

Phosphorus removal and recovery from sludge centrate by membrane and steel-making slag

by Truong Minh Vu

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of

Professor Duc Long Nghiem
Distinguished Professor Huu Hao Ngo

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

September 2022

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Truong Minh Vu, hereby declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 30/09/2022

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my principal supervisor, Prof. Long Nghiem for his invaluable advice and tutelage, endless support, continuous encouragement, and patience during my PhD study at UTS. I has been fortunate to be supervised by Prof. Long for over 5 years since my Master training. His immense knowledge and plentiful experience have encouraged me in all the time of my academic research and daily life. I am grateful to him for spending plenty of his invaluable time orientating my research and revising my journal papers and my thesis. He has always taught me right from wrong with his infinite patience. Without his dedicated supervision and immense support, the completion of this thesis would not have been accomplished.

I would like to deeply thank my co-supervisor, Dist. Prof. Huu Hao Ngo for his dedicated guidance, valuable academic advice, and sincere encouragement within my study at UTS. His sharing of research work has inspired me a lot. His precious shares are always cherished and remembered. I would also like to thank Dr. Md Johir for his invaluable technical support and advice on my PhD work. He has been always happy to help me every time I needed as well as share with me his invaluable experience in research and career development. Furthermore, my special appreciation and heartfelt thanks go to Dr. Luong Nguyen. His treasured support and sincere encouragement have helped me overcome difficulties in academics as well as relieve stress in my daily life.

I gratefully acknowledge the University of Technology Sydney, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, and ARC Research Hub for Energy-efficient Separation for provision of financial support to my study and living in Australia. I would also like to acknowledge the help and assistance from administrative staff from the School of Civil

and Environmental Engineering, especially Ms. Van Le. With their continuous support, my study at UTS has become much smoother and easier.

In addition, I would like to thank our group members, including Dr. Lei Zheng, Ms. Chelsey Vu, Ms. Allie Nguyen, and Ms. Lisa Aditya. Their kind help and support have made my study and life in Australia a wonderful time. During my PhD training at UTS, I was fortunate to meet great friends, including Dr. Thanh Nguyen, Dr. Phong Vo, Dr. Thuc Nguyen, Dr. Huy Tran, Dr. Niren Pathak, Dr. Hang Do, Dr. An Le, Dr. Loan Nguyen, Ms. Lan Wu, and Mr. Quyet Truong. I would like to thank them for a cherished time spent together in the lab and in social settings.

Last but not least, no words would be enough to express my gratitude to my parents and relatives for their love and encouragement throughout my life. Sincerely, I wish them health and happiness. In particular, I would like to take this opportunity to spend the most profound appreciation and special thanks to my beloved wife, Ngoc (Emily) Hoang for her unconditional love, continuous sacrifice, encouragement, and care for me. Due to my study, she had to leave her job as a professional medical doctor in a public hospital in Vietnam and moved to Australia where we have together built up everything from “zero”. In Australia, she has been still working full-time six days per week; however, every single day, no matter what happened, she still always woke up with me, prepared every single meal for me, and took care of all housework so that I could totally focus on my research work and the development of my career. I really feel extremely lucky and proud when I have her as an understanding and extremely considerate life-friend with whom I could share all joy and sorrow in my work and life. Without her, I would have never made this thesis happen. All things that I have achieved so far are dedicated to her. Emily, thank you so much for coming into my life!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxii
ABSTRACT.....	xxvi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Problem statement.....	4
1.3. Research objectives.....	4
1.4. Thesis organisation.....	5
Chapter 2. Literature review	7
2.1. Phosphorus in the economy	7
2.1.1. Phosphorus flow.....	7
2.1.2. Elementary phosphorus for high-tech industries.....	8
2.1.3. Peak phosphorus and a need for recovery.....	9
2.2. Sludge centrate as an alternative for renewable phosphate supply.....	10

2.2.1. Characteristics of anaerobic digestate.....	10
2.2.2. Solid-liquid separation of digestate to release sludge centrate	13
2.3. Technologies for phosphorus removal and recovery from sludge centrate	16
2.3.1. Hierarchy for phosphorus preservation.....	16
2.3.2. Phosphorus removal and recovery from sludge centrate	17
2.3.2.1. Major processes for phosphorus recovery	17
2.3.2.2. Pre-treatment	19
2.3.2.3. Phosphorus enrichment	20
2.3.2.4. Phosphorus recovery	24
2.3.2.5. Immobilisation, utilisation, and post treatment.....	27
2.4. Summary	33
Chapter 3. Forward osmosis pre-concentration of sludge centrate for subsequent phosphorus recovery	34
3.1. Introduction.....	34
3.2. Materials and methods	36
3.2.1. Materials.....	36
3.2.2. Anaerobic co-digestion and forward osmosis system.....	38
3.2.2.1. Forward osmosis with biogas sparging.....	38
3.2.2.2. Biogas production	40
3.2.2.3. Forward osmosis experimental design.....	42
3.2.2.4. Membrane performance	42
3.2.3. Analytical methods	43

3.3. Results and discussions	44
3.3.1. Biogas production	44
3.3.2. The performance of seawater-driven FO system	47
3.3.2.1. Water flux and recovery.....	47
3.3.2.2. Improvement of organic carbon and nutrient enrichment.....	50
3.3.3. Fouling characterisation and fouling mitigation mechanisms	56
3.4. Conclusions.....	58
Chapter 4. Phosphorus recovery from sludge centrate using forward osmosis and steel-making slag	60
4.1. Introduction	60
4.2. Materials and method.....	63
4.2.1. Materials and chemicals.....	63
4.2.2. Experimental design.....	64
4.2.2.1. Sand filtration pre-treatment	64
4.2.2.2. Nutrient enrichment by forward osmosis.....	65
4.2.2.3. Steel-making slag liquor preparation	66
4.2.2.4. Nutrient recovery	67
4.2.3. Analytical methods	68
4.3. Results and discussion	69
4.3.1. Sand filtration pre-treatment of sludge centrate.....	69
4.3.2. FO pre-concentration	70
4.3.3. Steel-making slag liquor preparation	73

4.3.4. Nutrient recovery	75
4.3.4.1. Impacts of sludge centrate to slag liquor volume ratio on nutrient recovery	75
4.3.4.2. Impacts of final pH on the nutrient recovery performance	80
4.3.4.3. Precipitate characterisation	81
4.4. Conclusions	82
Chapter 5. Feasibility of using steel-making slag for removing residual phosphorus from an aqueous solution	84
5.1. Feasibility of using steel-making slag to remove P from an aqueous solution as a polishing step	84
5.1.1. Introduction	84
5.1.2. Materials and methods	87
5.1.2.1. Materials.....	87
5.1.2.2. Experimental design.....	87
5.1.2.3. Analytical methods	91
5.1.3. Results and discussions	91
5.1.3.1. Steel-making slag particle characterisation.....	91
5.1.3.2. Adsorption isotherm and kinetics	93
5.1.3.3. Effects of operational conditions on P removal using steel-making slag ..	97
5.1.3.4. Effects of using buffer system on P removal capacity of steel-making slag	102
5.1.3.5. P removal mechanisms by the steel-making slag.....	105

5.1.3.6. Characterisation of steel-making slag after P removal	106
5.1.4. Conclusions	108
5.2. Application of the steel-making slag to quench residual phosphorus from the recovery process effluent	110
5.2.1. Introduction	110
5.2.2. Materials and methods	112
5.2.2.1. Materials	112
5.2.2.2. Experimental design	113
5.2.2.3. Analytical methods	115
5.2.3. Results and discussions	116
5.2.3.1. Characterisation of the recovery process effluent	116
5.2.3.2. Impacts of initial pH of the recovery process effluent on the removal efficiency	119
5.2.3.3. Impacts of mixing time on the removal efficiency	121
5.2.3.4. Adsorption isotherm and removal mechanisms	122
5.2.3.5. Impacts of inorganic carbon in the recovery process effluent on the removal efficiency	123
5.2.4. Conclusions	125
Chapter 6. Phosphorus removal and biomass production from sludge centrate using a sequencing batch membrane photo-bioreactor	127
6.1. Introduction	127
6.2. Materials and methods	129

6.2.1. Microalgae inoculum and sludge centrate.....	129
6.2.2. Experimental systems	130
6.2.3. Experimental design.....	132
6.2.4. Analytical methods	134
6.3. Results and discussions	134
6.3.1. Biomass production.....	134
6.3.2. Organic matter and nutrient removal from sludge centrate	138
6.3.3. Membrane permeability	141
6.3.4. Biomass harvesting	142
6.3.5. Lipid content	144
6.4. Conclusions.....	145
Chapter 7. Conclusions and Future Recommendations	146
7.1. Conclusions.....	146
7.2. Recommendations for future work	149
REFERENCES.....	151

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Current typical wastewater treatment system with loss of the essential nutrients.	1
Figure 2: Schematic circular model of future wastewater treatment coupled with energy and nutrient recovery.....	2
Figure 3: The proposed integrated system to remove and recover P from sludge centrate using membrane and steel-making slag.	5
Figure 4: The thesis outline.....	6
Figure 5: Mass flow of P through agricultural production.....	8
Figure 6: Peak P curve indicating a peak in consumption by 2033. Historical data are from [43]. Blue dash line is constructed by modelling 60% P recovery.	10
Figure 7: Overview of a current digestate processing approach.	13
Figure 8: Overview of the latest technologies available for nutrient recovery from digestate.....	15
Figure 9: A proposed schematic diagram for P management with respect to different ranges of P input concentrations in the liquid phase [18, 43, 76].	17
Figure 10: Schematic diagram showing major steps in P removal and recovery from sludge centrate.....	19
Figure 11: The schematic diagram of the FO-based system for nutrient recovery.....	21
Figure 12: The schematic diagram of the ED-based system for nutrient recovery.....	22
Figure 13: The schematic diagram of the MD-based system for nutrient recovery.....	23
Figure 14: Gas-permeable membrane principle for nutrient recovery.....	24
Figure 15: P transport and storage associated with microalgal cells [18].....	29
Figure 16: Schematic diagram of an anaerobic co-digestion and forward osmosis system for organic carbon and nutrient enrichment for subsequent resource recovery.	39

Figure 17: The lab-scale anaerobic co-digestion system.	40
Figure 18: Performance of anaerobic co-digestion system in terms of biogas composition and production. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of at least 20 samples.....	45
Figure 19: Performance of the anaerobic co-digestion system in terms of COD and TS removal efficiency. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of at least 20 samples.....	46
Figure 20: Performance of the anaerobic co-digestion system in the phase AcoD-2 during which the pH buffering experiments took place.	46
Figure 21: Effects of membrane orientation and biogas purging on (A) water flux and (B) fouling reversibility during seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.	47
Figure 22: Differences in FTIR spectra of fouled membranes between with and without biogas sparging in different membrane orientations.....	48
Figure 23: Differences in wettability between pristine and fouled membrane surface at different experimental conditions.	49
Figure 24: The enrichment of (A) bulk organic carbon, (B) phosphate, (C) ammonia and (D) TN during seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate with and without biogas sparging in different membrane orientations. The maximum theoretical value of each constituent as a function of water recovery was calculated based on a mass balance assuming complete rejection by the membrane (actual rejection values are shown in Table 5). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.	51

Figure 25: Rejection of bulk organic carbon and nutrients by the FO membranes during seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate with and without biogas sparging in different membrane orientations.52

Figure 26: Variation in pH of the FS during seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate with and without biogas sparging in different membrane orientations. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.53

Figure 27: Variation in Ca concentration in the FS during seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate with and without biogas sparging in different membrane orientations. The maximum theoretical value of Ca as a function of water recovery was calculated based on a mass balance assuming complete rejection by the membrane (actual rejection values are shown in Table 5). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.54

Figure 28: The correlation between FS pH and filtration duration towards different experimental conditions at water recovery of 60%.....56

Figure 29: SEM and EDS mapping analyses of fouling layer on the membrane surface facing the FS towards without biogas sparging in PRO mode (A and B, respectively); without biogas sparging in FO mode (C and D, respectively) and with biogas sparging in FO mode (E and F, respectively). The EDS mapping was within the yellow squares. ..57

Figure 30: (A) A schematic diagram of a sand filtration system for sludge centrate pre-treatment, (B) A schematic diagram of a forward osmosis system for nutrient enrichment, (C) A schematic diagram of an experimental system for nutrient recovery via precipitation from concentrated sludge centrate and slag liquor, and (D) A photo of the nutrient recovery set-up..... 65

Figure 31: Changes in water flux (A) and FS pH (B) and the enrichment of (C) phosphate and (D) ammonium during the seawater-driven FO pre-concentration of sludge centrate with and without sand filtration pre-treatment. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments..... 73

Figure 32: Effects of particle sizes and slag mass on the properties of slag liquor. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 74

Figure 33: Effects of leaching time on the properties of slag liquor (mixing at 200 rpm by an orbital shaker). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 75

Figure 34: Impacts of pre-concentrated sludge centrate volume to slag liquor volume ratios on (A and B) nutrient recovery efficiency, (C and D) mass balance, and (E and F) final pH of the solution towards phosphate and ammonia, respectively. The recovery efficiency was calculated based on the nutrient concentration in the supernatant of the mixture before and after the precipitation and stripping process. The experiment was carried out at contact time of 120 min, temperature of 20 °C, and aeration of 1 L/min. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 77

Figure 35: Changes in the amount of precipitate (measured by TSS content) formed in the solution during the recovery process. The experiment was carried out at contact time of 120 min, temperature of 20 °C, and aeration of 1 L/min. 78

Figure 36: (A) Changes in calcium content in the bulk solution and (B) a correlation between initial Ca:PO₄ molar ratios, final pH, and phosphate recovery during the recovery process. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 80

Figure 37: Impacts of final pH of the mixture on (A) phosphate and (B) ammonia recovery (Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{concentrated sludge concentrate}}/ V_{\text{slag liquor}} = 1$ corresponding to initial Ca:PO ₄ molar ratio = 1.88; contact time = 120 min; aeration = 1 L/min). Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.....	81
Figure 38: Microscopic and compositional analysis of the recovered precipitate: (A) SEM image; (B) EDS spectrum; (C) calcium and (D) P distribution mapping.	82
Figure 39: The XRD patterns of the recovered precipitate.	82
Figure 40: Effects of initial P concentration and particle size on final pH. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2$ L; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume = 5 kg/m ³ ; time = 72 hours; mixing speed = 200 rpm.....	98
Figure 41: Effects of initial P concentration and particle size on P removal efficiency. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2$ L; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume = 5 kg/m ³ ; time = 72 hours; mixing speed = 200 rpm.	99
Figure 42: Effects of initial pH of aqueous solution on P removal efficiency. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2$ L; Initial P concentration = 50 mg/L; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume = 5 kg/m ³ ; time = 72 hours; mixing speed = 200 rpm. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.	100
Figure 43: Average point of zero charge for triplicate samples of steel-making slag ..	101
Figure 44: Effects of steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume ratios on changes in final pH and P removal efficiency. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2$ L; initial P concentration of aqueous solution = 50 mg/L; time = 72 hours; mixing speed = 200 rpm. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.	102

Figure 45: Changes in calcium content and pH using unbuffered and buffered aqueous solution with and without P at different particle sizes over time. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2 \text{ L}$; initial P concentration of aqueous solution = 85 mg/L; mixing speed = 200 rpm; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume ratio of 5 kg/m^3 . Note: The time value on the X-axis is not on a linear scale. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments..... 104

Figure 46: Changes in P removal using different steel-making slag particle sizes with and without the buffer system over time. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2 \text{ L}$; initial P concentration of aqueous solution = 85 mg/L; mixing speed = 200 rpm; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume ratio of 5 kg/m^3 . Note: The time value on the X-axis is not on a linear scale. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 105

Figure 47: Comparison in P removal between presence and absence of steel-making slag towards different steel-making slag particle sizes. Experimental conditions: $V_{\text{aqueous solution}} = 0.2 \text{ L}$; initial P concentration of aqueous solution = 85 mg/L; mixing speed = 200 rpm; steel-making slag mass/ aqueous solution volume ratio of 5 kg/m^3 . Note: The X-axis is on a logarithmic scale. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments. 106

Figure 48: SEM and EDS mapping measurements of steel-making slag surface (A and B) before and (C and D) after P removal. In the image of EDS mapping (E), red dots represent the distribution of P on the surface of steel-making slag (EDS mapping was within the box in Figure 8C). 107

Figure 49: FTIR spectra of steel-making slag before and after P removal. 108

Figure 50: Settling performance of formed precipitates after the recovery process. 119

Figure 51: Impacts of steel-making slag dosage and solution pH: (A) – pH 10.4, (B) – pH 9.5, (C) – pH 8.5, and (D) – pH 7.5 on P removal efficiency. The experimental conditions: contact time = 72 hours, mixing speed = 200 rpm.	120
Figure 52: Average point of zero charge of the weathered slag determined by using NaCl solution at different concentrations.	121
Figure 53: Impacts of mixing time on P removal efficiency by different types of slag. The experimental conditions: slag dosage = 5 g/L, solution pH = 8.5, mixing speed = 200 rpm.	122
Figure 54: Impacts of the presence of inorganic carbon on P removal efficiency by different types of slag using (A) the recovery process effluent and (B) the de-carbonated recovery process effluent). The experimental conditions: initial solution pH = 8.5, contact time = 72 hours, mixing speed = 200 rpm.	124
Figure 55: Changes in (A and B) residual Ca and (C and D) residual inorganic contents in the process effluent and the de-carbonated process effluent, respectively during the post treatment under different dosages of slag. The experimental conditions: initial solution pH = 8.5, contact time = 72 hours, mixing speed = 200 rpm.	125
Figure 56: A photo of batch-mode microalgae reactor.	131
Figure 57: A photo of membrane photobioreactor.	131
Figure 58: Schematic diagram of experimental systems in this study, which presents (A) membrane photobioreactor and (B) MPR operation cycle.	133
Figure 59: Changes in biomass production of (A) batch mode microalgae reactor and the MPR at (B) low nutrient loading and (C) high nutrient loading.	137
Figure 60: Organic matter and nutrient removal by microalgae at different nutrient loading rates in batch mode cultivation.	138

Figure 61: Changes in COD concentration in the MPR effluent (permeate) over time at (A) low and (B) high rate of sludge centrate and different HRTs.	139
Figure 62: Nutrient removal from sludge centrate in the MPRs at different rate of sludge centrate and different HRTs.	140
Figure 63: Comparison in membrane permeability of microalgae culture at low loading rate of sludge centrate and different HRTs. Values and error bars are the mean and standard deviation of two replicate experiments.	142
Figure 64: Effects of HRTs and polymer dosages on harvesting microalgal biomass cultivated at high dilution factor of sludge centrate.	143
Figure 65: Changes in charge neutralisation of microalgae surface zeta potential using different cationic polymers for harvesting microalgae cultivated at different conditions.	144
Figure 66: Lipid content of microalgae biomass at stationary phase using sludge centrate at high dilution factor.	145

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Nutrient characteristics of unprocessed digestate from various feedstock.	12
Table 2. Typical compositional characteristics of sludge centrate from WWTPs [10, 11, 70, 74].	15
Table 3. Current commercial full-scale technologies for P recovery from digestate and sludge centrate.....	26
Table 4. Factors that influence the growth of microalgae [121-123].....	31
Table 5. Physiochemical properties of the FO membrane.	37
Table 6. Characteristics of sludge centrate (values indicated average \pm standard deviation of at least three samples).	38
Table 7. Sewage sludge and beverage waste characteristics (values indicated average \pm standard deviation of at least three samples).....	41
Table 8. The evaluation of saturation index to predict the potential formation of precipitates from existing ions in the FS.....	54
Table 9. Comparison in the properties of raw sludge centrate and sand-filtered sludge centrate (values indicated average \pm standard deviation of at least three samples).	69
Table 10. Comparison in the sand column operation and the properties of sand-filtered sludge centrate at different sand filtering conditions.	70
Table 11. The evaluation of saturation index to predict the potential formation of precipitates from existing ions in the FS.....	72
Table 12. Elemental composition of the steel-making slag in this study.....	87
Table 13. Adsorption isotherm models evaluated in this study.	89
Table 14. Kinetic adsorption models used in this study.....	90

Table 15. Physical characteristics of the steel-making slag including particle size, specific surface area (S_{BET}), pore volume (V_T) and pore radius (values indicated the average \pm standard deviation of three samples).....	93
Table 16. Adsorption isotherms of P to steel-making slag of different particle sizes. ...	95
Table 17. Kinetic modelling of different particle sizes.....	97
Table 18. The properties of sludge centrate after different treatment processes (values indicated average \pm standard deviation of three samples).	118
Table 19. Adsorption isotherms of P to different types of slag at different solution pH values.....	123

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Description
AD	Anaerobic Digestion
AcoD	Anaerobic Codigestion
BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller
BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace
CA	Contact Angle
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CP	Concentration Polarisation
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DI	Deionised
DM	Dry Matter
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DS	Draw Solution
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
ED	Electrodialysis
EDS	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
FO	Forward Osmosis
FS	Feed Solution
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
HAP	Hydroxyapatite
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
IAP	Ion Activity Product
IC	Inorganic Carbon or Ion Chromatography
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
IP	Intra-particle Diffusion
IWA	International Water Association
LMH	L/m ² .h

MD	Membrane Distillation
MF	Microfiltration
MPR	Membrane Photo-bioreactor
N	Nitrogen
NF	Nanofiltration
NSW	New South Wales
P	Phosphorus
PA	Polyamide
PRO	Pressure Retarded Osmosis
PZC	Point of Zero Charge
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SI	Saturation Index
TAS	Tasmania
TFC	Thin Film Composite
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorus
TS	Total Solids
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
UF	Ultrafiltration
US-EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UV	Ultraviolet
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant
XRD	X-ray Powder Diffraction

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Peer-reviewed journal publications and book chapters related to this thesis work

1. **M.T. Vu**, H.C. Duong, Q. Wang, Z. Cai, N.B. Hoang, N.T.T. Viet, L.D. Nghiem, A low-cost method using steel-making slag to quench the residual phosphorus from wastewater effluent, *Environmental Technology & Innovation* (2023) 103181 (**Q1**).
2. **M.T. Vu**, H.C. Duong, Q. Wang, A. Ansari, Z. Cai, N.B. Hoang, L.D. Nghiem, Recent technological developments and challenges for phosphorus removal and recovery toward a circular economy, *Environmental Technology & Innovation* 30 (2023) 103114 (**Q1**).
3. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, I. Ibrahim, M. Abu Hasan Johir, N. Bich Hoang, X. Zhang, L.D. Nghiem, Nutrient recovery from digested sludge centrate using alkali metals from steel-making slag, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 450 (2022) 138186 (**Q1**).
4. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, M. Mofijur, M.A.H. Johir, H.H. Ngo, T.M.I. Mahlia, L.D. Nghiem, Simultaneous nutrient recovery and algal biomass production from anaerobically digested sludge centrate using a membrane photobioreactor, *Bioresource Technology*, 343 (2022) 126069 (**Q1**).
5. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, M.A. Hasan Johir, X. Zhang, L.D. Nghiem, M. Elimelech, Biogas sparging to control fouling and enhance resource recovery from anaerobically digested sludge centrate by forward osmosis, *Journal of Membrane Science*, (2021) 119176 (**Q1**).
6. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, M.A. Hasan Johir, H.H. Ngo, C. Skidmore, A. Fontana, B. Galway, H. Bustamante, L.D. Nghiem, Phosphorus removal from aqueous solution by steel making slag – Mechanisms and performance optimisation, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 284 (2021) 124753 (**Q1**).

7. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, J.A.H. Mohammed, J. Zdarta, M. Mofijur, N. Pathak, L.D. Nghiem, Nutrient recovery from anaerobic digestate, in: *Anaerobic Digestate Management*, IWA Publishing, 2022, pp. 131-150.
8. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, J. Zdarta, J.A.H. Mohammed, N. Pathak, L.D. Nghiem, Chapter 1 - Wastewater to R3 – resource recovery, recycling, and reuse efficiency in urban wastewater treatment plants, in: A. An, V. Tyagi, M. Kumar, Z. Cetecioglu (Eds.) *Clean Energy and Resource Recovery*, Elsevier, 2022, pp. 3-16.

2. Other peer-reviewed journal publications and book chapters

9. L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, H.P. Vu, M.A.H. Jahir, L. Labeeuw, P.J. Ralph, T.M.I. Mahlia, A. Pandey, R. Sirohi, L.D. Nghiem, Microalgae-based carbon capture and utilization: A critical review on current system developments and biomass utilization, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 53 (2023) 216-238 (**Q1**).
10. **M.T. Vu**, K. Bachosz, L.D. Nghiem, J. Zdarta, L.N. Nguyen, T. Jesionowski, Enzyme-based control of membrane biofouling for water and wastewater purification: A comprehensive review, *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 25 (2022) 102106 (**Q1**).
11. L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, M. Abu Hasan Jahir, M. Pernice, H.H. Ngo, J. Zdarta, T. Jesionowski, L.D. Nghiem, Promotion of direct interspecies electron transfer and potential impact of conductive materials in anaerobic digestion and its downstream processing - a critical review, *Bioresource Technology*, 341 (2021) 125847 (**Q1**).
12. H.C. Duong, L.T.T. Tran, **M.T. Vu**, D. Nguyen, N.T.V. Tran, L.D. Nghiem, A new perspective on small-scale treatment systems for arsenic affected groundwater, *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 23 (2021) 101780 (**Q1**).

13. J.A. Khan, **M.T. Vu**, L.D. Nghiem, A preliminary assessment of forward osmosis to extract water from rumen fluid for artificial saliva, *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering*, 3 (2021) 100095.
14. **M.T. Vu**, L.N. Nguyen, K. Li, Q. Fu, M.A.H. Johir, A. Fontana, L.D. Nghiem, Biomethane production from anaerobic co-digestion and steel-making slag: A new waste-to-resource pathway, *Science of the Total Environment*, (2020) 139764 (**Q1**).
15. **M.T. Vu**, H.P. Vu, L.N. Nguyen, G.U. Semblante, M.A.H. Johir, L.D. Nghiem, A hybrid anaerobic and microalgal membrane reactor for energy and microalgal biomass production from wastewater, *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 19 (2020) 100834 (**Q1**).
16. L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, A.Q. Nguyen, M.A.H. Johir, A.S. Commault, P.J. Ralph, G.U. Semblante, L.D. Nghiem, A sequential membrane bioreactor followed by a membrane microalgal reactor for nutrient removal and algal biomass production, *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 6(2020) 189-196 (**Q1**).
17. L.N. Nguyen, J. Kumar, **M.T. Vu**, J.A.H. Mohammed, N. Pathak, A.S. Commault, D. Sutherland, J. Zdarta, V.K. Tyagi, L.D. Nghiem, Biomethane production from anaerobic co-digestion at wastewater treatment plants: A critical review on development and innovations in biogas upgrading techniques, *Science of the Total Environment*, 765 (2021) 142753 (**Q1**).
18. H.P. Vu, L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, M.A.H. Johir, R. McLaughlan, L.D. Nghiem, A comprehensive review on the framework to valorise lignocellulosic biomass as biorefinery feedstocks, *Science of the Total Environment*, 743 (2020) 140630 (**Q1**).
19. H.P. Vu, L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, L. Labeeuw, B. Emmerton, A.S. Commault, P.J. Ralph, T.M.I. Mahlia, L.D. Nghiem, Harvesting *Porphyridium purpureum* using

- polyacrylamide polymers and alkaline bases and their impact on biomass quality, *Science of the Total Environment*, 755 (2021) 142412 (Q1).
20. L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, M.A. Jahir, N. Pathak, J. Zdarta, T. Jesionowski, G.U. Semblante, F.I. Hai, H. Khanh Dieu Nguyen, L.D. Nghiem, A novel approach in crude enzyme laccase production and application in emerging contaminant bioremediation, *Processes*, 8 (2020) (Q2).
21. **M.T. Vu**, W.E. Price, T. He, X. Zhang, L.D. Nghiem, Seawater-driven forward osmosis for pre-concentrating nutrients in digested sludge centrate, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 247 (2019) 135-139 (Q1).
22. L.N. Nguyen, **M.T. Vu**, H.P. Vu, J. Zdarta, J.A.H. Mohammed, N. Pathak, P.J. Ralph, L.D. Nghiem, Chapter 4 - Seaweed carrageenans: Productions and applications, in: H. Ngo, W. Guo, A. Pandey, J.-S. Chang, D.-J. Lee (Eds.) *Biomass, Biofuels, and Biochemicals*, Elsevier, 2022, pp. 67-80.
23. M.B. Asif, Z. Zhang, **M.T. Vu**, J.A.H. Mohammed, N. Pathak, L.D. Nghiem, L.N. Nguyen, Membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment: Current status, novel configurations and cost analysis, in, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2022, pp. 1-21.

ABSTRACT

Phosphorus is an essential element for all lives on earth. It is also a finite resource, derived primarily from phosphorus rock. Given the imminent depletion of minable phosphate rock, phosphorus recovery from nutrient-rich streams is essential for future generations.

Sludge centrate as a by-product from anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge is a phosphorus-rich stream that can be considered as an alternative for renewable phosphorus supply. This study initiated a systematic approach to develop an innovative integrated framework using steel-making slag and membrane-based processes to maximise phosphorus removal and recovery from sludge centrate. The proposed complete framework involved pre-treatment process (i.e. biogas sparging and sand filtration), enrichment process by forward osmosis followed by recovery process using steel-making slag and post treatment of the recovery process effluent by steel-making slag and a membrane photo-bioreactor.

The obtained results demonstrated the proof-of-concept of biogas sparging to control membrane fouling and enhance nutrient enrichment during sludge centrate pre-concentration by forward osmosis. Biogas sparging also resulted in a significant improvement in the enrichment of phosphate ions to close to the theoretical value based on mass balance calculation. In other words, phosphate ions were retained in the concentrated sludge centrate for subsequent recovery.

Results in this study highlighted for the first time the potential of nutrient recovery from sludge centrate using calcium and other alkali metals from steel-making slag. Up to 96% phosphate and 71% ammonia could be recovered from sludge centrate at the optimal conditions. The results also showed that pre-treatment by sand filtration and forward osmosis enrichment was essential to achieve high nutrient recovery. Sand filtration pre-

treatment decreased the total suspended solid of sludge centrate by eightfold, leading to mitigated membrane fouling and reduced nutrient loss during forward osmosis pre-concentration.

In addition, the study demonstrated the feasibility of using steel-making slag to polish the aqueous solution followed by the application of steel-making slag in quenching residual P from the recovery process effluent. At the optimal conditions (i.e. pH 8.5 and steel-making slag dosage of 5 g/L), approximately 98% phosphorus removal could be achieved with the output level of less than 0.1 mg/L.

Furthermore, the study successfully demonstrated the feasibility of using a novel sequencing batch membrane photobioreactor for simultaneous nutrient removal and algal biomass production from sludge centrate. In comparison to the batch mode reactor, the membrane photobioreactor allowed for continuous cultivation of microalgae with 40% higher biomass content.

Keywords: Phosphorus recovery; sludge centrate; membrane filtration; steel-making slag; biogas sparging; microalgae cultivation.