

**ELECTRICAL  
CONDUCTIVITY IMAGING OF  
AQUIFERS CONNECTED TO  
WATERCOURSES.**

A THESIS FOCUSED ON THE  
MURRAY DARLING BASIN,  
AUSTRALIA

by

David Andrew Allen, BScHons

This thesis has been submitted for the degree of

PhD in Groundwater Management,

Faculty of Science

University of Technology, Sydney

July 2007

## CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

---

## ABSTRACT

### ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY IMAGING OF AQUIFERS CONNECTED TO WATERCOURSES.

A thesis focused on the Murray Darling Basin, Australia.

by David Andrew Allen

Electrical imaging of groundwater that interacts with surface watercourses provides detail on the extent of intervention needed to accurately manage both resources. It is particularly important where one resource is saline or otherwise polluted, where spatial quantification of the interacting resources is critical to water use planning and where losses from surface waterways need to be minimized in order to transport water long distances. Geo-electric arrays or transient electromagnetic devices can be towed along watercourses to image electrical conductivity (EC) at multiple depths within and beneath those watercourses. It has been found that in such environments, EC is typically related primarily to groundwater salinity and secondarily to clay content. Submerged geo-electric arrays can detect detailed canal-bottom variations if correctly designed. Floating arrays pass obstacles easily and are good for surveying constricted rivers and canals. Transient electromagnetic devices detect saline features clearly but have inferior ability to detect fine changes just below beds of watercourses. All require that water depth be measured by sonar or pressure sensors for successful elimination of effects of the water layer on the data. The meandering paths of rivers and canals, combined with the sheer volume of data typically acquired in waterborne surveys, results in a geo-referencing dilemma that cannot be accommodated using either 2D imaging or 3D voxel imaging. Because of this, software was developed by the author which allows users to view vertical section images wrapped along meandering paths in 3D space so that they resemble ribbons.

Geo-electric arrays suitable for simultaneous imaging of both shallow and deep strata need exponentially spread receiver electrodes and elongated transmitter electrodes. In order to design and facilitate such arrays, signed monopole notation for arrays with

segmented elongated electrodes was developed. The new notation greatly simplified generalized geo-electric array equations and led to processing efficiency. It was used in the development of new array design software and automated inversion software including a new technique for stable inversion of datasets including data with values below noise level. The Allen Exponential Bipole (AXB) array configuration was defined as a collinear arrangement of 2 elongated transmitter electrodes followed by receiver electrodes spaced exponentially from the end of the second transmitter electrode. A method for constructing such geo-electric arrays for use in rivers and canals was developed and the resulting equipment was refined during the creation of an extensive set of EC imaging case studies distributed across canals and rivers of the Australian Murray-Darling Basin. Man made and natural variations in aquifers connected to those canals and rivers have been clearly and precisely identified in more than 1000 kilometres of EC imagery.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Aim .....	1
1.2 Introductory example .....	1
1.3 The need for EC imaging of groundwater beneath surface water bodies.....	2
1.4 The approach to EC imaging used in this thesis.....	3
1.5 A clarification of terminology - EC and resistivity .....	7
1.6 Elementary correlation of seepage and saline inflow with EC .....	8
1.7 A history of waterborne geo-electric surveying. ....	10
CHAPTER 2 - AN INTRODUCTION TO TOWED GEO-ELECTRIC ARRAYS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC DEVICES.....	14
2.1 Instrumentation summary.....	14
2.2 Theory of operation – geo-electric arrays.....	14
2.3 Theory of operation – transient electromagnetics .....	16
2.4 Theory of operation – Frequency domain electromagnetic devices ....	19
CHAPTER 3 - MATHEMATICS FOR TOWED GEO-ELECTRIC ARRAYS.....	20
3.1 Abstract.....	20
3.2 Introduction.....	21
3.3 Why use elongated electrodes? .....	22
3.4 Pragmatic mathematics for use of elongated electrodes .....	22
3.5 Monopole Voltages .....	23
3.6 Signed monopole voltages .....	24
3.7 Signed monopole voltages for elongated electrode segments.....	24
3.8 Geometric factors .....	26
3.8.1 Comparison of the new and conventional ways of defining apparent resistivity.....	27
3.9 Submerged arrays .....	27
3.10 Signal Contribution Distributions .....	29
3.11 Depth of Investigation Characteristic .....	31
3.11.1 Derivation of generalized normalized depth of investigation.....	31
3.11.2 Normalized depth of investigation characteristic.....	33
3.12 Cumulative normalized depth of investigation characteristic.....	33
3.13 Effective Depths .....	34
3.14 Calculation of DIC and NDIC curves for cases of a 2 layered halfspace.....	34
3.15 Multiple horizontal layer models .....	36
3.16 Optimal segmentation of elongated transmitter electrodes of finite length.....	37
3.17 Conclusion .....	38
CHAPTER 4 - EXPONENTIAL BIPOLE ARRAYS.....	39
4.1 Introduction.....	39
4.2 Definitions of Exponential Bipole Arrays .....	39
4.3 Depth Resolution .....	40
4.4 Signal Strength .....	42
4.5 Weight, drag, crosstalk and current leakage.....	43
4.6 Simple minimal response to three dimensional heterogeneity.....	43
4.7 Power consumption, electrode length and processing speed .....	45
4.8 Floating array curvature effects .....	47

4.9 How much array curvature can be tolerated in field operations?.....	49
4.10 The effect of variation of parameters of AXB arrays.....	50
4.11 Depth of investigation characteristic and two layer models .....	51
4.12 The cumulative NDIC curves of an AXB array .....	53
4.13 Comparison of AXB arrays with some other arrays .....	53
4.14 Array comparison conclusions.....	59
4.15 Elaborate array combinations – multiple streamers .....	60
4.16 Capacitive resistivity line and plate antennae.....	61
CHAPTER 5 - HORIZONTAL LAYER FORWARD MODELLING.....	63
5.1 Anisotropy .....	64
5.2 Determining voltages obtained by floating arrays over known 1D models. ....	64
5.3 Determining voltages obtained by submerged arrays in known 1D models. ....	68
5.4 Convolution .....	69
5.5 Digital linear resistivity filters.....	71
5.6 Forward modelling of induced polarization data.....	73
5.7 Forward modelling software .....	73
CHAPTER 6 - EFFECTIVE DEPTH CENTRED HORIZONTAL LAYER INVERSION .....	74
6.1 Introduction.....	74
6.2 Initial model selection .....	75
6.3 Inversion methodology adopted - Summary. ....	76
6.4 Least squares and least absolute deviation inversion criteria.....	77
6.5 Fixed parameters.....	78
6.6 Linearization and solution of non-linear inversion problems .....	79
6.7 The transform derivative .....	80
6.8 Inversion Constraints.....	82
6.9 Stretch and Smoothness constraints.....	83
6.10 Sub-noise data aware inversion.....	84
6.10.1 Use of sub-noise data aware inversion with other inversion code.....	87
6.11 Weighting of data .....	88
6.11.1 Total rejection of data below noise level.....	88
6.11.2 Weighting of data depending on noise level .....	88
6.11.3 Minimum and Maximum Apparent Resistivities.....	89
6.12 Alternative methods of treating sub-noise data resulting from hypersaline basement or other signal consuming features .....	89
6.13 Alternative inversion strategies.....	91
6.13.1 Lateral constraint.....	91
6.13.2 2D smoothed cells.....	91
6.14 Alternative methods of selecting initial models and constraining inversion.....	92
6.15 Detectability and Equivalence analysis using inversion of multilayer model geo- electric voltage response curves .....	94
6.16 Summary.....	96
CHAPTER 7 - EQUIPMENT FOR CONTINUOUS EC IMAGING.....	97
7.1 Geo-electric arrays.....	97
7.1.1 Design Criteria .....	97
7.1.2 Geo-electric array construction.....	102
7.2 Towing devices, logistics and survey productivity .....	102
7.3 Productivity of towed Geo-electric arrays versus towed TEM.....	105
7.4 Location and Water Depth Detection .....	106
7.4.1 GPS and Sonar .....	106

7.4.2 Differential GPS corrections.....	107
7.4.3 Pressure sensors.....	108
7.5 Geophysical Instrumentation .....	109
7.5.1 Geo-electric array instrumentation .....	109
7.5.2 TEM Transmitter, Receiver and antennas .....	113
7.6 Safety, Legal and Environmental Assessment .....	114
7.7 Complementary Investigation Techniques .....	114
CHAPTER 8 - TERRESTRIAL MULTI-DEPTH EC IMAGING. ....	115
8.1 Multi-spacing frequency domain electromagnetics (FDEM) .....	116
8.2 Hammered stake geo-electric surveys .....	117
8.3 Towed capacitive geo-electric arrays.....	118
8.4 Aarhus University Hydrogeophysics Group PACES system .....	118
8.5 Ripping tine towed geo-electric arrays for soil stratification studies. ....	119
8.6 Manually laid loop TEM.....	121
8.7 Towed terrestrial TEM .....	122
8.7.1 Towed TEM on a plastic sheet .....	123
8.7.2 Towed TEM on a 4 wheel structure .....	127
8.7.3 Aarhus PATEM.....	127
8.8 Airborne TEM .....	128
CHAPTER 9 - EC DATA MANAGEMENT AND PROCESSING .....	129
9.1 Waterborne geo-electric array data processing – an introduction.....	129
9.2 Multi-depth EC data format .....	130
9.2.1 A Multi-depth EC data schema.....	132
9.3 Differencing .....	135
9.4 Survey management issues of periodic EC surveys .....	136
CHAPTER 10 - PRESENTATION .....	138
10.1 3D EC ribbons - definition .....	138
10.2 The reason for development of a 3D EC ribbon viewer .....	138
10.3 Features of EC Ribbons.....	139
10.3.1 Log depth scale and marks.....	139
10.3.2 Equal area color.....	140
10.3.3 Rotate, Zoom, Pan, Vertical Exaggeration.....	140
10.3.4 Background images.....	140
10.3.5 Graphs along the ribbons .....	141
10.3.6 Water depth (river bottom trace) .....	141
10.4 Vertical EC sections .....	141
10.5 Map view colour scaled data.....	141
CHAPTER 11 - INTERPRETATION .....	143
11.1 Background orthophoto images .....	143
11.2 Saltwater interception bore locations.....	143
11.3 Run of River salt load increase .....	144
11.4 Action at the riverbed .....	144
11.5 Patterns in the riverbed.....	144
11.6 Induced polarization .....	144
11.7 Animation .....	145
11.8 Differencing.....	145
11.9 Over-interpretation .....	146
11.10 Resolution, suppressed layers and equivalence.....	146
11.11 EC, salinity, clay content and rock texture .....	147

11.12 Soil moisture and texture relationship that leads to flushing of sands and salinization of clays .....	149
11.13 Canal and river shape and curvature.....	151
CHAPTER 12 - APPLICATIONS.....	152
12.1 Adding spatial detail to groundwater conceptual models .....	152
12.2 Seepage detection .....	153
12.3 The saline inflow interpretation scenario.....	156
12.4 Large scale river characterization. ....	156
12.5 Conjunctive surface and groundwater use management.....	157
12.6 Groundwater recharge and reuse management - Siting of drainage bores and artificial recharge sites for groundwater re-use under surface irrigation areas.....	157
12.7 Waterway pollution investigation .....	159
12.8 Salinity mitigation solutions.....	160
12.8.1 Salt concentration due to evaporation .....	160
12.8.2 Waterlogging.....	162
12.8.3 Relict salt deposits.....	162
12.9 Mapping of hydro-stratigraphy beneath irrigation areas.....	162
12.10 Further notes on terrestrial EC Imaging applications.....	162
CHAPTER 13 - CASE STUDIES - AN OVERVIEW .....	164
13.1 Contents .....	164
13.2 Introductory example, the Sturt Canal and Murrumbidgee River ...	169
CHAPTER 14 - THEORETICAL MODEL CASE STUDIES.....	171
14.1 Effective depth centred layer inversion .....	171
14.1.1 RMS Errors.....	175
14.2 Noise level aware inversion .....	175
14.3 Detailed inversion analysis tools.....	180
14.3.1 An example of a textural inversion progress monitor:.....	181
CHAPTER 15 - RIVER CASE STUDIES .....	183
15.1 Catchment wide comparison of gaining and losing parts of rivers..	183
15.2 Waikerie salinity interception schemes – Murray River – South Australia. ....	188
15.3 The Murray River in the vicinity of Mildura, Victoria/NSW border	196
15.3.1 The problem of saline inflow into the Murray River near Mildura.....	196
15.3.2 Assessment of saline inflow using EC imaging.....	198
15.3.3 Surveys conducted .....	198
15.3.4 Survey Results.....	198
15.3.5 Comparison of Geo-electric Array and TEM data.....	198
15.3.6 Effects of Weirs.....	199
15.3.7 Effects of SIS bores.....	199
15.3.8 Effects of geology .....	200
15.3.9 Long term monitoring .....	209
15.3.10 Airphoto overlay for geomorphological and geographical comparison, Vertical 2D Imaging.....	212
15.3.11 Images of EC at a fixed depth below riverbeds .....	214
15.3.12 Induced polarization.....	216
15.3.13 EC difference between parallel ribbons .....	217
15.4 The Murrumbidgee River at Gogeldrie Weir .....	218
15.5 The Murrumbidgee River upstream from Yanco Weir.....	218
15.6 The Border Rivers .....	221
15.6.1 Ground water interaction with the Border Rivers .....	222
15.7 Tuckean Swamp drains – Richmond river – NE NSW .....	226

15.7.1 The problem of acid sulphate soil leaching in the Tuckean Swamp – lower Richmond River – NE NSW .....	226
15.7.2 Delineation of acid inflow using EC imaging .....	226
15.7.3 Surveys conducted .....	227
15.7.4 Survey Results.....	227
15.7.5 Comparison of floating and submerged Geo-electric Array data.....	227
15.7.6 Interpretation .....	228
15.8 Noise-level aware inversion on the Murray River at Mildura .....	234
15.9 River case studies concluding summary .....	236
CHAPTER 16 - IRRIGATION CANAL CASE STUDIES .....	237
16.1 Coleambally Irrigation Area .....	237
16.2 Coleambally Floating Geo-electric Array Results .....	239
16.2.1 Boona Canal – Cattnach Road .....	242
16.2.2 Coleambally Channels 9 and 9b .....	243
16.2.3 Bundure Canal.....	244
16.3 Coleambally submerged and floating geo-electric array results.....	248
16.3.1 Boona Canal – west of the Kidman Way .....	248
16.3.2 Coleambally Main Canal - CIA, Gogeldrie Weir – Murrumbidgee River and Sturt Canal – MIA .....	257
16.4 Coleambally submerged array EC ribbons.....	263
16.4.1 Argoon/Yamma Main Canal anomalous seepage site .....	263
16.5 Murray Irrigation Canals.....	266
16.5.1 Early ANCID funded surveys.....	267
16.5.2 Rice CRC and Murray Irrigation funded trials.....	267
16.6 Murrumbidgee Irrigation Canals.....	272
16.7 Wimmera Mallee Irrigation Area Canals .....	275
16.8 Concluding summary on geo-electric survey of supply canals.....	280
CHAPTER 17 - NETWORKS OF FARM CANALS AND DRAINS - CASE STUDIES .....	281
17.1 Concluding summary on geo-electric survey of farm canals.....	290
CHAPTER 18 - RESERVOIR CASE STUDIES.....	291
18.1 Thirty hectare reservoir – West end of Jim Cattnach Road – Coleambally.....	291
18.2 Dallas Clay Pan Reservoir (15 Hectares) .....	293
18.3 Concluding summary on geo-electric survey of reservoirs.....	295
CHAPTER 19 - CONCLUSION .....	296
19.1 Comparison of TEM and geo-electric arrays. ....	297
19.2 Future work.....	298
19.3 Recommendations for seepage investigation .....	299
19.4 Recommendations for conjunctive surface water and groundwater management .....	300
19.5 Recommendations for development of underground water storage facilities. ...	301
19.6 Recommendations for saline inflow management .....	301
19.7 Summary of applications.....	302
LIST OF REFERENCES .....	303
APPENDIX 1 - GEO-ELECTRIC ARRAY DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION GUIDE .....	320
A1.1 Small submersible array design .....	320
A1.2 Floating array design .....	325
A1.3 Extra strength.....	329
A1.4 Varying length and configuration .....	329

A1.5 Visibility and drag .....	330
A1.6 Geo-electric array designs that were rejected .....	330
A1.7 Noise considerations .....	332
APPENDIX 2 - TOWING DEVICES, LOGISTICS AND SURVEY PRODUCTIVITY .....	334
A2.1 Towing devices – details .....	334
A2.1.1 Boats with outboard motors – river and lake surveys .....	334
A2.1.2 Boom extending from a 4wd – canals with maintained bank access .....	335
A2.1.3 Canoes/Dingies, various propulsion devices and 4wd mounted cranes – canals and rivers with weed and/or numerous obstructions and discontinuous bank access .....	339
A2.1.4 Argo – marshes and swamps .....	343
A2.1.5 Radio controlled devices – highly obstructed canal studies .....	344
A2.1.6 PACES and ripping tine towed arrays – soil stratification studies .....	345
A2.2 Survey logistics for particular jobs .....	346
A2.2.1 Open waterways .....	346
A2.2.2 Long river surveys with limited access .....	346
A2.2.3 Dams .....	349
A2.2.4 Canals and drains .....	349
A2.3 Speed versus data quality .....	349
APPENDIX 3 - SAFETY, LEGAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS .....	350
A3.1 High voltage hazards .....	350
A3.2 Marine traffic hazards .....	352
A3.3 General boating precautions .....	352
A3.4 The array towed by a raise-able boom attached to a 4wd and transport regulations .....	353
A3.5 The array towed by a raise-able boom attached to a 4wd and risk of collapsing canal sides .....	353
A3.6 Negotiating canal regulation structures while surveying from watercraft .....	353
A3.7 Navigation of inland rivers .....	354
A3.8 Access restrictions on inland rivers .....	354
APPENDIX 4 - ARCHIVING OF MULTI-DEPTH EC DATA COLLECTED ALONG IRREGULAR TRACKS .....	355
A4.1 Introduction .....	355
A4.2 Archive searching and EC ribbon viewing .....	356
A4.3 Management of confidential datasets .....	356
A4.4 File naming conventions .....	356
A4.5 Instrument dump formats .....	356
A4.6 Data storage formats .....	357
A4.7 Types of files included in the format specifications .....	358
A4.7.1 Raw GPS and Sonar data .....	358
A4.7.2 Standard GPS/WaterDepth Field Data Format .....	358
A4.7.3 Raw data dumps and streams .....	358
A4.7.4 Standard Geo-electric or EM Field Data Format .....	358
A4.7.5 Standard EC data file .....	359
A4.7.6 Pallette Files .....	359
A4.7.7 Imaging files .....	359
A4.8 Standard Voltage, EC, IP or Resistivity data dBase file format column IDs and formats .....	359
A4.9 Standard GPS/Depth dBase file format column IDs and formats .....	361

A4.10 Standard Time/WaterDepth file format column IDs and formats	362
A4.11 HydroGeoImager_IniFiles	362
A4.11.1 IniName Inifile	362
A4.11.2 Workspace IniFile	362
A4.11.3 Dataset IniFile	362
A4.11.4 Configuration IniFile	363
A4.11.5 Forward Modelling IniFile	363
A4.11.6 Inversion IniFile	363
A4.12 INI File Examples	364
A4.12.1 Filecore INI Files	364
A4.12.2 Array Configuration File	365
A4.12.3 Inversion Configuration File	366
A4.13 EC Ribbons, EM31, EM38 and airborne electromagnetic EC data	367
APPENDIX 5 - COMPUTER CODE, WITH EXPLANATORY NOTES, FOR GEO-ELECTRIC ARRAY PROCESSING	369
A5.1 FwdInv – explanatory notes	369
A5.2 Horizontal Smoothing	389
A5.3 ConfigCore	391
APPENDIX 6 - AUGERED SOIL SAMPLES AND ANALYSIS	395
APPENDIX 7 - COMPLEMENTARY INVESTIGATION TECHNIQUES	397
A7.1 Assessment of local knowledge, geomorphological, geological, biological and cultural observation	398
A7.2 River salt load difference surveys	398
A7.3 Drilling and graphical co-presentation of EC ribbons and drill logs	399
A7.4 Yabby Pump Sampling of sediment cores from watercourse beds	400
A7.5 Auger sampling	400
A7.6 Sediment testing and engineering analysis	401
A7.7 Chlorofluorocarbon measurements and variation of seepage rates over time	403
A7.8 Absolute seepage rate measurement	404
A7.8.1 Idaho seepage meters	405
A7.8.2 Bladder type seepage meters	406
A7.8.3 Pondage tests	407
A7.8.4 Determination of seepage rates using Inflow – Outflow Measurement	408
A7.8.5 Pondage tests with day time evaporation loss error eliminated	408
A7.8.6 Vertical pipe infiltrometer	409
A7.9 Frequency domain electro-magnetic devices such as the Geonics-EM31	411
A7.10 Induced Polarization surveying along canals	413
A7.11 Self potential surveying along canals	413
A7.12 Guelph Permeameter	414
APPENDIX 8 - PROCESSING SEQUENCE	415
A8.1 Selection of a filename core	416
A8.2 Selection of sensor parameters	416
A8.3 Annotation	416
A8.4 Locating EC and water depth data	416
A8.4.1 A summary of the tasks required to locate data	416
A8.4.2 Co-ordinate transformation	417
A8.4.3 Integrated software solution	417
A8.4.4 GPS and Sonar data filtering, quality monitoring and editing	417
A8.5 Dumping and conversion of voltage and/or EC/depth datasets	419
A8.6 Merging data – a ‘can of worms’	419

A8.7 Selection of sounding reference coordinates .....	420
A8.8 Calculating Offset between GPS antenna and Sounding reference coordinates. .....	421
A8.8.1 Offset application algorithm .....	421
A8.8.2 Navigation skills and accuracy of the offsetting algorithm .....	424
A8.8.3 Offsetting water depth measurements .....	425
A8.9 Voltage data filtering .....	426
A8.10 Voltage data smoothing.....	426
A8.11 Conversion of voltages to EC and depths.....	427
A8.11.1 Transient Electromagnetic data inversion .....	427
A8.12 Vertical profile viewing.....	428
A8.13 3D ribbon image viewing.....	428
A8.14 File segment selection .....	428
APPENDIX 9 - TERRESTRIAL TOWED GEOPHYSICS CASE STUDIES. ....	429
A9.1 Ploughed geo-electric array tests – Coleambally.....	429
A9.2 Towed transient EM - Whitton Clay Pan – MIA .....	429
A9.3 Towed transient EM - Benerembah – MIA .....	434
A9.3 Concluding remarks on terrestrial towed TEM .....	437

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 An example of EC imagery superimposed north of a canal and the Murrumbidgee River. ....	1
Figure 1.2 The EC ribbon of Figure 1-1 is projected south of a canal and the Murrumbidgee River here so that it can be compared with features on the north sides of the watercourses. ....	2
Figure 1.3 The relationship between various EC units (empirical soil texture based conversion factors, discussed in the text , are from Slavich and Petterson, 1993). ....	8
Figure 1.4 A resistivity survey of part of the Darling River SW of Bourke conducted by Allen (1991). ....	11
Figure 1.5 Rudimentary equipment used by Allen (1991) for investigating saline inflow to the Darling River. ....	11
Figure 2.1 Principles of operation of geo-electric arrays. ....	15
Figure 2.2 Components of a towed geo-electric surveying system. ....	15
Figure 2.3 A geo-electric array and the Zonge geophysical data processor 32. ....	16
Figure 2.4 Principles of operation of transient electromagnetic devices ....	18
Figure 2.5 A floating transient electromagnetic device (Zonge NanoTEM) on the Darling Anabranh. ....	18
Figure 3.1 Transmitter electrode segmentation. ....	25
Figure 3.2 The concept of a pseudo-current source for mathematics for submerged arrays. ....	28
Figure 4.1 Sample 144m Exponential Bipole array electrode configurations plotted at their respective effective depths (calculated using equation 19). ....	40
Figure 4.2 Distribution of signal with respect to depth (calculated using equation 17) for a Dipole-Dipole Array. ....	41
Figure 4.3 Distribution of signal with respect to depth (calculated using equation 17) for an Allen Exponential Bipole Array. In contrast to the Dipole Dipole array, distribution of sampled depths is very even. ....	41
Figure 4.4 Signal strength (eqn. 4) versus effective depth (eqn. 19) for various arrays over a halfspace with resistivity of 250 ohm.m. ....	42
Figure 4.5 Signal contribution elements for the quadrupole shown at its effective depth. ....	44
Figure 4.6 Signal contribution elements (eqn. 11) for a Wenner array on the surface with $a = 9$ metres. ....	45
Figure 4.7 The effect of point source approximation of elongated electrodes using segmentation of transmitter electrodes with various segmentation constants. ....	46
Figure 4.8 Increase in processing time with respect to segmentation constant 'c' (eqn. 27) for a 7 electrode exponential bipole array with linear transmitter electrodes 4 times as long as the distance between one transmitter electrode and the closest receiver electrode. ....	47
Figure 4.9 A schematic showing the effect of array curvature on a monopole voltage. ....	48
Figure 4.10 The effect of array curvature on the signal to noise ratio and effective depth (eqn. 19) for an exponential bipole array with a Tx electrode separation of 16m and receiver electrodes from 0.5m to 128m from one Tx electrode in a homogeneous earth. ....	49
Figure 4.11 The effect of transmitter electrode length on the capabilities of an exponential bipole array with Tx Separation of 4m and Rx electrodes spaced at between 0.125m and 16m from one of the transmitter electrodes. ....	50

Figure 4.12 The effect of Tx Separation on the capabilities of a 144m exponential bipole array with Rx electrodes spaced between 0.5m and 128m from one Tx electrode. Signal to noise ratio (Eqn. 4) is plotted against effective depth (Eqn. 19). .....	51
Figure 4.13 DIC (Eqn. 16) for a 144m XB array over homogeneous earth.....	52
Figure 4.14 Two layer DIC curves (Eqns. 23 & 24) with a conductive basement. ....	52
Figure 4.15 Electrode configurations of a 144m AXB array with $2^{(0.5*n)}$ receiver electrode increments plotted at their effective depths (Eqn. 19).....	54
Figure 4.16 Electrode configurations of a 144m AXB array with $2^{(n)}$ receiver electrode increments plotted at their effective depths (Eqn. 19).....	55
Figure 4.17 Electrode configurations of a linear dipole-dipole array with 10m dipoles plotted at their effective depths (Eqn. 19).....	55
Figure 4.18 Electrode configurations of an Aarhus Hydrogeophysics Group Pulled Array Continuous Electric Sounding system plotted at their effective depths. ....	55
Figure 4.19 Electrode configurations of a Schlumberger Array with six configurations per decade plotted at effective depths (Eqn. 19).....	56
Figure 4.20 Electrode configurations of a Wenner Array, with a minimum ‘a’ spacing of 0.25m, plotted at their effective depths (Eqn. 19). ....	56
Figure 4.21 Normalized Depth of Investigation Curves (Eqn. 17) for the Aarhus University Hydrogeophysics Group Pulled Array Continuous Sounding device. ....	57
Figure 4.22 NDIC curves for a Linear Bipole Bipole Array with $a=10$ , $n=1$ to 8.....	57
Figure 4.23 NDIC Curves (Eqn. 17) for an Exponential Bipole Bipole Array with TxSeparation=16m and RxElectrodes at 0.5m to 128m .....	58
Figure 4.24 NDIC Curves (Eqn. 17) for a 20m long exponential bipole array – TxSeparation = 4m, RxElectrodes from 0.125m to 16m. ....	58
Figure 4.25 NDIC curves (Eqn. 17) for a schlumberger array with 6 configurations per decade. ....	59
Figure 4.26 Comparison of the capabilities of various arrays viewed in Signal to Noise Ratio (Eqn. 4) versus Effective Depth (Eqn. 19) space. ....	60
Figure 5.1 Some sample forward modelled datasets (Blue) and the horizontal layered models from which they were generated (Red).....	63
Figure 5.2 A current source on a stratified half space. Cylindrical co-ordinates, their origin at the current source, electric field vectors, layer resistivity and layer thickness variables are shown. ....	65
Figure 6.1 Flow diagram of sub-noise data aware inversion field data and forward model data comparison.....	87
Figure 7.1 An early prototype submersible 20m geo-electric array (with 15m yellow leader). ....	98
Figure 7.2 A 144m geo-electric array deflated and packed for land transport. ....	98
Figure 7.3 Equipment for towed geo-electric surveying from surface water bodies.....	105
Figure 7.4 A schematic of a GPS sonar subsystem. On occasions a pressure sensor was used in place of sonar. ....	107
Figure 7.5 The GPS and sonar (Garmin GPSMap188) and data logger (PalmVx) subsystem.....	107
Figure 7.6 Geo-electric array instrumentation. ....	109
Figure 7.7 Zonge GDP32 Receiver, isolation amplifier, shunt resistor, signal generator and voltage booster.....	110
Figure 7.8 The EC data acquisition subsystem – Iris Syscal Pro (supplied by Geoforce) incorporated into a sealable water cooled box with GPS and sonar equipment.....	111
Figure 7.9 Attenuation of AXB array signals for input into a Syscal-Pro using a circuit of standard resistors. ....	112

Figure 8.1 Ranges of detection of various types of EC imaging instruments achievable using budgets typical of environmental management.....	116
Figure 8.2 Schematic revealing how EM coils and waterborne geo-electric arrays can easily pass signal into the ground while terrestrial geo-electric arrays struggle to pass signal through the highly resistive and heterogeneous top of the unsaturated zone.....	117
Figure 8.3 The Geometrics Ohm-mapper capacitively coupled resistivity imaging equipment. Only one receiver dipole is shown. The linear electrodes are actually the cables, not the pods. ....	118
Figure 8.4 The Pulled Array Continuous Electric Sounding (PACES) system imaging a depth interval from 0.5 to 20m in Denmark. ....	119
Figure 8.5 The cable ploughing and irrigating prototype.....	120
Figure 8.6 Towing of a geo-electric array using a ripping tine.....	121
Figure 8.7 A schematic of a towed time domain electromagnetic system showing the effect of ground with different conductivities on current loop diffusion. ....	122
Figure 8.8: Towed TEM on a plastic sheet.....	126
Figure 8.9: Towed TEM on a plastic sheet. ....	126
Figure 8.10: A towed 8 x 6m TEM device set up on 4 wheels made of PVC pressure pipe and bamboo, numerous ropes and plastic (for the receiver loop).....	127
Figure 9.1 The data processing sequence menu, designed to act as a checklist, from the software produced along with this thesis.....	130
Figure 9.2 A summary of the concept of a common multi-depth EC data format for multiple instruments.....	132
Figure 11.1 Use of an EC histogram to create equal area colour for EC ribbons and transformation of that colour scale to salinity scales for various soil textures. ....	148
Figure 11.2 The soil moisture spectrum (Toome, 2004) shows how clay retains moisture while sand releases it quickly. DAW stands for Deficit Available Water. RAW stands for Readily Available Water. The top scale represents Kilopascals of soil suction. The other values in the image represent water content of the soil.....	150
Figure 11.3 A schematic showing how, in a periodic recharge environment, clays concentrate salt while it is leached through sands.....	150
Figure 11.4 Demonstration of limitations of the validity of horizontal layer modelling of geo-electric array data. ....	151
Figure 12.1 Factors affecting EC data collected beneath canals.....	154
Figure 12.2 A procedure for quantifying seepage using EC ribbons. Note that for Australian canals, the Idaho meter tests typically need to be replaced by pondage tests. ....	154
Figure 12.3 A procedure for investigating saline inflow to rivers using EC ribbons calibrated with run of river salinity surveys. ....	156
Figure 12.4 Aquifer recharge and recovery involving a ring tank and a porous shallow aquifer that could be mapped using multi-depth EC imagery. ....	159
Figure 13.1 Sturt Canal - Murrumbidgee Irrigation Area – NSW – Australia.....	170
Figure 14.1 Stitched together layered models used for testing of 144m AXB array processing and inversion. Note well that the models are stitched together 1D models, not 2D, and the horizontal scale is ‘Sounding’, not distance. ....	171
Figure 14.2 Apparent resistivities plotted with respect to effective depths for the models in Figure 14-1 and a 144m AXB array using the algorithm of Chapter 5. ....	172
Figure 14.3 Fixed layer thickness inversion conducted using Chapter 6 algorithms with one layer per effective depth of the 144m AXB array and the models shown in Figure 14-1. Note the artefact of conductive basement near the left side. ....	173

Figure 14.4 Smoothness constrained inversion with layers of fixed thickness centred on the effective depths of a 144m AXB array and for the models in Figure 14-1. Note the similarity with the apparent resistivity solution of Figure 14-2.....	174
Figure 14.5 Stretch and smoothness constrained inversion of layers centred on the effective depths of the 144m AXB array and of the models presented in Figure 14-1.....	174
Figure 14.6 Stitched together 3 layer conductive basement models simulating a river over sediment containing a saline aquifer of variable depth. ....	175
Figure 14.7 Apparent resistivity with respect to effective depth of data, clipped at noise level, collected with the 144m AXB array over the models of Figure 14-6.....	176
Figure 14.8 Inversion of data, clipped at noise level, collected over the models of Figure 14-6. Note again the disconcerting loss of detection of conductive basement on the left. ....	177
Figure 14.9 Sub-noise data aware inversion of data, clipped at noise level, collected over the models of Figure 14-6. See now that conductive basement is detected on the left. ....	177
Figure 14.10 Sub-noise data aware inversion as for Figure 14-9 except without any stretch or smoothness constraint. Note that the middle layer disappears on the left – an example of inversion instability.....	178
Figure 14.11 Inversion of data clipped at noise level as for Figure 14-8 but with noise added to the forward modelled data. Note again that the conductive basement is only sporadically detected on the left. ....	179
Figure 14.12 Sub-noise data aware inversion as for Figure 14-9 but with noise added to the forward modelled data. Note that the resulting image now clearly shows the conductive basement and is without confusing artefacts. ....	179
Figure 14.13 Sub-noise data aware inversion as for Figure 14-12 but with much stretch constraint and no smoothness constraint. The result is very similar to the initial models. Note that RMS errors are here only a fraction of a percent. ....	180
Figure 14.14 An example Transform Derivative and Transform Matrix. ....	180
Figure 15.1 Locations of multi-depth EC surveys conducted from rivers of the Murray Darling Drainage Basin.....	183
Figure 15.2 An example of contrast of recharge and discharge site EC ribbons.....	184
Figure 15.3 An equal area colour scale for Murray Darling Basin River EC images developed by summing histograms from numerous river segments. ....	185
Figure 15.4 EC ribbons under eight Murray Darling Basin river segments plotted, using the common colour scale of Figure 15-3, in order from most downstream to most upstream ('a' to 'h' in horizontal direction first).....	187
Figure 15.5 Salinity entering the Murray around Waikerie and the location of saltwater interception bores (from Forward, 2004).....	188
Figure 15.6 Visual evidence of the ecological damage caused by saline groundwater intrusion into the lower Murray river floodplains and river (from Forward, P. 2004).....	189
Figure 15.7 Geo-electric survey on the Murray at Waikerie. A salt scald, demonstrating the localized effect of irrigation, is evident as a light patch in the cliff.....	190
Figure 15.8 Part of the Murray River within the Waikerie salt water interception scheme.....	191
Figure 15.9 The far right part of Waikerie SIS scheme shown in Figure 15-7.....	192
Figure 15.10 A comparison of 2D and 1D inversion of one 1km long segment of riverborne geo-electric data collected with a dipole-dipole array.....	193
Figure 15.11 Freshwater drawdown cones evident around salt interception bores Waik5, Waik7, Waik7b and Waik8. Note the offset of the cone around Waik7b which is	

caused by the prior river channel, the location of which is evident from the cliff in the airphoto. ....	194
Figure 15.12 Waikerie IIA before commencement of pumping. ....	195
Figure 15.13 Hydro-stratigraphy in the vicinity of Mildura and groundwater flow before and during salt interception bore operation (from Merrick 2002, taken from Williams and Erny – date unknown). ....	197
Figure 15.14 Geo-electric array EC Ribbon between Wentworth and Mildura .....	201
Figure 15.15 Geo-electric array EC Ribbon between Mildura and Iraak. ....	202
Figure 15.16 TEM EC Ribbon between Wentworth and Mildura .....	203
Figure 15.17 TEM EC Ribbon between Mildura and Iraak .....	204
Figure 15.18 Murray river salt load increase between Wentworth and Iraak. (Allen 2004)205	
Figure 15.19 Color Histogram generated for the geo-electric array EC Ribbons. ....	205
Figure 15.20 Color Histogram generated for the TEM EC Ribbons. ....	205
Figure 15.21 Locations of Mildura and Buronga saltwater interception bores and their effect on sub-river sediment presented in an EC Ribbon.....	206
Figure 15.22 Locations of Mallee Cliffs SIS bores and their effect on sub-river sediment presented in an EC Ribbon. March 2003 - compare with Figure 15-23.....	207
Figure 15.23 Locations of Mallee Cliffs SIS bores and their effect on sub-river sediment presented in an EC Ribbon. January 2004 - compare with Figure 15-22.....	208
Figure 15.24 Airphoto overlay of a Murray River EC Ribbon (March 2003) at Merbein.209	
Figure 15.25 A low EC anomaly at Chaffey Bend (Buronga) that appeared in geo-electric array data in January 2004. ....	210
Figure 15.26 Time Difference data at Chaffey Bend collected using a geo-electric array. Reds should represent salinity increases. Blues should represent salinity decreases.211	
Figure 15.27 Time Difference data at Chaffey Bend collected using TEM. Reds should represent salinity increases. Blues should represent salinity decreases.....	212
Figure 15.28 Mildura Saltwater Interception Scheme – Fixed layer inversion (compare to Figure 15-24 with stretch and smoothness constrained layer inversion).....	213
Figure 15.29 Vertical 2D imaging with clipped logarithmic rather than equal area color distribution. Data is from the EC ribbon in Figure 15-28 (Sounding 0 is upstream).214	
Figure 15.30 EC 0.5 metres below the Murray riverbed downstream of Mildura (March 2004). ....	215
Figure 15.31 EC 3 metres below the Murray riverbed downstream of Mildura (March 04). Compare this with the previous image observing that there is little influence from the Buronga Interception scheme at a depth 3 metres below the river. ....	216
Figure 15.32 Induced Polarization anomalies at Mallee Cliffs SIS. ....	217
Figure 15.33 Difference between EC near the north and south banks of the Murray at Mallee Cliffs. ....	218
Figure 15.34 The Murrumbidgee River upstream of Yanco Weir – Apparent Resistivity 0.5 to 30 metres deep.....	220
Figure 15.35 The Murrumbidgee River upstream of Yanco Weir – 1D fixed layer thickness inversion 1 to 40 metres deep. ....	220
Figure 15.36 The Murrumbidgee River upstream of Yanco Weir – Induced Polarization 0.5 to 30 metres deep with vertically damped colour scaling.....	221
Figure 15.37 Location of EC imaging conducted from the Border Rivers, NSW/Queensland Border (highlighted in blue). ....	222
Figure 15.38 MacIntyre River – South Callandoon. ....	224
Figure 15.39 MacIntyre River – Stuartville. ....	225
Figure 15.40 MacIntyre/Barwon River – Kanowna. ....	225
Figure 15.41 Barwon River – Mungindi. ....	226

Figure 15.42 : Submerged array EC ribbons -Depth 0.1 to 4m beneath the drain beds. ....	229
Figure 15.43 : Floating array EC ribbons - Depths 0.1 to 40m below drain water surface.	230
Figure 15.44 Color Histogram generated for equal area color distribution of the submerged geo-electric array data (0.1 to 4m deep data). ....	231
Figure 15.45 Color Histogram generated for equal area color distribution of the floating geo-electric array data (0.1m to 40m deep data). ....	231
Figure 15.46 Floating Array EC Ribbons (0.1 to 40m deep) and proportionally sized spheres representing drain water pH superimposed on an airphoto of the drained Tuckean Swamp. ....	232
Figure 15.47 Floating Array EC Ribbons (0.1 to 40m deep) and proportionally sized spheres representing drain water EC superimposed on an airphoto of the drained Tuckean Swamp. ....	233
Figure 15.48 Floating Array EC Ribbons (0.1 to 40m deep) and proportionally sized spheres representing drain water DO superimposed on an airphoto of the drained Tuckean Swamp. ....	234
Figure 15.49 An example of inverted geo-electric array data collected at a part of the Murray River where hypersaline groundwater is just below the river bed. ....	235
Figure 15.50 The same data as Figure 15-49 re-inverted using noise level aware inversion. Most of the artifacts are now eliminated. ....	235
Figure 15.51 Three dimensional presentation of the data in Figure 15-50. This presentation method shows that anomalies present in the plain vertical section of Figure 15-50 correlate with the locations of known geological and cultural features. ....	236
Figure 16.1 Geology of the Coleambally Irrigation Area. ....	238
Figure 16.2 A vertical section through channel sand deposits formed by braided streams showing potential percolation pathways and groundwater sinks. ....	238
Figure 16.3 Floating array surveys conducted in the Coleambally Irrigation Area. ....	240
Figure 16.4 An overview of EC imaging conducted with floating arrays contrasts variation in salinity at various depths under canals. ....	240
Figure 16.5 Comparison of EC ribbons and Salinity of bore water in shallow bores. ....	241
Figure 16.6 EC ribbons and soil types. ....	241
Figure 16.7 Boona Canal, Cattanach Road EC ribbon 0.1 to 10m deep. ....	242
Figure 16.8 Coleambally Channels 9 and 9b EC ribbon location (blue line figure) and EM31 survey results from Harding (2002). ....	243
Figure 16.9 EC ribbon – Coleambally Channels 9 and 9b. ....	244
Figure 16.10 Bundure canal 0.1 to 10m deep EC ribbon and bore logs. ....	245
Figure 16.11 A close-up view of a clear low EC anomaly under the Bundure canal (looking from the NE). ....	245
Figure 16.12 An uncluttered display of the anomaly of Figure 16-11. ....	246
Figure 16.13 (from Viezulli 2005) Conductivity (top, Figure 16-13a), chargeability (middle, Figure 16-13b) and normalized chargeability (bottom, Figure 16-13c) cross sections for section # 2 are presented. ....	247
Figure 16.14 A salinized depression near the Boona Canal. Also evident is canal weed which fouls outboard motors. This problem lead to development of the boom seen towing a submerged array. ....	248
Figure 16.15 The Boona Canal west of the Kidman Way. ....	249
Figure 16.16 Boona Canal inversion tests. ....	250
Figure 16.17 Boona canal inversion tests 2. Note that the submerged array data is all collected with an injected current of only 12 Volts. ....	251

Figure 16.18 (from Viezolli, 2005) Res2DInv inversion, for comparison with Figure 16-17, of the Boona Canal east of the regulator that has created the gap in the images in Figure 16-17..	252
Figure 16.19 Boona Canal west of the Kidman Way – Submerged and floating array superimposed EC ribbons showing Idaho meter seepage (from undetectable up to 12mm/day) as a purple bar graph and possible untested seepage sites in the vicinity of salinized depressions which may or may not be related.	254
Figure 16.20 Sites on the Boona Canal where seepage is possibly occurring resulting in salinization nearby.	255
Figure 16.21 Boona Canal west of the Kidman Way indicating Yabby pump penetration depths and auger sample lithological and EC <sub>a</sub> logs.	256
Figure 16.22 Some Yabby pump samples and their relevance to anomalies on an EC ribbon of part of the Boona Canal.	256
Figure 16.23 1 to 40m deep floating array EC ribbons around Gogeldrie Weir superimposed on false colour satellite imagery obtained from Coleambally Irrigation.	259
Figure 16.24 Floating array EC imagery as per Figure 16-23 but projected upwards rather than downwards from watercourses.	260
Figure 16.25 Submerged array EC data collected around Gogeldrie Weir and the Dallas Clay Pan on supply canals, the river, farm channels and drains.	261
Figure 16.26 Vertical pipe infiltrometer results at an EC anomaly just downstream of the offtake for the Coleambally Main Canal.	262
Figure 16.27 Calculation of yearly seepage losses just downstream of the Coleambally Main Canal offtake using vertical pipe infiltrometers placed along the length of the low EC anomaly that exists there.	263
Figure 16.28 Submerged array surveys, marked as blue lines, conducted in the Murrumbidgee Irrigation area and the Coleambally Irrigation Area.	264
Figure 16.29 A suspected seepage site on Argoon Main Canal revealed in submerged array EC data.	265
Figure 16.30 Location of Denimein EC imaging trials. ANCID trial sites are not shown but were on Dahwilli Main Canal.	268
Figure 16.31 EC distribution of the Denimein trial datasets.	269
Figure 16.32 Denimein canal submerged array EC images and canal bottom sediment cores.	270
Figure 16.33 Dahwilli Main Canal submerged array data with color scale optimized (compare Figure 16-32 – SE corner). Dam liners trials and numerous seepage tests have been conducted at this site.	270
Figure 16.34 The Dahwilli Main Canal site of Figure 16-33. Data collected using an EM31 and ANCID floating dipole-dipole array with 10m dipoles compared to pondage test results and drill core summaries. Beware – the EM31 colour scale is the inverse of the geoelectric array colour scale.	271
Figure 16.35 Warburn Hard Rock Quarry NW of Griffith, MIA. An example of hard impermeable, but fractured, rock beneath an irrigation canal.	273
Figure 16.36 Five and ten metre dipole-dipole array, EM31, drilling and pondage tests conducted on Lake View Extension Canal.	273
Figure 16.37 Ten metre dipole-dipole array, EM31, drilling and pondage test data on Tabita canal, MIA. Note that the EC ribbon is projected from the east.	274
Figure 16.38 10m dipole-dipole array, EM31, drilling and pondage tests conducted on the Lake View Bench Canal north of Griffith. Note that the EC ribbon is projected from the east (ie. as if the view was looking down from east of the image).	275

Figure 16.39	Locations of Wimmera Mallee EC imaging trials on the Toolondo Channel south of Horsham. ....	276
Figure 16.40	Toolondo Channel west EC imaging site. Dipole-dipole array, EM31, and pondage test data. ....	276
Figure 16.41	Toolondo Channel east site. 2m heavy clay over sandstone. Seepage rate here is unanimously low. Higher EC seems to relate to increased seepage probably due to saturation differences in the clay beneath the canal. ....	277
Figure 16.42	Toolondo Channel central site showing 5m dipole-dipole array data, pondage tests and lithology adjacent to the channel. ....	278
Figure 16.43	Murray Irrigation and Wimmera Mallee Irrigation seepage site EC histograms. ....	279
Figure 16.44	Murray River saline inflow site EC histograms for comparison with Figure 16-43. ....	279
Figure 17.1	Coleambally Farm 69 canal EC ribbons with Idaho Seepage Meter seepage rates collected by Saud Akbar (NSW Agriculture). ....	282
Figure 17.2	A copy of fig. 17-1 100% vertically damped showing up horizontal EC variation that correlate with seepage rates. ....	282
Figure 17.3	MIA farm 1576 EC ribbons and Idaho seepage rates (measured by Saud Akbar, NSW Agriculture). 2003 survey. ....	283
Figure 17.4	MIA farm 1576 EC ribbons (100% vertically damped) and Idaho Seepage rates (measured by Saud Akbar, NSW Agriculture). ....	283
Figure 17.5	Submerged array EC imagery on canals and drains stretching from the Murrumbidgee River in the south 15 km across the Dallas Clay Pan to beyond Whitton. ....	284
Figure 17.6	EC ribbons, some 0.2 to 8m deep, others 0.2 to 15m deep, imaged from drains, a dam, supply channels and rice toe drains on the Dallas Clay Pan. ....	285
Figure 17.7	Submerged array surveys and auger sample sites on the Dallas Clay pan (south east of Whitton). ....	286
Figure 17.8	Dallas Clay Pan 20 m submerged array data collected along farm drains and canals of various sizes. Auger logs are added. View is from the north. Depth scale is from 0.1m to 8m. ....	287
Figure 17.9	Dallas Clay Pan 20 m submerged array data collected along farm drains and canals of various sizes. Auger logs are added. View is from the SE. Depth scale is from 0.1m to 8m. ....	288
Figure 17.10	The effect of evapotranspiration on 36 metre AXB submerged arrays pulled along deep canals compared to shallow farm canals and toe drains. ....	289
Figure 17.11	Mike Nalore examining soil investigation trench site 7. ....	289
Figure 18.1	Submerged array survey of a 30 hectare reservoir. Line spacing is approximately 20m. ....	291
Figure 18.2	Depth (below dam bed) slices showing how a low EC feature in the NE corner spreads out 4m below much of the dam. ....	292
Figure 18.3	The colour scale used to present EC on Figure 18-4. ....	293
Figure 18.4	EC ribbons and depth slices of inverted floating array data collected from a 15 hectare reservoir. ....	294
Figures A1-1 and A1-2	Towed geo-electric array construction – part 1. ....	327

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the following groups for their contributions to the project:

My Supervisor, N.P. Merrick, and co-supervisor, B. Kelly, of the National Centre for Groundwater Management, University of Technology, Sydney who provided advise, proof reading, funding negotiation and computer code, used as a basis for some code written for this thesis.

The Australian Co-operative Research Centre for Sustainable Rice Production who provided A\$105 000 towards this thesis.

The NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation /Infrastructure Planning and Natural Resources / Natural Resources (perpetual name changers) who provided funding for surveys in the vicinity of Mildura. Michael Williams, principle hydrogeologist is thanked for his efforts in securing funding.

The Australian Federal Bureau of Rural Sciences who funded river surveys of the Border Rivers and the Tuckean Swamp – Richmond River

Zonge Engineering and Research Organization who provided equipment on a research rate rather than commercial rate.

Geoforce- who provided the Syscal Pro (1 month) and an assistant (8 days) on research rates.

Barry Porter - South Australian Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation and Brian Barrett – University of Adelaide, who assisted with organising and facilitating fieldwork at Waikerie.

Richard, Peter and Lorraine Stott – Whitton farmers - who provided access to their rice based farms and assisted with fieldwork in various ways.

Coleambally Irrigation Cooperative Limited funded survey and reporting on the Argoon Channel and provided personnel and logistical assistance for much of the RiceCRC funded fieldwork.

Murray Irrigation who funded seepage measurement follow-up work on their canals.

Scott McInnes – Zonge Engineering and Research Organization who completed and conveyed to the author a similar geo-electric array appraisal for roll along imaging systems.

Saud Akbar of NSW Agriculture provided Idaho seepage measurements on farm canals.

Niels Christensen of EMmodel and Esben Auken of the Hydrogeophysics Group inverted some of the data so that comparison of inversion techniques could be made.

Andrea Viezoli and James Cull of Monash University who processed and studied some of the induced polarization data.

Dalcrom agricultural consultants who helped with siting of ploughed array tests.

Geoff Beecher and Brian Dunn of NSW Agriculture who provided advice and differential GPS equipment.

Greenspan who provided a pressure sensor and logger at research rates.

Most importantly I thank my wife and family who willingly coped with 3 and a half years of life on low, and at times no, income while I completed this thesis.

## GLOSSARY

**AXB geo-electric array.** Allen Exponential Bipole geo-electric array is a collinear array with two elongated transmitter electrodes followed by receiver electrodes spaced at  $2^n$  from the far end of the second transmitter electrode where  $n$  increments by any constant value (typically 1 or 0.5).

**dBase.** A dBase file is a relational database file, with the extension \*.DBF following the dBase (now xBase) conventions. It is a very old and well supported format that allows indexing using separate \*.MDX files.

**EC.** Electrical Conductivity (measured normally in  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) is the inverse of resistivity.

**EC Ribbon.** An EC ribbon is a type of graphical presentation of multi-depth electrical conductivity data, where soundings are stitched together in a vertical image. The vertical image is wrapped along the track of that image presented in a 3D interface such as OpenGL. It is designed for use with towed multi-depth EC surveys.

**Forward Modelling.** Forward modelling is the process of determining the set of voltages that an instrument would measure over a particular theoretical model.

**Geo-electric array.** A geo-electric array is a collection of electrodes connected to the earth or water in such an arrangement that they can be used to image the substrate. The array is made up of quadrupoles (see definition) used individually to focus on various depths and/or parts of the substrate.

**Inversion.** Inversion is the process of iteratively simulating forward models and determining how well they fit field data and then proposing new models until a model that fits the field data well is found.

**Quadrupole.** A quadrupole is a set of two transmitter electrodes and two receiver electrodes. A geo-electric array contains a whole set of quadrupoles which may, or may not, contain electrodes common with other quadrupoles in the array. Each quadrupole is designed to focus at a particular depth when used to image the substrate.

**Resistivity.** Resistivity (measured in ohm.m) is the inverse of electrical conductivity

**ShapeFile.** A geographic format that adds a geo-indexing file (\*.SHP) to a dBase file. The \*.SHP file may contain points, lines, polygons or more complex features referenced in 2D or 3D. Additional index files and a projection file may supplement the \*.SHP file. The format is detailed in a White Paper by ESRI ([www.ESRI.com](http://www.ESRI.com)).

**SPOT.** Seepage penetrating observation tube – A vertical pipe infiltrometer for spot measurement of seepage in locally observed seepage spots (LOSSes). This is a simple reliable device for low accuracy spot measurement of absolute seepage rate at low EC anomalies in canals.