•

Certificate of Authorship and Originality
I certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.
I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have had in my research work and in the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all the
information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.
Signature of Candidate
Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.
Rob Wilkins

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the efforts of Nicky Solomon, my principal supervisor. Nicky has been a great academic mentor for me and I valued her witty encouragement and guidance throughout the life of this project. I thank Clive Chappell my co-supervisor for his down-to-earth and pragmatic approach to helping me gets things done.

Thanks also to the Faculty of Education at UTS for coordinating the Professional Doctorate program and facilitating ways for people like me to reflect on my work and the ways in which I engage with and learn from my colleagues. Margaret McGrath from the Faculty was also invaluable for her personable approach to ensuring that I remained in the program and knew which rooms, forms and dates were important.

I am grateful for the time and energy provided by the three networks of public health practitioners who participated in the research. The group of practice development midwives whose story-dialogue workshop revealed radicalist education principles used to improve continuity of care for women and their babies was both visionary and inspirational. I thank the Network of Alcohol and other Drugs Agencies Inc. (NADA) for their willingness to share their time, ideas and lessons learned. I am also grateful to the Hepatitis C Council of NSW (and in particular, Stuart Loveday) for auspicing HepLink and investing the time and energy needed for such a dynamic statewide interagency.

I thank Norman Booker who has been enormously influential in all sorts of ways throughout my life in Australia. Having someone to bounce ideas around with – even the dumb ones – has been priceless. Thank you for your ongoing support and generosity. Pat Brodie and Nicky Leap inspired me to begin a Professional Doctorate and have always been so encouraging. They never stop!

I thank my network of peers whose ideas and energy throughout our disjointed times together has informed much of this research. In particular, I thank Cecelia Gore for re-introducing me to the creative side of education; Gary Gahan for his passion and humour; Catriona Elek for her learner-centred ways; Tracey Greenberg for sharing her art of training with gusto and to Diana McConachy for her professional wisdom and friendship.

My network of friends has also played an enormous role in supporting me through this period. Ange, Grant, Cath, Soph, Chris, Paul, Andreas, Jackie and Jim have all offered unique gems of advice, moments of brilliance and seemingly endless opportunities for adventure.

Lastly I thank Bruce and Gail Wilkins, my always supportive parents in Canada.

Abstract

As a practitioner involved in the planning and development of educational activities in the field of public health, I have worked within many pedagogical traditions and program parameters. Through this work, I have experienced both subtle and radical shifts in the range of skills, knowledge and relationships required to collaboratively plan and evaluate educational work. In this professional and community-based landscape, competing and often overlapping models of education and evaluation have led to much conceptual confusion and ambiguity around narrowly defined notions of best practice, evidence and knowledge legitimacy.

Drawing from Dorothy Smith's (1999) standpoint theory from which my inquiry was developed as a result of my participation with colleagues in the field, I explore how three professional practice networks of learning and development practitioners speak of the skills, knowledge, relationships and worker identities in a changing field. This research seeks to explicate the kinds of informal and largely unarticulated knowledge that is produced through the changing contexts of work.

This research maps the changing conditions of educational work through my own case stories of educational practice and uses these as a springboard for discussion among three diverse professional practice networks. The Story/Dialogue Method (S/D-M) developed by Labonte and Feather (1996), is a constructivist methodological approach that, in this application, structures group dialogue into reflective insights and theories about how educational work occurs in varied settings among different professional and community-based groups.

A strong reliance on interpersonal skills was articulated by all three networks to build trust, assess individual and organisational learning needs, to build partnerships and to motivate learners. Skills were often described vaguely and summarised as a series of situational specific attributes. A valuing of reflexive, working knowledge as opposed to professional or discipline-based expertise was raised as an important aspect of partnership building and in negotiating program parameters. The need to build individual and organisational relationships through formal and informal encounters was cited as a series of legitimate yet often 'behind the scenes' professional practices. Aligning with the notion of worker identity described by Chappell, Rhodes, Solomon, Tennant and Yates (2003) as process, practitioners spoke of their identities as constructed and temporary, negotiated through newly emerging roles and changing relationships with peers and learners.

This study suggests that evidence-based practice is a contested term drawing its meanings from multiple theoretical and pedagogical traditions including that of intuition. Perhaps unsurprisingly then, evidence guiding educational approaches is viewed as a pragmatic and eclectic mix of tools stored to be adapted for use in new ways. Additionally, this study concludes that all participants (including myself) regard educational practice as a collaborative and continually negotiated endeavour.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – Professional Starting Point: Public Health Learning and Develop	ment 1
Who does education in public health?	2
Who is the public health workforce?	2
Exploring worker and learner identities – a case study	4
Chapter 2 — Public Health, Learning and Evidence: Exploring multiple perspectives of evidence and educational practice	10
Defining public health: From a branch of medicine to an eclectic mix of evidences,	
approaches and theories	10
The new public health: Workforces with multiple traditions	11
Evidence-based knowledge: A contested term	12
The broadening public health workforce	17
Towards multiple notions of best practice	18
Change within a field of public health: Health promotion	19
Guiding frameworks: Capacity building and the rhetoric of partnerships	24
Knowledge development through multi-disciplinary and multi-sectoral collaboration	27
Chapter 3 — Mapping My Professional Histories: A case study in changing pedagogical traditions	29
HIV/AIDS Community Development and Peer Education Officer	29
Radical education within a community development program	31
The significance of gay identity within HIV/AIDS education	33
Professional Development Officer	34
Training versus a broader workforce development agenda	36
Hepatitis C Workforce Development Project Officer	37
Learning through participatory management development approaches	38
Action learning with multi-disciplinary teams	39

Evaluating action learning through participatory methods: How do we know what work	ks? 42	
Facilitating problem-based learning: A shift in worker identity		
Workforce Development Senior Project Manager	45	
Horizontal learning: Facilitating collaborative learning relationships	45	
Public health policy and discourses of learning as organisational performativity	47	
Facilitating knowledge production through professional practice networks	49	
Chapter 4 — Methodological Framework	53	
Professional practice networks as a unit of analysis	55	
Drawing from communities of practice	54	
Participatory action research and the Story-Dialogue Method	56	
Professional practice networks — recruitment	58	
The Story-Dialogue Method	60	
Methodological overview	64	
Chapter 5 — Analysis	67	
HepLink Story-Dialogue Method Workshop	68	
The practice of relationship building	70	
Educational frameworks and professional practice	72	
Assessing learner needs	73	
Worker identities and learner expectations	74	
Evaluating our practice	75	
HepLink Summary	74	
NADA Story-Dialogue Method Workshop	77	
The practice of relationship building	77	
Educational frameworks and professional practice	78	
Organisational development as education	79	
Assessing learner needs	80	
Worker identities and learner expectations	81	

NADA	NADA summary	
Midwifery Story Dialogue Method Workshop		82
Describ	ing midwifery as a historical / political movement	84
Midwife	ery practice development through role modelling	84
Midwife	ery relationship building through persuasion	86
Midwife	ery – "with woman" (worker learner identities)	87
Midwife	ery summary	88
Summa	ry of contrasting themes within three professional practice networks	88
Skills, k	nowledge, relationships and worker identities	91
Skills		91
Knowle	dge	92
Relation	nships	93
Worker	identities	94
Chapter 6	— Conclusion	96
Implications for future practitioner-led research		99
Implications for my professional practice		99
References		101
Appendice	es	108
i.	Hepatitis C Action Learning — Mapping Tools	
ii.	Hepatitis C Action Learning — Organisational Planning Tools	
iii.	HepLink Case Stories 1 and 2, Insights and Theory Notes	
iv.	NADA Case Stories 1 and 2, Insights and Theory Notes	
v.	Midwives' Case Stories 1 and 2, Insights and Theory Notes	