

# Machine Learning Techniques for Pricing, Hedging and Statistical Arbitrage in Finance

## by Prateek Samuel Daniels

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

### PhD in Finance

under the supervision of Assoc. Prof Christina Sklibosios Nikitopoulos Dr Otto Konstandatos Prof. Xue-Zhong (Tony) He Dr Mesias Alfeus

University of Technology Sydney Faculty of Business

December 2022

## Certificate of original authorship

I, Prateek Samuel Daniels, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the UTS Business School at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

### Signature:

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 28 December, 2022

## Acknowledgement

"I will be glad and rejoice in you; I will sing the praises of your name, O Most High."

- Psalm, 9:1-2

I am forever grateful to God Almighty for helping me sail through the most challenging phase of my life. During this journey, there have been many setbacks physically and mentally, and without Him, I would not have progressed this far. I want to wholeheartedly thank and express my sincere gratitude to my current and former supervisors: Assoc. Prof Christina Nikitopoulos, Dr Otto Konstandatos, and Prof. Anthony David Hall for being a mentor and offering guidance, support and technical advice throughout this journey. I highly appreciate Assoc. Prof Christina Nikitopoulos, for her patience and constant perseverance, which has enabled me to get through this journey. Additionally, I am also thankful to Dr Otto Konstandatos for providing valuable technical advice that has been critical in my thesis. I also express my most profound appreciation to Prof. Xue-Zhong (Tony) He and Dr Mesias Alfeus for their continuous advice, support, and invaluable suggestions.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding and scholarship provided by the University of Technology Sydney (UTS). I am also thankful to the UTS Graduate Research School staff, UTS Business HDR staff, Reka Sadagopal, Ashleigh Hall, UTS Finance Discipline Group staff, Andrea Greer and Duncan Ford, and the UTS iHPC (Interactive High-Performance Computing) staff for all their administrative support.

I am also grateful to OptionMetrics, MathWorks, JetBrains, MySQL, dbForge Studio, MongoDB, Studio 3T, Thomson Reuters, Overleaf, Tableau and PairTrade Finder for providing access to their software, which was essential to the technical development and research of this thesis.

I want to express my gratitude to my wife, Anna, for her love and support and for standing beside me through thick and thin. I am incredibly appreciative of my parents' unwavering love, prayers, support, encouragement and patience throughout this journey. I would also like to thank my brother, Pranav, for his love, guidance and support. I am also very thankful to my in-laws, who have constantly supported me through this journey. I am also very grateful to my family members, Susanna, Sarah, Allen, Linda, and Lesley, for their constant love and support.

Last but not least, I would like to also thank my friends and family in Sydney: Chacko, Soji, Sibi, Christine, Sanju, Deepa, Tijo, Jinju, Aylwin, Rinu, Andrew, Bozena, Christo, Supriya and parishioners of the St. Thomas Indian Orthodox Church Cathedral, Sydney, for their hospitality and support. I sincerely appreciate Dr Mahesh and Dr Rajya Gantasala for their love and financial support. A special thanks goes out to Anirudh, Mai, Man, Chung, and Linh, my fellow UTS PhD friends. To my beloved Anna, Grace and Noah

# Contents

Acknowledgement			i
C	ontei	nts	iv
	Abs	stract	1
1	Inti	roduction	3
In	trod	uction	3
	1.1	Motivation and Literature Review	3
	1.2	Thesis Contributions	24
	1.3	Thesis Structure	26
2		ly Forecasting of S&P 500 Index Option Prices Using ep Learning Models	31
	2.1	Introduction	31
	2.2	Pricing Models	35
	2.3	Data and Methodology	43
	2.4	Empirical Results	64
	2.5	Conclusion	83
3		ly Forecasting of Delta for S&P 500 Index Options Using Deep Learning dels	85
	3.1	Introduction	85
	3.2	Data and Methodology	89
	3.3	Fitting and Calibrating the Models	97

	3.4	Empirical Results	99
	3.5	Conclusion	114
4	Can	Model Averaging Improve Forecasting Performance?	116
	4.1	Introduction	116
	4.2	Methodology	118
	4.3	Forecasting of S&P 500 Index Options Prices using Averaging Models	121
	4.4	Forecasting of S&P 500 Index Options Delta Using Averaging Models	131
	4.5	Robustness Tests	142
	4.6	Conclusion	144
5		imal Pairs Trading–An Alternate Way of Trading Equity ETF Pairs Using chine Learning Models	g 146
	5.1	Introduction	146
	5.2	Models	148
	5.3	Data	155
	5.4	Model Calibration and Performance Criteria	163
	5.5	Empirical Results	167
	5.6	Conclusion	184
6	Con	nclusion	185
	6.1	Summary of Findings	185
	6.2	Avenues for Future Research	188
Α.	1App	pendix for Chapter 2: Tables and Graphs	190
	A.1.	1Fields from OptionMetrics	198
	A.1.	2Optimization Methods	200
	A.1.	3Rule-of-Thumb Evaluation	201
	A.1.	4Epochs	203

### A.2Appendix for Chapter 2: Extended Results

A.2.1Pricing performance of <b>C-Models</b> that use <b>lagged input variables</b> to forecast the call option price $(C_{N+1})$ for the next trading day:	207
A.2.2Pricing performance of <b>C-Models</b> that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input vari-</b> <b>ables</b> to forecast the call option price $C_{N+1}$ for the next trading day	228
A.2.3Pricing performance of <b>CK-Models</b> that use <b>lagged input variables</b> to forecast the call option price scaled by the strike price $(C_{N+1}/K_{N+1})$ for the next trading day	235
A.2.4Pricing performance of <b>CK-Models</b> that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input</b> <b>variables</b> to forecast the call option price $CK_{N+1}$ for the next trading day	255
A.2.5Pricing performance of $C-Models$ that use lagged input variables to forecast the call option price $(C_{N+1})$ and performance of $CK-Models-Rescaled$ that have re-scaled call option prices from $CK-Models$ that use lagged input variables to forecast the call option prices scaled by the strike price $(C_{N+1}/K_{N+1})$ for the next trading day	262
B.1Appendix for Chapter 3: Tables	266
B.2Appendix for Chapter 3: Extended Results	276
B.2.1Hedging performance of <b>H-Models</b> that use <b>lagged input variables</b> to forecast the delta $(\Delta_{N+1})$ for the next trading day:	276
B.2.2Hedging performance of <b>H-Models</b> that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input</b> <b>variables</b> to forecast the delta $(\Delta_{N+1})$ for the next trading day:	282
B.2.3Hedging performance of <b>CH-Models</b> that have analytically derived the delta $(\delta C_{N+1}/\delta S_{N+1})$ from the call option price $(C_{N+1})$ , which is forecasted from models that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input variables</b> :	288
B.2.4Replicating portfolio value performance of <b>HV-Models</b> that forecast the repli- cating portfolio value( $V_{N+1}$ ), computed using the delta from <b>H-Models</b> that use	00.4

B.2.3Hedging performance of <b>CH-Models</b> that have analytically derived the delta $(\delta C_{N+1}/\delta S_{N+1})$ from the call option price $(C_{N+1})$ , which is forecasted from models that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input variables</b> :	288
B.2.4Replicating portfolio value performance of <b>HV-Models</b> that forecast the repli- cating portfolio value( $V_{N+1}$ ), computed using the delta from <b>H-Models</b> that use <b>lagged input variables</b> :	294
B.2.5Replicating portfolio value performance of <b>HV-Models</b> that forecast the repli- cating portfolio value( $V_{N+1}$ ), computed using the delta from <b>H-Models</b> that use <b>one-trading-day-ahead input variables</b> :	300
B.2.6Replicating portfolio value performance of <b>CHV-Models</b> that forecast the repli- cating portfolio value( $V_{N+1}$ ) computed using the analytically derived delta ( $\delta C_{N+1}/$ and where the $\delta C_{N+1}/\delta S_{N+1}$ is inferred from models that forecast the call option price ( $C_{N+1}$ ) using <b>one-trading-day-ahead input variables</b> :	

### C.1Appendix for Chapter 4: Tables

 $\mathbf{312}$ 

207

C.2Appendix for Chapter 4: Extended Results	
C.2.1Results - $C^{AVG} - Models$ - Model Averaging	316
C.2.2Results - $CK - Models$ - Model Averaging	332
C.2.3Results - Model Averaging for Hedging	347
C.2.4Results - Model Averaging for computing the Replicating Portfolio	362
D.1Appendix for Chapter 5: Tables and Graphs	377
D.2Appendix for Chapter 5: Extended Results	387
References	412

## Abstract

This thesis aims to evaluate the performance of deep learning artificial neural network (ANN) (multi-layer perceptron (MLP) and long short-term memory (LSTM)) models and parametric (Black–Scholes–Merton, Heston, Heston jump diffusion and finite moment log stable) models in the daily prediction of Standard and Poor's (S&P) 500 call option prices and delta and optimally trading ETF pairs. We use multiple specifications of hidden layers and neurons for ANN models that reflect a more granular level of deep learning, aiming to provide insight into the efficacy of increasing granularity in improving performance. For comparison, we employ classical option parametric pricing models widely used in academia and practice to comprehensively assess the models' performance based on their practical relevance.

In the first study, an extensive empirical assessment of the forecasting performance of daily S&P 500 call option prices/moneyness is performed, and we experiment with single, double, and triple hidden layers specifications of ANN and parametric models. Deep learning ANN models are trained on lagged and one-trading-day-ahead input variables. The numerical investigations reveal that the best-performing models for daily forecasts of call option prices and moneyness are LSTM models (with lagged input variables) and MLP models (with one-trading-day-ahead input variables) compared to parametric models. Moreover, most triple hidden layer ANN models outperform single and double hidden layer ANN models. These results have practical implications for pricing options without look-ahead bias and for network architectures that empirically demonstrate performance improvement from single to triple hidden layers ANN models.

In the second study, the empirical performance of triple hidden layer deep learning ANN and parametric models (with lagged and one-trading-day-ahead input variables) is assessed by predicting daily S&P500 call option delta and the corresponding replicating portfolio value. The delta is computed directly and may also be analytically inferred from option prices. We find that the Black–Scholes–Merton model and the LSTM models typically outperform the other parametric and ANN models. In particular, the LSTM models outperform when the delta is analytically inferred from option prices. The results of this chapter have practical relevance for short-term dynamic hedging applications of options portfolios.

The third study amalgamates the models discussed in the first and second studies by comparing model averaging predictions of prices, deltas and replicating portfolios from deep learning ANN and parametric models. It is shown that the average triple hidden layer MLP models tend to perform the best in forecasting option prices, with the parametric models performing better in forecasting delta. For the replicating portfolio, the pricing forecasts seem to dominate the delta forecasts, revealing the superiority of the average triple hidden layer MLP models. These findings provide empirical evidence of the effectiveness of model averaging techniques for forecasting options prices and delta risks, which would be helpful in short-term risk management and derivatives evaluation.

The fourth study introduces a new methodology for pair trading equity ETFs, which is formulated by effectively applying commonly used technical indicators and machine learning algorithms (decision tree and deep learning MLP models) to the spreads generated by traditional approaches, thereby generating unique ways to enhance returns. We perform a comparative analysis based on actual PnL(Profit and Loss), returns, Sharpe ratios, and other performance indicators. Eight ETF pairs across three rolling windows (30 days, 50 days and 100 days) yield 3,084 pair trading strategies, which are back-tested. We find that there are alternate and profitable ways to trade pairs that provide a practitioner with many profitable opportunities, unlike traditional approaches in which one is confined to a limited number of opportunities. A pair of ETFs can be traded irrespective they are cointegrated or correlated, thereby enabling hedge funds, institutional investors and retail traders to deploy this strategy as a long/short equity investment tool.