

The Effect of Organizational, Individual, and Technology Factors on Saudi Arabian Higher Education Creative Environment

by Mamdouh Salem A Qahl

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

under the supervision of Dr. Osama Sohaib And Professor. Igor Hawryszkiewycz

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

October 2022

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Mamdouh Salem A Qahl declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements

for the award of Doctor of Philosophy PhD, in the School of Computer Science/Faculty of

Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I

certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document

has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 31 / 10 / 2022

:: 11

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Allah (God) for providing me with the inspiration, ability, and patience to carry out this research and finish my thesis.

This journey could not have been completed without the great guidance, motivation, kindness, and wisdom of my supervisor, Dr. Osama Sohaib, who has supported and encouraged me from the first time I met him and during different stages of my PhD journey. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor Professor. Igor Hawryszkiewycz, who provided me tremendous guidance, with infinite motivation, support, and advice during every stage in my candidature. I would like to express my gratitude to all members of the School of Information, Systems & Modelling\ School of Computer Science in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney UTS for their academic and administrative support during my study period. I'm particularly grateful to UTS's Graduate Research School and Library for their great help and practical advice, as well as all the research development and training programmes they provide to enhance our research capabilities.

Moreover, it would be an injustice not to acknowledge the departments and authorities that assisted me in my research data collection from Saudi Arabian higher education institutions. Accordingly, I warmly acknowledge the cooperation received from the scholarship department at Jazan University, University Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research at Jazan University, King Khalid University and King Abdulaziz University. Deanship of Information Technology at Jazan University, King Khalid University, and King Abdulaziz University.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my parents, my Father Salem Qahl (may Allah be merciful to him) and my Mother Fatimah who have always supported me and prayed to do the best in my life. Also, I would like to acknowledge my appreciation to all my family members, friends and colleagues. Also, I acknowledge and thank my brothers (Hassan and Muhammed); and deep gratitude to my sisters Hanadi, Hadeel, Haifa, and Mashael; all of you have been supporting and

encouraging me throughout my life. I am unquestionably deeply grateful to my lovely wife, Amani Yahya, who has been always beside me providing me and consistently encouraging me.

Last but not least, I want to express my gratitude to the Saudi Arabian government for allowing me to complete my studies and pursue my PhD degree at the University of Technology Sydney. Sincere thanks also to the Cultural Mission of the Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia SACM in Canberra, Australia, for providing all of the assistance I required to finish my studies.

Thank You

Mamdouh Salem A Qahl

Dedication

To the soul of my Father Salem Qahl (may Allah be merciful to him) who dedicated all his effort for my best. To my beloved Mother Fatimah who is always there for me and without her unconditional love and care I would never have made it.

To my wife Amani Yahya for her extensive support. I hereby acknowledge her patience and all-out cooperation throughout my doctoral journey.

To my sisters Hanadi, Hadeel, Haifa, and Mashael and brothers Hassan and Muhammed who gave me the strength to look forward and believed in me. To my aunts and uncles who support me and pray for me, and to my colleagues who supported me.

List of Publications

Following is an up-to-date list of the published and under review research publications.

Papers presented at conferences and published in journals with high impact factors:

- Qahl, M., Sohaib, O., Hawryszkiewycz, I. (2023), 'Unlocking the key Determinants of Successful Implementation of Creative Ideas in Higher Education Institutions', Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice (JITE:IIP). [Under Review].
- Qahl, M., Hawryszkiewycz, I., Binsawad, M. and Rehman, J. (2019) 'Factors Affecting the Saudi Arabian Higher Education Creative Environment', Australasian Conference on Information System (ACIS) 2019, Perth Australia.

Abstract

Creativity and innovation are essential pillars for higher education institutions (HEIs). The two terms are interconnected, where creativity is referring to finding better ideas to do the work, while innovation is referring to how to do and implement these creative ideas. Choosing the optimal creative process and the organizational support needed to develop it is an important goal of achieving a creative and inventive environment. Universities are knowledge-based institutions that are at the forefront of research and scientific innovations. For the success of the creative environment to ensure the improvement of higher education institutions, information technology as social networking sites plays a crucial part in the creative process within universities. The Saudi government is trying to improve its higher education system by encouraging creative environments to support socio-economic development and achieve a transformation from an oil-based to a knowledge-based economy. However, assessing the creativity and innovation of higher education institutions in Saudi Arabia has not been well recognized. This research explores the influence of organizational-level and individual-level of creativity and innovation, and the technology acceptance model toward higher education creative environment that consist of (research creativity and teaching creativity). The study's conceptual model was developed using current theories and a thorough review of the literature and it integrates the key conceptions from the organizationallevel and individual-level of creativity and innovation from the componential theory of creativity and innovation in organizations and the technology acceptance model. This study used mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative). The first stage of the research study was the quantitative method that used a survey instrument to collect data from the study sample on the influencing factors used in the research framework. The data of the respondents was analyzed by applying a disjoint two-stage approach with PLS-SEM path modelling. The second stage of the research methodology is a method of qualitative research carried out using a case study approach. The qualitative research method was employed to validate the quantitative findings and provide more insight into the factors' relationships. This study provides 14 hypotheses from which H2, H4, H6, H7 and H9 were not supported. The findings indicated that, for the organizational factors, Organizational Encouragement, Freedom, and Challenging Work indicate a positive relationship with the higher education creative environment. However, realistic work pressure, lack of organizational impediments, managerial encouragement, and work group support are not

important to influence the higher education creative environment in the Saudi context. In addition to this, individual factors (skills in creative thinking and expertise) positively impact the higher education creative environment. However, the impact of intrinsic motivation is insignificant in the higher education creative environment. Finally, technology factors such as social networking sites' adoption intention, social networking sites' perceived usefulness, and social networking sites' perceived ease of use have the potential to determine the higher education creative environment. The qualitative data provided mixed findings that mostly match the quantitative findings. However, some of these findings do not match the quantitative findings, but they do support the hypotheses proposed in the research. Finally, the study comes to a close with a summary of current research and practices. This study adds to the theoretical and scientific literature by providing a model of creativity and innovation in Saudi Arabian higher education institutions. The model suggests an optimal combination of organizational, individual, and technology factors that contribute to the development of the Higher Education Creative Environment in Saudi HEIs via creativity in teaching and research as well as an innovative culture. This study has clear practical implications, as it encourages the top leadership in Saudi higher education institutions to reevaluate the way they view creativity and innovation within their institutions, with the ultimate goal of fostering an environment where both research and teaching are infused with a thriving culture of creativity.

Keywords:

organizational innovation, individual creativity, social networking sites, technology acceptance, Saudi Arabian higher educational institutions.

Key Terms and Their Definitions

Term	Acronym	Definition
Resources	KSS	"everything that the organization has available to aid work in a
		domain targeted for innovation".
Realistic Work	RWP	"the Absence of extreme time pressure, unrealistic expectations
Pressure		for productivity, and distractions from creative work".
Organizational	OE	"An organizational culture that encourages creativity through the
Encouragement		fair, constructive judgment of ideas; reward and recognition for
		creative work; mechanisms for developing new ideas; an active
		flow of ideas; and a shared vision".
Lack of	LOI	"An organizational culture that does not impede creativity
organizational		through internal political problems, harsh criticism of new ideas,
impediments		destructive internal competition, an avoidance of risk, and an
		overemphasis on the status quo".
Freedom	FRDM	"Deciding what work to do or how to do it; a sense of control over
		one's work".
Managerial	ME	"A boss" or supervisor "who serves as a good work model, sets
encouragement		goals appropriately, supports the work group, values individual
		contributions, and shows confidence in the work group".
Work Group Support	WGS	"A diversely skilled work group in which people communicate
		well, are open to new ideas, constructively challenge each other's
		work, trust and help each other, and feel committed to the work
		they are doing".
Challenging Work	CW	"A sense of having to work hard on challenging tasks and
		important projects".
Intrinsic Motivation	IMOT	"It refers to the passion and desire of someone to work on a
		specific task that is interesting, challenging and exciting for him".
Skills in Creative	SCT	"an individual's cognitive ability that drives innovative and
Thinking		creative thinking".
Expertise	EXP	"Expertise can be considered as skills of performing a specific
		task in the most effective and creative manner".

Social Networking	SNSAI	"refers to the possibility of users continuing to use different social
Sites' Adoption		media tools and recommending them to colleagues".
Intention		
Social Networking	SNSPU	"refers to Users' subjective cognition that social media tools are
Sites' Perceived		more effective and beneficial in enhancing communication,
Usefulness		creative work, study, and other tasks".
Social Networking	SNSPEU	"refers to Users' subjective cognition that social media tools can
Sites' Perceived Ease		help them satisfy their needs".
of Use		
Research Creativity	RC	The ability of academic staff to produce new and useful research
		ideas or solutions that Advancing science in the area of their
		interest.
Teaching Creativity	TC	The ability of academic staff to develop new and potentially
		valuable teaching ideas, services, practices or procedures that are
		of direct or indirect benefit to their higher education institutions.
Higher Education	HECE	A creative faculty, center, department or unit, where a great deal
Creative		of research and teaching creativity is called for and where people
Environment		believe that they actually produce creative work in their research
		and teaching.
Exploratory Factor	EFA	"Factor analysis technique providing an appropriate number for
Analysis		each factor of the constructs of the proposed model".
Confirmatory Factor	CFA	"Factor analysis type confirming identified structures of the
Analysis		factor as well as further strengthening the validation of every
		construct and its factors in social research".
Research and	R&D	"Business activities investigated by an organization in order to
Development		develop innovations or procedures".
Structural Equation	SEM	"A 2nd generation multi-variate statistical analyses technique, the
Modelling		SEM is used for effectively measuring and testing the reliability
		of linkage between structural model variables".
VIF	ı	Variance Inflation Factor
SPSS		"Statistical Package for Social Sciences".
UTS		University of Technology, Sydney
APA		American Psychological Association

Composite Reliability	CR	It indicates the consistency of the items measuring the latent
		construct.
KEYS		Climate For Creativity
UK		United Kingdom
US		United States

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Certificate of Original Authorship	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Dedication	V
List of Publications	vi
Abstract	vii
Key Terms and Their Definitions	ix
Table of Contents	xii
List of Figures	xviii
List of Tables	xix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background and Research Problem	1
1.2 Aims & Objectives	8
1.3 Research Questions	8
1.4 Research Significance	9
1.5 Research Scope and Limitations	10
1.6 Summary of Research Action Plan	11
1.6.1 Research Background and Research Problem	11
1.6.2 Development of Theoretical Research Framework	11
1.6.3 Research Methodology	11
1.6.4 Data Analyses	12
1.6.5 Validation of the Data	12
1.6.6 Discussion and Recommendations	12
1.7 Thesis Layout	14
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 National Transformation Program-2020	17
2.2 Saudi Arabia's Vision-2030	18
2.2.1 Saudi Arabia Vision-2030 and Knowledge Based Economy	19
2.2.2 Saudi Vision 2030 and Higher Education Sector	20
2.2.3 Saudi Vision 2030 and Research & Innovation	23
2.3 Historical Background of Creativity	23
2.4 Main Directions in the Creativity Literature	25

2.4.1 The Identification Approach	25
2.4.2 The organizational factors	26
2.4.3 Creativity training	27
2.5 Historical Background of Innovation	28
2.6 Innovation in the Workplace	28
2.7 Creativity in the Workplace	34
2.8 Creativity and Innovation in Saudi Arabians Workplaces	36
2.9 Creativity Vs Innovation	42
2.9.1 Distinctive characteristics of Creativity & Innovation	42
2.9.2 Association Between Creativity and Innovation	44
2.10 Creativity in Public-Sector Organizations	45
2.11 Creativity and Innovation in Higher-Education Institutions	47
2.11.1 Creativity in Higher Education Institutions	47
2.11.2 Innovation in Higher Education Institutions	48
2.11.3 Creativity & Innovation in Saudi Arabian Higher Education Institutions	49
2.12 Important Theories of Creativity	52
2.12.1 Componential Theory of Creativity and Innovation in Organizations	52
2.12.2 The Interactionist Theory	54
2.12.3 Model for Integration of Creativity and Innovation	55
2.12.4 Organizational Culture (OC) Model for Promoting Creativity & Innovation	55
2.12.5 Descriptive Model of Creativity & Innovation in the Organizations	56
2.13 Organizational Environment for Creativity and Innovation	57
2.14 Instruments Used to Measure Creativity of Workplace Environment	58
2.14.1 Creative Climate Questionnaire	59
2.14.2 Situational Outlook Questionnaire	59
2.14.3 Measuring the Climate for Creativity	61
2.14.4 Siegel's Scale of Support for Innovation	62
2.15 Assessing the Instruments Used for Measuring Organizational Climate for Creativity in	
Workplace	
2.16 Factors Influencing Employee Creativity in the Workplace	
2.17 Investigating Employee Creativity Based on Work Fields and Countries	
2.17.1 Employee Creativity Based on Work Fields	
2.17.2 Evaluating Employee Creativity Based on Countries	
2.18 Influence of Technology on Employee Creativity & Innovation in the Workplace	
2.18.1 Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) in Higher Education Institutions	68

2.18.2 Web 2.0 and its Tools	70
2.18.3 Theory/Model for Predicting IT Adoption	70
2.18.3.1 An Overview of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	70
2.18.4 Background of Social Networking Sites (SNSs)	72
2.18.4.1 Social Networking Sites (SNSs) in Higher Education	74
2.18.5 TAM and Social Networking Sites (SNSs)	79
2.18.6 TAM, Social Networking Sites, and Creativity and Innovation	81
2.18.6.1 Information Technology (IT) & Creativity	81
2.18.6.2 Social Networking Sites and Creativity & Innovation	83
2.18.6.3 TAM and creativity	86
2.19 Summary of Knowledge Gaps	86
2.20 Chapter Summary	88
CHAPTER 3: THEORETICAL RESEARCH MODEL	89
3.1 Theoretical Background	89
3.2 Definition of Creativity	90
3.3 Definition of Innovation	94
3.4 Componential Theory of Organizational Creativity & Innovation	96
3.4.1 Individual Creativity	97
3.4.2 Organizational Creativity & Innovation	101
3.5 Justification of using Componential Theory of Creativity & Innovation in the Organ 110	nizations
3.5.1 Justification of Amabile's Componential Theory Relevance in Saudi Context	111
3.6 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	111
3.7 Justification for using TAM	113
3.7.1 Relevance of TAM in the Context of Saudi Arabian HEIs	115
3.8 Higher Education Creative Environment (HECE)	115
3.8.1 Organizational Environment Concerning Creativity & Innovation	115
Research Creativity & Teaching Creativity	117
3.9 Theoretical Research Model	120
3.9.1. Theoretical Model Relevance in Saudi HEIs Context	121
3.10 Hypotheses Development	125
3.10.1 Organizational Dimensions	125
3.10.2 Individual Dimensions	133
3.10.3 Technological Dimensions	137
3 11 Chanter Summary	140

CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	141
4.1 Research Paradigm	141
4.2 Research design	142
4.3 Justification for Using Sequential Exploratory Mixed-Method Research Design	145
4.4 Phase 1: Quantitative Data Analysis	147
4.4.1 Research Instrumentation/Measures	147
4.4.2 Measurement	147
4.4.3 Instrument Translation	151
Forward Translation	151
Subjective Evaluation	151
4.4.4 Sample and Sample Size	151
4.4.5 Data Collection	152
4.5 Quantitative Data Analysis Approach	152
4.5.1 Descriptive Data Analysis	153
4.5.2 Measurement Scale Analysis	153
4.5.3 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	154
4.6 Phase 2: Qualitative Data Analysis	155
4.6.1 Case Study Design	156
4.6.2 Data Collection	156
4.6.3 Qualitative Data Analysis Approach	157
4.6.4 Qualitative data analysis software	158
Translation	158
Transcription	158
4.7 Ethics Consideration.	158
4.8 Chapter Summary	159
CHAPTER 5: DESCRIPTIVE DATA ANALYSES	160
5.1 Survey Method	160
5.2 Participant Profiles	161
5.3 Verifying Survey Data	164
5.3.1 Normality Assessment and Missing Data Analysis	165
5.3.2 Outliers Screening	165
5.3.3 Standard Deviations and Standard Error of the Mean	166
5.4 Preliminary Data Analysis	167
5.4.1 Organizational Factors	167
5.4.2 Individual Factors	169

5.4.3 Technology Factors	171
5.4.4 Higher Education Creative Environment	172
5.4.4.1 Research Creativity	172
5.4.4.2 Teaching Creativity	173
5.5 Reliability Assessment	174
5.6 Chapter Summary	183
CHAPTER 6: MEASUREMENT SCALE ANALYSES	184
6.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	184
6.1.1 Data Factorability	185
6.2 Model Evaluation	186
6.2.1 Reflective and Formative Constructs in the Research Model	187
6.3 Measurement Model Analysis	188
6.3.1 Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)	189
6.3.1.1 Factor Loadings	189
6.3.1.2 Indicator Multicollinearity	191
6.3.1.3 Reliability Analysis	193
6.3.1.4 Model fit	195
6.3.1.5 Construct Validity	195
6.3.1.5.1 Convergent Validity	195
6.3.1.5.2 Discriminant Validity	197
6.3.1.5.2.1 Fornell and Larcker Criterion.	197
6.3.1.5.2.2 Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)	199
6.3.1.5.2.3 Cross Loadings	201
6.3.1.6 Validating Higher Order Construct	205
6.3.1.7 Goodness of Fit	205
6.4 Structural Model Testing	207
6.4.1 Hypotheses Testing	208
6.5 Chapter Summary	213
CHAPTER 7: QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS	215
7.1 An Overview of Higher Education Institution (Case A)	215
7.2 About Higher Education Institution (Case B)	217
7.3 About Higher Education Institution (Case C)	219
7.4 Findings	221
7.4.1 Organizational Factors	221
7.4.2 Individual Factors	233

7.4.3 Technology Factors	237
7.5 Chapter Summary	242
CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	244
8.1 Revisiting Research Question(s) and Hypotheses	244
8.2 Saudi Vision 2030 and Creativity & Innovation Initiatives in Saudi HEIs	245
8.3 Discussions on Research Findings	248
8.3.1 Findings on Organizational Factors	249
8.3.2 Findings on Individual Factors	255
8.3.3 Technology Factors	257
8.4 Implications and Contributions	259
8.4.1 Theoretical Implications	259
8.4.2 Practical Implications	260
8.5 Limitations & Future Research Agenda	261
8.6 Conclusion	262
8.7 Chapter Summary	263
References	264
APPENDIX A: The English survey version	299
APPENDIX B: The Arabic survey version	310
APPENDIX C: The English Interview Questions version	320
APPENDIX D: The Arabic Interview Questions version	325
APPENDIX E: Consent forms	330
APPENDIX F: Information Sheets	332
APPENDIX G: Translation Certificate	336
APPENDIX H. UTS Human Ethics Approval	337

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Research Action Plan	13
Figure 1.2: Thesis Structure	16
Figure 3.1: Three Components of Individual Creativity (Amabile 1997)	98
Figure 3.2: Amabile's Componential Theory of Creativity & Innovation Amabile (1997)	103
Figure 3.3: Elements Affecting Innovation & Creativity and Their Interaction (Amabile & Pratt,	2016)105
Figure 3.4: Proposed Research Model	122
Figure 4.1: Research Design	144
Figure 6.1: The Research Model	188
Figure 6.2: Structural Model with t-statistics.	212

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Adapted from (National Transformation Program 2020).	22
Table 2.2: Adapted from (Iqbal 2011. Pp 378)	37
Table 2.3: Adapted from (Iqbal 2011. Pp 378)	38
Table 2.4: Adopted from (Iqbal 2011. Pp 382)	38
Table 2.5: Adopted from (Iqbal 2011. Pp 382)	39
Table 2.6: Global Innovation Index Report - 2018	40
Table 2.7: Examples of Current Inconsistencies in the Study of Creativity & Innovation	43
Table 3.1. Definitions of Creativity	90
Table 3.2 Definitions of Innovation	94
Table 3.3: The Componential Theory of Creativity (Amabile et al. (1996, p. 384)	98
Table 3.4: Summary of Individual Creativity Literature	99
Table 3. 5: Summary of Organizational Creativity & Innovation Literature Evaluated	106
Table 3.6: Brief Explanation of Model Factors & Constructs	123
Table 4.1: Modified version of the items for research creativity and teaching creativity	150
Table 5.1: Gender	161
Table 5.2: Participants' Age	161
Table 5.3: Participants' Education	162
Table 5.4: Participants' Work Experience	162
Table 5.5: Participants' Job Level	163
Table 5.6: Participants' Faculties	163
Table 5.7: Participants' Nationalities	164
Table 5.8: Participants' Institutional Affiliation	164
Table 5. 9: Descriptive Statistics of Organizational Factors	167
Table 5. 10: Descriptive Statistics of Individual Factors	170
Table 5. 11: Descriptive Statistics of Technology Factors	171
Table 5. 12: Research Creativity Descriptive Statistics	172
Table 5. 13: Teaching Creativity Descriptive Statistics	173
Table 5.14: Cronbach's Alphas of Measurement Scales	175
Table 5.15: Reliability Assessment of Organizational Factors	175
Table 5.16: Reliability Assessment of Individual Factors	178
Table 5.17: Reliability Assessment of Technology Factors	179
Table 5 18: Higher Education Creative Environment: Research Creativity Reliability Assessment	180

Table 5.19: Higher Education Creative Environment: Teaching Creativity Reliability Assessment	181
Table 5.20: Higher Education Creative Environment (Research Creativity & Teaching Creativity) Reliability Assessment	181
Table 6.1: KMO and Bartlett's test of sphericity	185
Table 6.2 Factor Loading of the Constructs	190
Table 6.3 Multicollinearity Statistics (VIF) for indicators	192
Table 6.4 Construct Reliability Analysis	194
Table 6.5 Model fit	195
Table 6.6 Construct Convergent Validity (AVE)	196
Table 6.7 Discriminant Validity – Fornell & Larcker Criterion	198
Table 6.8 Discriminant Validity – HTMT Method	200
Table 6.9 Discriminant Validity - Cross Loadings	202
Table 6.10 Higher Order Construct Validity	205
Table 6.11 Effect Size for Independent Variables	206
Table 6.12: Confidence Intervals Bias Corrected	207
Table 6.13 Summary of Hypotheses Testing Results	213
Table 7.1: Information about Interviewees at the Higher Education Institution (Case A)	216
Table 7.2: Information about Interviewees at the Higher Education Institution (Case B)	218
Table 7.3: Information about Interviewees at the Higher Education Institution (Case C)	220
Table 7.4: Summaries of the Case "Higher Education Institutions' Creative Environment in Relation Organizational Factors"	
Table 7.5: Summaries of the Case "Higher Education Institutions' Creative Environment in Relation Individual Factors"	
Table 7.6: Summaries of Case "Higher Education Institutions' Creative Environment in Relation to Technology Factors"	240
Table 8.1 Linking Research Model Factors, Research Questions and Underlying Hypotheses	246
Table 8.2 Summary of Findings on Organizational Factors	249
Table 8.3 Summary of Findings on Individual Factors	255
Table 8.4 Summary of Findings on Technology Factors	257