

*Traditional Ecological Knowledge in the
Governance of the Colombian Amazon in a
Post-Peace Accord Scenario*

A Social Ecological Study with Cacua People

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Sustainable Futures

by

Paloma Vejarano Alvarez

to

Institute for Sustainable Futures
University of Technology Sydney

NSW - 2007, Australia

May 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Paloma Vejarano Alvarez declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Institute for Sustainable Futures at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This thesis includes Indigenous Cultural and Intellectual Property (ICIP) belonging to the Cacua people, communities, custodians, or traditional owners. Where I have used ICIP, I have followed the relevant protocols and consulted with appropriate Indigenous people/communities about its inclusion in my thesis. ICIP rights are Indigenous heritage and will always remain with these groups. To use, adapt or reference the ICIP contained in this work, you will need to consult with the relevant Indigenous groups and follow cultural protocols.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

SIGNATURE OF STUDENT: Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: May 23/2022

Place: Sydney, Australia

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is the result of a long academic and emotional journey that could have not come to an end without the invaluable support of many people, and to all of them I thank.

Special thanks to the participants that made this research possible, particularly to the Cacia people for letting me in their magnificent world and way of life.

To my supervisors, immense gratitude for their patience, influence to think beyond, and their confidence, which was sometimes greater than mine. To Roel and Brent, thank you for your trust and critical encouragement and observations. Thank you, Carlos, for your wise words and for opening my eyes to a new Amazon world. Thank you all for the support amidst a pandemic that made this task seem impossible.

To my parents and brothers. I'm grateful for your support and ocean-crossing love during this journey. Special thanks to my auntie Negri and to Wolfgang for their incredible support. This would not be possible without them. I have a big Vejarano Alvarez family and naming all of them is almost impossible, but they all participated in a way or another to make this possible. Infinite thanks to everyone.

Many friends walked this road with me and made it possible to get to the end. To Veti and Mariana for their incredible support and friendship. To Lina, Angie, and Sofi, for providing that push that only friends can give when I thought I could not make it, for listening to the same complaints and still having the right words to help. To the *reencauchados*, for the constant laugh and meme-therapy. Thanks to all my friends that soundlessly but constantly supported and encouraged me along this process in different moments.

Loving thanks to Shaun, who joined this project midway. Thank you for your permanent encouragement, love, and laughs.

This acknowledgement would not be complete without recognising COLCIENCIAS (call 728/2015) and the UTS-IRS scholarship for providing the financial support to carry out my doctorate studies. Also, to ISF, UTS international and GRS, for the support throughout the entire process.

I kindly thank to the examiners of this thesis for their valuable comments and recommendations, which have enriched it.

Finally, immeasurable gratitude to my Md. Liliana Mesa, for always giving me the go-ahead to embark on my study projects. Gracias Doc.

For peace in Colombia

“Let there be justice for all. Let there be peace for all. Let there be work, bread, water and salt for all. Let each know that for each the body, the mind and the soul have been freed to fulfill themselves.”

-Nelson Mandela-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	<u>INTRODUCTION.....</u>	<u>1</u>
1.1	COLOMBIAN AMAZON – THE CONTEXT	2
1.1.1	BIOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL EXUBERANCE	2
1.1.2	MAKÚ – THE “FOREST PEOPLE”	5
1.1.3	THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEM – SINA	6
1.1.4	MOTIVATION AND IMPACT: THE COLOMBIAN PEACE ACCORD	7
1.2	SYNOPSIS	7
1.3	THESIS STRUCTURE.....	9
2	<u>LITERATURE REVIEW.....</u>	<u>10</u>
2.1	EXPLORING COMPLEXITY OF SOCIAL-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS.....	11
2.1.1	INTEGRATION OF SOCIAL AND ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS	11
2.1.2	REGIME SHIFTS AND RESILIENCE IN ECOSYSTEMS.....	17
2.1.3	RESILIENCE OF SOCIAL-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS.....	20
2.2	WAYS OF KNOWING	25
2.2.1	INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE AND WESTERN SCIENCE	26
2.2.2	TRADITIONAL ECOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE (TEK) IN ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE	31
2.2.3	THE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (ES) APPROACH	35
2.3	NOMADIC PEOPLES, LAND AND CONFLICTS	37
2.3.1	HUNTERS/GATHERERS, THEIR TERRITORY AND FATE	39
2.3.2	THE HUNTER/GATHERERS OF THE AMAZON – THE CACUA PEOPLE.....	41
2.4	MANAGING TRANSITIONS - THE PEACE-BUILDING SCENARIO IN COLOMBIA.....	44
2.4.1	TRANSITIONS - CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS.....	45
2.4.2	ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE IN POST-CONFLICT SCENARIOS - THE COLOMBIAN CASE	
	46	
2.5	SUMMARY AND RESEARCH FOCUS	49
3	<u>RESEARCH DESIGN</u>	<u>51</u>
3.1	INTRODUCTION	51

3.2	RESEARCH APPROACH	52
3.2.1	RESEARCH APPROACH – A QUALITATIVE PERSPECTIVE.....	53
3.2.2	METHODOLOGY	54
3.3	DATA COLLECTION	59
3.3.1	CASE STUDY SCALE: THE CACUA SOCIO-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEM ANALYSIS	59
3.3.2	REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SCALES	65
3.4	DATA ANALYSIS: CODING AND INTERPRETATION	66
3.4.1	CASE STUDY	66
3.4.2	REGIONAL AND NATIONAL ANALYSIS	67
3.5	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	68
3.6	CHALLENGES FACED	68
4	<u>THE PEACE ACCORD FOR THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLES OF THE COLOMBIAN AMAZON</u>	70
4.1	INTRODUCTION	71
4.2	A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE ARMED CONFLICT IN THE AMAZON REGION AND THE PEACE ACCORD	73
4.3	THE PEACE ACCORD: AN ONGOING DYNAMIC PROCESS	80
4.4	METHODS	82
4.5	RESULTS – IMPLICATIONS OF THE PEACE ACCORD	83
4.5.1	MOVING TO A “DESIRED” SYSTEM STATE: THE PEACE ACCORD AS AN OPPORTUNITY.....	84
4.5.2	MOVING TO AN UNDESIRED SYSTEM STATE: NEGATIVE ASPECTS OF THE PEACE ACCORD	93
4.5.3	NO CHANGE IN SYSTEM STATE: THE PEACE ACCORD AS “BUSINESS AS USUAL”	99
4.6	DISCUSSION	108
4.6.1	BOUNDARIES OF THE AMAZONIAN SES	109
4.6.2	ACTORS	111
4.6.3	SYSTEM STATES.....	114
4.7	CONCLUSIONS TO THE CHAPTER	117
5	<u>LIFE IN THE FOREST: A SOCIAL-ECOLOGICAL CASE-STUDY WITH CACUA PEOPLE IN WACARÁ</u>	119
5.1	INTRODUCTION	119
5.1.1	A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE CACUA PEOPLE.....	121
5.1.2	CHAPTER FOCUS AND STRUCTURE	122
5.2	METHODS	123

5.3	RESULTS – CACUA LIFE AS A SOCIAL-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEM	125
5.3.1	RESOURCE SYSTEMS – WACARÁ AND THE CACUA TERRITORY	125
5.3.2	RESOURCE UNITS – “WE LIVE IN THE RICHNESS”	128
5.3.3	ACTORS – “TO UNDERSTAND IS TO RESPECT”	134
5.3.4	GOVERNANCE SYSTEM – “ <i>WE ALWAYS TALK</i> ”	147
5.3.5	ACTION SITUATIONS: CLASHING SYSTEMS AND WORLDVIEWS	157
5.4	DISCUSSION – MOVING INTO THE FUTURE	162
5.4.1	LOCAL BARRIERS – BEYOND GEOGRAPHIC ISOLATION	165
5.4.2	TEK EROSION	169
5.4.3	INTERCONNECTEDNESS - <i>THE BEST OF BOTH WORLDS</i>	171
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	174
6	<u>POST- PEACE ACCORD GOVERNANCE IN THE COLOMBIAN AMAZON A PATCHWORK ARRANGEMENT</u>	<u>176</u>
6.1	INTRODUCTION	176
6.1.1	INDIGENOUS PEOPLES, CONFLICT, AND PEACEBUILDING	177
6.1.2	ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE IN TIMES OF CONFLICT AND PEACEBUILDING	177
6.2	METHODS	179
6.3	RESULTS - ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE, A PATCHWORK ARRANGEMENT	179
6.3.1	THE INVISIBLE AMAZON	180
6.3.2	DEFYING HEGEMONIES - INDIGENOUS VINDICATION	193
6.3.3	WEAVING ALLIANCES	202
6.4	DISCUSSION - NAVIGATING TRANSITIONS, FROM CONFLICT TO PEACEBUILDING	209
6.4.1	THE AMAZON - A MATTER OF GOVERNANCE OR GOVERNABILITY?	210
6.4.2	POST-ACCORD AMAZONIA – TRANSITION GOVERNANCE	212
6.4.3	CROSS-SCALE GOVERNANCE AND FEEDBACKS	214
6.4.4	PARTICIPATION, LEADERSHIP, AND LOCAL CAPACITY BUILDING	216
6.4.5	SHARED AUTHORITY – SHARED KNOWLEDGE	217
6.5	CONCLUSIONS TO THE CHAPTER	219
7	<u>FINAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</u>	<u>220</u>
7.1	THE RESEARCH THEMES AND PROBLEM	220
7.2	THE RESEARCH DESIGN	221
7.3	SYNTHESIS	222
7.3.1	THE PEACE ACCORD FOR INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THEIR TEK IN THE AMAZON	222

7.3.2	ROLE OF TEK IN A LOCAL SES IN THE COLOMBIAN AMAZON IN A POST-CONFLICT SETTING	223
7.3.3	CONFIGURATION OF THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE SYSTEM TO PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE INCLUSION OF TEK AND THE CACUA AGENCY	223
7.4	DISCUSSION.....	224
7.4.1	THE PEACE ACCORD TRANSITION: THE AMAZON AND THE CACUA SES.....	224
7.4.2	TEK AND ES — COMPASS TO NAVIGATE TRANSITIONS	228
7.4.3	WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY — PLURIVERSE GOVERNANCE?	233
7.5	METHODOLOGICAL REFLECTIONS.....	234
7.6	CONCLUDING COMMENTS	235
8	<u>APPENDICES</u>	237
9	<u>BIBLIOGRAPHY</u>	240

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Colombian Amazon region. Source: SINCHI 2016	3
Figure 2-1. Approach to literature review (Source: own elaboration)	11
Figure 2-2. Social-ecological framework (Source: Modified from McGinnis & Ostrom 2014).	14
Figure 2-3. Stability domain and regime shifts – ball-in-cup model (Modified from: Biggs et al. 2012)	17
Figure 2-4. Main features of indigenous knowledge.	29
Figure 2-5. Traditional Ecological Knowledge System.	32
Figure 2-6. Theoretical relation between TEK and SE (Source: own elaboration).	37
Figure 2-7. Research Focus (Source: Own elaboration).....	50
Figure 3-1. Research framework for this study (Source: own elaboration)	53
Figure 3-2: First and second-tier variables in McGinnis and Ostrom's (2014) framework	56
Figure 3-3. Location of the case study – Wacar settlement (Source: own elaboration)	60
Figure 3-4. Community workshops. Left: Crop fields workshop with women.	63
Figure 3-5. Secondary data sources about the Cacua. (Left: Plan de vida 1995.....	64
Figure 4-1. Cover page of the Peace Accord document (2016).....	70
Figure 4-2. Chapter focus (Source: own elaboration).....	72
Figure 4-3. Timeline indicating main sources of socio-economic conflict in the Colombian Amazon during the last 500 years between Indigenous peoples and non-Indigenous peoples (Source: own elaboration).	75
Figure 4-4. Sample of publication related to research opportunities during the post-conflict (Source: Semana 2017).....	91
Figure 4-5. Publication of the results of Colombia Bio for the Amazon region (Source: minputumayo.com).....	92
Figure 4-6. Sample of published articles associated with deforestation during the post-conflict in Colombia. Source: various.....	95
Figure 4-7. Communication of the National Organization of the IP of the Colombian Amazon (OPIAC) regarding the no consultation of the National Development Plan by the Colombian government (2018).....	104
Figure 4-8. Illegal actors in the Amazonian SES before and after the Peace Accord ..	112

Figure 5-1. House of snakes. Place where the snakes were locked up so that the community could settle (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019).....	119
Figure 5-2. Wacar territorial and political boundaries. The red polygon indicates the area under the BanCO2 program (Source CDA 2016, unknown scale).	126
Figure 5-3. Overview of two types of houses in the community (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	126
Figure 5-4. Graphic representation of Wacar settlement in 2018 made by workshop participants (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	127
Figure 5-5. Drawing of game species of particular importance in Wacar, picturing monkeys, tapir, birds, and others. Authors: Cacua women and men of Wacar (Photo P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	129
Figure 5-6. Fish species as main daily protein source: Caloche (top left), and Waracu (bottom left) (Photos: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019).....	131
Figure 5-7. A Plate of food including fish, termites (Isoptera), and cassava bread (Photo: P.Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	132
Figure 5-8. Drawing of a pineapple cultivated by the Cacua (Ananas comosus) (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	134
Figure 5-9. Population growth over time (left) and age/sex distribution (right) of Cacua in Wacar. (Sources: based on data from Silverwood-Cope 1971, Life plans 1995 and 2011; book “how we became Cacua 1995; and Sinergias’ report 2019)	139
Figure 5-10. Cacua ecological calendar (Source: this research).....	146
Figure 5-11. Blowgun bird hunting by kids in Wacar (Photos: P.Vejarano Alvarez 2019)	151
Figure 5-12. Left: Cacua mother and daughter at their chagra. Right: cassava bread preparation (Photos: P. Vejarano-Alvarez 2019)	154
Figure 5-13. Drawing of crop gardens. Authors: Cacua women of Wacar (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019).....	155
Figure 5-14. Cacua SES cross-scale interconnectedness (Source: this research)	173
Figure 6-1. Vaupes river (Photo: P. Vejarano Alvarez 2019).....	176
Figure 7-1. Cacua SES basins of attractions (Source: this research)	226
Figure 7-2. Desired transformation for Indigenous Peoples recognition (Source: this research).....	228

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Main actors of the Environmental National System	6
Table 2-1. Definition of resilience in different domains of application	19
Table 2-2. Summary of differences between Western and Indigenous Knowledge systems.....	30
Table 2-3. Civil wars linked to natural resources	47
Table 3-1. Data collection schedule	61
Table 3-2. Codification for participants interviewed.....	65
Table 4-1. List of codes chapter 4.....	82
Table 4-2. Summary table of the Peace Accord impacts - Perceptions of participants 2016-2019.....	84
Table 4-3. Summary table of impacts of the Peace Accord	107
Table 5-1. Codes chapter 5 – Cacua SES.....	124
Table 5-2. Summarized outcomes in the Cacua SES.....	157

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CDA	<i>Corporación para el Desarrollo Sostenible del Norte y el Oriente Amazónico</i> (Corporation for the Sustainable Development of the Northern and Eastern Amazon)
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild fauna and flora
CONPES	<i>Concejo Nacional de Política Económica y Social</i> (National Council of Economic and Social Policy)
DANE	<i>Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadísticas</i>
ELN	<i>Ejercito De Liberación Nacional</i> (National Liberation Army)
ES	Ecosystem Services
FARC - EP	<i>Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia – Ejército Popular</i> (Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia - Popular Army)
IAvH	<i>Instituto Alexander von Humboldt</i> (Alexander von Humboldt Institute)
IDEAM	<i>Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales</i> (Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies)
IIAP	<i>Instituto de Investigaciones Ambientales del Pacífico</i> (Pacific Institute of Environmental Research)
IK	Indigenous Knowledge
IP	Indigenous Peoples
INVEMAR	<i>Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras</i> (Institute of Marine and Coastal Research)
IP	Indigenous Peoples
IPBES	Intergovernmental Panel of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services
IT	Indigenous Territory
ITD	Interdisciplinarity
MDG	Millennium Development Goals
MEA	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment
PA	Peace Accord
PNGIBSE	<i>Política Nacional de Biodiversidad y Sus Servicios Ecosistémicos</i> (National Policy of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services)
SES	Socio-Ecological Systems
SIATAC	<i>Sistema de Información Ambiental Territorial de la Amazonia Colombiana</i>
SIL	Summer Institute of Linguistics
SINA	<i>Sistema Nacional Ambiental</i> (National Environmental System)
SINCHI	<i>Instituto Amazónico de Investigaciones Científicas</i>
TEK	Traditional Ecological Knowledge
UNDP	United Nations Development Program

ABSTRACT

The Colombian Amazon, recognized for its great biological and cultural diversity, has historically faced major pressures from human activities, resulting in biodiversity losses and cultural changes of its Indigenous Peoples.

After over 50 years of armed conflict, the 2016 Peace Accord between the Colombian government, and the FARC-EP opened a window for designing and implementing inclusive models of governance in the country. The Accord also heightened the significance of a range of dynamic, interacting elements that could produce a different picture than previously envisioned, especially for Indigenous Peoples in the Amazon region. In the frame of sustainability and conservation, traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) – that is, the system of beliefs and practices indigenous people hold about their relationships with their surroundings – has received the attention of scholars over recent decades. However, TEK's potential contribution to the governance of post-conflict areas such as the Colombian Amazon remains less explored. This research aims to understand new roles of TEK in the provision of ecosystem services (ES) and its significance for the Indigenous Peoples in the Colombian Amazon in navigating shifts of social-ecological systems in a post-conflict scenario,

Drawing from social-ecological systems theory and using a qualitative approach and a case study with the Cacua people, I investigated 1) the implications of the peace accord for the region, its Indigenous Peoples, and their knowledge, 2) the potential contribution of TEK to the regional governance of the Colombian Amazon in a post-peace accord scenario, and 3) possible transformations in the current governance system needed to safeguard TEK and the provision of ecosystem services.

In this research I found that TEK has a distinctive and powerful cross-scale role in the governance and governability of the socio ecological system it is part of, from supporting local subsistence to a tool in international negotiations around climate change and biodiversity loss. However, prevailing attitudes towards TEK provide evidence of the embedded cultural disdain Indigenous Peoples and their knowledge continue to face. This disdain restrains their agency and access to national

development, despite international initiatives such as IPBES¹, which promotes and supports the contribution of indigenous peoples and their knowledge to the integral management of national territories and their biodiversity. Such initiatives are a starting point towards the inclusion and agency of IPs in decision and policy making, and influence national governments like Colombia, in the design of its own biodiversity management policy and co-production of knowledge.

For Colombia to navigate a just transition towards sustainable, long-lasting peace, multi-diverse knowledge systems and contexts need to be explicitly considered by the state and mainstreamed into institutional practices, ensuring a desirable future for indigenous people and their globally significant territory.

¹ IPBES: Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services