scholarship, IRS (UTS), Research Training Program Scholarship (RTPS), The Faculty of Science, (UTS), and TCRN Top-Up scholarship, for supporting my tuition fees, and providing my living allowance. I could not have done the PhD without their support. Thank you so much. I like to acknowledge Australian Nanotechnology Network (ANN) and UTS Vice-Chancellor's Graduate Research Student Conference Fund for supporting me financially to attend conferences during my PhD journey.

Finally, I would like to thank the **YouTube channel** for its online video platform. Its contributors provided me with videos related to chemistry, biology, bioanalytical chemistry, physics, history, economics, etc., which were very helpful in increasing my knowledge. I also learned how to use different software associated with my PhD project.

Format of thesis

This is a thesis by a compilation with five chapters.

Chapter 1 includes a comprehensive study of a PhD project called a literature review.

Chapter 2 includes Materials and methods.

Chapters 3 and 4 are experimental, results, and discussion sections, including 1 published paper and 1 unpublished work.

Chapter 5 includes the conclusions, and future perspectives.



List of publications, conferences, and awards

Research papers

[1] **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Shihui Wen, Seyed Mostafa Hosseinpour Mashkani, Lin Zhang, Olga Shimoni, Martina Stenzel, Jiajia Zhou, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth*, Dayong Jin*. Stable and high-efficient antibody-nanoparticles conjugation. Bioconjugate Chemistry (published). <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.1c00192</u>

[2] **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth*, Seyed Mostafa Hosseinpour Mashkani, Shihui Wen, Helen McGuire, Nima Sayyadi, , Martina Stenzel, Dayong Jin*. Functionalising lanthanide nanoparticles in flow cytometry and mass cytometry application: A comparison of strategies. (in preparation)

[3] Zayakhuu Gerelkhuu, Haribalan Perumalsamy, **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Dayong Jin, Jaewoo Song, and Tae Hyun Yoon*. A study on peripheral blood mononuclear cell and upconversion nanoparticles using single-cell mass cytometry. (Submitted to Environmental Science: Nano).

[4] Yinghui Chen, Olga Shimoni, Guan Huang, Shihui Wen, Jiayan Liao, Hien Duong, **Mahnaz Maddahfar,** Qian Su, David Ortega, Yanling Lu, Douglas Campbell, Bradley Walsh, Dayong Jin*. Upconversion nanoparticle-assisted single-molecule assay for detecting circulating antigens of aggressive prostate cancer https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.24504

[5] Guan Huang, Ying Zhu, Shihui Wen, Haoqi Mei, Yongtao Liu, Dejiang Wang, **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Qian Peter Su, Gungun Lin*, Yinghui Chen*, Dayong Jin*. Single small extracellular vesicle (sEV) quantification by upconversion nanoparticles. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c00724

[6] Xiangjun Di, Qian Peter Su*, Dejiang Wang, Yongtao Liu, **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Jiajia Zhou, Dayong Jin. Spatiotemporally mapping temperature dynamics of lysosomes and mitochondria using cascade organelle-targeting upconversion nanoparticles. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2207402119 [7] Lei Ding, Xuchen Shan, Dejiang Wang, Baolei Liu*, Ziqing Du, Xiangjun Di, Chaohao Chen*, **Mahnaz Maddahfar**, Ling Zhang, Yuzhi Shi, Peter Reece, Benjamin Halkon, Igor Aharonovich, Xiaoxue Xu*, Fan Wang*. Lanthanide Ion Resonance-Driven Rayleigh Scattering of Nanoparticles for Dual-Modality Interferometric Scattering Microscopy. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202203354</u>

Conferences:

[1] **Mahnaz Maddahfar*** Dayong Jin, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth, Shihui wen. Lanthanide Nanoparticles for improving the sensitivity of Mass Cytometry at the Singlecell level. International Conference on Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICONN 2020) and International Conference on BioNano Innovation (ICBNI- Poster presentation). February 2020 at Brisbane, Australia.

[2] **Mahnaz Maddahfar*** Dayong Jin, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth, Shihui wen. Lanthanide Nanoparticles for improving the sensitivity of Mass Cytometry at the Singlecell level. International Society of Advanced Cytometry (ISAC- Poster presentation). September 2020 at Philadelphia, USA.

[3] **Mahnaz Maddahfar***. Lanthanide Nanoparticles for improving the sensitivity of Mass Cytometry at the Single-cell level. Biomedical Shark Tank, Institute for Biomedical Materials and Devices (IBMD- Oral presentation). 17 February 2020 at Sydney, Australia.

[4] **Mahnaz Maddahfar*** Dayong Jin, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth. Nanotechnology in mass cytometry assays. Australian Cytometry Society (ACS- Oral presentation). 7th-10th November 2021 at Sydney, Australia.

[5] **Mahnaz Maddahfar*** Dayong Jin, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth. Lanthanide Nanoparticles for improving the sensitivity of mass cytometry at the single-cell level. 4th World Congress on Materials Science and Engineering (WCMSE- Oral presentation). November 16th -17th, 2021 at Miami, USA

[6] **Mahnaz Maddahfar*** Dayong Jin, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth. Lanthanide nanoparticles in Mass cytometry. 3rd International Conference on Advanced Materials Science and Nanotechnology. (Oral presentation). August 18th-19th, 2022, Singapore.

Awards:

TCRN PhD Scholarship Top-up Awards. Translational Cancer Research Network

Best Student Award. Biomedical Shark Tank: Tomorrows Technology for Today's Biomedical Frontiers

International Conference Fund on Bio-Nano Innovation (ICBNI). Australian Nanotechnology Network (ANN)

Vice-Chancellor's Graduate Research Student Conference Fund. UTS, Australia.

IBMD Top-up PhD Scholarship Award. (UTS), Australia

Statement of Contribution of Authors

Mahnaz Maddahfar, Shihui Wen, Seyed Mostafa Hosseinpour Mashkani, Lin Zhang, Olga Shimoni, Martina Stenzel, Jiajia Zhou, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth*, Dayong Jin*. Stable and high-efficient antibody-nanoparticles conjugation. Bioconjugate Chemistry (published). <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.1c00192</u>

| | M.M | S.W | S.M.H.M | L.Z | O.S | M.S | J.Z | B.F | D.J |
|--------------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Experimental | Х | | Х | | | | | Х | Х |
| Design | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample | Х | Х | Х | | | | | Х | |
| Preparation | | | | | | | | | |
| Data | Х | | | Х | | | | Х | |
| Collection | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | |
| Data | | | | | | | | | |
| Writing | Х | | Х | | | | | | |
| Manuscript | | | | | | | | | |
| Drawing | Х | | | Х | | | Х | Х | |
| Graphs | | | | | | | | | |
| Review | Х | X | Χ | X | | X | Χ | X | Χ |
| &Editing | | | | | | | | | |

Mahnaz Maddahfar, Barbara Fazekas de St Groth*, Seyed Mostafa Hosseinpour Mashkani, Shihui Wen, Helen McGuire, Nima Sayyadi, Martina Stenzel, Dayong Jin*. Functionalising lanthanide nanoparticles in flow cytometry and mass cytometry application: A comparison of strategies. Cytometry part A (in preparation)

| | M.M | B.F | S.M.H.M | S.W | H.M | N.S | M.S | D.J |
|--------------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Experimental | Х | Х | | | | Х | | |
| Design | | | | | | | | |
| Sample | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| Preparation | | | | | | | | |
| Data | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| Collection | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | | | | |
| Writing | Х | Х | | | | | | |
| Manuscript | | | | | | | | |
| Drawing | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| Graphs | | | | | | | | |
| Review | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х |
| &Editing | | | | | | | | |

List of Acronyms (in alphabetic order)

| ADH | Adipic acid dihydrazide |
|-------|--|
| AIBN | 2,20-azobisisobutyronitrile |
| AuNPs | Gold nanoparticles |
| BTPA | 2-(n-butyltrithiocarbonate)-propionic acid |
| СТ | Computed tomography |
| CIT | Citrate |
| CYTOF | Cytometry time of flight |
| DDA | 1,10-decanedicarbocylic |
| DLS | Dynamic light scattering |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DNP | Diameter of nanoparticles |
| DMSA | 3-dimercaptosuccinic acid |
| DOTA | 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid |
| DTPA | Diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid |
| EDC | 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride |
| ELISA | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay |
| FA | Folic acid |
| FT-IR | Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy |
| FSC | Forward scattering channel |
| GPC | Gel permeation chromatography |
| HEPES | (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) |
| HRTEM | High-resolution transmission electron microscope |
| HFS | HEPES fetal serum |

| ICP-MS | Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry |
|--------|--|
| LnNPs | Lanthanide nanoparticles |
| mAbs | Monocolonal antibody |
| MAEP | Monoacryloxyethyl phosphate |
| MCPs | Metal-chelating polymers |
| MC | Mass cytometry |
| MES | 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid |
| MF | Melamine formaldehyde |
| MPA | Mercaptopropionic acid |
| MFI | Mean fluorescent intensity |
| MRI | Magnetic resonance imaging |
| MSA | Mercaptosuccinic acid |
| MWCO | Molecular weight cut-off |
| MUA | Mercaptoundecanoic |
| NHS | N-hydroxysuccinimide |
| NIH | National institutes of health |
| NIR | Near infrared |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NPs | Nanoparticles |
| OA | Oleic acid |
| ODE | 1-octadecene |
| OEGMEA | Oligo (ethylene glycol) methylether acrylate |
| OM | Oleyl amine |
| PAA | Poly (acrylic acid) |

| РАН | Poly (allylamine hydrochloride) |
|-----------|--|
| PAMAM | Poly (amido amine) |
| PBMC | Peripheral blood mononuclear cell |
| PDI | Poly dispersity index |
| PE | Phycierythrin |
| PEG | Polyethylene glycol |
| PFA | Paraformaldehyde |
| PSA | Prostate specific antigen |
| PVP | Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) |
| PG | Bis-phosphono glycine |
| QDs | Quantum dots |
| RAFT | Reversible addition-fragmental chain-transfer polymerization |
| RIA | Radioimmunoassay |
| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| ScFv | Single-chain variable fragment |
| SEC | Size exclusion chromatography |
| SERS | Surface-enhanced raman scattering |
| SSC | Side scattering channel |
| SNP | Specific surface area |
| Sulfo-NHS | N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide |
| TCEP | Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TEOS | Tetraethyl silicate |
| TEM | Transmission electron microscopy |

| TGA | Thermal gravimetric analysis |
|-------|--------------------------------------|
| UCNPs | Up-conversion nanoparticles |
| UV | Ultraviolent |
| XEDS | X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy |

Contents

| CERTIFICA | ATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP | II |
|---------------|---|-----------|
| Acknowledg | gments | III |
| Format of th | nesis | VII |
| List of publi | cations and awards | IX |
| Statement of | f Contribution of Authors | XII |
| List of Acro | nyms (in alphabetic order) | XIV |
| List of Figu | res | XXI |
| List of Table | es | XXIV |
| Abstract | | XXV |
| Chapter 1: | Literature review | 1 |
| 1.1. In | ntroduction | 1 |
| 1.2. E | Biomarkers | 1 |
| 1.3. E | Biomarker research | 2 |
| 1.3.1 | ICP-MS for Biomarker Detection | 3 |
| 1.3.2 | Flow cytometry for biomarker detection | 4 |
| 1.3.3 | Mass Cytometry (CyTOF): a novel technique to detect biomarkers at the single-co | ell level |
| | | 7 |
| 1.4. L | anthanide Nanoparticles | 11 |
| 1.5. T | ypical synthetic procedures for lanthanide nanoparticles | 11 |
| 1.5.1 | Thermal decomposition | |
| 1.5.2 | Hydrothermal/Solvothermal synthesis | |
| 1.5.3 | Precipitation/Co-precipitation | 14 |
| 1.5. | 3.1. Precipitation/Co-precipitation in aqueous solution | 14 |
| 1.5. | 3.2. Precipitation/Co-precipitation in organic solution | 15 |
| 1.6. S | Surface modification of lanthanide nanoparticles | 16 |
| 1.6.1 | Bilayer Coating with Polymers or Amphiphilic Molecules | 17 |
| 1.6.2 | Direct modification of the original ligand | |
| 1.6.3 | Growing a silica shell and silanization | 19 |
| 1.6.4 | Ligand exchange | |
| 1.7. E | Bioconjugation | |
| 1.8. T | Thesis outline | |
| References. | | |
| Chapter 2: | Materials and Methods | 40 |
| 2.1 | Materials | 40 |
| 2.2 E | Equipment | |
| 2.3 C | Characterisation methods and instruments | |
| 2.3.1 | Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) | |
| 2.3.2 | Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) | |

| 2.3. | 3 Zeta Potential | 42 |
|----------------------|---|------------|
| 2.3. | 4 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) | 42 |
| 2.3. | 5 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹ HNMR, ¹³ CNMR, ³¹ PNMR): | 43 |
| 2.3. | 6 Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC): | 43 |
| 2.3. | 7 Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA): | 43 |
| 2.3. | 8 NanoDrop TM 2000/2000c Spectrophotometers | 43 |
| 2.3. | 9 Flow Cytometry (LSRFortessa X-20 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) | 44 |
| 2.3. | 10 Mass Cytometry (CyTOF) (Helios platform, (Fluidigm) | 44 |
| Reference | es | 46 |
| Chapter | 3: Stable and high-efficient antibody-nanoparticles conjugation | 47 |
| 3.1 | The aim of the chapter | 47 |
| 3.2 | Abstract | 47 |
| 3.3 | Graphical abstract | 48 |
| 3.4 | Introduction | 48 |
| 3.5 | Experimental | 50 |
| 3.5. | 1 Synthesis of NaYF ₄ : Yb, Er nanocrystals | 50 |
| 3.5. | 2 Synthesis of RAFT agent | 51 |
| 3.5. leng | 3 Synthesis of POEGMEA macro RAFT agent with different OEGMEA-block chain gths | 52 |
| 3.5. | 4 Synthesis of POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMEAP | 53 |
| 3.5. | 5 Ligand exchange of LnNPs with POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMEAP | 54 |
| 3.5. | 6 Bio-conjugation of polymer-coated LnNPs coated with an anti-B220 mAb | 55 |
| 3.5. | 7 Mouse spleen cell staining with LnNPs/anti-B220 mAb | 56 |
| 3.5. | 8 Coupling the as-synthesised polymers to adipic acid dihydrazide (ADH) | 56 |
| 3.6 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 56 |
| 3.6. | 1 Lanthanide nanoparticles preparation | 57 |
| 3.6. | 2 RAFT agent preparation | 58 |
| 3.6. | 3 POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMEAP (6, 13, 35, and 55 OEGMEA units) | 60 |
| 3.6. | 4 Surface coating of different sizes POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMAEP co-polymers onto LnNPs | 65 |
| 3.6. | 5 Stability of polymer-coated LnNPs in different buffer solutions and after freeze-dryin | g 70 |
| 3.6. | 6. Coupling polymer-capped LnNPs with anti-B220 mAb | 72 |
| 3.6. POI | 7 Reactivity of the carboxylic end-functional group of the polymer in different length EGMEA chains | 76 |
| 3.6. | 8 Retention of a functional ligand-binding site by LnNP-coupled antibody | 78 |
| 3.7 | Conclusion: | 85 |
| Reference | es | 88 |
| Chapter reaction) | 4: Direct Conjugation of LnNPs via the Fc Glycosylation Site of IgG Antibodies (Schiff-l) | base 91 |
| 4.1 | The aim of the chapter | 91 |
| 4.2 | Abstract | 91 |
| 4.3 | Graphical abstract | 92 |

| 4.4 | Introduction | 92 |
|-----------|--|-------|
| 4.5 | Experimental | 96 |
| 4.5.1 | 1 Functionalisation of polymer capped LnNPs with ADH | 96 |
| 4.5.2 | 2 Oxidation of anti-B220 mAb | 97 |
| 4.5.3 | Bio-conjugation of ADH-coated LnNPs with an anti-B220 mAb | 97 |
| 4.5.4 | 4 Blocking unbound hydrazide moieties on LnNPs by PEG-CHO 2'000 | 97 |
| 4.5.5 | 5 Reduction hydrazone-mediated conjugation | 98 |
| 4.5.6 | 6 Mouse spleen cell staining with LnNPs/anti-B220 mAb | 98 |
| 4.6 | Results and discussion | 98 |
| 4.6.1 | 1 Surface modification of polymer-coated LnNPs with ADH | 98 |
| 4.6.2 | 2 Long term stability of ADH-coated LnNPs | 100 |
| 4.6.3 | 3 Anti-B220 antibody carbohydrate oxidation | 100 |
| 4.6.4 | Bio labelling of anti-B220 antibody by ADH coated LnNPs | 101 |
| 4.6.5 | 5 Fluorescence and mass cytometry measurement of LnNPs/Ab concentrations | 102 |
| 4.6.6 | 6 Optimization and evaluation of coupling reaction parameters | 107 |
| 4. | .6.6.1 Stability testing of oxidized anti-B220 antibody | 107 |
| 4. | .6.6.3 Using appropriate blocking reagent (PEG-aldehyde 2'000) | 111 |
| 4. | .6.6.4 Reductive amination of Aldehyde with Sodium Cyanoborohydride (NaCNBH ₃) |) 116 |
| 4. | .6.6.5 Optimizing the ADH-LnNPs/Abs coupling reaction time | 120 |
| 4. | .6.6.6 Optimizing of NaCNBH ₃ reaction time in coupling LnNPs/Ab | 122 |
| 4. | .6.6.7 Coupling ADH-LnNPs to an oxidised anti-B220 antibody with concentrated initial | |
| m | naterials | 125 |
| 4.7 Co | nclusion | 128 |
| Reference | 28 | 131 |
| Chapter : | 5: Conclusions and Future Perspectives | 134 |
| 5.1 | Conclusions | 134 |
| 5.2 | Future perspectives | 136 |
| 5.2.1 | 1 Surface chemistry and polymers | 136 |
| 5.2.2 | 2 Conjugation methodology | 138 |
| Reference | 28 | 140 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1 Combination of sandwich and ICP-MS assays. | 4 |
|---|------------|
| Figure 1.2 Schematic of a flow cytometer | 6 |
| Figure 1.3 Mass cytometry workflow. | 8 |
| Figure 1.4 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of LaF ₃ 1 | 2 |
| Figure 1.5 TEM images showing the preparation process of high-quality GdVO4:Yb, Er nanoparticles. 1 | 3 |
| Figure 1.6 TEM images of 20 % mole Yb^{3+} and 2 % mole Er^{3+} co-doped LaF_3 1 | 5 |
| Figure 1.7 TEM images of the β-NaYF ₄ -based LnNPs1 | 6 |
| Figure 1.8 Schematic illustration of LnNPs surface modification strategies. ¹¹⁵ | .7 |
| Figure 1.9 Principle of bilayer formation by coating the oleate-capped UCNP with an amphiphilipossessing a hydrophilic | ic 8 |
| Figure 1.10 Schematic illustration of ligand oxidation of OA-capped LnNPs via Lemieux-von Rudlo reagent | ff 8 |
| Figure 1.11 Schematic illustration of removal of originally capped surface ligands on LnNPs 1 | 9 |
| Figure 1.12 TEM images of UCNPs and UCNP@SiO2 | 20 |
| Figure 1.13 Scheme of the two-step ligand exchange for the surface modification of LnNPs2 | 23 |
| Figure 1.14 TEM images of LnNPs with different surface capping | 23 |
| Figure 1.15 Relative size of nanoparticles and biomolecules, drawn to scale | 25 |
| Figure 1.16 Schematic illustration of EDC/NHS chemistry | 26 |
| Figure 1.17 Michael addition between a maleimide and a thiol to form a stable thio-ether linkage | 26 |
| Figure 1.18 Tetrameric ribbon structure of streptavidin bound to four biotin ligands | 27 |
| Figure 1.19 Schematic illustration of Schiff-base reaction | 28 |
| Figure 1.20 The general structure of an immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) highlighting key components 2 | 29 |
| Figure 3.1 Schematic of synthetic procedure of NaYF4: Yb, Er nanoparticles | ; 0 |
| Figure 3.2 Schematic of RAFT agent, 2-(n-butyltrithiocarbonate)-propionic acid (BTPA) | 51 |
| Figure 3.3 Images of RAFT agent during the preparation | 52 |
| Figure 3.4 Schematic illustration of ligand exchange of OA-capped LnNPs with POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMAE polymers | Р 55 |
| Figure 3.5 TEM image of OA- LnNPs, DLS CONTIN plots of OA- LnNPs dispersed in cyclohexane (1. mg/mL) | .0 57 |
| Figure 3.6 FT-IR spectra of OA-capped LnNPs. Functional groups of the polymer are shown in the FTI spectrum | R 58 |
| Figure 3.7 ATR-FT-IR analysis of RAFT agent. Functional groups of the RAFT agent are shown in the FTIR spectrum | 1e 59 |
| Figure 3.8 ¹ H NMR spectrum of RAFT agent and ¹³ C NMR spectrum of RAFT agent | 50 |
| Figure 3.9 Schematic illustration of the two-step synthesis procedure of the diblock copolymer | 51 |
| Figure 3.10 ¹ H NMR spectra of the as-synthesised polymers with different lengths of POEGMEA 6 | 52 |
| Figure 3.11 FTIR spectra of the as-synthesised polymers with different length of POEGMEA chain (6) an (13, 35, and 55) | 1d 54 |
| Figure 3.12 GPC curves of POEGMEA- <i>b</i> -PMAEP with different units of POEGMEA (6, 13, 35, and 55 | 5). 55 |

| Figure 3.13 TEM images of OA-capped LnNPs and polymer-coated LnNPs with different numbers of POEGMEA units |
|--|
| Figure 3.14 CONTIN plots from DLS measurements of LnNPs before and after surface modification with Polymer 68 |
| Figure 3.15 TGA curves of LnNPs modified by polymers in different POEGMEA length |
| Figure 3.16 DLS and Zeta Potential of LnNPs/Polymers |
| Figure 3.17 CONTIN plots from DLS measurements of LnNPs/OA, LnNPs/polymer before and after freeze drying |
| Figure 3.18 Schematic of antibody conjugation with LnNP coated polymer (13-55 PEG) |
| Figure 3.19 Zeta potential of the LnNPs/polymer after functionalisation with mAb74 |
| Figure 3.20 Schematic explanation of wrapping up the carboxylic end-functional group towards the inside of the coiled structure of large polymers |
| Figure 3.21 DLS CONTIN plot of Polymer capped-LnNPs before and after functionalisation with mAb. |
| Figure 3.22 Scheme of modifying polymer by ADH76 |
| Figure 3.23 FTIR spectra of POEGMEA -b-PMAEP (varying in POEGMEA length) before and after functionalisation by ADH. 77 |
| Figure 3.24. ¹ HNMR spectra of POEGMEA-b-PMAEP (varying in POEGMEA length) before and after functionalisation by ADH. 78 |
| Figure 3.25 Binding of LnNP-anti-B220 to B220 on the surface of live murine splenic B cells, |
| Figure 3.26 Binding of LnNP-anti-B220 to B220 on the surface of live murine splenic B cells |
| Figure 3.27 Estimation of LnNPs/Ab and supernatant Ab concentrations that retain the ability to bind B220 83 on the surface of live cells 83 |
| Figure 3.28 Comparison of binding of LnNPs- and Maxpar-labelled anti-B220. Histogram of the signal obtained using Maxpar ¹⁵⁹ Tb/anti-B220 on mouse spleen cells |
| Figure 3.29 Schematic illustration of random coupling polymer-LnNPs to IgG antibodies through EDC/sulfo-NHS chemistry. 86 |
| Figure 3.30 Schematic illustration of non-specific binding of unoccupied carboxylate on the surface of LnNPs/antibodies with cells |
| Figure 3.31 Schematic illustration of prepared conjugated sample comprises both free LnNPs and coupled ones with IgG antibodies. 87 |
| Figure 4.1 Schematic illustration of site-specific conjugation of Abs to LnNPs. Conjugation of ADH to polymer coated LnNPs through EDC reaction |
| Figure 4.2 DLS CONTIN plot of LnNPs before and after ADH modification in MilliQ-water and in HEPES buffer |
| Figure 4.3Assessment of long term colloidal stability of ADH functionalisedLnNPs |
| Figure 4.4 Quality control of generated aldehyde in Fc portion of anti-B220 Ab using purpald reagent. |
| Figure 4.5 The average number of antibody molecules conjugated to a single LnNP for the five titration samples at different molar ratios of LnNP/Ab |
| Figure 4.6 Schematic illustration of labelling live murine splenic B cells using LnNPs/Ab to recognize B220 antigens on the surface of cells. 103 |
| Figure 4.7 Dot plots of flow cytometry forward scatter vs PE fluorescence, with the fluorescent B cell population circled in black |

| Figure 4.8 Graph of dilution vs MFI for murine B cells. Control purified 6 µg/mL and IgG-CHO 200 µg/mL |
|--|
| Figure 4.9 Comparison LnNPs/Ab signals derived from fluorescence flow versus mass cytometry of the same sample |
| Figure 4.10 Graph of dilution vs MFI of coupled and non-coupled Abs indicated only low reactivity to cell surface B220 |
| Figure 4.11 Graph of dilution vs MFI of coupled and non-coupled Ab indicated that oxidation for 1hr greatly reduced the ability of the IgG-CHO to recognise cell surface antigen |
| Figure 4.12 Graph of dilution vs MFI of coupled and non-coupled Abs in conjugation reactions using LnNPs modified by ADH for 5h or 17h |
| Figure 4.13 Schematic illustration of O-2-(6-Oxocaproylamino) ethyl-O'-methylpolyethylene glycol 2'000 as a blocking reagent and ADH coated LnNPs |
| Figure 4.14 DLS CONTIN plot of ADH-LnNPs after modification by blocker followed by centrifugation at 6000 g |
| Figure 4.15 Schematic illustration of bioconjugation of LnNPs/Ab along with blocker and centrifugation. 113 |
| Figure 4.16 Schematic illustration of labelling of PEG-blocked anti-B220 conjugated LnNPs to recognize B220 antigens on the surface of live murine splenic B cells |
| Figure 4.17 DLS CONTIN plot of ADH-LnNPs before and after bioconjugation with Abs followed by modifying with blocker. Zeta potential analysis |
| Figure 4.18 Graph of dilution vs geometric mean fluorescence of the B cell populations detected by flow cytometry. Schiff-base with blocker and EDC method |
| Figure 4.19 Stabilisation of the Schiff-base reaction with NaCNBH ₃ . DLS CONTIN plot of ADH-LnNPs, ADH-LnNPs treated by blocker, and further stabilized by NaCNBH ₃ |
| Figure 4.20 Graph of dilution vs geometric mean fluorescence of the B cell populations detected by flow cytometry |
| Figure 4.21 Surface charge of blocked ADH-LnNPs when treated with different amounts of reducing reagent (NaCNBH ₃) |
| Figure 4.22 Graph of dilution vs geometric mean fluorescence of the B cell populations detected by flow cytometry |
| Figure 4.23 Absorbance spectra of the supernatants after bio-conjugation and stabilisation with reducing reagent for different times |
| Figure 4.24 Graph of dilution vs geometric mean fluorescence of the B cell populations detected by flow cytometry |
| Figure 4.25 Absorbance spectra of IgG-CHO and supernatant of IgG-CHO LnNPs conjugation reaction. 126 |
| Figure 4.26 Graph of dilution vs geometric mean fluorescence of the B cell populations detected by flow cytometry |
| Figure 4.27 Dot plots of ¹⁹⁴ Pt versus ⁸⁹ Y for MC analysis |
| Figure 4.28 Schematic illustration of EDC/Sulfo-NHS and Schiff-base |
| Figure 5.1 Proposed schematic design of polymer and steps for Ab-LnNPs conjugation using TCEP 130 |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 The concentration of RAFT agent, OEGMEA monomer, and AIBN 53 |
|--|
| Table 3.2 TGA results and grafting density of LnNPs with polymer |
| Table 3.3 Estimation of reactive Ab concentrations from the experiment shown in Figure 3.28 |
| Table 4.1 A280 results and estimated concentration of Abs in each conjugated sample. 102 |
| Table 4.2 Concentration of Abs in each sample (coupled and non-coupled Abs) measured by A280 and flow cytometry. 106 |
| Table 4.3 Measured concentration of Abs for each sample (coupled and non-coupled Abs) by A280 and flow cytometry. 108 |
| Table 4.4 Measured concentration of Abs for each sample (coupled and non-coupled Abs) by A280 and flow cytometry. 110 |
| Table 4.5 Measured concentration of Abs for each sample (coupled and non-coupled Abs) by A280 and flow cytometry. 111 |
| Table 4.6 The measured concentration of antibody-conjugated LnNPs and the relevant supernatants alongwith purified and oxidised anti-B220 antibodies via A280 and flow cytometry |
| Table 4.7 The measured concentration of antibody-conjugated LnNPs and the relevant supernatants alongwith purified and oxidised anti-B220 antibodies via A280 and flow cytometry |
| Table 4.8 The measured concentration of antibody-conjugated LnNPs and the relevant supernatants alongwith purified and oxidized anti-B220 antibodies via A280 and flow cytometry.122 |
| Table 4.9 The measured concentration of antibody-conjugated LnNPs and the relevant supernatants alongwith purified and oxidized anti-B220 antibodies via A280 and flow cytometry.124 |
| Table 4.10 The measured concentration of antibody-conjugated LnNPs and the relevant supernatants alongwith purified and oxidized anti-B220 antibodies via A280 and flow cytometry.126 |

Abstract

Early detection of cancer increases the possibility of successful treatment which often requires the multiplexed detection of a panel of biomarkers of molecules and single cells. Mass cytometry (CyTOF), combining the powers of flow cytometry and mass spectrometry provides simultaneous measurement of over 40 cellular parameters at single-cell resolution, significantly augmenting the ability of cytometry to evaluate complex cellular systems and processes. This technology is based on isotopically-labelled antibodies as tags and mass spectrometry time-of-flight to distinguish the individual isotope labels on single cells. However, metal chelating polymers, currently used in CyTOF, have been found insufficient in detecting low abundance biomarkers, as the number of metal atoms per tag is too low to detect biomarker expression at levels of 10² to 10⁴ per cell.

This thesis aims to address the issue of the low sensitivity of mass cytometry by developing lanthanide nanoparticles as cellular barcoding mass-tags, as individual nanoparticles can be doped with a considerable number of elemental atoms, typically in the range of 10⁴-10⁶ lanthanide ions per nanoparticle. As the key to producing bio-specific nanoparticles lies in the surface functionalisation of LnNPs and their subsequent conjugation to antibodies, the first focus of this thesis is on the design and synthesis of a well-defined diblock copolymer with tuneable size composed of monoacryloxyethyl phosphate block and oligo(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate block through the RAFT polymerisation technique. Systematic insight into the effect of the chain length of POEGMEA on the long-term colloidal stability and antibody-conjugation efficiency of nanoparticles has been provided.

Next, I explored two novel bioconjugation strategies to couple anti-B220 antibody to LnNPs: a) Carbodiimide chemistry in which carboxylate groups of polymer capped LnNPs target lysine sidechains of the antibody, b) Schiff-base interaction in which hydrazide functionalised LnNPs target aldehyde groups in the Fc region of oxidised IgG antibody. Both conjugation strategies were applied to assess the sensitivity and specificity of the LnNP-coupled antibody as a ligand-specific probe for mass cytometry assays. Random orientation of antibodies on the surface of polymer-LnNP and failure to exclude free LnNPs from the coupled ones caused the carbodiimide strategy to generate significant background in CyTOF, making it difficult to distinguish signal. However, the

combination of Schiff-based chemistry to orient coupling of IgG antibodies to LnNPs and the use of a blocking reagent to allow separation of free versus conjugated nanoparticles increased conjugation efficacy and significantly improved signal to noise ratio in mass cytometry assays.