

Biofunctionalization of upconversion nanoparticles for intracellular labeling and imaging

by Tesfaye Eshete Asrat

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

PhD thesis: Science

under the supervision of

Distinguished Professor Dayong Jin

A/Professor Jiajia Zhou; Dr. Qiang Fu; Dr. Peter Su; and
Dr. Jiayan Liao

University of Technology Sydney

Faculty of Science

25 September 2022

Certificate of original authorship

I, Tesfaye Eshete Asrat, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Ph.D. in Science in the school of mathematical and physical sciences at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

25 September 2022

Acknowledgment

I am pleased to use this opportunity to thank my supervisors, family, and friends for their unlimited help during the study period. I thank distinguished Professor Dayong Jin, for the Ph.D. opportunity and his inspiration, advice, and support throughout the study period. The end-user and industry-oriented research projects at IBMD are great learning opportunities, and I gained vast experience in how scientific research could be used to address real-life challenges. Besides the comprehensive academic and research experience I acquired during my stay, I have gained many personal and professional development lessons from him.

I want to express my deep gratitude to A/Professor Olga Shimoni, my former principal supervisor, for her support and advice at the start of the Ph.D. project. Her guidance and support helped me a lot, especially in my first year of study. I am very thankful to all my co-supervisors A/Professor Jiajia Zhou, Dr. Qiang Fu, Dr. Peter Su, and Dr. Jiayan Liao. I am grateful for A/Professor Jiajia Zhou's continued advice and support during the experimental works and thesis writing. I am thankful for Dr. Qiang Fu's advice on polymer synthesis and characterization. I greatly appreciate Dr. Peter Su's valuable advice and guidance in cell labeling experiments and microscopy techniques. I thank Dr. Jiayan Liao because she provided me with extensive advice during experimental works and the thesis write-up. I thank all members of IBMD for their help and support during my study period. I am grateful to the chemical technology, MAU, and biological science laboratory technical officers, for the lab inductions and training and their immediate helps whenever I need their support.

To my father, Eshete Asrat, and my mother, Menbere Gebryie, for their sacrifices to raise and educate my siblings and me in challenging situations. All that I have achieved so far is due to their unlimited help. Tizta B. Woldekidan, who is not only my life partner but also secures my family, helped me a lot in managing the home and our children. I thank my daughter Emnet and my sons Natan and Dagim for their patience in staying alone in my home country during the study, including the COVID-19 lockdown period. To all my siblings, especially Zelalem E., Bahru M., Zewge A., and Dejene B., for helping my parents and family; and to my friends A/Professor Yedilfana S., Dr. Amanuel B., Dr. Tessema F., and Dr. Wassie T. for sharing their experiences, and Mr. Anthony Sarabi. for proofreading. I thank the Ethiopian community at Sydney Debre Tsion St Mary church for their encouragement and support. I am grateful to Addis Ababa Science

and Technology University for the study leave, Alcolizer technology, and the APRIntern for the internship. I thank MaPS and GRS-UTS for the IRS and UTSP's scholarships. In a nutshell, I am very grateful to all involved in my Ph.D. study journey, and lastly, I acknowledge my everyday aspiring UTS's motto, 'THINK.CHANGE.DO'.

Table of Contents

Certificate of original authorship	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of tables.....	xvi
List of schematic illustrations	xvii
Acronym	xviii
Abstract	xx
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Preamble.....	1
1.2 Motivations of the project	1
1.3 Molecular imaging	4
1.4 Contrast agents in fluorescent imaging	6
1.5 Nanoparticles as a contrast agent	8
1.6 Objectives of the study.....	17
2 Nanotechnology in Healthcare Applications	19
2.1 Preamble.....	19
2.2 Introduction	19
2.3 The lanthanides (III) doped UCNPs.....	23
2.3.1 The potential advantages of UCNPs surfaces.....	30
2.3.2 Modification of the UCNPs surfaces	33
2.3 Polymers in surface modification of UCNPs	39

2.4.1	RDRP in the synthesis of copolymers	40
2.4.2	Functionality and architecture of copolymers for UCNPs surface grafting	47
2.4	UCNPs-based biomedical applications	63
2.5	Conclusion.....	70
3	Synthesis and Characterization of Upconversion Nanoparticles	73
3.1	Preamble.....	73
3.2	Introduction	73
3.3	Experimental section	74
3.3.1	Synthesis of β -NaYF ₄ :Yb ³⁺ /Er ³⁺ nanoparticles	75
3.4	Result and discussion	78
3.5	Conclusion.....	80
4	Development of RAFT Block Copolymers for UCNPs Surface Functionalization	81
4.1	Preamble.....	81
4.2	Introduction	81
4.2.1	RAFT polymerization for the synthesis of block copolymers	82
4.3	Experimental section	84
4.3.1	OESPX-based copolymer synthesis.....	86
4.3.2	CTCPA-based photo-induced triblock polymerization	88
4.3.3	CPADB-based thermally initiated triblock polymerization.....	90
4.3.4	CDCPA-based photo-induced triblock polymerization	91
4.3.5	CTCPA-based photo-induced diblock polymerization.....	92
4.4	Result and discussion	93
4.4.1	OESPX-based triblock copolymer.....	93
4.4.2	CTCPA-based triblock copolymer.....	98

4.4.3	CPADB-based triblock copolymer	101
4.4.4	CDCPA-based triblock copolymer	104
4.4.5	CTCPA-based diblock copolymer	108
4.5	Conclusion.....	111
5	Design and Functionalization of Upconversion Nanoparticles Surfaces	113
5.1	Preamble.....	113
5.2	Introduction	113
5.2.1	UCNPs surface functionalization.....	114
5.2.2	Coupling of biomolecules	116
5.3	Experimental section	117
5.3.1	UCNPs copolymer surface grafting	117
5.4	Result and discussion	120
5.4.1	Hydrodynamic size and zeta potential of surface grafted UCNPs.....	120
5.4.2	Stability of copolymers grafted UCNPs surfaces	127
5.4.3	Determination of surface graft density of modified nanoparticles	133
5.4.4	Coupling of biomolecules and organic dyes.....	141
5.5	Conclusions	146
6	Upconversion Nanoparticles in Intracellular Labeling and Imaging	149
6.1	Preamble.....	149
6.2	Introduction	149
6.3	Experimental section	154
6.3.1	Materials and methods	154
6.3.2	The HeLa cell line UCNPs labeling	155
6.4	Result and discussion	157

6.4.1	UCNPs nanoprobe for intracellular labeling	157
6.4.2	UCNPs-dye hybrid probes for intracellular labeling and imaging	165
6.5	Conclusion.....	170
7	Summary of the Overall Ph.D. Project results	173
7.1	Preamble.....	173
7.2	The UCNPs synthesis and characterization	173
7.3	The synthesis of RAFT copolymers.....	175
7.4	Surface grafting and functionalization of the UCNPs.....	180
7.5	UCNPs in intracellular labeling and imaging	183
7.6	Conclusion.....	185
8	Conclusion and Perspectives.....	187
	Appendix.....	189
	Internship Project work:.....	189
	Materials Chemistry and scaling-up of nanoparticles fabrication	189
1.	Introduction.....	189
2.	Activities performed and experiences.....	190
3.	Conclusion	190
	Bibliography	191

List of Figures

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the multidisciplinary nature of nanomedicine and different disciplines involved in its implementation. Adapted with permission ¹⁴ © 2009 Wiley-VCH.	2
Figure 2 Pictorial representation of roles of nanotechnology in the convergence of different disciplines in the development of nanomaterials for diagnostic and therapeutic applications	3
Figure 3 Classification of molecular imaging techniques with a focus on fluorescent-based optical imaging approaches.	5
Figure 4 Pictorial representation of contrast creation in fluorescence imaging of tumor, where (A) and (B) stand for labeling of the specific tumor site, and (C) stands for signal generation, and detection. Adapted with permission ⁴² © 2006 Elsevier B.V.	6
Figure 5 The optical EMR regions and the fluorescence modalities used in fluorescence imaging. Reproduced with permission ⁴³ © 2019 Elsevier B.V.	7
Figure 6 Timeline on the progressive development of nanoprobes and their use in different fluorescence microscopy. Reproduced with permission ⁵² © 2018 Wiley-VCH.	9
Figure 7 Characteristic emissions from lanthanide (III) doped nanoparticles (A) downshifting and (B) up converting modes. Reproduced with permission ^{59, 60} © 2018 (A) and © 2019 (B) Elsevier B.V.	10
Figure 8 The different UCNP synthesis techniques (A) thermal (B) hydro(solvo)thermal (C) coprecipitation, and (D) sol-gel methods. Adapted with permission ⁴⁰ © 2020 Elsevier B.V.	11
Figure 9 A comprehensive research plan and timeline on the synthesis and developments of UCNPs, products, and envision for their clinical translation. Adapted with permission ⁷³ © 2017 American Chemical Society.	12
Figure 10 The 'graft from' (A) and 'graft to' (B) approach surface modification. Reprinted with permission ⁸⁰ © 2017 American Chemical Society.	14
Figure 11 Schematic representation of surface tethering of bifunctional polymer and conjugation of biomolecules to nanoparticle surfaces.	15

Figure 12 Schematic illustration of the flow scheme of the Ph.D. research project, which encompasses synthesis of UCNPs, RAFT copolymers design and development, surface grafting and functionalization, and cell labeling and imaging.	17
Figure 13 The relationships between nanotechnology with nanobiotechnology and nanomedicine. Reproduced with permission ¹¹ © 2017 Springer.	20
Figure 14 Representation of multifunctional nanoparticles developed through surface modification and biofunctionalization of UCNP. Reproduced with permission ¹⁰⁷ © 2009 Wiley-VCH.	21
Figure 15 List of commonly used labeling agents in fluorescence microscopy that includes both organic and inorganic fluorophores, their sub-classes (A), and organic fluorophores with their respective visible emission (B). Adapted with permission ^{114, 115} © 2016, and © 2021 Wiley-VCH, respectively.	22
Figure 16 The comparative size (A) and luminescence emission wavelength ranges of optical nanoprobos (B). Reproduced with permission ¹¹⁵ © 2016 Wiley-VCH.	23
Figure 17 Pictorial representation of the synthesis of UCNPs (A) representation of reaction mixture at the initial stage, (B) formation of cubic phase, and (C) favoring the hexagonal structure formation.	25
Figure 18 The UCNPs synthesis reaction setup (A), course of reactions, including the stages in hexagonal phase formation at (B) and (C) Reproduced with permission ¹⁰ © 2016 American Chemical Society.	26
Figure 19 The energy level diagrams of 4fn electron transitions of lanthanide elements. Reproduced with permission ⁸⁰ © 2021 Royal Chemical Society.	29
Figure 20 Schematic representation of the five kinds of upconversion mechanisms. Adapted with permission ¹⁵⁶ © 2020 Elsevier B.V.	30
Figure 21 Pictorial representation of the structure of electrical double layer during zeta potential determination using capillary cuvette. Adapted with permission ^{160, 161} © 2020 Canadian Society for Chemical Engineering and © 2016 Wiley-VCH, respectively.	32

Figure 22 Schematic illustration of surface polymer architectures (A), different surface attachment techniques (B), and types of polymers suitable for surface modification (C). Reproduced with permission ¹⁷⁴ © 2021 Elsevier B.V.	35
Figure 23 Schematic representation of UCNPs surfaces optimization opportunities performance through polymer grafting.	36
Figure 24 The progressive development in nanomaterials design and challenges faced in their biological applications. Reproduced with permission ¹⁷⁷ © 2012 by Annual Reviews.....	37
Figure 25 Pictorial representation of the potential advantages of RAFT polymers in nanoparticle hybrids development. Reproduced with permission ¹⁸⁷ © 2021 The Royal Society of Chemistry.	40
Figure 26 Schematic illustration of RDRP in controlled polymerization. Reproduced from Moad et al. ⁸²	41
Figure 27 Representative RAFT agent types for the synthesis of block copolymers.	44
Figure 28 Effect and compatibility of the Z- and R- groups of RAFT agents with different monomer types. Reproduced with permission ²²⁰ © 2006 CSIRO.	46
Figure 29 Pictorial representation of responsive surface. Reproduced with permission ²²⁴ © 2020 Wiley-VCH.	48
Figure 30 Schematic illustration of surface hydration layer produced by amphiphilic coated surfaces in defending the nanoparticle from protein fouling.	50
Figure 31 Representation of dipole moment orientation in the polymer chains (A) and hydration layer formation in zwitterionic surfaces (B). Reproduced with permission ²⁵⁰ © 2020 American Chemical Society.	51
Figure 32 Representation of corona effect on nanoparticles targetability (A) without polymer surface graft and (B) with polymer grafting. Reproduced with permission ²⁶⁰ © 2017 The Royal Society of Chemistry.....	52
Figure 33 Schematic illustration of nanoparticle surfaces capped with oleate ligand (A), and grafted from multifunctional copolymer (B).	54

Figure 34 Pictorial representation of intracellular delivery of nanoparticles and possible nano-bio interactions during cellular uptake. Reproduced with permission ²⁶⁹ © 2009 Macmillan Publishers Limited.....	55
Figure 35 Pictorial illustration of mechanisms of cellular uptake and endosomal escape for antibody. Reproduced with permission ²⁹³ © 2011 The Royal Society of Chemistry.....	57
Figure 36 Schematic representation of surface grafted copolymers (A) and antibody-coupled surfaces (B).	60
Figure 37 Schematic representation of coupling of the whole antibody to nanoparticle surfaces having different functionalities. Reproduced with permission ³⁴³ © 2020 Elsevier B.V.	62
Figure 38 Pictorial representation of EDC activation in coupling and surface biofunctionalization. Reproduced from Conde et al. ¹¹⁶ © 2014 Frontier Chemistry.....	63
Figure 39 A compressive representation of diverse therapeutic and diagnostic roles hold by the UCNPs.	64
Figure 40 Schematic illustrations of different optical imaging, widefield (A), confocal (B), TIRF (C), and light sheet (D) techniques in the visualization of intracellular structures. Reproduced from with permission ³⁵⁸ © 2021 Wiley-VCH.....	66
Figure 41 Comparative images of the tubulin structures taken using SIM, STED, and STORM techniques. Reproduced with permission ³⁶⁶ © 2013 American Chemical Society.	68
Figure 42 The progressive developments in UCNPs-based super-resolution imaging.	70
Figure 43 Schematic representation of the measurement of reagents (A) the steps 1 to 3 and the thermal decomposition synthesis of core-UCNPs (B) in steps 4 to 6.....	76
Figure 44 TEM micrograph of synthesized UCNPs (A) 20 nm, (B), 25 nm, and (C) 35 nm.....	78
Figure 45 The spectroscopic property of β -NaYF ₄ : 20% Yb ³⁺ /2% Er ³⁺	79
Figure 46 The XRD powder diffraction of the synthesized 25 nm β -NaYF ₄ : Yb ³⁺ (20%)/ Er ³⁺ (2 %) (Red plot) and standard (black plot).	80
Figure 47 The ¹ H NMR (A) and ¹³ C NMR (B) spectra of OESPX.	94

Figure 48 The ¹ H NMR spectra of Polymer 1: synthesis of MAA macro-RAFT agent at 0 hours (A) and 7 hours (B); synthesis of diblock at 0 hours (C) and 8 hours (D) hours; triblock synthesis at 0 hours (E) and 11 hours (F) (¹ H NMR solvent: DMSO-d6).	95
Figure 49 The ¹ H NMR spectrum (A), ATR-FTIR spectrum (B), and TGA (C) of Polymer 1. ..	97
Figure 50 ¹ H NMR spectra of Polymer 2: MAA macro-RAFT agent formation at 0-hour (A) and 12 hours (B) diblock synthesis at 0 hours (C) and 10 hours (D) hours; triblock synthesis at 0 hours (E) and 11 hours (F) (NMR solvent: DMSO-d6).....	99
Figure 51 The ¹ H NMR spectrum (A), ATR-FTIR spectrum (B), and TGA (C) of Polymer 2.	100
Figure 52 ¹ H NMR spectra of Polymer 3: MAA macro-RAFT agent synthesis at 0 hours (A), and 12 hours (B); diblock synthesis at 0 hours (C) and 8 hours (D) hours; triblock synthesis at 0 hours (E) and 11 hours (F) (NMR solvent: DMSO-d6).....	102
Figure 53 The ¹ H NMR spectrum (A), ATR-FTIR spectrum (B), and TGA thermograph (C) of Polymer 3.....	103
Figure 54 The ¹ H NMR spectrum (A), ATR-FTIR spectrum (B), and its TGA thermograph (C) of Polymer 4.....	105
Figure 55 The ¹ H NMR spectra of Polymer 5: MAA macro RAFT agent formation at 0 hours (A) and 8 hours (B) diblock synthesis at 0 hours (C) and 10 hours (D) hours; triblock synthesis at 0 hours (E) and 11 hours (F) (NMR solvent: A-E DMSO-d6; and F - in deuterated water.	106
Figure 56 The ¹ H NMR spectrum (A), ATR-FTIR spectrum (B), and TGA thermograph (C) of Polymer 5.....	108
Figure 57 The H NMR (A) FTIR (B) and TGA (C) of Polymer 6.	109
Figure 58 Schematic representation of UCNPs surfaces oleate capped as-synthesized nanoparticles(A) and copolymer grafted particles through ligand exchange (B).	115
Figure 59 Flow Scheme for polymer surface grafting of the UCNPs.....	117
Figure 60 Pictorial representation of UCNPs surfaces (A) oleate capped, (B) first copolymer grafted, and (C) second copolymer grafted.....	118

Figure 61 Number weighted DLS particle size distribution of the oleate capped and copolymers grafted UCNPs.	121
Figure 62 Zeta potential of Polymer 3 modified heterogeneous nanoparticle surfaces at pH 8.5.	124
Figure 63 Number weighted DLS hydrodynamic size of the oleate capped, separate Polymer 6 grafted and double copolymer grafted UCNPs.	125
Figure 64 shows the stability of the different copolymers grafted nanoparticles stability in deionized water.	128
Figure 65 ATR-FTIR spectra of the oleate capped, and copolymers modified nanoparticles. ..	130
Figure 66 ATR-FTIR spectrum of combined copolymers grafted UCNPs.	131
Figure 67 TGA thermograph of the as-synthesized oleate capped and copolymers grafted UCNPs.	134
Figure 68 Thermograph of the combined copolymers grafted UCNPs.	138
Figure 69 Schematic illustration of an end-group modification of the OEPSX copolymers, where (A) before and (B) after end-group modification.	139
Figure 70 Schematic illustration of the coupling of FITC-NCS at the amine (A) and sulfhydryl of the modified end groups and (B) FITC-coupled surfaces.	140
Figure 71 FTIR spectra of post-surface graft end group modified surfaces (black spectrum); with hydrazine treatment (red spectrum) and FITC coupled surfaces (blue spectrum).	141
Figure 72 DLS hydrodynamic size of different antibody concentration coupled UCNPs.	143
Figure 73 The hydrodynamic size of poly arginine and TAT-HIV peptide coupled UCNPs. ...	145
Figure 74 FTIR spectra of Antibody, Polyarginine, and TAT-HIV peptide-functionalized UCNPs.	146
Figure 75 Representation of the integration of antibody to polymer grafted UCNPs.	151

Figure 76 Schematic visualization of different fluorescence imaging techniques in intracellular imaging (a) and nanoparticles in use by those instruments (b). Reprinted with permission ⁴⁷⁹ © 2022 American Chemical Society.	153
Figure 77 Functionalized UCNPs, and hybrid nanoprobes, for intracellular labeling and imaging.	154
Figure 78 Overall procedure on cell culture and nanoparticles labeling of the intracellular structures.	155
Figure 79 Schematic visualization of layout of the wide-field microscope.....	156
Figure 80 The TIRF confocal images from UCNPs-polyarginine labeled nucleolus at particle dose 100 µg/mL @980 nm excitation.	158
Figure 81 Widefield microscope images of nucleolus labeled with UCNPs-TAT HIV at particle dose of 60 µg/mL (A), (B), and (C), and at 100 µg/mL (D) @980 nm excitation.	159
Figure 82 Widefield microscope images of NPC labeled with UCNPs-NUP98 at a concentration of 60 µg/mL (A) – (C), and 75 µg/mL (D) @980 nm excitation.	161
Figure 83 Wide-field images of microtubules labeled with UCNP-anti-tubulin antibody at particle doses of 100 µg/mL (A) & (B), 200 µg/mL (C) & (D) @980 nm excitation.....	163
Figure 84 Widefield images of Polymer 2 grafted UCNPs-anti actin antibody labeling with particle concentration of 60 µg/mL (A) & (B), and 75 µg/mL (C) & (D), @980 nm excitation.	164
Figure 85 Images captured from UCNPs-FITC-TAT labeled nucleolus (A) and (B), and UCNPs-FITC anti-tubulin antibody labeled microtubules (C) and (D) @488 nm excitation.....	165
Figure 86 Images of NPC labeled from UCNPs-FITC-NUP98 antibody @980 nm excitation (A) and (B) using a homemade widefield microscope; @488 nm excitation using Lecia Stellaris Confocal microscopy.	167
Figure 87 Imaging of microtubules from UCNP-Alexa Fluor 488-Antibody labeled cell (A) using a home-made widefield microscope @980 nm UCNPs excitation and (B) using Lecia Stellaris confocal microscopy @488 nm Alexa-Fluor excitation (B).....	168

Figure 88 Labeling of F-actin using UCNPs-alpha antibody (A) labeling of NPC using UCNPs-FITC-NUP98 (B) @488 nm using Lecia Stellaris confocal microscopy.	169
Figure 89 The TEM micrographs (A-C), electronic emission properties (D), and the XRD pattern of the synthesized UCNPs (E).	174
Figure 90 List of copolymers developed using the RAFT copolymerization technique.	176
Figure 91 The ¹ H NMR of the different purified final copolymers (NMR solvent: Polymer 5 run using deuterated water; and the rest run in DMSO-d6).	179
Figure 92 Thermograph of combined copolymers grafted UCNPs.	182
Figure 93 Images captured from UCNP probes labeling of F-actin (A), NPC (B), nucleolus (C), and microtubules (D).	184

List of tables

Table 1 Common monomers used in RAFT polymerization and their reactivity ¹⁹⁹	43
Table 2 Summary of RAFT agents and monomers used in the polymerization.....	85
Table 3 Summary of the DLS particle size and zeta-potential of copolymers grafted nanoparticles	122
Table 4 Comparative hydrodynamic sizes of the separate and double copolymer grafted 25 nm substrate UCNPs.	126
Table 5 The stability of different copolymers obtained from separated and double-grafted nanoparticles in different buffer solutions	133
Table 6 TGA data on weight loss and graft density of a 25 nm oleate capped and polymer grafted nanoparticles	136

List of schematic illustrations

Scheme 1 Schematic representation of AIBN during radical generation and monomer insertion (A) and reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer and propagation (B): where M stands for monomer and R stands for radical	45
Scheme 2 The mechanisms of RAFT polymerization that include the initiation, re-initiation, and polymerization equilibrations (Adapted from Keddie et al.)	47
Scheme 3 The representation of the synthesis of OESPX	86
Scheme 4 Schematic illustration of the sequential synthesis of Polymer 1	88
Scheme 5 Schematic representation of the synthesis of Polymer 2	89
Scheme 6 Schematic representation of the synthesis of Polymer 3	90
Scheme 7 Schematic representation of the step-wise synthesis reactions for Polymer 4	91
Scheme 8 Schematic illustration of the sequential synthesis of Polymer 5	92
Scheme 9 Schematic representation of the synthesis of Polymer 6	93
Scheme 10 Representation of the hydrazinolysis of Polymer 1 to introduce amine and sulfhydryl functionalities	98
Scheme 11 Mechanisms of EDC/NHS activation and stabilization, and antibody coupling	142
Scheme 12 Schematic illustration of the synthesis of the xanthate RAFT agent and subsequent development of Polymer 1	177

Acronym

UCNPs	Up conversion nanoparticles
UC	Up conversion
DS	Down shifting
OA	Oleic acid
ODE	1-Octadecene
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
RESOLFT	Reversible Saturable optical fluorescence transitions
VIS	Visible
CT	Computed tomography
IVM	Intravital microscopy
NIR	Near infrared
XRD	X-ray Powder Diffraction
FDA	Food and drug administration
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio
SR	Super-resolution
LED	Light emitting diode
STED	Stimulated emission depletion
STORM	Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy
SMLM	Single-molecule super-resolution imaging
TIRF	Total internal reflection fluorescence
ATR-FTIR	Total internal reflectance
NIR	Near-infrared radiation (light)
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified eagle medium
MAMs	More activated monomers
LAMs	Less activated monomers
RDRP	Reverse deactivation radical polymerization
RAFT	Reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer polymerization
MADIX	Macromolecular design via the interchange of xanthates
NMP	Nitroxide-mediated polymerization
DP	Degree of polymerization
DMF	dimethylformamide
PEG	Poly (ethylene glycol)
CRP	Controlled radical polymerization
OEGMA	Oligo (ethylene glycol) methyl methacrylate)
OEGMA-500:	Oligo (ethylene glycol) methyl methacrylate) (MW 500 g/mol)
OEGMA-480	Oligo (ethylene glycol) methyl methacrylate) (MW 480 g/mol)
OEGMA-300	Oligo (ethylene glycol) methyl methacrylate) (MW 300 g/mol)
TGA	Thermogravimetric analysis
MW	Molecular weight
HSAB	Hard-soft acid-base
MOEP	2-(methacryloyloxy)ethyl phosphate

AMPS	2-acrylamide-2-methylpropane sulphonic acid
MAA	Methyl methacrylic acid
CTCPA	4-(((2-carboxyethyl) thio) carbonothioyl) thio)-4-cyanopentanoic acid
CDTPA	Cyano-4-(((dodecylthio)carbonothioyl) thio) pentanoic acid
OESPX	O-Ethyl S-Phthalimidyl methyl xanthate
CPADB	4-Cyano-4-(phenyl carbonothioylthio) pentanoic acid
PAA	Poly (acrylic acid)
ATRP	Atom transfer reversible polymerization
GPC	Gel permeation chromatography
SEC	Size exclusion chromatography
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
DLS:	Dynamic light scattering
CTA	Chain Transfer Agent
MPC	2-(Methacryloyloxy) ethyl Phosphorylcholine
GNP	Grafted nanoparticles
FRET	Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer
NP	Nanoparticles
SIM	Structured illumination microscope
CLSM	Confocal laser scanning microscope
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
SPECT	Single-photon emission computerized tomography
PDI	Polydispersity index
PET	Positron emission tomography
NP	Nanoparticle (s)
GNP(s)	Grafted Nano Particle (s)
NA	Numerical aperture
NPC	Nuclear pore complex
F-actin	Actin filament
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
MTT	3-(4,5-Dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide
PFA	Paraformaldehyde
TAT	Trans-activator of transcription
HIV	Human immunodeficiency virus
FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate
CPPs	Cell penetrating peptide
EDC	1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide
NHS	N-hydroxysuccinimide
LFA	Lateral flow immunoassay
NA	Avodadro number
TEM:	Transmission electron microscopy

Abstract

Lanthanide-doped upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) are emerging as the next-generation agent for intracellular fluorescent labeling and imaging. To label the subcellular structures using UCNPs, vast opportunities and immense potential lay in their surface functionalization and subsequent bioconjugations. The surface stability and reactivity of UCNPs determine their specific interactions with target molecules, and it enables control of the degree of non-specific bindings to the surroundings. The targetability of UCNPs could be optimized by molecule-specific moiety via conjugating to the grafted polymers on the surface of nanoparticles. As the surface of UCNPs is highly positively charged, due to the exposed lanthanide ions at the lattice termination sites, the nanocrystal surfaces allow the tethering of polymers with negative charges. Therefore, the design and tethering polymers is the key factor in producing functional inorganic nanoparticles with a desirable surface property.

Throughout the Ph.D. study, a new understanding of the roles of polymers in functionalizing UCNPs has been achieved by systematic investigations of multiple RAFT copolymers. RAFT copolymers play a crucial role in controlling surface features and reactivities of UCNPs by manipulating physicochemical properties. The UCNP's surface coupling efficiency could be enhanced using highly reactive triblock RAFT copolymers containing methacrylic acid (MAA). Through increasing surface carboxylic acids density and by enabling an extended surface reactive site, advances in reactivity and dispersibility of UCNPs could be achieved. The surface graft copolymer composition determines the amphiphilicity, dispersibility, and stability of UCNPs. The concept of double copolymer surface grafting using stepwise co-grafting has been implemented to attain high control of surface composition. Efficient immobilization of antibodies and peptides to UCNPs enables the targeting and imaging of single biomolecules and intracellular structures. The performed intracellular labeling and imaging experiments prove that the functionalized UCNPs are suitable for detailed intracellular labeling and investigations. This thesis, therefore, contributes to developing the next-generation super-resolution probes for single-molecule tracking and live cell imaging applications. Moreover, besides visualization of structural features and dynamics of molecular-level phenomena, the functionalized nanoparticles could be implemented as a nanotheranostic tool for personalized nanomedicine.

Key words: Nanomedicine, Nano(bio)technology, RAFT polymers, Nanoprobes, Optical imaging