Nursing care of older patients in hospital: implications for clinical leadership
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ABSTRACT

Objective
This study investigated how nurses managed the care of acutely ill older patients in acute hospital settings.

Design
Constructivist inquiry has been used that included multiple methods of data collection: interviews, observations and documentation of care.

Setting
Participants were recruited from five acute medical and surgical units across two public hospitals.

Participants
Twenty seven registered nurses caring for patients who were aged 65 years and older.

Results
Three themes were identified from the data analysis: being informed about care; limiting care; and rationalising actions. Nurses articulated they understood that quality care was important, but care was limited and interventions were prioritised. The participants blamed health services, lack of clinical leadership, patients, other nurses, and themselves.

Conclusion
These findings have implications for issues of professional agency and clinical leadership. While there is a need for good clinical leadership which is aligned with empowerment to exercise professional agency, speculation about how the dynamics within the units in this study developed and were maintained needs further consideration.
INTRODUCTION

Policies of fiscal responsibility have permeated health care systems in many developed countries, resulting in reduced staff and resources (Sheward et al 2005). This economic philosophy has dominated the way health care services are provided and has led to higher value being placed on the promotion of economy, efficiency, and effectiveness (McCormack 2003) with the aim of reducing length of stay in hospitals (Duckett 2005). These strategies have resulted in a diminished emphasis on quality nursing care (Suhonen et al 2010; McVicar 2003).

 Globally there are steady increases in the age of populations with the greatest increases in those aged 85 years or over (World Health Organisation [WHO] 2006). This has impacted on health resources as people in this age group who are hospitalised, require significantly more medical resources and quality nursing care than younger patients, and will need such care for a longer period of time (Australian Institute of Health & Welfare [AIHW] 2007). Older patients presenting with acute illnesses are more likely to have concomitant diseases and disabilities, and be at a higher risk of further functional decline. Their needs are complex and they require knowledgeable and competent nursing care (Graf 2006; Lumby and Waters 2005). If skilled nursing care is not provided, these older patients are more likely to be discharged with increased health problems and with a greater likelihood of not returning to their own homes (AIHW 2007). Thus, the quality of life for these older patients dramatically alters as they are forced towards often irretrievable physiological and psychological decline (Graf 2006; Hart et al 2002).

Acutely ill older patients in hospital require competent nursing care that is framed by humanistic and scientific knowledge (Parker 2006; Nelson and Gordon 2004). A ‘technical approach’ to care includes treatments or tasks which are generally related to the scientific-medical model of curing and are monitored and managed by nurses (Griffiths and Crookes 2006). By comparison, a ‘humanistic or holistic approach’ to nursing includes care that is related to the affective and functional needs of patients (Cormack et al 2007; Gottlieb and Gottlieb 2007; Taylor and Wros 2007). Nursing care that meets affective and functional needs is mainly initiated and supervised by nurses and is based on the assessment, decisions and implementation of caring actions, which protect helpless and/or immobile patients from complications and harm in hospital. Chang et al (2007) found that evidence based nursing practices framed by models of care that addressed functional needs, enhanced older patients’ satisfaction, and health outcomes. This finding may reflect that with chronicity and frailty there is a higher need for quality nursing care and assistance during hospitalisation.

Nursing decisions made about the amount and type of care required by older patients in hospitals can be constrained by economic rationalist policies. For example, insufficient staffing results in a loss of quality care required by debilitated older patients and can lead to adverse events and even death. Kazanjian et al (2005) in their systematic review of nineteen studies found an association between one or more unfavourable attributes in the health system and higher patient mortality. This evidence indicated that the social and environmental attributes of hospital nursing practice have an effect on outcomes of care for patients. Organisational staffing practices were also found by Meyer et al (2009) to influence patient outcomes. A Belgian study by Diya et al (2010) investigated lower staffing levels and found that they were associated with higher mortality for elective cardiac surgery patients. Reducing levels of staff in hospital units may be a short-term economic solution that has long-term economic consequences. However, findings by Aiken et al (2008), Sales et al (2008) and Rafferty et al (2007) found that increased nurse staffing was found to be associated with better outcomes and decreased mortality risk for patients in hospital. Systematic reviews undertaken by Kane et al (2007) and Lankshear et al (2005) showed an association between increased registered nurse staffing and staff with a richer skill mix and lower levels of hospital related mortality and adverse patient events. Needleman et al (2006) noted...
that hospitals may need to consider not only the ‘business case’ of increased costs for staffing but also the ‘social case’ in investing in additional nurse positions which are necessary for the quality caring of patients in order to reduce deaths, lengths-of-stay, and adverse patient outcomes.

Risks for patients in hospital were increased when nurses’ work was influenced by task assigned actions, however, they were found to decrease when the institutional structures and management provided adequate nurse staffing and support for nurse-assessed quality of care (Aiken et al 2002). Ward managers may introduce task assignment when they do not have appropriate staffing numbers, as it is seen to be efficient. However, this practice can lead to fragmentation of care (Fagerberg and Kihlgren 2001; Shorr 2000) and to dissatisfaction (Begat et al 2005). Neither of these practices fosters the use of critical thinking and professional judgment, necessary in maintaining the quality of care needed by dependent and older patients. Patient satisfaction was found to be higher in hospitals where the work environment was more beneficial to nurses’ providing quality caring practices (Kutney-Lee et al 2009).

Given the current constraints upon hospitals and the increase in admissions of frail and older patients, this study focussed on this highly vulnerable group in the patient population. The aim of this study was to investigate how nurses managed the care of acutely ill older patients in hospital settings. Specifically the study explored: nurses’ knowledge of what comprised competent care; the actual care that was being provided and how nurses perceived such care. This paper will consider the implications of the findings for professional agency and clinical leadership.

METHOD

Constructivist inquiry (Guba and Lincoln 1994) was used to frame this study. The purposive sample consisted of 27 registered nurses who were working in two public hospitals and who had at least two to three years experience working in general hospital units and in caring for patients who were 65 years and older. Approval for the study was obtained from the hospital human research ethics committee. Recruitment began by contacting nursing administration and nurse managers of the hospital units. Meetings were undertaken with nurses on the hospital units under investigation to explain the study and to provide information letters and gain written consent. On the day of data collection, nurse participants were asked to approach the older patient they were caring for and provide an information letter about the study and obtain verbal permission. Following verbal consent, the researcher would then visit the older patient, answer any questions and obtain written consent.

DATA COLLECTION

Data collection consisted of observations, interviews, and documents (Erlandson et al 1993). The researcher mainly utilised an observational role in collecting data of the nurse-patient dyads; participation by the researcher would only occur if the nurse requested assistance with patient care. Observations were conducted close to the patient’s bedside, over a two to three hour period. In addition to the observation of nurse-patient dyads, one to two hours was spent at the ward desk and other treatment areas observing staff interactions and work patterns. A partially constructed format was used to guide documentation of the observational process as the nurses’ interacted with their older patients and with other staff on the ward. Interviews with nurse participants were guided by semi-structured questions and focused on the nursing care of older patients in the hospital context. A review of patients’ records was undertaken if required, for clarification. These records are, according to Lincoln and Guba (1985), contextually rich sources of information because they are written in the workplace language and are legal documents.

In order to be confident that trustworthiness and rigour have been maintained, Lincoln and Guba’s (1985) four criteria of credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability have been met. Techniques used to increase confidence in the credibility of the findings included: prolonged engagement, persistent observation, and
triangulation across the three methods of data collection; and use of peers to check on the inquiry process. Transferability is an aspect of trustworthiness, which in this study was concerned with providing a data base that made ‘transferability judgments’ possible for those wishing to use the findings. Dependability is concerned with the authenticity of the recorded data. This was achieved by the use of an inquiry audit. A nursing colleague experienced in research work performed an audit of the raw data and peer debriefing notes to verify accuracy of the analysis. Confirmability allowed for an audit to be made of the trustworthiness of the study by an examination of the inquiry context.

DATA ANALYSIS

Preliminary data analysis was undertaken following transcription of both the observations and interviews. Issues or incidents were first highlighted, and then these incidents became ‘units of information’ that were used later in the analysis process to decide on the thematic categories (Lincoln and Guba, 1985). Each line and paragraph was read and the occurring issue or idea was underlined and coded with a conceptual action label or theme which was then allocated to a category. Theoretical notes were taken about ‘questions, thoughts, and descriptions’ of what was happening during the analysis (Corbin, 1986). Memos were also recorded about the recurring themes and also the meaning of these themes and their categories. The NVivo software programme (QSR International Pty Ltd., Doncaster, Victoria, Australia) was finally utilised to manage all the data and to continue coding and reworking of the themes and categories.

RESULTS

The data analysis resulted in three major themes that emerged as important in nurses’ experiences and perceptions with regards to how they managed their care for older patients. These themes included: being informed about care, limiting care, and rationalising actions. The participants revealed that they were informed and knowledgeable about the care required for older patients, and understood the importance of providing quality care, but they felt the necessity to limit this care due to perceived time constraints in the busy hospital units and the need to complete technical or medical tasks as a priority. These nurses articulated their frustration and stress because they were not able to provide what they believed to be an expected standard of care in the economically constrained hospital settings. Participants rationalised their actions by blaming a range of situations and people for their decisions to limit care for older patients, such as the hospital and nursing administration, themselves and even the older patients.

Being informed about care

The theme, being informed about care, was reflected in the nurses’ knowledge and understanding about the importance of providing quality caring and the value they placed on their therapeutic relationship with older patients. The nurses emphasised the importance of being informed about aspects of older patients’ histories and in using ongoing critical assessment to detect changes in the patient’s condition:

[You need to know]... if they have a [physical] condition... like, say, if they are a diabetic, and they have a surgical procedure and the wound doesn’t heal.

Looking for ‘those sorts of cues that they [older patients] are going to give...if they are getting restless...if someone has a fall...you need to find out and to be informed...it can be anything...not eating properly or depression...there are just so many reasons...

‘Finding out’ about the older patient’s condition was seen as an essential part of the nurse participants’
practice. They showed this need to be informed in the way they discussed the importance of ‘assessing the functional needs of the older patients before they went into further decline’. They talked about ‘acknowledging and providing reassurance’, through understanding the older patient’s coping ability. Additionally, nurses articulated that it was important to keep their word, return to complete the care that older patients required, and to provide extra care. These strategies showed the professional value the nurses placed on developing a relationship that was patient-focused and therapeutic in nature:

I think that’s important, to have that contact with them [older patients] because they are still a person.

When I give the more basic [meets functional needs] care...then the happier the patient is... just like the caring things that you learn, such as pressure area care, mobility, independence - the things that I think nursing is about.

During observations of nurses’ interactions with older patients, some nurses demonstrated their knowledge and capability in being informed so they could provide care that was more focused on the person:

The nurse asked the patient, ‘have you had a back rub lately...have they turned you around? Do you mind if I look at you?’ The nurse examines the patient’s back and asks, ‘are you sore?’ the patient responds that her back is sore and the nurse replies, ‘I will rub [wash and massage with cream] your back and feet.’

However, it became evident in observations and through a review of some patient documentation that this standard of care was not the usual practice for all of the nurses.

Limiting care

The second theme concerned the way nurses were limiting care by prioritising patients and substituting time needed for care of older patients for social time with other staff. The nurses disclosed they had to choose which patients to provide care for, and to reduce the amount of care for others. Shortage of staff, time limitations, personal preference, and the work environment were raised as major problems that impacted on their ability to complete patient care, particularly for older patients:

I think the challenge in nursing is that you... don’t always have the time... we’re rushed and we just don’t have the funding to staff the beds...

You don’t have the time to adequately assess them.

The nurses articulated that some older patients required more of their time and that this time was needed to complete other technical or routinely assigned tasks. There was a sense of resentment in the comments by some nurses about the additional burden that caring for the needs of older patients placed on them. “It’s hard when you’ve got other patients [as well as the older patients] ... because it is mentally draining, it’s not only physically draining.” The nursing care needed to meet the functional needs of older patients was compromised:

Time impacts on being able to give the care you want to give.

The provision of care for the older patients was often interrupted by medical treatments or other technical tasks that were considered to be more urgent:

I really didn’t interact with him that much [an older patient]. I think I just cared mainly for the patient with the central line and I think that was it.

Participants said they could not always return to complete the care needed by older patients because they had to prioritise their time:

It’s priority nursing - don’t wash some patients if you can’t do everything else, such as the medications and the orders.

Sometimes nurses did not speak to, attend or respond to patients when they were in their rooms. Some nurses stated they felt caring for the older patients to be a ‘chore’ as it was ‘hard physical work’ that they did not enjoy:

I don’t enjoy looking after the aged and the incontinent patients, and I find that frustrating.... It’s a really heavy kind of nursing and you do
lots of lifting and it’s not good for your back and health.

Nurses were aware that staff were avoiding or distancing themselves from the older patients. Inconsistencies were found between what care the nurses knew should be provided and the actual care they implemented. One nurse explained:

It’s important not to ignore them like some [nurses] do.

However this nurse did not come near the patient during the morning observation and when she did it was only for a short time and for a routine task:

No-one interacted with the older patient during the morning observation, except to give him a medication. He sat on his own at his bedside.

During this next observation, the nurse is not attending or relating to the particular functional needs of the older patient. She has woken the man, but did not offer to take him to the toilet and instead gave him his breakfast tray:

This elderly man is confused and has been woken up and sat in the chair for his breakfast. The nurse has left the room. He stops eating... he then stands up looking agitated and indicates that he wants to urinate but does not know where to find the toilet. He is unsteady on his feet and holds onto the window sill.

The patient records for this man showed that two days later he had to be sedated for agitation. The charts noted that he was ‘getting upset’ because he could not find the toilet. He had become increasingly agitated since that time. The staff during the observation had not attended to the cues the man had communicated - he was restless and upset when he wanted to urinate. A few days later it was reported by a nurse that he fell trying to get out of his bed to find the toilet and had cut his head. However, the medical officer had requested regular toileting.

Nursing report. The patient climbed over bed rails to go to the toilet and had removed his IV. Patient was confused at times and agitated and very loud and unable to settle.

Participants admitted that they used time accessible for patient care for social time at the desk. They expressed a need for this distraction to help them cope with their work and they discussed finding some ‘relief from their stressful role’ through social activities with other nurses, doctors and allied health practitioners: “we need some time for ourselves too.” These social activities were confirmed by observation. Nurses were observed to complete the routine or formally required care such as medications, treatments and charting, and then return to the ward desk for this social interlude. In some instances their conversations were noisy, could be heard throughout the ward and could last as long as one hour.

Rationalising actions

The third theme rationalising actions, reflected the process used by the nurses to find justifications for their care-limiting behaviour. In finding reasons for their behaviour, the nurses allocated fault to the health care system, the hospital, nursing administrators, themselves, other nurses and the older patients. They felt that a lack of staff and resources prevented them from providing quality care:

I can go to a hospital administration meeting ... and go back six months [later] and they’re talking about the same thing. Nothing happens. It just drives me nuts. They [administrators] are worried about the budgets... overtime and all that sort of thing, and, you know... they [nurses] are not really doing the care... what they really want to do for a patient.

Some of the nurses reported that they were perturbed by the perceived lack of interest of hospital and nursing administrators in the standard of nursing care in favour of improved patient throughput:

There’s too much attention on the supervising administration role in the hospital [not on the quality of patient care].

Well, the biggest problem is our lack of staff. That’s just not allowing us to give the standard of care.

Fault was allocated not only to administrators but also to the Nursing Unit Managers (NUMs). Many nurses
believed they lacked support at the ward level for implementing an expected standard of care:

The NUM role used to be about the working, coordinating, delegating and managing. Whereas... the NUM is now no longer available on the floor [and] is not attuned to the needs... so there’s been a real compromise in the quality of care that’s given.

Rationalising their actions was demonstrated through finding fault with not only themselves but also other nurses. Nursing colleagues were portrayed as being part of the problem on the units in relation to the lack of acceptable care for the older patients:

I think, as nurses, there is an awful lot we can do... you do [need to] use... real nursing skills when you care for older people. I didn’t feel that I was using those skills in acute care wards [units]... because we were so busy, and we were so restricted to dealing with what was happening there and then.

It was evident from many of the observations conducted in this study that there was a range of care provided for older patients; some nurses were interested in the older people, while others were inattentive and demonstrated a lack of response, disrespect and even abuse. They admitted they were informed about the care required by vulnerable older patients and knew they were not practising competently, and they also understood that this lack of care could result in complications for the older patients; however, they felt personally unable to prevent these practices on the units:

I just thought, God, we are just so bad. In the way we care for older people... I think that it is about giving good patient care because it is not just going in their rooms and emptying a catheter bag or taking someone to the toilet, but they [nurses on the ward] are just leaving them in there.

Some nurse participants also blamed older patients for impeding their work:

They [older patients] just totally throw out everybody’s time-management.

And not notifying them if they were having any health problems:

But you’ve got to have the time to ask them if their heels are sore, most of the time they won’t tell you. They just see you running backwards and forwards. Yes, how often do they tell you? I had someone last night that had chest pain. And I said, “Why didn’t you tell me?” and he said, “I didn’t want to tell you.”

Observed interactions confirmed that even when older patients attempted to let them know about the problems they were experiencing, using either verbal or nonverbal communication, which included complaints of ‘chest pain, burningsacral area, hunger or the need to use the toilet’, some of the nurses chose to use avoidance tactics to these overtures. Additionally, it was also demonstrated that when actual or potential problems had been reported in patients’ records, and when care regimes were recommended in the care plans, this care was not always given during the shift.

In this study, nurses in hospital units caring for older patients, described an environment that valued rapid throughput and specific interventions while a holistic approach to care could be relegated to a lesser priority. Nurses were accountable for specific tasks but not for care that addressed the functional needs of older patients. Lack of satisfaction with this environment was evident in the abdication of professional responsibility and agency. Satisfaction was sought in social interaction as a distraction from what the nurses saw as under-valued work. A culture of rationalising their actions through blaming was evident, with nurses finding fault with every level of the system, from those who fund health care and administer it, to the patients themselves.

**CONCLUSION**

Clearly there were dynamics occurring in the way nursing care was conducted on the acute care hospital units in the study. There was clear evidence of a deficiency in professional agency as nurses failed to exercise responsibility in their clinical decisions and in the maintenance of a standard of nursing care.
There was also clear evidence of a lack of clinical leadership in the accounts of the nurse participants regarding their nursing practices, support by nursing unit managers, as well as in the observational data about their actions. While nurses rationalised their actions by blaming economic constraints and inadequate time management on poor patient care, it was clear that time constraints did not apply to time taken on the units for social interaction.

Two of the important issues that arise from this study are professional agency and clinical leadership. Professional agency was raised by participants concerned about the standard of care. Participants knew about the standard of care they should be providing but acknowledged that care was not of the standard expected (Australian Nursing and Midwifery Council [ANMC] 2006). However, they felt helpless to make changes in their practices and so displayed the helplessness of a disempowered group. When they did acknowledge their lack of nursing care for older patients, they quickly moved on to blame everyone in the system.

Giddens (1984 p.3) in his Structuration Theory, asserts that human beings are knowledgeable and purposive actors and that agency is not about intention to act but about the capability to actually ‘perform the action’. He states that “to be a human being is to be a purposive agent, who both has reasons for his or her activities and is able, if asked, to elaborate discursively upon those reasons (including lying about them).” This implies that for the nurses to be professional agents they must not only know about safe and competent care, but must also show this standard of care in their actions. As professional agents, nurses in this study therefore had power as individuals, or the means of intentionally choosing to ‘get things done’. They could choose to act competently or choose not to act. Through their reflective ability, they could have brought about some change, even at a ward level, by their own individual agency or by challenging other nurses. From their interviews, it appeared that the way nurses were practising on the units was seen by the collective of nurses to be the ‘ordinary way of behaving’ so there was little reflection on their actions and little personal or collective responsibility demonstrated.

Clinical leadership was explicitly mentioned by the nurse participants, as missing on the hospital units. Clinical leadership has strong connections to the issue of professional agency. Participants saw NUMs as invisible and ineffective, not aware of the problems “on the floor”. This finding resonates with those of Rouse (2009) who studied absentee and incompetent nurse leadership in intensive care units. Participants in Rouse’s study indicated that in units where satisfaction with leadership was low, productivity and morale also tended to be low. The best predictor of productivity was supervisor communication and the best predictor of morale was leader mentoring.

The qualities of effective leadership in education was examined by Day et al (2001) who determined that the qualities of effective leadership reflected high standards of respect and interest in the development and well-being of staff and students. These leaders communicated clearly and were enthusiastic and committed. Most importantly they identified their role as working with people rather than an administrative role. Similarities were drawn by Joyce (2009) between the qualities of effective leadership identified by Day and those required by nurse leaders whose focus is the well-being of nurses and patients.

Clinical leadership has been identified as a concerning issue internationally. In Australia major inquiries into nursing, inspired by nursing shortages, have identified leadership as an issue that requires addressing (Davidson et al 2006). Significant findings from the Garling Report (2008 p.261) into acute care services in NSW public hospitals found that “more than 60% of the activities performed by nurse unit managers involve transactional, managerial and administrative tasks.” This result indicates that such administrative activities remove NUMs from a “connectedness to patient care” and their clinical leadership role in managing quality caring practices within units. The Welsh Assembly Government also recognised the gap in clinical nursing leadership at the hospital unit level and the need to re-empower...
nursing unit managers (Charge Nurses/Ward Sisters), to re-establish their “presence” and allow them to lead nursing teams in delivering excellent nursing care (Kennedy 2008). Similarly, Belgium (Dierckx et al 2008) and the Republic of Ireland (Lunn 2008) have recognised the need for clinical leadership development strategies that improve patient care.

A case study, undertaken in Belgium, of the effect of leadership development on the individual nurse leaders, the team and patient care was undertaken by Dierckx et al (2008). Of particular note was the increased responsibility that was delegated to the nursing team in relation to solving clinical problems and resolving conflicts with other team members.

Nurses stated that by being given more responsibility they were empowered to apply knowledge and experience to clinical decisions, identify more opportunities for professional development, and become more creative in problem solving (p. 759). Moreover, they found that there were clear cut boundaries and a more structured working environment (p. 760). The study by Dierckx et al would appear to have relevance to the findings of this study where professional agency and clinical leadership were lacking. Findings by Armstrong et al (2009) also suggest that access to empowerment structures and a supportive hospital environment that acknowledges the importance of quality caring practices significantly influences patient safety.

While discussion can address the need for good clinical leadership and this is clearly linked with empowerment to exercise professional agency, speculation about how the dynamics within the units in this study developed and were maintained needs further consideration. In the absence of clinical leadership from nursing unit managers, other types of leadership will develop as this present study showed, in that there appeared to be strong reinforcement for specific behaviours, including socialising around the ward desk for extended periods of time with no sanction for such behaviour. There also was a lack of consequence to individuals on the units for the poor standards of nursing care practices that were being provided. Group dynamics are powerful and social behaviour was sanctioned by the group, and involved not only nurses but other disciplines. However enjoyable this social interaction seemed, it clearly did not fulfil the need of the nurses for work satisfaction, nor the need of the patients for nursing care.

In such an environment, as the nursing practices in this study revealed, the most vulnerable people are patients whose greatest need is for nursing care. Davidson et al (2006 p.184) note that “Patients are admitted to acute care hospitals primarily for collaborative or independent nursing care” and this is no truer than for elderly patients. Leadership and professional agency is required to ensure that these interventions, reflecting good nursing care, are not marginalised and seen as disruptions to time management.

Health care organisations will continue to need to be able to demonstrate economy, efficiency and effectiveness. The effectiveness of organisations has been linked to effective leadership (Joyce 2009 p. 501). Joyce notes that “the increasing emphasis on fiscal accountability in global recessionary times places even greater emphasis on measuring organisational effectiveness.” However, nurse leaders need also to ensure that measures of organisational effectiveness recognise outcomes that are congruent with the patient population they serve. Exercise of clinical leadership includes demonstration of the effectiveness of competent nursing care in reducing adverse outcomes and complications that impact in the long term on the cost of health care. Clinical nurse leaders need to link their knowledge of the requirements of patients, including nursing care, and to demonstrate the influence that nursing care has upon patient outcomes and organisational efficiencies. In addition, clinical nurse leaders need to maximise the use of critical thinking and professional judgement in their staff in the care of patients, and especially in the care of vulnerable older patients.

The strengths of this study lie in the extensive and in-depth data that were collected over considerable hours. Multiple methods of data collection allowed
for confirmation of findings and explanations from participants themselves. Limitations must be acknowledged in the number of participants, and participating organisations. While this limits generalisability of findings, the depth and detail of data allow for recognition of problems in other organisations.

REFERENCES


Kennedy, R. 2008. ‘How do we get the managers we need and the leaders we want?’ A personal view. Journal of Nursing Management, 16:942-945.


