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ABSTRACT

In mainstream culture, the horror genre is frequently looked down upon as trivial, shlocky and nasty – horror films are seen as being cheap to make, made for a younger, mass audience, and horror films tend to dwell on society’s taboos, phobias and anxieties. Horror can also be a conservative genre – racist, misogynistic and queer phobic – enforcing rules that lead to horrible endings for characters that are non-white, queer or who are women. However, the horror genre also has the potential to be progressive, subversive and critical. We argue that if horror can rethink and renegotiate the meaning of gender, race, politics and power, then so should law. Despite the longevity of feminist legal theoretical recognition of intersectionality, the law still has an outdated and conservative conceptualisation of the legal subject and of its audience – for whom the law is written. Through our reading of the trajectory of the figure of the Final Girl, we argue that the law should see the evolution of the horror genre as a didactic text. We draw upon the insights of transformations of the Final Girl over recent decades and the remaking of the cultural imagination, to argue that the legal imagination likewise needs to be transformed.

KEYWORDS

Law and film; feminism; horror; intersectionality; justice; legal subject

1. Introduction

Mainstream horror films are frequently looked down upon as trivial, shlocky and nasty – film scholar Brigid Cherry calls horror the ‘culturally illicit genre’. Horror films are cheap to make, they are made for a younger, mass, male audience, and they tend to dwell on society’s taboos, phobias and anxieties. Horror can also be a politically conservative genre – racist, misogynistic and queer phobic – enforcing rules that lead to horrible endings for characters that are non-white, queer or who are women. But it’s also a contradictory genre, as horror has the potential to be progressive, subversive and critical, in part because of the genre’s perceived lack of seriousness, inhabiting a playfulness that encourages a loosening of self-
censorship in both film-makers and viewers. Cherry’s *Horror* explores the complexity of the genre: its contradictions and evolutions, its expansiveness and innovation, and the difficulty in defining both the genre as a whole and its expanding sub-genres. As with other genres, recent horror films (notably, *Jennifer’s Body* and *Get Out*) have included more diverse representations and points of view, holding out complex and ambivalent concepts of justice, intersectionality and ambiguity, as well as complicating representations of race and gender. In this article, we assemble a genealogy of horror that shows how twenty-first century writers and directors are making films that self-consciously subvert the narratives and tropes of the past, including one of the main tropes of the genre, the Final Girl. We explore the ways in which the malleability of the figure of the Final Girl has reflected and reinforced a rethink of representation and audience. We argue that if mainstream horror can rethink and renegotiate the meaning of gender, race, politics and power, then so should law. In 1989, Kimberlé Crenshaw coined the term ‘intersectionality’ to emphasise that the effects of class, race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, and disability cannot be analysed individually; their complex interactions need to be considered collectively when analysing the effects of culture or law on populations. Despite the longevity of feminist legal theoretical recognition of Crenshaw’s critical framework of intersectionality, the law still has an outdated and conservative conceptualisation of the legal subject and an outdated conceptualisation of its assumed legal subject – of who the law is written for.

Critique of the legal subject has come from feminist, anti-racist and anti-colonial approaches across all areas of law, but particularly in the area of law’s adjudication of gendered violence. Law’s imaginary and logics are notoriously limited in their ways of thinking through and adjudicating sexual violence. Indeed, the #MeToo movement is in large part a public, extra-legal response to the inadequacies of liberal law in responding to sexual violence. #MeToo has purportedly interrogated the liberal institution, and the operation of gender within it. In particular, #MeToo has shown that gendered harm, including but not limited to the harms of sexual violence and harassment, are a normalised part of the operation of liberal institutions. But more needs to be done to interrogate and historicise the concepts and institutions with which we are concerned. We need to bring to the forefront that here, liberal law is state law, and colonising law. Much more needs to be done to decolonise both the law and #MeToo, to decolonise and historicise the concepts of ‘gendered harm’ and ‘state institutions’, in particular, to understand how these have been conceptualised in recent law and culture. #MeToo has been criticised for centring the experiences of white western women; and for reifying white western women’s subjectivity by foregrounding
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6 Cherry (n 1) Ch 1.
7 *Jennifer’s Body* (directed by Diablo Cody, 2009).
8 *Get Out* (directed by Jordan Peele, 2017)
10 In the last five years, there has been an impressive rise in ‘own voices’ points of view in fiction and film, especially in the genres of horror, science fiction and speculative fiction, projects that privilege the point of view of Black, trans, queer and women’s voices. This has included the rise of trans representation: ‘Rise of Trans Slashers Marks Important Step in Media’ (The Mossy Log, 15 September 2022) <https://piolog.com/2022/09/15/rise-of-trans-slashers-marks-important-step-in-media/> accessed 27 February 2024.
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self-disclosure as the primary means of discursive agency – the movement actually began ten years earlier through the work of activist Tarana Burke, writing of the experiences of Black girls and girls of colour. Academics and activists have also been critical of parts of the #MeToo movement for its tendency to advocate for harsher carceral punishments for sexual violence, despite the disproportionate impact of this state violence on colonised groups. Questioning whether #MeToo is a white women’s movement, Ashwini Tambe says the answer is ‘both yes and no’. The subject matter of the movement – the injuries of sexual violence and harassment – clearly go beyond the scope of white women’s problems; but in media coverage, Tambe argues, ‘it is certainly white women’s pain that is centered’. Further, the institutional contexts of #MeToo – not only the legal institutions it seeks to side-step, but the wider corporate and cultural liberal institutions #MeToo invokes – carry not only racist but specifically colonial legacies and these have not yet been sufficiently brought out in either the legal cases or many of the public responses, which have tended to rely on the actions of the corporate boards of large, publicly-listed companies.

Through our reading of the trajectory of the figure of the Final Girl, we argue that the law should see the evolution of the horror genre as a didactic text: law can and should learn from the evolution of the horror genre’s representation of race, sexuality and gender. We draw upon the insights of transformations of the Final Girl over recent decades and the remaking of the cultural imagination, to argue that the legal imagination likewise needs to be transformed.

The horror genre is a highly gendered form, which has invited a gendered analysis not only of its representations, but also of its audiences. One sub-genre of horror in particular, the slasher, has excited a great deal of analysis of gendered constructs. Slashers tend to follow a specific formula, where a lone male antagonist systematically kills a group of young, beautiful victims (usually female) while dwelling on, even exploiting, the victims’ excessive suffering and the killer’s excessive violence. The gory bloodbaths that are executed against mostly female teenagers, whose violent deaths are often connected to
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their sexual ‘promiscuity’, led the film critic Roger Ebert to assert that ‘these films hate women’.

Three of the main slasher franchises started in the late 1970s–1980s – Halloween (1978); Friday the 13th (1980) and Nightmare on Elm Street (1984) and between them, these franchises have earned a combined gross of over $1.5 billion. Audiences love slasher horror movies, and they continue to make a lot of money, with a recent slew of sequels from the Halloween and Scream franchises.

The 1990s saw a number of feminist analyses of both the representation of gendered victim positions in films, as well as the significance of female perpetrators. In 1992, Carol Clover’s book Men, Women and Chain Saws, offered an influential analysis of gender in horror, focusing on the figure of the ‘Final Girl’ and how this figure impacted on the primary viewing audience of young males. The Final Girl is the last survivor in horror films – the character who has the ultimate confrontation with the perpetrator villain and manages, often with extreme violence, to defeat the monster (albeit until the sequel, when the villain returns). With her analysis of the Final Girl, Clover achieved a rare crossover from the academic to popular culture, with characters in films that followed the publication of the book (such as the Scream franchise, and Cabin in the Woods) explicitly referring to her theory of the Final Girl. Ostensibly, the Final Girl may be seen as cause for celebration for feminists, portraying a powerful role for women as protagonist in slasher films, who survives by unmanning the monster/chainsaw-wielding oppressor. However, Clover argued that horror films illustrate a strict binary of victim/agent, which ultimately excludes the feminine from the active subject position. According to Clover, even when monsters or heroes such as the Final Girl are women in horror films, they are acting like men. It is the behaviour that is significant, and behaviour is coded as male or female, regardless of the subject’s gender. Clover’s approach has long been criticised for denying women agency, but more recently, the horror genre itself has implicitly demonstrated, through its new iterations, the way in which the slashers of the 1980s and 1990s privileged a heterosexual, white, attractive, androgynous, teenage Final Girl while simultaneously assuming a young, straight, white male audience, actively suppressing recognition of intersectional attributes including race, age and sexuality. These shifts in both the refiguration of gender in movies, as well as a revolution in the understanding of the horror audience, provides lessons for liberal law.

In Section Two we detail Clover’s original idea of the Final Girl and her assumption of gendered scripts and audience. We then analyse recent horror such as Get Out, My Heart is a Chainsaw, the Fear Street Trilogy, and the Halloween and Scream franchises, which offer an implicit critique of the limited construct of, and script for, the Final Girl by operating through an intersectional lens. The recent effectiveness of intersectionality in horror
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shows up the liberal legal subject – which is white, cis and male, although sometimes an upper middle-class woman – and provides useful lessons for thinking through the way we understand law’s subjects and implicit understandings about law’s audience. We explore the ways in which the construction of the character of the Final Girl sheds light on our expectations of and interactions with the law. We interrogate the ways in which horror expresses the cultural and legal imagination and showcases possibilities for intervention and contestation.

2. Carol Clover: The Final Girl

Clover argued that in films such as *Nightmare on Elm Street,* and *Halloween,* the Final Girl survives the monster even as her friends die around her, and at the end of the film manages – often with extreme violence – to defeat the monster (at least until the sequel). Integral to her analysis is the assumption that horror films are primarily watched by young (white) men – accordingly, Clover explored how they responded to the final survivor being female. Clover argued that the Final Girl first appears in the film through this Male Gaze, usually the killer’s. During the film, however, there is a reversal, and the narrative becomes focalised through the Final Girl, with whom the audience comes to identify. Accordingly, Clover showed that audience members are capable of identifying with characters of different genders, while maintaining the gender binary by arguing that women and men have specific roles to play. Clover argues that it is a cinematic habit in horror films for the role of monster or hero to be gendered masculine, and for the role of victim or ‘abject terror’ to be gendered feminine. For Clover, women exist in horror film primarily as victims, who Lenne famously describes as follows:

Perfect as a tearful victim, what she does best is to faint in the arms of a gorilla, or a mummy, or a werewolf, or a Frankenstein creature.

Accordingly, when men are victims in horror films they ‘scream like girls’, and when the Final Girl defeats the monster at the end of film, often with an act of violent penetration (by a knife or a stake etc), she is acting like a boy. By portraying the Final Girl as ‘boyish’, she was a ‘congenial double for the adolescent male’. Clover thus excluded the feminine from the active subject position: even when monsters or heroes were women in horror films, they were acting like men. For Clover, it is the behaviour that is important, not the body per se. Clover’s arguments represent a simplification of Butler’s idea of performing gender, where acting or presenting a role – whether as monster or hero – is to perform masculinity. Meanwhile, victimhood is the province of feminine performance.
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32 *Nightmare on Elm Street* (directed by Wes Craven, 1984).
33 *Halloween* (directed by John Carpenter, 1978).
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Clover’s approach has long been criticised for denying women agency. On Clover’s account, women are either born victims, or, if they are perpetrators, they are doubly deviant, offending against both the state and their femininity. This critique reflects feminist concerns about how gendered assumptions inform and are expressed in legal constructs. For example, historically rape was an explicitly sexed offence – it could only be committed by men against women. There is a great deal of long-term analysis of the construction of women as passive objects of sex – culturally and in legal ideas of sex as penetrative sex. The emphasis of Clover’s theory is on gendered difference and performance, highlighting tropes in the slasher genre. Tropes are story-telling devices, that is, recurring motifs or ideas that provide shortcuts between the storyteller and the audience. These tropes reflect and reinforce existing understandings, sometimes subtly. But, as we argue below, these expectations can be played with and destabilised with subversive effect. These tropes represent a script of what we are allowed to do, not allowed to do and why, and the social consequences if we step outside those expectations. For example, the *Scream* franchise famously articulates the ‘rules’ for survival. The character Randy Meeks (played by Jamie Kennedy) in *Scream* asserts:

There are certain rules you must abide by in order to successfully survive a horror movie. For instance: Number one, you can never have sex. Big no–no, big no–no … . Sex equals death, okay? Number two, you can never drink or do drugs. No sin factor. This is sin. It’s an extension of number one. Number three, never, never ever under any circumstances do you ever say “I’ll be right back” ‘cause you won’t be back.

Similarly, Clover articulates a script of gendered behaviours epitomised and characterised by an early Final Girl, Laurie Strode (played by Jamie Lee Curtis) in the early *Halloween* films. Laurie is an androgy nous virgin who does not have sex during the film, and does not even seem to have a boyfriend. These tropes reflect and reinforce cultural and legal assumptions of the ideal victim. Victims must adhere to unwritten scripts or they will not be regarded as victims, or if they are, they will be blamed for their own demise. These same arguments have long been made at law, particularly in relation to sexual violence.

The horror genre can be conservative, and this is achieved in parts through its policing of boundaries, its support of traditional gender roles and mores such as ‘virginity’, and its
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respect for authority; Clover’s arguments about the Final Girl fall within that conservative tradition. In slashers, the stakes of these rules are high – break them and you die. The most obvious ‘rules’ of the genre focus on sex. A fundamental rule is not to have sex in a horror film. Until recently, any character that had sex or got intoxicated in a horror film was unlikely to survive.\(^\text{44}\) For example, in the satirical horror *Cabin in the Woods*,\(^\text{45}\) the villainous corporation releases pheromones so that the jock and the cheerleader will have sex with each other and then be killed. Characters who are ethnic or sexual minority group members, characters who ‘sin’ (e.g. drink, use drugs, steal), and characters who engage in sexual behaviour or nudity are expected to be among the first victims.\(^\text{46}\) Some films in the horror genre assume that fans are familiar with the rules and explicitly play with those rules. This means audience members can try to predict who will die (usually, almost everyone) and in which order. Although she can be celebrated as a powerful figure of female resistance, the Final Girl is also a conservative force of sexual and gendered normativity.\(^\text{47}\) Frequently depicted as a model of heteronormative sanity, the Final Girl not only slays the monster but also corrects his gendered aberrations and threats.

Clover’s theory gives insight into gendered subjects and scripts of behaviour and how this might influence survival in horror. In horror, characters are quickly and violently punished for breaking the (gendered) script. This gendered analysis is important, but it is not sufficient.

Recent empirical analysis of the Final Girl in horror has demonstrated that although audiences and (some) characters in films think that we know the rules, the rules are not quite so clear as previously believed. For example, Menard et al analysed the top 30 slasher films across three decades to ascertain whether assumptions about the Final Girl were empirically correct.\(^\text{48}\) They found that the Final Girl is not necessarily a virgin and may even engage in some sexual activity in films. Contrary to assumptions about slasher horror, characters who engaged in sexual behaviour, demonstrated anti-social behaviours and who froze or fled in the face of an attack were no more likely to die than characters who did not.\(^\text{49}\) They did find that surviving primary characters were more likely to embody an androgynous gender role and were less likely to appear nude on screen. These characters also tended to be more complex, exhibiting pro-social behaviours but also anti-social behaviours – but this may well be a function of the amount of time that they spend on screen.\(^\text{50}\) They also found fewer sex scenes than we might expect from the reputation of slasher films, with Menard et al finding only ten full sex scenes in the 30 films they analysed, less than average comedy or drama film.\(^\text{51}\)

\(^{44}\)The Rules | Scream Wiki | Fandom <https://scream.fandom.com/wiki/The_Rules#:~:text=%20Rules%20to%20succeedfully%20survive%20a%20horror%20movie%3A,right%20back%22%2C%20%22Hello%3F%22%20or%20%22Who%27s%20there%3F%22%20More%20%3E> viewed 22 February 2024.
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3. The Problem of the Liberal, Legal Subject

We are well into the twenty-first century and yet law still does not have an intersectional subject at its centre. Clover’s idea that the Final Girl takes on a male gendered role when she destroys the monster reflects some of the difficulties that law and legal theorists have had in response to female perpetrators.\(^{52}\) Feminists have noted the default legal and cultural preference and assumptions of feminine passivity.\(^{53}\) Statistically, women are most likely to enter the criminal legal system as victims rather than as perpetrators.\(^{54}\) Crime is perceived as a primarily male endeavour, hence male offenders do not need to be explained. In contrast, it is exceptional for women to commit crimes – not only are they offending against gendered roles they are also offending against the state.\(^{55}\) When women are perpetrators, particularly of homicide, their actions need to be explained. There are limited subject positions available for female perpetrators – the preferred categories are those of mad or sad.\(^{56}\) These subject positions ascribe limited agency due to either circumstances or irrationality.\(^{57}\) Thus a woman who kills her violent partner is frequently characterised as a victim – like Clover’s conception of the Final Girl, she has been forced by extreme circumstances to take extraordinary measures and she is not really exercising any choice or agency.\(^{58}\) Thus for Clover, “tortured survivor” might be a better term than the “female hero”.\(^{59}\)

Gender is not exhaustive or consistent, because it is always intersected by issues of race, class and sexuality, and the binary structure of sex and gender (as perpetuated in law) does not account for all facets of identity.\(^{60}\) The law isn’t there yet, including legal reform based on feminist principles and activism, much of which still tends to privilege the liberal legal subject.\(^{61}\) In their recent chapter on what they call ‘the terrorised subject’ – the subject who experiences gender violence – Márcia Nina Bernardes and
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Sofia Martins interrogate and destabilise the categories upon which reform in this area is based; in particular, they argue that:

the “subject’s foundationalist myth” has a series of problematic implications within the liberal framework with respect to the construction of the legal/terrorized subject who must be protected by the state, and how she should be protected.\(^\text{62}\)

These implications include normalising and productive effects – in the ways used by Michel Foucault and Judith Butler – thereby ‘creating hierarchies and establishing who gets to live a liveable life’.\(^\text{63}\)

For Butler, law produces the subjects that it later adjudicates.\(^\text{64}\) Similarly, Bernardes and Martin argue, ‘feminism and feminist laws construct the very subjects they later come to represent because their demands are made in the name of a ‘woman’ that is presented as pre-discursive and, thus, as existing prior to law’.\(^\text{65}\) Bernardes and Martins extend Butler’s theory to interrogate law’s rules of recognition for a ‘gender violence victim’, and which women are included (and excluded) by this process.\(^\text{66}\) They examine Brazilian case law that applies the Maria da Penha Law – based on international legal norms and arising out of transnational feminist movements – which, they argue, constructs the victim of domestic violence essentially as ‘a fragile, adult cis-woman in a romantic relationship with her aggressor’.\(^\text{67}\) In doing so, the law conceptualises fragility as a necessary attribute of women, rather than as a contingent quality depending on circumstance. At the same time, the law ignores the material conditions under which domestic violence occurs, assuming an abstract woman who is white, middle-class and heterosexual. This means that the legal remedies and responses of ‘most domestic violence laws worldwide’ assume a victim of domestic violence who has the economic and social capital to escape the violence rather than, for example, offering remedies that redress the material realities of poverty and the privileging of whiteness and heterosexuality as part of their solution; the result is that laws fail Black, poor and non-white women, naturalising the violence to which they are exposed.\(^\text{68}\) These laws thereby reproduce the violence of racism, classism and queerphobia. The solution they offer is that we pay greater attention to the conflicts within transnational feminism in its fights against gender violence, rejecting the ‘hegemonic worldview of feminism that does not confront or undo these power structures’, and yet which drives domestic legislation in most countries.\(^\text{69}\) As Foucault and Butler argue, the subject cannot be produced outside power relations – any attempt to do so merely naturalises the established order – but the social (and legal) production of recognition are inherently unstable. Each time we reiterate these norms socially and culturally, and each time the law is applied, there is a new opportunity to generate new norms.\(^\text{70}\) Law can move towards an intersectional legal subject, and as legal feminist
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theorists, we should ensure that we put intersectionality feminism at the centre of all legal reform.

4. Intersectionality and the Final Girl

Clover’s theory continues to be very influential, with recent horror fiction reworking and reinventing the assumptions underlying the theory of the figure of the Final Girl and the assumed audience. These recent incursions demonstrate that gendered analysis based on the binary only gets us so far, as does the idea that the primary viewer of horror has a misogynist, violent and voyeuristic gaze.\(^{71}\) The intersectional identities present within horror provide useful lessons for thinking through the way we understand law’s subjects. Horror studies in general and feminist horror studies in particular, used to fail at intersectionality.\(^{72}\) But the horror genre has evolved, and recent horror highlights the extent to which cultural, racial, class, age, and sexuality differences continue to be subordinated in law. This section explores specific attributes of recent Final Girls, but with the recognition that:

any one factor of identity cannot be analyzed without considering others: gendered identities can be strongly linked to class or racial identity, for example, and the one cannot be discussed without considering the other.\(^{73}\)

4.1. Sex and Sexuality

While Clover’s focus was upon an assumed audience of young white men, the Final Girl can also be analysed in terms of what she means for the female viewer – representing not only the strength and resourcefulness of the Final Girl, but what it is to be vulnerable to and endure male violence.\(^{74}\) Women have always watched horror, but previously much of the horror industry assumed that they just watched what their male partners told them to.\(^{75}\) More recently, female horror fans, accompanied by female horror filmmakers,\(^{76}\) have grown more visible,\(^{77}\) reclaiming female viewing pleasure. In aiming to appeal to a female audience, representation of female characters has become more complex – hooking into third wave feminism and the idea of women trying to control their own narrative in the face of misogynist forces.\(^{78}\)

Part of this more complex portrayal of (female) characters is recent franchise films bringing back original Final Girls, including Jamie Lee Curtis of the *Halloween* franchise and Neve Campbell from the *Scream* franchise. The *Scream* films reverse the horror tradition, where instead of the monster/villain returning, it is the Final Girls who return.\(^{79}\) Repeat Final Girls have learned from their experiences and become hypervigilant, training
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themselves (and others) to prepare to face the monster that they now know, even if others do not believe them, is always lurking. Unlike Clover’s emphasis on the Final Girl as a sole survivor, more recent franchise horror depicts friendship, sisterhood and mentoring across generations of Final Girls. The films demonstrate positive collectivism, a collaborative effort to survive, usually with a cohort of characters from different races, sexuality, and ages, reflecting the old feminist values of collective activism and consciousness raising. In these groups, the type of people who survive is broader than the Final Girl, and survival itself is portrayed as a group effort. In the Scream sequels, previous survivors Sidney and Gale Weathers save themselves and each other, portraying strong women who have suffered and continue to suffer trauma but who have become self-reliant women. In the recent Halloween films (2018, 2021, 2022), Final Girl Laurie is now a grandmother, and is criticised for her continued fears, and for raising her daughter and granddaughter in a state of hypervigilance in order to be prepared for the return of the antagonist Michael Myers. The films meditate on the impacts of repeated trauma, not only on the Final Girl, but also on family and friends, and indeed the entire town. In Halloween Kills (a not particularly enjoyable contribution to the franchise), this repeated victimisation leads the town of Haddonfield to rise up and kill a mentally ill man because they fear, incorrectly, that he is Michael Myers. The vigilantism is itself horrific, explicitly slated home to a failure of the legal system and on the violence that becomes possible in the absence or failure of law.

Recent horror has implicitly critiqued the heteronormativity of twentieth century horror, providing redress to some of the deeply homophobic and transphobic horror films produced last century (e.g. Sleepaway Camp, High Tension). A number of transphobic films leaned into a trope that equated deviation from the gender binary as a nefarious act of masking or what Clover called ‘gender distress’ that signified evil (e.g. Homicidal, Psycho, Terror Train, Dressed to Kill, The Silence of the Lambs and Insidious 2). Nightmare on Elm Street II: Freddie’s Revenge is a notorious example. In that film, Jesse Walsh (Mark Patton) moves with his family into the home of the lone survivor from a series of attacks by dream-stalking monster Freddy Krueger (Robert Englund). Jesse is bedevilled by nightmares and inexplicably violent impulses by Freddy, who needs a host body to carry out his gruesome vendetta against the youth of Springwood, Ohio. The film is regarded as having (at least) a queer sub-text – Jesse is a gender-neutral name and comes to be possessed by Freddie. There are scenes where the gym coach encounters Jesse at a gay fetish club and tries to make a pass at him, and then gets a bare-ass spanking by Freddy before being killed. The actor playing the role of the Final
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Girl in *Nightmare II: Freddy’s Revenge*, Mark Patton, was a closeted homosexual who claimed that the film forced him out of the closet at a time of rampant homophobia due to early AIDS paranoia and led to his acting career ending. The director Chaskin initially denied that the film had a gay sub-text, stating that Patton had played it ‘too gay’. It was not until 2010 that Chaskin admitted that it was a deliberate choice to exploit the homophobia of the time:

> Homophobia was skyrocketing and I began to think about our core audience – adolescent boys – and how all of this stuff might be trickling down into their psyches … My thought was that tapping into that angst would give an extra edge to the horror.

The film was derided by critics and genre fans at the time, but Peitzman argues that ‘with the destigmatization of queer representation over the last 30 years, perception of *Freddy’s Revenge* has shifted’ and it is now regarded as a ‘charmingly dated relic of another time’.

In *Skin Shows: Gothic Horror and the Technology of Monsters*, Halberstam critiques Clover’s notion of the Final Girl as ‘boyish’, arguing that this theory ‘remains caught in a gender lock’. Halberstam draws upon the messiness of the genre, to argue that representations of gender in horror exceeds human categories. More recent films debunk gender normativity and demonstrate the potential for horror to radically critique sexuality and gendered constructs. For example, in the *Fear Street Trilogy*, the lead protagonist, Deena Johnson, is an open homosexual who has broken up with her girlfriend Sam because she is closeted. Deena lives in Shadyside, the murder capital of the US. Sam becomes possessed by a ghost and the *Trilogy* involves a quest by Deena to save her (ex)girlfriend (via the trope of the classic messy lesbian breakup) and Shadyside. The portrayal of the Final Girl as an open, confident lesbian is a development from the androgynous, somewhat prudish, heterosexual Final Girls of the 1980s.

### 4.2. Race

Clover glossed over, or perhaps did not even notice, the fact that the Final Girls of the twentieth century slashers were white, mirroring the omission of Black people and other people of colour from horror films, as well as their material omission from the idyllic suburban oases that were usually the backdrop to these films. As with many white feminists in the 1970s and 1980s, Clover did not consider the issue of race at all, leading literary theorist Brooks to assert that ‘Clover’s theory of the Final Girl relies
on the normativity of whiteness’. In his masterful book *Horror Noire*, Means Coleman sought to address the lack of critical race analysis with an exploration of the representation of race in horror. This led to a documentary and a second edition of *Horror Noire* to engage with recent horror films, particularly those by Jordan Peele. Means Coleman argues that Black characters had specific roles to play in horror which resulted in death, either as monster or victim. For example, Means Coleman, states that ‘[t]here is no better way to demonstrate someone’s, or something’s, extreme deadliness than for it to secure a bloodbath victory over a Black man with a big black gun.’ Apart from notable exceptions such as Tony Todd’s *Candyman* and Duane Jones’ Ben in *Night of the Living Dead*, Black people were relegated to secondary, disposable roles. Of the 200 most critically acclaimed horror films identified by review aggregator Rotten Tomatoes, only four (2%) produced before 2010 featured Black leads or co-leads. In his chapter on 1980s slashers, Means Coleman notes that ‘horror films were, apart from being extraordinarily grisly, very white’. There are few or no Black people in 1980s suburbs and rural settings of *Halloween*, *Nightmare on Elm Street* or *Friday the 13th*. On the rare occasion that there is a Black character, they tend to be secondary characters in workplace/professional settings, such as nurses in hospitals or beat patrol cops in police stations. They are portrayed as obscure, marginal and dependent. Means Coleman asserts that this is the ‘affirmative construction of whiteness through the exclusion of Blacks’. The absence of Black characters was not commented on or noticed by (white) audiences or theorists at the time.

Slashers were not without critical awareness of patriarchal and heterosexual white spaces, however, and what those spaces meant in terms of the harms they caused. Twentieth century slashers flipped the script on where white people felt safe. Rather than offering security, the white, middle-class, suburban spaces that were the backdrop to these films – homes, streets, playing fields, schools and summer camps – became sites of risk. Labelled ‘whitopias’ by Rich Benjamin in *Searching for Whitopia*, these were areas that gentrified and segregated whiteness, where subjects prided the values of ordinariness, orderliness, safety and comfort. However, in twentieth century slashers, this security crumbled from within. The monsters in twentieth century slashers were predominantly white – ‘a racially unmarked category’ – and white men were the embodiment of evil, using machetes and power-tools to prey on teenagers. Monsters such as Freddie Kruger (*Nightmare on Elm Street*) and Michael Myers (*Halloween*) roamed the suburbs killing kids while inattentive, negligent or alcohol-soaked parents failed to protect
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them. These perpetrators were able to move around and kill because they fit the white norm so well, they could not be immediately identifiable.114

When Laurie Strode (Jamie Lee Curtis) walks the streets of the fictional town of Haddonfield in *Halloween*, she is afraid of the killer who ends up being her brother, Michael Myers. She turns to police and authorities for help. In slashers, police and authorities are portrayed as ineffective and incompetent failures.115 Their failures are relied upon to demonstrate the schema incongruence of the monsters – the usual police procedures, including shooting, just do not work. Alternatively, the police may well be the monster themselves, emphasising the horror of the threat within (e.g. *Fear Street*117 trilogy and *Scream VI*118). Contemporary horror fiction with primary Black characters provides an alternative perspective on police and authorities. In the opening scenes of *Get Out*, a young Black man, Andre, walks down a suburban street at night-time, in a setting reminiscent of twentieth century slashers such as *Halloween* and *Nightmare on Elm Street*. There is a lurking sense of menace – but the threat is not of a monster, but instead of police or citizens exercising their right to stand their ground. The film references police homicides of Black people and slaying by citizens of Black people who excite fear solely by the colour of their skin. While suburban streets were portrayed as places of safety which were then undermined by a monster, *Get Out* highlights that the navigation of white spaces – settings in which Black people are typically absent – is dangerous.119 *Get Out* (along with other recent horrors), demonstrates that many of the 1980s slashers were made with a specific audience in mind – young, white men (even if they were watched avidly by other people, including the authors). In contrast, this is arguably a Black film – produced, directed and made for Black people – whilst also being accessible to others.120 This is an example of the radical potential of the horror genre, attracting a broader audience that might otherwise not be interested in racism – making visible the otherwise invisible whiteness of characters, and the daily experience by Black people of microaggressions, objectification and dehumanisation of the racialized body for white gains.

Horror traffics in the dark side of humanity, exploring our worst fears. But what if the reality is more horrific than what is imagined in a typical horror film?121 Films such as *Get Out* demonstrate that there need not be a supernatural threat to strike fear in the mind of a Black man in the white space of a suburb, where racist violence is quotidian rather than exceptional. In *Get Out*, Andre’s fears of racist violence turn out to be valid, when he is kidnapped by a cohort of evil, white suburban people. *Get Out* simultaneously engages and rewrites the Final Girl and the function of the gaze of horror, proffering a racial
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and gendered inversion. In the process, the film highlights the taken-for-granted status in slasher film, horror film criticism and feminist theory of whiteness. 122

In *Get Out*, unlike the supernatural monsters of twentieth century slashers, the threat comes from a community of rich white people. The Armitages, believing that Black people are physically superior, abduct young Black people and implant the brains of dying white people into their physical vessels. The Armitages host silent auctions, reminiscent of slave auctions. The protagonist Chris has been brought to the house by his girlfriend Rose Armitage, who procures bodies for her family. Chris is unaware that the white community gathering at the Armitages is seeking an opportunity to get information from him in order to determine whether to bid on his body. *Get Out* is a portrayal of the intersection of class, race and power. There is almost no chance of any police or other investigation of the Armitages for kidnapping Black people – the more powerful and wealthy a person and/or corporation, the less likely it is to be held accountable.

The differential experiences of the legal system are a theme throughout the film. On the way to Rose’s house they call the police after hitting a deer. Chris is careful not to antagonise police, showing him his identification, fully aware of the risk of any and all interactions with police. In contrast, Rose challenges police, asking why they need to see Chris’ identification given that he wasn’t driving. At the time, this seems a form of white privilege, increasing suspense by Rose seemingly oblivious to the risks she is creating for Chris, but later it transpires that she did this so that no one would know Chris’ location, as a means to further exclude the possibility of police interference. At the conclusion of *Get Out*, Chris stands over a wounded, bloody Rose with dead bodies of her family and friends scattered around the mansion, while red and blue flashing lights signal the arrival of police. At the end of 1980s horror films, the police are an eagerly anticipated sight, even if they usually arrive too late. However, the audience becomes tense about Chris’s safety given increasing social recognition of the danger that an officer may pose to a Black man found alone with an injured white woman. Apparently, Peele initially intended that Chris would be arrested by police and the end of film would show him in prison, convicted for multiple murders. He decided though, that this was too depressing (and realistic) and changed the ending so that instead it is Chris’s best friend Rod, a Transportation Security Agent, who has tracked Chris down. In earlier horror films, a secondary Black character such as Rod would also have died. 123

*Get Out* offers an unflinching critique of the racist assumptions of twentieth century slashers. It is regarded as a reworking of Carol Clover’s ideas of the Final Girl who alone survives the horror bloodbath. There is no Final Girl, rather a Black male protagonist who not only survives, but becomes a hero. Chris does this by being smart – he ‘is ingrained with an unspoken awareness as an endangered species: a Black man in a horror movie’. 124 He knows the genre within which he is operating, and he makes safe choices accordingly. Unlike less wary characters in the genre, he tries to extract himself from dangerous situations and does not go to investigate strange noises. Wilz argues that the film conspicuously absents the Final Girl, refusing her presence entirely because of the problematic gender and race politics of that character. 125
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far as to argue that while *Get Out* is an excellent critique of racism in culture, society and law, it is also misogynist in its portrayal of women. The last female standing, Rose Armitage, is the ultimate villain. All the white characters are villains, but she is the ultimate villain – procuring victims for her family by performing the role of *femme fatale*. The climax of the film is reserved for a showdown with her. Far from celebrating the Final Girl, ‘the film – understandably – seethes with a cool rage about the vexed and intersecting history of white women’s sexual desire and power and Black male sexuality and endangerment in racist society’. *Get Out* critiques the celebration of white womanhood, placed on a pedestal of virtue, beauty and purity, needing protection from Black men. The truth is that white women have been complicit in structural racism and overt violence against Black women and Black men; white liberal feminism is especially problematic. Jordan Peele’s film *Get Out*, depicts the terrifying nightmare of the everyday reality of racism, including but not limited to the racism of law, using the conventions of slasher horror to attract an audience who may otherwise repress recognition and understanding of racism.

A critique of the whiteness of the Final Girl occurs in the novel *My Heart is a Chainsaw*. The narrator, Jade Daniels, has an encyclopaedic knowledge of the horror genre. She lives in the small town of Proofrock, Idaho, with her abusive, alcoholic father. When people start disappearing and dying, she believes that Proofrock has its own slasher, and draws upon her knowledge to predict what will happen and to survive. She meets Letha Mondragon, the gorgeous and sweet daughter of a mogul who has moved into the luxury development still being built across the lake, and thinks that she has found the requisite Final Girl. Jade believes that she cannot be the Final Girl because she is half Native American and has been sexually abused (by her father) so she is not a virgin. The novel highlights the power of scripts and tropes in terms of limiting not only how a person is regarded socially and treated by the legal system, but how they define and limit themselves. It is a powerful novel of revenge and triumph in the shadow of trauma. The second novel commences with Jade imprisoned for homicide – rather than seeing her as a hero she is instead punished. Both novels meditate on the failure of the legal system – not only in response to the threat to the town in the massacres – but the failure to protect Jade from the sexual abuse of her father and the failure to deliver justice to Jade after she saves the town.

5. Conclusion

Horror plays with white patriarchal nightmares and taps into our ambivalence about normality, providing the potential for radical storytelling. While the ‘genre provides a cathartic outlet, and in some cases even an expression of feminist feeling’, directors such as
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Peele are showing us that the future is a radical, intersectional imaginary. We do not uncritically celebrate the Final Girl trope – but it does provide new models for rethinking legal categories – including female agency, intersectionality and who is the law’s assumed subject. Developments in the notoriously sexist, racist, homophobic horror genre ask how far we have come in imagining an equitable society? The law can learn from this. Why are we so slow, as lawyers and legal theorists, in re-thinking the legal subject? Why are we content to move incrementally forward from the liberal, legal subject, a white subject who is (idealisti cally) either male or female, with race and queerness acting only as supplements to law and its analysis? Recent horror fiction shows the capacity of the genre to mediate contemporary issues of gender, sexuality, race and racism – experienced, negotiated and challenged by a more diverse audience than the young white teenage males assumed by Clover. They show that representations of gender cannot be understood without an appreciation of intersectional attributes such as race, sexuality, age, disability, and vice-versa. We need to move forward from a point of view that for law feels radical – an intersectional subject beyond the gender binary. Recent horror genre draws upon classic conventions and narratives, reinvigorating and interrogating the horror of the everyday – making routine horror visible.
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