

The development and validation of screening test methods for the presumptive detection of New Psychoactive Substances

Laura Clancy

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Science)**

under the supervision of Prof. Shanlin Fu, Dr. Ronald
Shimmon and Dr. Morgan Alonzo

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Science, Centre for Forensic Science

May 2022

This page left intentionally blank

Certificate of authorship and originality

I, Laura Clancy, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Laura Clancy

05/05/2022

Date

Acknowledgements

To my supervisor Shanlin, thank you for the never-ending stream of encouragement and support over the last 5 years. Your calm and collected nature never fails to impress me and it has helped to keep my stresses at bay. I am so grateful for you supporting me to attend and represent you and our research at conferences around the world. I know how lucky I've been, especially now, to have attended so many and the connections I have made will undoubtedly be beneficial in the future. It has been a wonderful five years as your student, and I feel so thankful to have chosen the honours project that I did.

To my co-supervisor Ronald, people always said the labs wouldn't be the same without you, and they weren't once you left. I am so grateful for all of your support and assistance in so many areas of my projects and for always taking the time to help me even when you had no space in your schedule. You were a huge part of this project and helped get it to the point that is now – so thank you.

To Morgan, my new co-supervisor, while you weren't always officially part of this project you have always had a role to play. I will always value how much of a mentor you have been for me since the first day I stepped foot in the research lab. Thank you for providing feedback, guidance and encouragement for all aspects of this research especially in the last six months. Thanks for also being up for a casual chat and a laugh to break up all the serious chemistry talk.

To Shanlin's drugs and toxicology research group, all of the members of the last 5 years, what a ride it's been. Thank you for being such a supportive team and for always laughing at my gifs. It has been so great to have a little drug obsessed family throughout this journey and I will always cherish the memories we have made at numerous conferences together. Thanks is extended to those who helped me in the lab especially Lainey and Tash as well as all of the technical staff for their assistance in so many ways.

To all my office ladies, thanks for making our corner so enjoyable and bright over the years, I'm so grateful to have been surrounded by such wonderful, wise and supportive friends. A special shoutout to Samara and Ana who have been constant support systems for me, both at uni and in life in general. I know I could always count on you for a chat over coffee or a quick snack run to make it through the tough days.

To the rest of my UTS family, too many to name, thanks for making my time there so fun and full of life. It has been a long nine years, but it has been so worth it to have gained such amazing people in my life who have lived through it all with me.

Finally, of course, my nearest and dearest most of whom have almost no understanding of what I do but support me endlessly anyway. This goes to all those who live near and far but never fail to make me feel supported in every way. Whether that's flowers in the mail or a long overdue catchup, you have all been a part of this journey and getting me to this point and I will never forget that.

A special mention to my sister Annabel, who has always encouraged me to be the best version of myself. Thank you for putting up with my complaining and helping to keep me sane while I've been writing a thesis during a global pandemic. To my Mum and Dad, I can't say thank you enough. The support you have provided me throughout this time has been beyond imaginable and I'm so appreciative for the words of wisdom, praise and all the proofreading in between. And lastly, to Matthew, I am so grateful for you just always being there even when I didn't know I needed it. The encouragement, support and love you all provide is what has gotten me to this point and there is no way I could have achieved this without you all.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 NEW PSYCHOACTIVE SUBSTANCES	2
1.1.1 <i>Phenethylamines</i>	3
1.1.2 <i>Synthetic cathinones</i>	7
1.1.3 <i>Synthetic opioids</i>	9
1.1.4 <i>Piperazine analogues</i>	10
1.2 ANALYSIS OF ILLICIT DRUGS IN SEIZED SAMPLES	11
1.2.1 <i>Techniques for structural identification</i>	12
1.2.2 <i>Techniques based on chemical characteristics</i>	15
1.2.2.1 Colour testing.....	16
1.2.3 <i>Digital image analysis</i>	19
1.2.3.1 Smartphone applications for enhanced colour detection.....	20
1.2.4 <i>Microfluidic analysis</i>	21
1.2.4.1 Microfluidic paper-based analytical devices (μPADs)	22
1.3 ANALYSIS OF ILLICIT DRUGS IN ORAL FLUID	24
1.4 SIGNIFICANCE OF PRESUMPTIVE TEST METHODS FOR ILLICIT DRUG DETECTION	26
1.5 REFERENCES	26
CHAPTER 2: PHENETHYLAMINE COLOUR TEST DEVELOPMENT AND OPTIMISATION.....	48
2.1 INTRODUCTION	50
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	54
2.2.1 <i>Reagents and chemicals</i>	54
2.2.2 <i>Reference materials</i>	55
2.2.3 <i>Preparation of solutions</i>	56
2.2.4 <i>Colour test method development</i>	57
2.2.4.1 Preliminary testing	57

2.2.4.2	Reagent optimisation	57
2.2.4.3	Buffer solution optimisation	58
2.2.4.4	Reactions with other quinone reagents	59
2.2.4.5	Effect of other aldehydes	59
2.2.5	<i>Blotter paper analysis</i>	59
2.2.6	<i>Method validation</i>	60
2.2.6.1	Specificity and selectivity	60
2.2.6.2	Limit of detection	61
2.2.6.3	Purity testing	61
2.2.6.4	Precision analysis	62
2.2.6.5	Blind tests.....	62
2.2.6.6	Stability	62
2.2.6.7	Stability of reagent mixtures	63
2.3	RESULTS & DISCUSSION.....	64
2.3.1	<i>Colour test method development</i>	64
2.3.1.1	Preliminary testing	64
2.3.1.2	Reagent optimisation	64
2.3.1.3	Buffer solution optimisation	65
2.3.1.4	Reactions with other quinone reagents	66
2.3.1.5	Effect of other aldehydes	67
2.3.2	<i>General recommended procedure</i>	67
2.3.3	<i>Blotter paper analysis</i>	67
2.3.4	<i>Proposed reaction mechanism</i>	69
2.3.5	<i>Method validation</i>	70
2.3.5.1	Specificity and selectivity	70
2.3.5.2	Limit of detection	76
2.3.5.3	Purity testing	77
2.3.5.4	Precision analysis	77

Table of Contents

2.3.5.5	Blind tests.....	78
2.3.5.6	Stability	79
2.3.5.7	Stability of reagent mixtures.....	81
2.4	CONCLUSIONS	83
2.5	REFERENCES	83
CHAPTER 3: INVESTIGATION OF MOBILE PHONE APPLICATIONS FOR COLOUR DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF NBOME ANALOGUES		90
3.1	INTRODUCTION	90
3.2	METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	91
3.2.1	<i>Materials and reference standards</i>	<i>91</i>
3.2.2	<i>Mobile phone applications for RGB capture</i>	<i>92</i>
3.2.3	<i>TCBQ colour test method</i>	<i>93</i>
3.2.4	<i>Data analysis and visualisation</i>	<i>93</i>
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	95
3.3.1	<i>Mobile phone applications and initial testing</i>	<i>95</i>
3.3.2	<i>Data analysis and visualisation</i>	<i>96</i>
3.3.2.1	Evaluation of applications	97
3.3.2.2	Concentration studies	100
3.3.2.3	Comparison of drug classes.....	102
3.3.2.4	Analysis of impurities	104
3.3.3	<i>Predictive modelling.....</i>	<i>105</i>
3.4	CONCLUSIONS	109
3.5	REFERENCES	110
CHAPTER 4: TRANSLATION OF COLOUR TEST METHODS TO PAPER-BASED SYSTEMS FOR THE DETECTION OF NPS.....		113
4.1	INTRODUCTION	113
4.2	PHENETHYLAMINE COLOUR TEST.....	115
4.2.1	<i>Materials and methods.....</i>	<i>115</i>

4.2.1.1	Chemicals and reference standards	115
4.2.1.2	Preparation of test reagents	115
4.2.1.3	Initial paper testing	116
4.2.1.4	Stability of TCBQ on paper	116
4.2.1.5	Selectivity and specificity	117
4.2.1.6	LOD study	117
4.2.1.7	Precision analysis	117
4.2.1.8	Investigation of a wax-printed paper system and the e-Beagle device.....	118
4.2.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	120
4.2.2.1	Initial paper testing	120
4.2.2.2	Stability of TCBQ on paper	123
4.2.2.3	Recommended test method	126
4.2.2.4	Selectivity and specificity	127
4.2.2.5	Limit of detection study	128
4.2.2.6	Precision analysis	128
4.2.2.7	Investigation of a wax-printed paper system and the e-Beagle device.....	129
4.2.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	131
4.3	PIPERAZINE COLOUR TEST.....	132
4.3.1	<i>Materials and methods</i>	132
4.3.1.1	Chemicals and reference standards	132
4.3.1.2	Preparation of test reagents	132
4.3.1.3	Initial testing – recommended method in solution	133
4.3.1.4	Initial paper testing	133
4.3.1.5	Storage and stability of reagents on paper	133
4.3.1.6	Investigation of reagent conditions	134
4.3.1.7	Re-addition of triton-X-100 and its effect on stability.....	134
4.3.1.8	Limit of detection study	134
4.3.1.9	Precision study	135

Table of Contents

4.3.1.10	Selectivity study	135
4.3.1.11	Blind testing	135
4.3.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	136
4.3.2.1	Initial testing – recommended method in solution	136
4.3.2.2	Initial paper tests	136
4.3.2.3	Storage and stability of reagents on paper	137
4.3.2.4	Investigation of reagent conditions	138
4.3.2.5	Re-addition of triton-X-100 to paper test and effect on stability.....	139
4.3.2.6	Recommended test method	140
4.3.2.7	Limit of Detection study	141
4.3.2.8	Precision study	142
4.3.2.9	Selectivity study	142
4.3.2.10	Blind testing	143
4.3.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	144
4.4	CATHINONE COLOUR TEST	145
4.4.1	<i>Materials and methods</i>	145
4.4.1.1	Chemicals and reference materials	145
4.4.1.2	Preparation of test reagents	146
4.4.1.3	Initial testing	146
4.4.1.4	Use of the developed simple test protocol	146
4.4.1.5	Adaptation of reaction conditions to increase the speed of reaction.....	147
4.4.1.6	Stability testing	148
4.4.1.7	Separation of reagents across paper and in drug solution.....	149
4.4.1.8	Selectivity and specificity study	150
4.4.1.9	Limit of detection study	151
4.4.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	151
4.4.2.1	Initial testing	151
4.4.2.2	Use of the developed simple test protocol	152

4.4.2.3	Adaptation of reaction conditions to increase the speed of reaction.....	153
4.4.2.4	Stability testing	155
4.4.2.5	Separation of reagents across paper and in drug solution.....	157
4.4.2.6	Recommended test method	158
4.4.2.7	Selectivity and specificity study	158
4.4.2.8	Limit of detection study	160
4.4.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	161
4.5	FENTANYL COLOUR TEST	162
4.5.1	<i>Materials and methods</i>	162
4.5.1.1	Chemicals and reference materials	162
4.5.1.2	Preparation of test reagents	163
4.5.1.3	Initial testing of 1,2-napthoquinone	163
4.5.1.4	Effect of UV intensity on colour change.....	164
4.5.1.5	Other quinone reagent testing	164
4.5.1.6	2,3-dichloro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone colour test	165
4.5.1.7	Reagent optimisation.....	165
4.5.1.8	Stability and storage of test papers	165
4.5.1.9	Precision.....	166
4.5.1.10	Specificity and selectivity	166
4.5.1.11	Limit of detection.....	166
4.5.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	167
4.5.2.1	Initial testing of 1,2-napthoquinone	167
4.5.2.2	Effect of UV intensity on colour change.....	168
4.5.2.3	Other quinone reagent testing	169
4.5.2.4	2,3-dichloro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone colour test	170
4.5.2.5	Reagent optimisation.....	171
4.5.2.6	Test paper conditions.....	174
4.5.2.7	Colour test in solution	174

Table of Contents

4.5.2.8	Stability and storage of test papers	175
4.5.2.9	General recommended procedure.....	176
4.5.2.10	Precision.....	177
4.5.2.11	Specificity and selectivity	178
4.5.2.12	Limit of detection.....	184
4.5.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	186
4.6	DISCUSSION	187
4.7	CONCLUSIONS	189
4.8	REFERENCES	190
CHAPTER 5: APPLICATION OF TCBQ COLOUR TEST METHOD FOR THE DETECTION OF 25-NBOME IN ORAL FLUID SAMPLES.....		194
5.1	INTRODUCTION	194
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	196
5.2.1	<i>Chemicals and reference materials</i>	196
5.2.2	<i>Oral fluid sample collection and preparation</i>	196
5.2.3	<i>Direct analysis of spiked oral fluid samples</i>	196
5.2.4	<i>Chromatographic analysis of spiked oral fluid samples</i>	197
5.2.5	<i>Method validation</i>	198
5.2.5.1	Precision analysis	198
5.2.5.2	Selectivity and specificity	198
5.2.5.3	Limit of detection	199
5.3	RESULTS & DISCUSSION	199
5.3.1	<i>Direct analysis of spiked oral fluid samples</i>	199
5.3.2	<i>Chromatographic analysis of spiked oral fluid samples</i>	201
5.3.3	<i>Method validation</i>	203
5.3.3.1	Precision analysis	203
5.3.3.2	Selectivity and specificity	203
5.3.3.3	Limit of detection	204

5.4	CONCLUSIONS	205
5.5	REFERENCES	206
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....		210
APPENDIX A: SYNTHESIS METHODS AND CHARACTERISATION OF 2C-H AND 25H-NBOME.....		215
A.1	SYNTHESIS OF 2C-H AND 25H-NBOME	215
A.1.1	<i>Preparation of trans-2,5-dimethoxy-β-nitrostyrene.</i>	<i>215</i>
A.1.2	<i>Preparation of 2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine (2C-H).....</i>	<i>221</i>
A.1.3	<i>Preparation of 2,5-dimethoxy-N-(2-methoxybenzyl) phenethylamine (25H-NBOME).....</i>	<i>226</i>
APPENDIX B: MOBILE PHONE APPLICATION DATA AND CODE		232
B.1	COMPLETE COMPOUND LIST FOR MOBILE PHONE APPLICATION STUDY	232
B.2	GENERAL R CODE	233
B.2.1	<i>Generic multivariate analysis:.....</i>	<i>233</i>
B.2.2	<i>Multinomial regression:</i>	<i>234</i>
B.3	RAW DATA AND PLOTS.....	235
B.3.1	<i>Normality test results.....</i>	<i>235</i>
B.3.2	<i>Application evaluation</i>	<i>239</i>
B.3.3	<i>Impurities</i>	<i>240</i>
B.3.4	<i>Concentration comparisons</i>	<i>241</i>
B.3.5	<i>Multinomial logistic regression</i>	<i>242</i>
B.3.5.1	Model 1:	242
B.3.5.2	Model 2:	242
B.3.5.3	Model 3:	243
B.3.5.4	Model 4:	243
APPENDIX C: COLOUR CHART		244

List of figures

Figure 1-1: General structures of phenethylamine-type drugs	4
Figure 1-2: Top: a) cathinone general structure, b) methylenedioxy substituted cathinones, c) pyrrolidine substituted cathinones. Bottom: structures of common cathinones	8
Figure 1-3: Fentanyl general structure with highlighted sections indicating where structural changes may be made.....	9
Figure 1-4: General structure of benzyl and phenyl piperazines	11
Figure 1-5: Examples of common colour test mechanisms a) Scott's test for cocaine, b) Mecke test for opiate drugs, c) Marquis test for aromatic compounds, and d) Simon's test for amine containing compounds.....	17
Figure 1-6: Representative diagrams of multiplex paper-based devices for colourimetric detection of illicit drugs	24
Figure 2-1: General structures of 2C-X, 25-NBOMe and its related analogues	51
Figure 2-2: TCBQ reagent concentration study results with 25H-NBOMe. a) 8×10^{-5} M, b) 1×10^{-4} M, c) 3×10^{-4} M, d) 5×10^{-4} M, e) 8×10^{-4} M, f) 1×10^{-3} M, g) 3×10^{-3} M, h) 5×10^{-3} M, i) 8×10^{-3} M, j) 1×10^{-2} M, k) 3×10^{-2} M, l) 5×10^{-2} M TCBQ in ethyl acetate solutions.....	64
Figure 2-3: TCBQ reagent concentration study results with 2C-H. a) 8×10^{-5} M, b) 1×10^{-4} M, c) 3×10^{-4} M, d) 5×10^{-4} M, e) 8×10^{-4} M, f) 1×10^{-3} M, g) 3×10^{-3} M, h) 5×10^{-3} M, i) 8×10^{-3} M, j) 1×10^{-2} M, k) 3×10^{-2} M, l) 5×10^{-2} M TCBQ in ethyl acetate solutions	64
Figure 2-4: Comparison of blotter paper test methods. Left: Colour change result of the extracted blotter paper tab; Right: Colour change result of direct application to the blotter paper tab	68
Figure 2-5: Proposed mechanism of reaction of TCBQ test with primary or secondary amines containing compounds.....	69
Figure 2-6: Chemical structure of 4-hydroxymethcathinone.....	74

Figure 2-7: LOD colour change results: a) reagent blank, b) 3, c) 7.5, d) 15, e) 22.5, f) 30, g) 45, h) 60, i) 75, j) 90, k) 105, l) 150 μg 25H-NBOMe	76
Figure 2-8: LOD colour change results with 2C-H. a) reagent blank, b) 5, c) 25, d) 25, e) 37.5, f) 50, g) 75, h) 100, i) 125, j) 150, k) 175, and l) 250 μg 2C-H.....	76
Figure 2-9: Results of purity tests with 25H-NBOMe and adulterants in 1:1 ratio. A) 25H-NBOMe blank, b) methamphetamine, c) MDMA, d) ephedrine, e) paracetamol, f) pseudoephedrine, g) caffeine, h) ibuprofen, i) aspirin, j) lidocaine, k) benzocaine, l) creatine.....	77
Figure 2-10: Comparison of storage conditions with 8 week old reagent (left to right fresh, fridge, cupboard, laboratory bench)	80
Figure 3-1: Example screenshots of colour recordings with ColorAssist (left), What a Color? (centre) and Colorimeter (right)	96
Figure 3-2: Normality test plots for the complete data set. Q-Q plot (top), density plot (middle) and histogram (bottom) for R, G and B values (left to right).....	97
Figure 3-3: Boxplot showing data distribution for each application.....	98
Figure 3-4: 3D Scatterplot comparing values obtained with (on) and without (off) a light source using ColorAssist and What a Color?	99
Figure 3-5: Boxplot showing distribution of colour values for samples analysed with (on) and without (off) a light source using ColorAssist and What a Color?	100
Figure 3-6: Average RGB values vs quantity of 25E-NBOMe	101
Figure 3-7: 3D scatterplot comparing NBOMe concentration values.....	102
Figure 3-8: Boxplot comparing the drug classes and their distribution across all concentrations	103
Figure 3-9: 3D scatterplot comparing the six drug classes across all concentrations	103
Figure 3-10: Boxplot comparing the distribution of the five drug classes at 100 μg and blank (negative control) samples.....	104

List of Figures

Figure 3-11: Boxplot comparing blank, impurity, NBOMe & impurity and NBOMe.....	105
Figure 4-1: e-Beagle device (left) and the two PAD designs which are compatible with the system	120
Figure 4-2: TCBQ paste applied to paper strips (left) and colour change seen after placed in reagent and drug solution (right)	121
Figure 4-3: Resulting colour changes after papers were: a) soaked in TCBQ then tested immediately (left: blank, right: 25H-NBOMe), b) spotted with TCBQ and allowed to dry before testing (left: blank, right: 25H-NBOMe), and c) removed from test vial once colour change had occurred ...	121
Figure 4-4: Resulting colour changes after paper was soaked in TCBQ and acetaldehyde then: a) tested immediately (left: blank, right: 25H-NBOMe) and b) allowed to dry before testing (left: blank, right: 25H-NBOMe).....	122
Figure 4-5: Drug testing results with 2C-E, 25H-NBOMe, MDMA and Methamphetamine using TCBQ soaked and dried paper strips.....	123
Figure 4-6: Colour development over time and addition of extra TCBQ. Left to right: initial test with TCBQ solution soaked paper, immediately after extra TCBQ was added and several minutes after extra TCBQ was added.	124
Figure 4-7: Resulting colour changes of blank and 25D-NBOMe after storage of papers containing 10 drops TCBQ (6×10^{-3} M) after: a) 15 days, 2 min after addition and b) 24 hr after addition, c) 6 weeks, 2 min after addition and d) 2 hr after addition.....	126
Figure 4-8: TCBQ paper-based LOD colour change results: a) reagent blank, b) 5 μ g, c) 10 μ g, d) 15 μ g, e) 20 μ g, f) 30 μ g, g) 40 μ g, h) 50 μ g, i) 60 μ g, j) 75 μ g, k) 100 μ g, l) 150 μ g 25D-NBOMe	128
Figure 4-9: Sequence test results examples. a) Sequence 2, b) sequence 9, c) sequence 16, d) sequence 22	129
Figure 4-10: Colour change results seen from NQS test method: reagent blank (left) and BZP (right)	136
Figure 4-11: Colour change after application of the test reagents to paper with reagent blank (left) and BZP (right).....	137

Figure 4-12: Comparison of solvents used for the preparation of NQS solution.....	139
Figure 4-13: LOD results for freshly prepared paper strips containing NQS (top) and stored paper strips containing NQS and triton X-100 (bottom). Concentrations a) 0 μg , b) 2 μg , c) 4 μg , d) 6 μg , e) 8 μg , f) 10 μg , g) 15 μg , h) 20 μg , i) 25 μg , j) 30 μg , k) 35 μg , l) 40 μg	141
Figure 4-14: Colour change results of cathinone test after heating for 10 min as outlined in the published method	151
Figure 4-15: Colour change results of the paper-based method included as part of the original study.....	152
Figure 4-16: Colour change results with the simple test method.....	152
Figure 4-17: paper strips after addition of catalyst solutions, a) KCl, b) LiF, c) NaI, d) KI, e) KF, f) NaF, g) NaBr, and h) KBr.....	153
Figure 4-18: Colour change results with paper strips containing catalysts and mixed reagents added to 4-MEC solution. a) KCl, b) LiF, c) NaI, d) KI, e) KF, f) NaF, g) NaBr, and h) KBr	153
Figure 4-19: Colour changes seen in test tubes in the presence of the selected catalysts. 4-MEC (left tube), blank (right tube)	154
Figure 4-20: Colour change results after 24 h with the addition of DCM for colour complex extraction in the organic layer using different catalysts.....	154
Figure 4-21: Results with 4-MEC with addition of a) LiF, b) NaF, c) KCl, d) NaCl, and e) KF after several hours.....	155
Figure 4-22: Colour change results after several hours with the addition of excess catalyst a) KCl blank, b) KCl, 4-MEC, c) NaF blank, d) NaF 4-MEC, e) KF blank, f) KF 4-MEC, g) LiF blank, and h) LiF 4-MEC.....	155
Figure 4-23: Colour change results of papers containing mixed reagent C and KF after 4 weeks storage with blank (a & c), 4-MEC (b & d) compared to papers prepared and tested the same day	156

List of Figures

Figure 4-24: Colour change results of testing with cathinone analogues: a) 4-MMC (100 µg), b) 4-MMC (75 µg), c) MDPV (100 µg), d) methylone (100 µg), e) 4-FMC (100 µg), f) MDPBP (100 µg), g) MPPP (100 µg), h) MPBP (100 µg), i) pyrovalerone (100 µg), j) pyrovalerone (75 µg), k) MDPV (75 µg), and l) 4-FMC (75 µg)	159
Figure 4-25: Colour change results of selectivity study for the cathinone test, each tested at 100 µg : a) 25T-NBOMe, b) methamphetamine, c) d-amphetamine, d) BZP, e) JWH-073, f) ephedrine, g) fentanyl, h) aspirin, i) paracetamol, j) nortriptyline, k) urea, and l) protriptyline	160
Figure 4-26: Colour change results of LOD study with 4-MMC after 15 min (top) and 60 min (bottom): a) reagent blank, b) 5 µg, c) 10 µg, d) 15 µg, e) 20 µg, f) 30 µg, g) 40 µg, h) 50 µg, i) 60 µg, j) 75 µg, k) 100 µg, and l) 150 µg.....	161
Figure 4-27: Resulting colour changes with fentanyl for a) 30 µL mixed reagent and 5 µL buffer on paper; b) 5 µL buffer followed by 25 µL 1,2-N,Q on paper, vial containing 5 µL CuCl ₂ and 50µL drug; c) 25 µL 1,2-N,Q followed by 5 µL buffer, vial containing 5 µL CuCl ₂ and 50 µL drug; d) 5 µL buffer followed by 25 µL 1,2-N,Q on paper, vial containing 50 µL drug.....	167
Figure 4-28: Resulting colour changes for a) fentanyl; b) heroin and c) morphine tests on dried overnight papers containing mixed reagent and buffer	168
Figure 4-29: Results of 2,3-dichloro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-napthoquinone test with fentanyl; a) immediately after UV; b) with CuCl ₂ immediately after UV; c) 10 min after UV; and d) with CuCl ₂ 10 min after UV	170
Figure 4-30: Colour change results of 2,3-dichloro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-napthoquinone, CuCl ₂ and buffer with; a) fentanyl and b) heroin immediately after UV and c) fentanyl and d) heroin 10 min after UV	171
Figure 4-31: Resulting colouration of the test strips after addition of increasing concentrations of quinone reagent in increasing concentration order a) 300, b) 450, c) 600, d) 750, e) 1000, f) 1200, g) 1400 ppm with reagent blanks (top), and 50 µg fentanyl (bottom).....	172
Figure 4-32: Dried 300 ppm mixed reagent and buffer (left) immediately after UV and (right) 10 minutes after UV	173

Figure 4-33: Fentanyl test paper results with varying copper (II) chloride concentrations left to right 10, 5, 1.9, and 0.5 mM.....	174
Figure 4-34: Storage test results after 2 weeks for paper stored in darkness (left) and light (right). A blank and three fentanyl replicates are shown for each condition.....	176
Figure 4-35: Colour change results of dried paper triplicates. Left: blank and right: fentanyl....	177
Figure 4-36: Colour change results of repetitions of 50 µg fentanyl with the 2,3-dichloro-5,8-dihydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone test method: a) immediately after 10 min of UV exposure, b) 10 min after removed from UV and c) 60 min after removed from UV	178
Figure 4-37: Structures of remifentanyl and alfentanil (top) with piperidine ring substitution highlighted in green, and β-Hydroxy fentanyl (bottom) to show structural differences	179
Figure 4-38: Predicted mechanism of reaction for 1,2-naphthoquinone and fentanyl. The hydrogen likely involved in the formation of the product has been highlighted in red	180
Figure 4-39: Colour change results of LOD testing repetitions with fentanyl at: 15 µg (a-c), 20 µg (d-f) and 25 µg (g-i)	185
Figure 4-40: Microfluidic device design, individual channel for use with one reagent method .	187
Figure 4-41: Multiplexed microfluidic device design, for testing with multiple reagents in multiple channels for a more discriminative result and more versatile design.....	188
Figure 5-1: Commonly used oral fluid screening devices: a) Dräger DrugTest 5000 [309], b) Mavand Rapid STAT® [310], c) Securetec DrugWipe® 5 [307].....	195
Figure 5-2: Diagram of chromatographic test setup (left) and a positive test result (right)	198
Figure 5-3: Colour change results of direct oral fluid tests with 6×10^{-3} M TCBQ: a) 25G-NBOMe immediately after addition of reagents, b) 25G-NBOMe 5 min after addition of reagents and solution shaken, c) 30C-NBOMe immediately after addition of reagents, d) 30C-NBOMe 5 min after addition of reagents, e) 25T-NBOMe immediately after addition of reagents, and f) 25T-NBOMe 5 min after addition of reagents	200

List of Figures

Figure 5-4: Results after application of chloroform/ethyl acetate followed by reagents with: a) 25E-NBOMe and b) 25H-NBOMe, both alongside blank oral fluid samples 201

Figure 5-5: Colour change results of 25G-NBOMe spiked oral fluid comparing a) paper added to reagent mixture and b) buffer added to spiked oral fluid sample before addition to paper, paper added to TCBQ and ACD only..... 202

List of tables

Table 2-1: Associated substituents for compounds outlined in Figure 2-1*	52
Table 2-2: Composition of buffer solutions prepared for analysis	58
Table 2-3: Composition of new buffer solutions.....	59
Table 2-4: Results of new buffer solution tests with TCBQ and acetaldehyde.....	65
Table 2-5: Colour change results of tests completed with a range of quinone reagents.....	66
Table 2-6: Colour change results of 2C-H and 25H-NBOMe with changing aldehydes	67
Table 2-7: Results of blotter paper analysis.....	69
Table 2-8: Resulting colour changes of illicit substances tested initially and after 5 minutes	71
Table 2-9: Resulting colour change of common cutting agents, sugars and other amines and amino acids	75
Table 2-10: Resulting colour change and interpretations of blind tests with corresponding compounds.....	79
Table 2-11: Stability of coloured compounds over 1 week.....	81
Table 2-12: Stability study colour change results with 25H-NBOMe.....	82
Table 3-1: Information that can be obtained by each application	92
Table 3-2: Number of tests and data points obtained for this study.....	94
Table 3-3: Normality test outputs of Shapiro-Wilks test and skewness test for the complete data set.....	96
Table 3-4: Pairwise comparison results (p-values) between each application.....	97
Table 3-5: p-values obtained for comparing the use of a light source (on vs off) with both ColorAssist and What a Color?.....	100

List of Tables

Table 3-6: Model 1 prediction results	106
Table 3-7: Model 2 prediction results	107
Table 3-8: Model 3 prediction results	108
Table 3-9: Model 4 prediction results	109
Table 4-1: Overview of tested sequences for addition of reagents and drug onto paper.	118
Table 4-2: Volumes and ratios of reagents and 25H-NBOMe tested on paper	119
Table 4-3: Results from stability of TCBQ on paper study displayed as positive (+) or negative (-)	125
Table 4-4: Colour change results from selectivity testing of the TCBQ reagent test.....	127
Table 4-5: Colour change results for sequence testing (sequences outline in Table 4-1)	129
Table 4-6: Number of p-values <0.05, indicating significant differences between pairs of solutions analysed with e-Beagle	131
Table 4-7: Colour change results of mixed reagent solutions after storage.....	136
Table 4-8: Colour change results of combined reagent paper strips storage and comparison to freshly prepared paper strips.....	138
Table 4-9: Colour change results after storage of paper strips with the re-addition of triton-X-100, up to 70 days after preparation	140
Table 4-10: Selectivity results of the piperazine test on paper	143
Table 4-11: Blind testing results of the piperazine test on paper.....	144
Table 4-12: Cathinone test stability study combinations	148
Table 4-13: Outline of the combinations of separated reagent addition for the cathinone test	149
Table 4-14: Combinations of separated reagents on paper and in solution and their resulting colour changes with 4-MEC.....	157

Table 4-15: Results of UV light sources on the colour change seen with fentanyl and the 1,2-naphthoquinone test method.....	168
Table 4-16: Colour change results of new tested quinone reagents with fentanyl in solutions and on paper	169
Table 4-17: Colour observations before and after addition of fentanyl in various reagent solutions	175
Table 4-18: Selectivity results with both quinone reagents investigated for the fentanyl test ..	182
Table 4-19: Colour changes with all four colour tests for a variety of NPS	189
Table 5-1: Solvents tested with paper chromatographic system	197
Table 5-2: NBOMe analogues, their tested concentrations and the colour change results of direct oral fluid analysis.....	199
Table 5-3: Selectivity results of oral fluid tests using the chromatography method	204
Table 5-4: Limit of detection colour changes with 25H-NBOMe and resulting interpretation ...	205

Abbreviations

μPAD	paper-based microfluidic analytical device
25B-NB4OMe	4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxy- <i>N</i> -[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-benzeneethanamine
25B-NBF	4-bromo- <i>N</i> -[(2-fluorophenyl)methyl]-2,5-dimethoxy-benzeneethanamine
25B-NBOMe	2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25C-NB3OMe	4-chloro-2,5-dimethoxy- <i>N</i> -[(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-benzeneethanamine
25C-NBOMe	2-(4-chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25D-NBOMe	2-(4-methyl-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25E-NBOMe	2-(4-ethyl-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25G-NBOMe	2-(3,4-dimethyl-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25H-NB4OMe	2,5-dimethoxy- <i>N</i> -[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-benzeneethanamine
25H-NBOMe	2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25I-NB3OMe	2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -(3-methoxybenzyl)ethan-1-amine
25I-NBMD	<i>N</i> -(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-ylmethyl)-2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanamine
25I-NBOH	2-(((4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethyl)amino)methyl)phenol
25I-NBOMe	2-(4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25iP-NBOMe	2-(4-isopropyl-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25-NBOMe	2,5-dimethoxy- <i>N</i> -(2-methoxybenzyl) phenethylamine derivatives
25N-NBOMe	2-(4-nitro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25P-NBOMe	2-(4-propyl-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25T2-NBOMe	2-(4-ethylthio-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25T4-NBOMe	2-(4-isopropylthio-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine

25T7-NBOMe	2-(4-propylthio-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
25T-NBOMe	2-(4-methylthio-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]ethanamine
2C-B	2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromophenethylamine
2C-D	4-methyl-2,5-dimethoxy-benzeneethanamine
2C-E	4-ethyl-2,5-dimethoxy-benzeneethanamine
2C-H	2,5-dimethoxy-phenethylamine
2C-I	4-iodo-2,5-dimethoxy-benzeneethanamine
2C-T-2	4-ethylthio-2,5-dimethoxy-benzeneethanamine
2C-X	2,5-dimethoxy-phenethylamine derivatives
30C-NBOMe	2-(4-chloro-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)- <i>N</i> -(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)ethanamine
4-FMC	4-fluoromethcathinone
4-MEC	4-Methyl- <i>N</i> -ethylcathinone
4-MMC	4-methyl- <i>N</i> -methcathinone
5HT_{2A}	5-hydroxytryptamine 2A serotonin receptor
ACD	acetaldehyde
ACN	acetonitrile
AFP	Australian Federal Police
ANOVA	analysis of variance test
ATR	attenuated total reflectance
ATS	amphetamine-type substances
BZP	1-benzylpiperazine
conc.	concentrated
CYMK	cyan, yellow, magenta, black
DAD	diode array detector

Abbreviations

DART	direct analysis in real time
DCM	dichloromethane
DDQ	2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
DEA	Drug Enforcement Administration
DIMS	Drug Information and Monitoring System
DPIC	Dutch Poisons Information Centre
EI	electron ionisation
ELISA	enzyme linked immunosorbent assays
EMCDDA	European Monitoring Centre on Drugs and Drug Addiction
EWA	Early Warning Advisory
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
GC	gas chromatography
h	hours
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HRMS	high resolution mass spectrometry
HSB	hue, saturation, and brightness
HSL	hue, saturation, and lightness
HTML	hexadecimal colour
IR	infrared
JWH-018	1-naphthalenyl(1-pentyl-1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl)-methanone
JWH-073	(1-butylindol-3-yl)-naphthalen-1-ylmethanone
LC	liquid chromatography
LOD	limit of detection
LSD	lysergic acid diethylamide
MANOVA	multivariate analysis of variance test

mCPP	1-(3-chlorophenyl)piperazine
MDMA	3,4-methylenedioxy- <i>N</i> -methylamphetamine
MDPBP	3,4-methylenedioxy- α -pyrrolidinobutiophenone
MDPV	methylenedioxypropylvalerone
MeOH	methanol
Mescaline-NBOMe	3,4,5-trimethoxy- <i>N</i> -[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]-benzeneethanamine
min	minutes
MPBP	4-methyl- α -pyrrolidinobutiophenone
MPPP	4-methyl- α -pyrrolidinopropiophenone
MS	mass spectrometry
NIJ	National Institute of Justice
NIR	near-infrared
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NPF	Non-pharmaceutical fentanyl
NPS	new psychoactive substances
NQS	1,2-naphthoquinone-4-sulphonate
<i>o</i>-TCBQ	3,4,5,6-tetrachloro-1,2-benzoquinone
PIHKAL	'Phenethylamines I Have Known and Loved'
PMA	<i>para</i> -methoxyamphetamine
PMMA	<i>para</i> -methoxymethamphetamine
ppm	parts per million
QQQ-MS	triple quadrupole mass spectrometry
QTOF-MS	quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometry
RGB	red, green, blue
RT	room temperature

Abbreviations

RYB	red, yellow, blue
SCRA	synthetic cannabinoid receptor agonists
SUSMP	Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons
SWGDRUG	Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs
TCBQ	2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-1,4-benzoquinone
TFMPP	1-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)piperazine
TLC	thin layer chromatography
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
UR-144	(1-pentyl-1 <i>H</i> -indol-3-yl)(2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone
USA	United States of America
UV	ultraviolet
WHO	World Health Organisation
Δ⁹-THC	delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol

Abstract

The growing number of new psychoactive substances (NPS) necessitates the need to identify these drugs under any circumstance. Rapid, on-site presumptive tests are integral to identifying unknown substances in case work, healthcare settings, and harm reduction situations. There are currently a wide variety of colour tests available for the identification of traditional illicit drugs (e.g. cocaine, amphetamines), however, specific tests for the detection of NPS are limited. The inability of current presumptive test methods to selectively identify the large variety of NPS indicates the need for the development of such techniques that can provide information about the presence of these drugs in unknown materials. This research has developed a thoroughly validated colour test method utilising 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-1,4-benzoquinone that is capable of selectively identifying NBOMe compounds, with significant potential implications for application in case work settings. This test method was then further investigated to improve the portability and the discrimination of the colour change results. Mobile phone applications were assessed for their ability to utilise the inbuilt camera to record RGB values which may be attributed to a drug, drug class, and even a concentration range. Statistical analysis of the RGB values was completed to further determine the applicability of this method. Promising results indicate that NBOMe analogues can be distinguished from other illicit compounds. The further optimisation of the predictive model would be of benefit for the extension of this study. The translation of the NBOMe test, along with three other colour test methods for the detection of synthetic cathinones (neocuproine-copper reagent), piperazines (1,2-naphthoquinone-5-sulphonate reagent), and fentanyl analogues (naphthoquinone reagents), to paper-based systems was assessed for ease of portability and the potential for development of a multiplexed device. The combination of these tests, and therefore their results combined into a single device, would assist in the identification process of a range of NPS without the need for multiple test kits. A proof-of-concept study was carried out to assess the possible visualisation of NBOMe compounds in oral fluid samples. The addition of colour test reagents to spiked oral fluid samples, or the inclusion of a paper chromatographic method, demonstrated the ability to detect NBOMe compounds. While there is still optimisation required, these methods showed great potential to be used in the presumptive identification of NPS and in particular, NBOMe analogues. This research demonstrates the promise of simple, affordable, and rapid methods to be utilised as on-site testing devices for NPS.

