

Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants for supporting the clean energy transition in New South Wales, Australia

by Ella Middelhoff

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Sustainable Futures

under the supervision of Associate Prof Nick Florin, Adjunct Prof Roel Plant & Dr Fabiano Ximenes

University of Technology Sydney Institute for Sustainable Futures

July 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Ella Middelhoff declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, at the Institute for Sustainable Futures at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

(Ella Middelhoff)

Date: 13. July 2022

ABSTRACT

Replacing fossil fuels with renewable sources is the key strategy to limit global warming to below 1.5 °C and mitigate the more severe impacts of rising temperatures on the Earth's climate system. Today, positive developments in renewable energy technologies and significant investment from government and industry is driving the energy transition, which can be observed in many countries around the world. In Australia, the country where this research project was carried out, already around 7% of the energy and one quarter of the electricity produced is sourced from renewable sources. These developments demonstrate that the global and national energy transition are underway. However, an adequate supply of dispatchable¹ renewable electricity and renewable thermal energy (specifically for industrial applications) are just a few examples of the numerous challenges that the energy transition is facing and that will be (beside others) the focus of this doctoral research.

Addressing these challenges and achieving full decarbonisation requires a multidimensional strategy, which has spurred interest in novel renewable technologies, for example hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants. HCSB plants are not a radically new energy generation technology; rather, the technology integrates two mature renewable energy (RE) systems – concentrated solar and bioenergy. HCSB plants have been demonstrated in several locations worldwide, e.g., the 16.6 megawatt thermal (MW_{th}) *Aalborg CSP*² system in Brønderslev, Denmark. In Australia, the technology is not yet demonstrated, although the renewable resources – solar and biomass – are abundant and underutilised in the context of energy generation. This doctoral research project investigates the potential deployment of HCSB plants for supporting the energy transition in New South Wales (NSW), Australia's most populous state.

The specific focus of the doctoral project is the investigation of the **technical options**, **deployment potential** and the **benefits** of HCSB plant utilisation in NSW (Figure 1). Following a detailed review of the literature on the technical and commercial maturity of the different HCSB design options, this research is presented across four distinct research packages, investigating: i) biomass residue availability in Australia, ii) energy market integration of HCSB plants in NSW, iii) techno-economic feasibility of HCSB plants as an electricity generator in the Riverina-Murray region (case study), and iv) techno-economic feasibility of HCSB plants for cogeneration at a major beef abattoir in Casino, NSW (case study).

¹ Dispatchable generators provide flexible energy on demand utilising energy storage systems. In the future energy supply system dispatchable energy technologies will be particularly important to secure continuous supply in times of diminished solar and wind resources availability.

² https://www.aalborgcsp.com/projects/166mwth-csp-for-combined-heat-and-power-generation-denmark/.



Figure 1: Simplified research design of doctoral research project.

The most important findings of this thesis can be summarised as follows:

Technical Options

A variety of promising HCSB design options have been proposed. Based on a literature review, different HCSB systems were compared, and mature and ready-to-use options were identified. Maturity was graded using a numerical ranking system. It is assumed that systems with a high level of maturity can be deployed in NSW without having to wait for further research or development. A total of six different HCSB design options were identified, of which two design options were selected for detailed investigations in two case studies. In these two case studies i) Rankine cycle (RC) HCSB plants for small-to-medium (5 – 50 MW_e) electricity generation, and ii) organic Rankine cycle (ORC) HCSB plants for low-to-medium temperature (40 – 250 °C) cogeneration systems were investigated. For both options mature and efficient technology components were selected to be suitable for the case study design context. Performance was evaluated based on a thermodynamic model.

Deployment Potential

The siting and deployment potential of HCSB plants depends on the local availability of renewable resources, siting constrains (such as protected land), and the access to energy markets and consumers. A geographic information system (GIS)-model was developed to investigate the siting of HCSB plants in NSW. HCSB plants rely on two renewable resources: solar and bioenergy. For both feedstocks, the GIS-model considered high-resolution (at 5 x 5 km) resources maps. In a second step, 'network opportunities'³ were identified, defined as locations in proximity to the transmission infrastructure or industries that allow for economic and ready-to-use grid access. For each of these prospective sites, minimum resources thresholds for HCSB plant deployment as well as further siting constrains (e.g., protected land) were considered. In NSW, HCSB plants have a good siting potential and as grid connected systems, they could theoretically be installed at a capacity > 870 megawatt electric (MW_e) with a potential to abate more than 6 Mt carbon emissions (CO₂-e) per year.

³ These are locations in the electricity network that offer economic and ready-to-use grid access.

Benefits

In two case studies, HCSB options were selected, designed, and investigated to address current challenges of the energy transition in NSW. In the first case study, RC HCSB plants were investigated as dispatchable renewable electricity generators that can help to stabilise the electricity supply in the grid. In the second case study, ORC HCSB plants supplied low-to-medium temperature (40 - 250 °C) process heat and electricity for industrial applications (here meat processing). The economic feasibility of both systems was evaluated. The estimated levelised cost of energy at AU\$ 90 – 200 per megawatt hour (MWh)⁴ for RC and ORC HCSB systems is comparable with other dispatchable renewable technologies, underlining their economic competitiveness. In addition, several other advantages of HCSB plant deployment are discussed, e.g., in regard to supporting bioenergy and concentrated solar power (CSP) industry development in Australia, as well as benefits of deployment for local communities.

In summary, the doctoral project has expanded the evidence base and outlined the advantages of HCSB plant deployment to support the local RE transition in NSW. The empirical contribution lies in the detailed investigation of two HCSB plant options for electricity and industrial cogeneration. HCSB plants are particularly interesting in the context of NSW because they combine the use of solar thermal and bioenergy and their supplying resources (solar thermal, biomass residues and waste). These resources are currently underutilised, however are expected to play an important role in future energy supply systems. The findings show that HCSB plants provide dispatchability services that are aligned with current NSW government climate and energy policy priorities. In NSW, these dispatchability services will become even more advantageous as larger amounts of RE is deployed and fossil fuelled stations are retired. The methodological approaches developed and tested in this thesis can inform future research and offer novel insights concerning the techno-economic feasibility of currently unused RE technologies in other jurisdictions.

 $^{^{4}}$ This equals US\$ 61 – 136, using the conversion rate of July 2022.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the traditional owners of the land, the Gadigal people of Eora Nation on which the City Campus of the *University of Technology Sydney* (UTS) stands. I would like to pay my respect to the Elders past, present and emerging, and acknowledge them as traditional custodians of knowledge for this land.

Writing my thesis over the past three and a half years has been an extraordinary process. While impossible to recount all learning experiences and priceless insights that the process has brought me, on reflection, the one thing that really stands out, is the experience of personal growth. From writing the research proposals, through to my final thesis – it was not only the slowly accumulating word count; the ever-increasing collection of written pages and articles or the experience of turning ideas into actions, but my development and expansion both as an academic and as a person. Being exposed to so many new ideas and concepts has sharpened the lens through which I now see the world – and it is this shift in perspective, that I am most grateful for. My inner evolution, as well as the thesis itself, would have not been possible without the significant contribution from the people around me who guided me personally and academically; and who made this experience so meaningful and rich.

First and foremost, I like to sincerely thank my principal supervisor A/Prof Nick Florin. In German, we call the principal doctoral supervisor '*Doktorvater*', which roughly translates to '*father of doctoral studies*' – and I think there is no better description to sum up Nick's role in my doctoral thesis. In the past, my experience of supervisors was often that they were very busy and focussed on their own careers and as a student it was challenging to get a few minutes of their time. However, from the out-set, my experience with Nick was refreshingly different. From the first week, our meetings were generous timewise, well-considered and calm and had that feeling of unconditionality and openness that makes growth possible. I would like to acknowledge Nick for the trust he placed in me at the beginning of the doctoral journey – regardless of my young age and relative 'greenness' (I was only 23 when I first applied). Thank you for your continuous support, which challenged me without overwhelming me. Thank you for your patience when something took a little longer and for your consistent positive and supportive feedback. Thank you also for your leadership around the challenges presented by the COVID-19 pandemic and allowing me the flexibility to finish this work from home.

Nick will always be, in my mind's eye, representative of the quintessential Australian way of life – a surfboard under one arm, a friendly down to earth manner and an attitude that anything is possible if you put your mind to it. I'd like to wrap up this acknowledgment, in his words: Cheers!

Additionally, I like to thank Dr Fabiano Ximenes for his extraordinary co-supervision. It was amazing to experience such a hands-on academic and the trips together to Griffith, Brisbane and Muswellbrook will remain an enduring and positive memory. (It's a shame that the trips to Marseille and Barcelona were cancelled.) Many thanks to Fabiano for his continuous networking endeavours, which resulted in our involvement in a project updating the bioenergy simulation in a high renewable energy (RE) supply model with the UTS. These networking endeavours also presented another opportunity to use my research results in an online tool (in development) by *CSIRO*. There is nothing more satisfying than seeing the results of your work applied to a 'real-world' application, so this meant a great deal to me.

Thank you also to my co-supervisor A/Prof Roel Plant who provided invaluable guidance in the conceptualisation and planning phase of my thesis, and again towards the conclusion, with discussion around what the future might look like. Something that Roel said to me in the beginning and stayed with me was '*This is a marathon and not a sprint; use your strength and energy wisely and be careful rushing forward*.' It was a great advice and something I will use well in my future life.

Thank you also to my fellow students. I especially loved working with Leandro Andrade Furtado, Dr Mengyu Li, and Ben Madden. All three have already received or will receive their well-deserved doctorate degrees in the next few months. It was great to exchange ideas and thanks for your help and hard work.

Finally, I want to acknowledge a number of people who played a significant part supporting me throughout my journey. Thank you to A/Prof Juergen H. Peterseim, whose pioneering work in hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants in the context of Australia made my work possible in the first place. Thank you to Prof Keith Lovegrove and Amy Philbrook for their help as external panel members at my stage transitions. Thank you to Rachael Jackman for teaching me about design and communication. Thank you to the excellent post graduate research program at the *Institute for Sustainable Futures* (ISF) and UTS and its great students and staff. Last but not least, a heartfelt thanks to my family and friends, with special acknowledgement to Heiko Scholz and my sister Lilli Middelhoff.

List of Publications

This doctoral project produced four stand-alone journal articles (and research contribution to additional published journal and conference articles):

- E. Middelhoff, B. Madden, M. Li, F. Ximenes, M. Lenzen, and N. Florin, "Bioenergy siting for low-carbon electricity supply in Australia," *Biomass & Bioenergy*, vol. 163, no. August 2022, p. 106496, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2022.106496</u>.
 <u>CRediT authorship contribution statement:</u> Ella Middelhoff: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing original draft, Visualisation, Project administration, Resources, Software. Ben Madden: Resources, Software. Mengyu Li: Conceptualisation, Project administration, Investigation. Fabiano Ximenes: Writing review & editing. Manfred Lenzen: Conceptualisation. Nick Florin: Writing review & editing.
- II. E. Middelhoff, B. Madden, F. Ximenes, C. Carney, and N. Florin, "Assessing electricity generation potential and identifying possible locations for siting hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants in New South Wales (NSW), Australia," *Applied Energy*, vol. 305, no. September 2021, p. 117942, doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117942.

<u>CrediT authorship contribution statement:</u> Ella Middelhoff: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Visualisation, Project administration. Ben Madden: Resources, Software. Fabiano Ximenes: Writing – review & editing. Catherine Carney: Resources, Visualisation. Nick Florin: Writing – review & editing.

III. E. Middelhoff, L. Andrade Furtado, J. H. Peterseim, B. Madden, F. Ximenes, and N. Florin, "Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant for electricity generation in Australia : Design and evaluation of techno-economic and environmental performance," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 240, no. July 2021, p. 114244, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114244.

<u>CrediT authorship contribution statement:</u> Ella Middelhoff: Conceptualisation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Visualisation, Writing original draft. Leandro Andrade Furtado: Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft. Juergen H. Peterseim: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. Ben Madden: Resources. Fabiano Ximenes: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Nick Florin: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

IV. E. Middelhoff, L. Andrade Furtado, J. Reis Parise, F. Ximenes, and N. Florin, "Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems for cogeneration: Techno-economic analysis for beef abattoirs in New South Wales, Australia," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 262, no. June 2022, p. 115620, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.115620. <u>CrediT authorship contribution statement:</u> Ella Middelhoff: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Visualisation, Project administration. Leandro Andrade Furtado: Methodology, Software, Investigation, Visualisation, Writing – review & editing. Fabiano Ximenes: Writing – review & editing. Nick Florin: Writing – review & editing.

Related publications developed but not included in the thesis:

M. Li, **E. Middelhoff**, F. Ximenes, C. Carney, B. Madden, N. Florin, A. Malik, M. Lenzen, "Scenario modelling of biomass usage in the Australian electricity grid," *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 180, no. May 2022, p. 106198, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106198.

Table of Contents

| CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP 2 |
|--|
| ABSTRACT |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS |
| List of Publications |
| Table of Contents |
| List of Tables |
| List of Figures |
| ABBREVIATIONS |
| 1. Introduction |
| 1.1. Structure of document |
| 1.2. New South Wales (NSW)'s energy transition |
| 1.3. Advantages of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants |
| 2. Literature review: Design options and techno-commercial maturity of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems |
| 2.1. Literature review introduction |
| 2.2. Technical and commercial readiness indicator |
| 2.3. (Standalone) bioenergy technologies |
| 2.3.1. Gaseous and liquid biofuel generation: Technical and commercial maturity |
| 2.3.2. Solar thermal integrated biofuel production: Technical and commercial maturity |
| 2.3.3. Bioenergy for electricity generation: Technical and commercial maturity |
| 2.4. Concentrated solar power (CSP) generation |
| 2.4.1. CSP: Technical and commercial maturity |
| 2.5. HCSB systems for electricity generation |
| 2.5.1. HCSB power generation: Technical and commercial maturity |
| 2.6. Conclusions |
| 3. Research design |
| 3.1. Research scope |
| 3.2. Research design and subsidiary research questions |
| 4. Research summary & synthesis of outcomes |
| 4.1. Bioenergy siting for low-carbon electricity supply in Australia |
| 4.2. Assessing energy generation potential and identifying possible locations for siting hybric concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants in New South Wales (NSW), Australia |
| 4.3. Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant for electricity generation in Australia: Design and evaluation of techno-economic and environmental performance |
| 4.4. Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants for renewable cogeneration for beef abattoirs in New South Wales, Australia |

| 4.5. Synthesis of outcomes |
|---|
| 5. Discussion |
| 5.1. Benefits of HCSB plant deployment in NSW74 |
| 5.2. The role of HCSB plants in supporting the clean energy transition77 |
| 5.3. HCSB plants as industrial cogeneration systems |
| 5.4. HCSB plant electricity market integration |
| 5.5. HCSB plants for dispatchable electricity generation |
| 5.6. Temporal and policy context of work |
| 5.7. Next steps towards HCSB plant deployment in NSW |
| 6. Conclusion |
| 7. References |
| 8. Appendices |
| 8.A.1. Bioenergy siting for low-carbon electricity supply in Australia 107 |
| 8.A.2. Assessing electricity generation potential and identifying possible locations for siting hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants in New South Wales (NSW), Australia |
| 8.A.3. Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant for electricity generation in Australia: Design and evaluation of techno-economic and environmental performance |
| 8.A.4. Hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems for cogeneration: Techno-economic analysis for beef abattoirs in New South Wales, Australia |
| 8.A.5. List of bioenergy projects with temperature and scale range |
| 8.A.6. List of CSP projects with temperature and scale range |

List of Tables

| Table 1: Overview of three mature hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems: Energy generation type, bioenergy and concentrated solar collector types, example for existing plants, scale of operation [MW _e], as well as technical readiness level (TRL) and commercial readiness index (CRI) |
|---|
| Table 2: Overview of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant reviews in the literature.31 |
| Table 3: Technical and commercial readiness of biofuel production pathways. 34 |
| Table 4: Technical and commercial readiness of bioenergy generation through direct combustion,mainly from CSIRO [59].37 |
| Table 5: Concentrated solar thermal (CST) technologies with different heat transfer fluids (HTF): maximal temperature, global installed capacity, largest plant and number of plants in Australia. 38 |
| Table 6: Technical and commercial readiness of concentrated solar thermal (CST) systems 40 |
| Table 7: Integrated Solar Combined Cycle (ISCC) plants. Source: Lilliestam (2018) |
| Table 8: Technical and commercial readiness of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems for electricity generation. 47 |
| Table 9: Technical (TRL) and commercial (CRI) readiness of bioenergy, solar thermal and hybridconcentrated solar biomass (HCSB) electricity generation technologies.49 |
| Table 10: Number of prospective bioenergy sites, available resources and their minimal and maximal distance from transmission infrastructure for three biomass feedstock types |
| Table 11: Agricultural and forestry biomass residues [tonnes/year] and direct normal irradiation[kWh/m²/year] at beef abattoirs in New South Wales (NSW) |
| Table 12: Comparison of the two design options of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems at the abattoir 71 |
| Table 13: Overview of energy transition approaches for key economy sectors including associated technical challenges and potential for HCSB deployment as summary of thesis section 1.2., p. 19. |
| Table 14: New South Wales (NSW)'s key objectives of energy transition [19] and support optionsof hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants.78 |
| Table 15: Traditional electricity supply system in New South Wales (NSW). 80 |
| Table 16: Integration of renewable electricity generator in the electricity grid of NSW |
| Table 17: Differences of most common and commercially used dispatchable renewable electricity generator, compared to hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plants. Dark blue bars represent 'typically deployed at/with', while light blue bars represent 'minimal and maximal deployed at/with'. |

List of Figures

| Figure 1: Simplified research design of doctoral research project |
|---|
| Figure 2: <i>University of Technology Sydney</i> (UTS) city campus in 2019: (a) regular day, and (b) during bush fires. Photos taken by author |
| Figure 3: Structure of document |
| Figure 4: Maps of (a) the Australian continent, showing state boundaries (Source: <i>Australian Bureau of Statistics</i> (ABS) [17]) and (b) New South Wales (NSW) showing ABS Statistical Areas (SA) 4 regions. |
| Figure 5: Energy consumption [PJ] by sector for Australia (left) [20] and New South Wales (NSW) (right) [21]. Figures do not consider energy conversion losses. Energy consumption for electricity generation is not included |
| Figure 6: Energy type [%] consumption by sector in New South Wales (NSW) [21]. Figures do not consider energy conversion losses. Energy consumption for electricity generation is not included. Figures do not consider power plant heat and network and refinery losses from the transmission of electricity |
| Figure 7: Renewable energy (RE) generation [GWh] by technology type in New South Wales (NSW) [29]. Figures do not consider power plant heat and network losses from the transmission of electricity. |
| Figure 8: Energy transition of the electricity grid from fossil fuel to renewable supply. Source: Lovegrove et al. [30] |
| Figure 9: Termosolar Borges plant in Lleida, Spain: (a) bioenergy system and steam cycle, and (b) parabolic trough collector field. Source: Power Technology [31], and Crespo [32] |
| Figure 10: Schematic concept of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant with concentrated solar thermal (CST) and bioenergy unit |
| Figure 11: Potential regions for hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant deployment potential worldwide. Source: Peterseim et al. [15] |
| Figure 12: Operational strategies of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) systems |
| Figure 13: Cycle of sustainable bioenergy production |
| Figure 14: Technical Readiness Level (TRL) and Commercial Readiness Index (CRI). Adapted from the <i>Australian Renewable Energy Agency</i> (ARENA) [44] |
| Figure 15: Energy conversion of (a) biomass and (b) solar resources |
| Figure 16: Most common bioenergy conversion pathways and their thermal electricity generation options |
| Figure 17: Locations of bioenergy projects (black dots) on the Australian continent, as well as four project examples |
| Figure 18: Linear focussing concentrated solar thermal (CST) technologies: (a) parabolic trough collector, (b) linear fresnel collector |
| Figure 19: Point focussing concentrated solar thermal (CST) technologies: (a) solar tower with heliostat field, (b) parabolic dish collector |
| Figure 20: Concentrated solar thermal (CST) technologies and their electricity generation options 39 |
| Figure 21: 75 MW _e Martin integrated solar combined cycle plant in Florida, USA (left, from NREL [102]) and 9.3 MW _{th} solar integration at Liddell coal fire station in Liddell, Australia (right, from ProTender [103]) |

| Figure 22: Scheme of <i>Solugas</i> solar-hybrid gas turbine with bottoming Rankine cycle (RC). Figure from Korzynietz et al. [105] |
|---|
| Figure 23: AORA Solar TULIP hybrid systems with natural gas fuelled micro-gas turbine and solar receiver. Source: helioCSP [46] |
| Figure 24: Electricity generation aided by bioenergy sources and concentrated solar thermal (CST) technologies |
| Figure 25: Temperature and size of electricity generation technologies fired by biomass and solar. The full list of bioenergy and concentrated solar power (CSP) plants shown in this graph can be obtained from Appendix 8.A.5 and 8.A.6 |
| Figure 26: Four phases of global concentrated solar power (CSP) deployment $[MW_e]$ per year between 1984 – 2018 (operating) and 2018 – 2020 (under construction), as well as years of hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant launching. Source of underlying data: Lovegrove et al. [72] |
| Figure 27: Photos of the <i>Termosolar Borges</i> plant in Leida, Spain from Cot et al. [110] |
| Figure 28: Technical sketch of the <i>Aalborg CSP</i> plant in Brønderslev, Denmark. Source: Aalborg CSP [11] |
| Figure 29: Research scope |
| Figure 30: Research structure |
| Figure 31: Research chapter I in the research structure (red) |
| Figure 32: Direct normal irradiation (DNI) [kWh/m ² /year] in Australia at spatial resolution of 5 x 5 km from BoM [120]; and potential sites of bioenergy plants based on bagasse, forestry and stubble resources |
| Figure 33: Research chapter II in the research structure (<i>red</i>) |
| Figure 34: Agricultural (a and b) and forestry (c and d) residues [tonnes/year] in NSW and locations of substations with new connection capacity, which can be supplied by sufficient (to generate min. 5 MW_e) biomass resources in 50 km (a and c) and 100 km (b and d) resource collection radii and solar resources > 1,800 kWh/m ² /year |
| Figure 35: Agricultural and forestry biomass residues [tonnes/year] and direct normal irradiation [kWh/m ² /year] at beef abattoirs in New South Wales (NSW) |
| Figure 36: Research chapter III in the research structure (red) |
| Figure 37: Operational strategy of the hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) plant for electricity generation |
| Figure 38: Research chapter V in the research structure (highlighted in red) |
| Figure 39: Proposed hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) system: design option 1 solar thermal organic Rankine cycle system, preheated by a simple existing biomass boiler |
| Figure 40: Proposed hybrid concentrated solar biomass (HCSB) system: design option 2 hybrid combined cycle (HCC) system, superheated by a biogas unit |
| Figure 41: Map of Australia (left) and New South Wales (NSW) (right) with direct normal irradiation and cities with more than 200,000 inhabitants |
| Figure 42: Geographic map of potential Renewable Energy Zones (REZ) in Australia. Source: Commonwealth of Australia [155] |
| Figure 43: Mature and dispatchable renewable technologies |
| Figure 44: Levelised cost of energy (LCoE) produced in renewable technologies in 2017, comparing variable and dispatchable renewable energy (RE) generator at 30 MW _e and 20 hours of electricity generation per day. Cost model adopted from Lovegrove et al. [30] |

ABBREVIATIONS

| ABS | Australian Bureau of Statistics | MWe | Megawatt electric |
|--------------------|---|-----------|--|
| AD | Anaerobic digestion | MWh | Megawatt hours |
| AREMI | Australian Renewable Energy Mapping Infrastructure | MW_{th} | Megawatt thermal |
| ARENA | Australian Renewable Energy Agency | n | Lifetime of plant |
| AU\$ | Australian Dollar | NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| BoM | Australian Bureau of Meteorology | NCMC | Northern Co-operative Meat Company |
| С | Installed cost | NSW | New South Wales |
| CCGT | Combined cycle gas turbine | NT | Northern Territories |
| CO ₂ -e | Carbon emissions | O&M | Operation and maintenance |
| CRI | Commercial readiness index | ORC | Organic Rankine cycle |
| CSP | Concentrated solar power | PHES | Pumped hydro energy storages |
| CST | Concentrated solar thermal | PV | Photovoltaic |
| CRF | Capital recovery factor | RC | Rankine cycle |
| DPI | Department of Primary Industries | RE | Renewable energy |
| Е | Generated electricity | REZ | Renewable energy zones |
| GIS | Geographic information system | SA2/4 | Statistical area 2/4 |
| HCC | Hybrid combined cycle | SA | South Australia |
| HCSB | Hybrid concentrated solar biomass | TRL | Technical readiness level |
| HRSG | Heat recovery steam generator | UTS | University of Technology Sydney |
| HTF | Heat transfer fluid | VIC | Victoria |
| ISCC | Integrated solar combined cycle | WA | Western Australia |
| ISF | Institute for Sustainable Futures | WACC | Weighted average cost of capital |
| LCoE | Levelised cost of electricity | | |
| | | | |